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## MONTGOMERY I.S.D.
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TEXAS ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE REPORT

(DISTRICT REPORT)

## 2018-19 Texas Academic Performance Report

District Name: MONTGOMERY ISD
District Number: 170903

2019 Accountability Rating: A

2019 Special Education Determination Status:
Meets Requirements


## STAAR Performance Rates by Tested Grade, Subject, and Performance Level

Grade 3 Reading
At Approaches Grade Level or
Above
At Meets Grade Level or Above
At Masters Grade Level
Grade 3 Mathematics
At Approaches Grade Level or
Above
At Meets Grade Level or Above
At Masters Grade Level

Grade 4 Reading
At Approaches Grade Level or
Above
At Masters Grade Level

| 2019 | $76 \%$ | $76 \%$ | $\mathbf{8 9 \%}$ | $57 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 | $77 \%$ | $79 \%$ | $\mathbf{8 8 \%}$ | $63 \%$ |
| 2019 | $45 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $43 \%$ |
| 2018 | $43 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $19 \%$ |
| 2019 | $27 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $29 \%$ |
| 2018 | $25 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 2019 | $79 \%$ | $81 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $71 \%$ |
| 2018 | $78 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $\mathbf{8 8 \%}$ | $75 \%$ |
| 2019 | $49 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $\mathbf{6 1 \%}$ | $29 \%$ |
| 2018 | $47 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $19 \%$ |
| 2019 | $25 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| 2018 | $23 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 9 \%}$ | $6 \%$ |

Grade 4 Mathematics
At Approaches Grade Level or
Above
At Meets Grade Level or Above
At Masters Grade Level
Grade 4 Writing
At Approaches Grade Level or
Above
At Meets Grade Level or Above
Grade 5 Reading^
At Approaches Grade Level or
Above
At Meets Grade Level or Above

| 2019 | $75 \%$ | $76 \%$ | $\mathbf{8 6 \%}$ | $71 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 | $73 \%$ | $74 \%$ | $\mathbf{8 6 \%}$ | $80 \%$ |
| 2019 | $44 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| 2018 | $46 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $40 \%$ |
| 2019 | $22 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| 2018 | $24 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $20 \%$ |


| $71 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $*$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $81 \%$ | $87 \%$ | - |
| $38 \%$ | $65 \%$ | $*$ |
| $55 \%$ | $58 \%$ | - |
| $13 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $*$ |
| $23 \%$ | $30 \%$ | - |


| 2019 | $75 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $\mathbf{8 7 \%}$ | $71 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 | $78 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $\mathbf{8 7 \%}$ | $64 \%$ |
| 2019 | $48 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $36 \%$ |
| 2018 | $49 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $36 \%$ |
| 2019 | $28 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| 2018 | $27 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $18 \%$ |


| $77 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $*$ | $100 \%$ | $*$ | $71 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $89 \%$ | $84 \%$ | $76 \%$ | $68 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $82 \%$ | $89 \%$ | - | $*$ | - | $88 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $86 \%$ | $89 \%$ | $79 \%$ | $79 \%$ |
| $37 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $*$ | $80 \%$ | $*$ | $39 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $59 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $24 \%$ |
| $54 \%$ | $60 \%$ | - | $*$ | - | $65 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $55 \%$ |
| $16 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $*$ | $60 \%$ | $*$ | $23 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| $30 \%$ | $33 \%$ | - | $*$ | - | $65 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $45 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $61 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $*$ | $100 \%$ | $*$ | $59 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $78 \%$ | $74 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $53 \%$ |
| $64 \%$ | $72 \%$ | - | $*$ | - | $71 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $72 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $61 \%$ |
| $24 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $*$ | $40 \%$ | $*$ | $41 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| $45 \%$ | $48 \%$ | - | $*$ | - | $65 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $36 \%$ |
| $6 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $*$ | $20 \%$ | $*$ | $6 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| $12 \%$ | $10 \%$ | - | $*$ | - | $18 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $92 \%$ | $96 \%$ | - | $*$ | - | $95 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $95 \%$ | $96 \%$ | $91 \%$ | $84 \%$ |
| $87 \%$ | $94 \%$ | $*$ | $60 \%$ | $*$ | $80 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $89 \%$ | $92 \%$ | $91 \%$ | $83 \%$ | $85 \%$ |
| $61 \%$ | $69 \%$ | - | $*$ | - | $63 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $83 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $68 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $56 \%$ |
| $55 \%$ | $72 \%$ | $*$ | $40 \%$ | $*$ | $73 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $78 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $64 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $41 \%$ |


|  |  | State | Region <br> 06 | District | African <br> American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific <br> Islander | Two or More Races | Special Ed (Current) | Special Ed (Former) | Continuously Enrolled | Non-Continuously Enrolled | Econ Disadv | EL (Current \& Monitored) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| At Masters Grade Level | 2019 | 29\% | 29\% | 40\% | 42\% | 34\% | 40\% | - | * | - | 37\% | 7\% | 17\% | 38\% | 42\% | 23\% | 22\% |
|  | 2018 | 26\% | 26\% | 34\% | 20\% | 28\% | 36\% | * | 20\% | * | 40\% | 7\% | 44\% | 36\% | 31\% | 24\% | 10\% |
| Grade 5 Mathematics^ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Approaches Grade Level or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above | 2019 | 90\% | 88\% | 95\% | 83\% | 90\% | 97\% | - | * | - | 89\% | 65\% | 100\% | 97\% | 94\% | 92\% | 88\% |
|  | 2018 | 91\% | 89\% | 96\% | 88\% | 95\% | 97\% | * | 100\% | * | 100\% | 72\% | 100\% | 97\% | 96\% | 93\% | 93\% |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 58\% | 56\% | 68\% | 50\% | 57\% | 70\% | - | * | - | 63\% | 26\% | 67\% | 68\% | 69\% | 50\% | 53\% |
|  | 2018 | 58\% | 56\% | 68\% | 44\% | 65\% | 70\% | * | 80\% | * | 67\% | 53\% | 44\% | 71\% | 64\% | 56\% | 54\% |
| At Masters Grade Level | 2019 | 36\% | 35\% | 46\% | 33\% | 39\% | 47\% | - | * | - | 53\% | 7\% | 17\% | 45\% | 47\% | 26\% | 31\% |
|  | 2018 | 30\% | 30\% | 37\% | 24\% | 26\% | 40\% | * | 40\% | * | 33\% | 21\% | 33\% | 39\% | 33\% | 22\% | 22\% |
| Grade 5 Science |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Approaches Grade Level or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above | 2019 | 75\% | 76\% | 84\% | 75\% | 73\% | 86\% | - | * | - | 79\% | 44\% | 100\% | 84\% | 83\% | 74\% | 70\% |
|  | 2018 | 76\% | 76\% | 84\% | 63\% | 76\% | 86\% | * | 80\% | * | 86\% | 57\% | 75\% | 85\% | 82\% | 73\% | 73\% |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 49\% | 52\% | 58\% | 58\% | 42\% | 60\% | - | * | - | 68\% | 30\% | 33\% | 57\% | 60\% | 41\% | 37\% |
|  | 2018 | 41\% | 42\% | 46\% | 29\% | 37\% | 49\% | * | 20\% | * | 71\% | 33\% | 38\% | 47\% | 45\% | 31\% | 22\% |
| At Masters Grade Level | 2019 | 24\% | 27\% | 28\% | 17\% | 19\% | 29\% | - | * | - | 42\% | 16\% | 0\% | 26\% | 32\% | 15\% | 13\% |
|  | 2018 | 17\% | 18\% | 19\% | 4\% | 9\% | 21\% | * | 20\% | * | 21\% | 14\% | 13\% | 19\% | 18\% | 8\% | 7\% |
| Grade 6 Reading |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Approaches Grade Level or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above | 2019 | 68\% | 68\% | 80\% | 54\% | 82\% | 81\% | * | 60\% | * | 71\% | 45\% | 67\% | 84\% | 72\% | 70\% | 64\% |
|  | 2018 | 69\% | 70\% | 82\% | 41\% | 76\% | 85\% | * | * | * | 65\% | 45\% | 71\% | 82\% | 83\% | 65\% | 72\% |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 37\% | 39\% | 48\% | 29\% | 47\% | 49\% | * | 40\% | * | 36\% | 22\% | 42\% | 51\% | 42\% | 35\% | 23\% |
|  | 2018 | 39\% | 40\% | 49\% | 24\% | 41\% | 52\% | * | * | * | 40\% | 23\% | 29\% | 50\% | 47\% | 29\% | 28\% |
| At Masters Grade Level | 2019 | 18\% | 19\% | 23\% | 8\% | 27\% | 23\% | * | 40\% | * | 14\% | 8\% | 33\% | 25\% | 19\% | 15\% | 13\% |
|  | 2018 | 19\% | 20\% | 25\% | 6\% | 24\% | 26\% | * | * | * | 15\% | 11\% | 14\% | 24\% | 25\% | 10\% | 12\% |
| Grade 6 Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Approaches Grade Level or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above | 2019 | 81\% | 83\% | 92\% | 80\% | 89\% | 93\% | * | 80\% | * | 86\% | 66\% | 92\% | 93\% | 88\% | 84\% | 87\% |
|  | 2018 | 77\% | 80\% | 89\% | 47\% | 88\% | 92\% | * | * | * | 80\% | 57\% | 93\% | 89\% | 89\% | 76\% | 87\% |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 47\% | 51\% | 66\% | 44\% | 63\% | 68\% | * | 60\% | * | 64\% | 34\% | 67\% | 70\% | 58\% | 50\% | 53\% |
|  | 2018 | 44\% | 49\% | 63\% | 12\% | 52\% | 67\% | * | * | * | 60\% | 25\% | 71\% | 63\% | 63\% | 41\% | 52\% |
| At Masters Grade Level | 2019 | 21\% | 26\% | 36\% | 8\% | 32\% | 39\% | * | 20\% | * | 50\% | 10\% | 25\% | 40\% | 29\% | 19\% | 21\% |
|  | 2018 | 18\% | 23\% | 31\% | 6\% | 25\% | 33\% | * | * | * | 25\% | 9\% | 43\% | 31\% | 30\% | 14\% | 4\% |
| Grade 7 Reading |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Approaches Grade Level or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above | 2019 | 76\% | 76\% | 86\% | 61\% | 84\% | 88\% | * | 80\% | * | 80\% | 57\% | 100\% | 87\% | 82\% | 72\% | 69\% |
|  | 2018 | 74\% | 75\% | 86\% | 85\% | 78\% | 87\% | * | 100\% | - | 77\% | 44\% | 78\% | 85\% | 87\% | 75\% | 77\% |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 49\% | 50\% | 61\% | 28\% | 54\% | 64\% | * | 60\% | * | 50\% | 32\% | 44\% | 65\% | 52\% | 41\% | 31\% |
|  | 2018 | 48\% | 49\% | 60\% | 40\% | 46\% | 63\% | * | 78\% | - | 62\% | 19\% | 78\% | 60\% | 60\% | 41\% | 40\% |
| At Masters Grade Level | 2019 | 29\% | 31\% | 37\% | 17\% | 35\% | 39\% | * | 20\% | * | 30\% | 9\% | 11\% | 40\% | 32\% | 22\% | 23\% |
|  | 2018 | 29\% | 30\% | 35\% | 20\% | 26\% | 38\% | * | 56\% | - | 23\% | 11\% | 44\% | 34\% | 37\% | 20\% | 17\% |
| Grade 7 Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Approaches Grade Level or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above | 2019 | 75\% | 73\% | 87\% | 56\% | 87\% | 89\% | * | * | * | 80\% | 50\% | 100\% | 87\% | 86\% | 76\% | 70\% |
|  | 2018 | 72\% | 71\% | 88\% | 67\% | 87\% | 89\% | * | 100\% | - | 80\% | 71\% | 100\% | 89\% | 87\% | 83\% | 81\% |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 43\% | 39\% | 58\% | 0\% | 58\% | 63\% | * | * | * | 47\% | 29\% | 100\% | 58\% | 59\% | 41\% | 55\% |
|  | 2018 | 40\% | 37\% | 58\% | 47\% | 49\% | 60\% | * | 100\% | - | 50\% | 31\% | 57\% | 58\% | 56\% | 47\% | 58\% |
| At Masters Grade Level | 2019 | 17\% | 12\% | 18\% | 0\% | 13\% | 21\% | * | * | * | 7\% | 12\% | 0\% | 17\% | 19\% | 9\% | 10\% |


|  |  | State | $\begin{gathered} \text { Region } \\ 06 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | District | African American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific <br> Islander | Two or More Races | Special Ed (Current) | Special Ed (Former) | Continuously Enrolled | Non-Continuously Enrolled | Econ Disadv | EL (Current \& $\qquad$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2018 | 18\% | 15\% | 23\% | 0\% | 19\% | 24\% | * | 67\% | - | 10\% | 11\% | 43\% | 23\% | 24\% | 15\% | 23\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Approaches Grade Level or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above | 2019 | 70\% | 70\% | 82\% | 50\% | 82\% | 84\% | * | 60\% | * | 65\% | 39\% | 89\% | 83\% | 79\% | 63\% | 69\% |
|  | 2018 | 69\% | 71\% | 82\% | 76\% | 75\% | 84\% | * | 100\% | - | 75\% | 24\% | 89\% | 82\% | 83\% | 69\% | 70\% |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 42\% | 41\% | 55\% | 17\% | 57\% | 57\% | * | 60\% | * | 40\% | 25\% | 33\% | 58\% | 48\% | 36\% | 58\% |
|  | 2018 | 43\% | 45\% | 56\% | 33\% | 43\% | 58\% | * | 78\% | - | 58\% | 24\% | 22\% | 54\% | 58\% | 37\% | 33\% |
| At Masters Grade Level | 2019 | 18\% | 17\% | 24\% | 11\% | 22\% | 25\% | * | 20\% | * | 20\% | 7\% | 0\% | 26\% | 19\% | 11\% | 15\% |
|  | 2018 | 15\% | 16\% | 20\% | 24\% | 14\% | 21\% | * | 22\% | - | 8\% | 9\% | 0\% | 20\% | 19\% | 6\% | 3\% |
| Grade 8 Reading^ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Approaches Grade Level or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above | 2019 | 86\% | 86\% | 92\% | 88\% | 88\% | 93\% | * | 100\% | * | 85\% | 49\% | 91\% | 93\% | 90\% | 85\% | 88\% |
|  | 2018 | 86\% | 86\% | 91\% | 85\% | 84\% | 93\% | * | 100\% | * | 89\% | 50\% | 100\% | 91\% | 91\% | 77\% | 78\% |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 55\% | 57\% | 66\% | 50\% | 53\% | 69\% | * | 89\% | * | 77\% | 27\% | 73\% | 67\% | 63\% | 47\% | 38\% |
|  | 2018 | 49\% | 51\% | 57\% | 26\% | 44\% | 61\% | * | 67\% | * | 61\% | 18\% | 57\% | 58\% | 56\% | 33\% | 22\% |
| At Masters Grade Level | 2019 | 28\% | 30\% | 37\% | 29\% | 26\% | 40\% | * | 56\% | * | 31\% | 7\% | 36\% | 38\% | 36\% | 23\% | 15\% |
|  | 2018 | 27\% | 28\% | 33\% | 15\% | 21\% | 35\% | * | 33\% | * | 61\% | 7\% | 29\% | 33\% | 31\% | 17\% | 6\% |
| Grade 8 Mathematics^ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Approaches Grade Level or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above | 2019 | 88\% | 89\% | 97\% | 91\% | 95\% | 98\% | * | 100\% | * | 93\% | 70\% | 100\% | 97\% | 96\% | 92\% | 96\% |
|  | 2018 | 86\% | 86\% | 93\% | 85\% | 86\% | 95\% | * | * | * | 73\% | 43\% | 100\% | 92\% | 94\% | 85\% | 83\% |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 57\% | 62\% | 85\% | 68\% | 79\% | 87\% | * | 100\% | * | 80\% | 48\% | 100\% | 89\% | 76\% | 71\% | 82\% |
|  | 2018 | 51\% | 56\% | 74\% | 52\% | 54\% | 79\% | * | * | * | 47\% | 25\% | 63\% | 76\% | 71\% | 51\% | 33\% |
| At Masters Grade Level | 2019 | 17\% | 23\% | 44\% | 23\% | 33\% | 46\% | * | 88\% | * | 47\% | 20\% | 21\% | 46\% | 39\% | 28\% | 29\% |
|  | 2018 | 15\% | 21\% | 35\% | 22\% | 19\% | 39\% | * | * | * | 27\% | 7\% | 13\% | 37\% | 32\% | 15\% | 13\% |
| Grade 8 Science |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Approaches Grade Level or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above | 2019 | 81\% | 83\% | 91\% | 83\% | 84\% | 92\% | * | 100\% | * | 77\% | 58\% | 100\% | 92\% | 88\% | 82\% | 80\% |
|  | 2018 | 76\% | 77\% | 84\% | 65\% | 72\% | 86\% | * | 100\% | * | 76\% | 38\% | 100\% | 84\% | 82\% | 69\% | 53\% |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 51\% | 55\% | 64\% | 50\% | 47\% | 67\% | * | 89\% | * | 62\% | 27\% | 55\% | 66\% | 59\% | 45\% | 36\% |
|  | 2018 | 52\% | 54\% | 61\% | 38\% | 36\% | 66\% | * | 100\% | * | 71\% | 22\% | 71\% | 63\% | 57\% | 40\% | 11\% |
| At Masters Grade Level | 2019 | 25\% | 29\% | 31\% | 13\% | 23\% | 33\% | * | 44\% | * | 23\% | 18\% | 18\% | 31\% | 29\% | 18\% | 12\% |
|  | 2018 | 28\% | 31\% | 34\% | 19\% | 20\% | 38\% | * | 33\% | * | 29\% | 9\% | 29\% | 35\% | 32\% | 13\% | 5\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Approaches Grade Level or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above | 2019 | 69\% | 71\% | 83\% | 75\% | 76\% | 84\% | * | 100\% | * | 77\% | 53\% | 82\% | 84\% | 79\% | 71\% | 69\% |
|  | 2018 | 65\% | 67\% | 78\% | 67\% | 65\% | 80\% | * | 100\% | * | 78\% | 36\% | 86\% | 79\% | 75\% | 61\% | 58\% |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 37\% | 39\% | 53\% | 42\% | 45\% | 54\% | * | 78\% | * | 46\% | 31\% | 64\% | 55\% | 48\% | 36\% | 23\% |
|  | 2018 | 36\% | 38\% | 44\% | 37\% | 30\% | 46\% | * | 67\% | * | 50\% | 24\% | 43\% | 46\% | 40\% | 26\% | 16\% |
| At Masters Grade Level | 2019 | 21\% | 23\% | 32\% | 38\% | 24\% | 34\% | * | 33\% | * | 38\% | 9\% | 27\% | 33\% | 31\% | 19\% | 8\% |
|  | 2018 | 21\% | 23\% | 26\% | 19\% | 12\% | 28\% | * | 67\% | * | 28\% | 7\% | 29\% | 27\% | 23\% | 8\% | 5\% |
| End of Course English I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Approaches Grade Level or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above | 2019 | 68\% | 69\% | 78\% | 55\% | 72\% | 80\% | 63\% | 100\% | * | 78\% | 37\% | 75\% | 79\% | 76\% | 59\% | 64\% |
|  | 2018 | 65\% | 66\% | 78\% | 39\% | 71\% | 82\% | 40\% | 93\% | * | 67\% | 29\% | * | 81\% | 71\% | 61\% | 50\% |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 50\% | 51\% | 65\% | 31\% | 55\% | 69\% | 13\% | 100\% | * | 65\% | 20\% | 67\% | 65\% | 64\% | 40\% | 27\% |
|  | 2018 | 44\% | 45\% | 60\% | 16\% | 52\% | 65\% | 30\% | 86\% | * | 50\% | 15\% | * | 63\% | 55\% | 41\% | 19\% |
| At Masters Grade Level | 2019 | 11\% | 12\% | 15\% | 12\% | 4\% | 17\% | 0\% | 11\% | * | 30\% | 7\% | 8\% | 15\% | 15\% | 6\% | 0\% |
|  | 2018 | 7\% | 8\% | 9\% | 0\% | 4\% | 10\% | 0\% | 14\% | * | 8\% | 7\% | * | 10\% | 6\% | 5\% | 0\% |


|  |  | State | $\begin{gathered} \text { Region } \\ 06 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | District | African American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific <br> Islander | Two or More Races | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Special } \\ & \text { Ed } \\ & \text { (Current) } \end{aligned}$ | Special Ed (Former) | Continuously Enrolled | Non- <br> Continuously Enrolled | Econ Disadv | EL (Current \& Monitored) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| End of Course English II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Approaches Grade Level or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above | 2019 | 68\% | 70\% | 81\% | 39\% | 76\% | 84\% | 63\% | 100\% | * | 83\% | 31\% | * | 82\% | 76\% | 66\% | 50\% |
|  | 2018 | 67\% | 69\% | 81\% | 39\% | 77\% | 83\% | 33\% | 100\% | * | 92\% | 25\% | * | 82\% | 79\% | 64\% | 71\% |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 49\% | 51\% | 66\% | 33\% | 59\% | 70\% | 25\% | 93\% | * | 58\% | 24\% | * | 67\% | 63\% | 51\% | 21\% |
|  | 2018 | 48\% | 50\% | 69\% | 39\% | 61\% | 71\% | 17\% | 100\% | * | 92\% | 7\% | * | 71\% | 64\% | 46\% | 33\% |
| At Masters Grade Level | 2019 | 8\% | 8\% | 11\% | 3\% | 7\% | 13\% | 0\% | 20\% | * | 0\% | 11\% | * | 11\% | 13\% | 4\% | 0\% |
|  | 2018 | 8\% | 9\% | 12\% | 6\% | 13\% | 12\% | 0\% | 40\% | * | 8\% | 4\% | * | 13\% | 10\% | 7\% | 5\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Approaches Grade Level or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above | 2019 | 85\% | 82\% | 89\% | 72\% | 81\% | 91\% | * | 100\% | * | 65\% | 45\% | 91\% | 90\% | 87\% | 76\% | 84\% |
|  | 2018 | 83\% | 82\% | 93\% | 86\% | 89\% | 94\% | 100\% | 100\% | - | 100\% | 57\% | * | 95\% | 89\% | 84\% | 89\% |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 61\% | 57\% | 69\% | 45\% | 59\% | 72\% | * | 86\% | * | 65\% | 31\% | 64\% | 71\% | 63\% | 48\% | 47\% |
|  | 2018 | 55\% | 53\% | 77\% | 55\% | 72\% | 78\% | 67\% | 100\% | - | 88\% | 26\% | * | 80\% | 68\% | 60\% | 68\% |
| At Masters Grade Level | 2019 | 37\% | 37\% | 49\% | 21\% | 38\% | 52\% | * | 71\% | * | 41\% | 23\% | 36\% | 52\% | 43\% | 24\% | 26\% |
|  | 2018 | 32\% | 33\% | 53\% | 38\% | 46\% | 55\% | 33\% | 60\% | - | 63\% | 20\% | * | 55\% | 48\% | 32\% | 26\% |
| End of Course Biology |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Approaches Grade Level or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above | 2019 | 88\% | 89\% | 93\% | 84\% | 88\% | 95\% | * | 100\% | * | 95\% | 66\% | 100\% | 93\% | 94\% | 83\% | 78\% |
|  | 2018 | 87\% | 87\% | 92\% | 71\% | 84\% | 94\% | 83\% | 100\% | * | 100\% | 55\% | * | 94\% | 87\% | 81\% | 68\% |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 62\% | 65\% | 73\% | 45\% | 54\% | 79\% | * | 89\% | * | 75\% | 25\% | 55\% | 75\% | 70\% | 48\% | 22\% |
|  | 2018 | 59\% | 62\% | 73\% | 36\% | 61\% | 77\% | 50\% | 93\% | * | 75\% | 19\% | * | 76\% | 65\% | 51\% | 36\% |
| At Masters Grade Level | 2019 | 25\% | 27\% | 26\% | 3\% | 14\% | 30\% | * | 22\% | * | 35\% | 9\% | 9\% | 27\% | 24\% | 11\% | 0\% |
|  | 2018 | 24\% | 26\% | 31\% | 4\% | 24\% | 34\% | 17\% | 57\% | * | 33\% | 10\% | * | 33\% | 28\% | 16\% | 9\% |
| End of Course U.S. History |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Approaches Grade Level or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above | 2019 | 93\% | 93\% | 98\% | 77\% | 99\% | 98\% | * | 100\% | * | 100\% | 88\% | - | 98\% | 99\% | 96\% | 100\% |
|  | 2018 | 92\% | 92\% | 96\% | 81\% | 95\% | 97\% | * | 100\% | - | 100\% | 67\% | * | 97\% | 92\% | 88\% | 89\% |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 73\% | 78\% | 89\% | 62\% | 84\% | 91\% | * | 100\% | * | 90\% | 52\% | - | 89\% | 89\% | 79\% | 43\% |
|  | 2018 | 70\% | 74\% | 84\% | 33\% | 76\% | 87\% | * | 89\% | - | 93\% | 38\% | * | 86\% | 75\% | 70\% | 33\% |
| At Masters Grade Level | 2019 | 45\% | 51\% | 56\% | 15\% | 47\% | 58\% | * | 57\% | * | 90\% | 36\% | - | 58\% | 51\% | 39\% | 29\% |
|  | 2018 | 40\% | 46\% | 51\% | 19\% | 43\% | 53\% | * | 44\% | - | 87\% | 21\% | * | 53\% | 43\% | 38\% | 11\% |
| All Grades All Subjects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Approaches Grade Level or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above | 2019 | 78\% | 78\% | 88\% | 69\% | 82\% | 90\% | 70\% | 94\% | 67\% | 79\% | 55\% | 91\% | 89\% | 85\% | 77\% | 74\% |
|  | 2018 | 77\% | 77\% | 87\% | 69\% | 81\% | 89\% | 69\% | 95\% | 67\% | 83\% | 48\% | 84\% | 87\% | 85\% | 75\% | 74\% |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 50\% | 51\% | 64\% | 38\% | 53\% | 67\% | 33\% | 79\% | 11\% | 57\% | 31\% | 64\% | 65\% | 60\% | 45\% | 39\% |
|  | 2018 | 48\% | 49\% | 61\% | 35\% | 51\% | 64\% | 41\% | 78\% | 40\% | 62\% | 25\% | 53\% | 63\% | 59\% | 42\% | 36\% |
| At Masters Grade Level | 2019 | 24\% | 25\% | 32\% | 15\% | 24\% | 34\% | 8\% | 37\% | 11\% | 31\% | 14\% | 24\% | 33\% | 30\% | 17\% | 15\% |
|  | 2018 | 22\% | 23\% | 29\% | 14\% | 22\% | 31\% | 15\% | 41\% | 20\% | 31\% | 11\% | 29\% | 30\% | 27\% | 15\% | 12\% |
| All Grades ELA/Reading |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Approaches Grade Level or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above | 2019 | 75\% | 75\% | 85\% | 60\% | 80\% | 88\% | 61\% | 93\% | 83\% | 81\% | 50\% | 82\% | 86\% | 83\% | 74\% | 70\% |
|  | 2018 | 74\% | 75\% | 85\% | 63\% | 79\% | 88\% | 56\% | 92\% | 71\% | 81\% | 44\% | 79\% | 86\% | 85\% | 72\% | 72\% |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 48\% | 49\% | 61\% | 34\% | 52\% | 65\% | 18\% | 78\% | 17\% | 55\% | 27\% | 59\% | 63\% | 58\% | 43\% | 32\% |
|  | 2018 | 46\% | 47\% | 60\% | 29\% | 50\% | 63\% | 24\% | 75\% | 57\% | 60\% | 20\% | 51\% | 61\% | 58\% | 40\% | 33\% |
| At Masters Grade Level | 2019 | 21\% | 22\% | 28\% | 15\% | 21\% | 30\% | 0\% | 29\% | 17\% | 27\% | 10\% | 27\% | 28\% | 28\% | 15\% | 13\% |
|  | 2018 | 19\% | 21\% | 26\% | 11\% | 20\% | 28\% | 3\% | 35\% | 14\% | 28\% | 8\% | 30\% | 26\% | 26\% | 14\% | 9\% |


|  |  | State | $\begin{gathered} \text { Region } \\ 06 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | District | African American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Special } \\ & \text { Ed } \\ & \text { (Current) } \end{aligned}$ | Special Ed (Former) | Continuously Enrolled | Non- <br> Continuously Enrolled | $\begin{gathered} \text { Econ } \\ \text { Disadv } \end{gathered}$ | EL <br>  <br> Monitored) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Approaches Grade Level or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above | 2019 | 82\% | 82\% | 91\% | 76\% | 86\% | 93\% | 93\% | 92\% | 100\% | 81\% | 60\% | 97\% | 92\% | 89\% | 83\% | 82\% |
|  | 2018 | 81\% | 81\% | 91\% | 76\% | 86\% | 93\% | 74\% | 97\% | * | 86\% | 57\% | 93\% | 91\% | 90\% | 82\% | 82\% |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 52\% | 53\% | 68\% | 42\% | 58\% | 71\% | 43\% | 79\% | 0\% | 59\% | 36\% | 79\% | 70\% | 64\% | 50\% | 52\% |
|  | 2018 | 50\% | 51\% | 66\% | 41\% | 56\% | 69\% | 48\% | 82\% | * | 59\% | 31\% | 58\% | 67\% | 63\% | 48\% | 49\% |
| At Masters Grade Level | 2019 | 26\% | 28\% | 39\% | 15\% | 29\% | 42\% | 14\% | 54\% | 0\% | 36\% | 17\% | 30\% | 41\% | 36\% | 21\% | 21\% |
|  | 2018 | 24\% | 26\% | 35\% | 19\% | 27\% | 38\% | 26\% | 47\% | * | 35\% | 14\% | 33\% | 37\% | 33\% | 20\% | 21\% |
| All Grades Writing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Approaches Grade Level or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above | 2019 | 68\% | 68\% | 80\% | 50\% | 72\% | 83\% | * | 80\% | * | 62\% | 44\% | 95\% | 81\% | 77\% | 60\% | 60\% |
|  | 2018 | 66\% | 66\% | 77\% | 70\% | 69\% | 78\% | * | 92\% | - | 72\% | 26\% | 63\% | 77\% | 77\% | 61\% | 65\% |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 38\% | 38\% | 50\% | 16\% | 41\% | 53\% | * | 50\% | * | 40\% | 27\% | 57\% | 51\% | 47\% | 28\% | 32\% |
|  | 2018 | 41\% | 41\% | 52\% | 33\% | 44\% | 54\% | * | 69\% | - | 62\% | 20\% | 25\% | 50\% | 55\% | 32\% | 35\% |
| At Masters Grade Level | 2019 | 14\% | 14\% | 19\% | 6\% | 14\% | 21\% | * | 20\% | * | 12\% | 11\% | 14\% | 20\% | 16\% | 7\% | 10\% |
|  | 2018 | 13\% | 13\% | 16\% | 17\% | 13\% | 16\% | * | 23\% | - | 14\% | 9\% | 6\% | 15\% | 16\% | 5\% | 5\% |
| All Grades Science |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Approaches Grade Level or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above | 2019 | 81\% | 83\% | 90\% | 82\% | 82\% | 91\% | 86\% | 100\% | * | 85\% | 57\% | 100\% | 90\% | 88\% | 80\% | 75\% |
|  | 2018 | 80\% | 81\% | 87\% | 67\% | 78\% | 89\% | 85\% | 96\% | * | 86\% | 50\% | 89\% | 88\% | 83\% | 74\% | 67\% |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 54\% | 57\% | 65\% | 49\% | 48\% | 69\% | 57\% | 86\% | * | 69\% | 27\% | 50\% | 67\% | 63\% | 45\% | 33\% |
|  | 2018 | 51\% | 53\% | 61\% | 35\% | 46\% | 64\% | 46\% | 80\% | * | 72\% | 24\% | 61\% | 63\% | 55\% | 41\% | 23\% |
| At Masters Grade Level | 2019 | 25\% | 28\% | 28\% | 9\% | 19\% | 31\% | 0\% | 32\% | * | 35\% | 14\% | 11\% | 28\% | 28\% | 15\% | 10\% |
|  | 2018 | 23\% | 25\% | 28\% | 9\% | 18\% | 31\% | 23\% | 44\% | * | 28\% | 11\% | 28\% | 29\% | 26\% | 13\% | 7\% |
| All Grades Social Studies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Approaches Grade Level or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above | 2019 | 81\% | 82\% | 90\% | 76\% | 87\% | 91\% | 86\% | 100\% | * | 87\% | 66\% | 82\% | 91\% | 87\% | 82\% | 76\% |
|  | 2018 | 78\% | 80\% | 86\% | 73\% | 80\% | 88\% | 88\% | 100\% | * | 88\% | 49\% | 90\% | 88\% | 82\% | 72\% | 68\% |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 55\% | 58\% | 70\% | 49\% | 64\% | 71\% | 71\% | 88\% | * | 65\% | 39\% | 64\% | 72\% | 65\% | 55\% | 27\% |
|  | 2018 | 53\% | 56\% | 63\% | 35\% | 53\% | 65\% | 88\% | 80\% | * | 70\% | 30\% | 60\% | 66\% | 53\% | 43\% | 21\% |
| At Masters Grade Level | 2019 | 33\% | 37\% | 44\% | 30\% | 35\% | 45\% | 43\% | 44\% | * | 61\% | 19\% | 27\% | 45\% | 39\% | 28\% | 12\% |
|  | 2018 | 31\% | 34\% | 38\% | 19\% | 27\% | 40\% | 25\% | 53\% | * | 55\% | 13\% | 30\% | 40\% | 31\% | 20\% | 7\% |

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY <br> Texas Academic Performance Report <br> 2018-19 District Progress 

District Name: MONTGOMERY ISD
County Name: MONTGOMERY
District Number: 170903


School Progress Domain - Academic Growth Score by Grade and Subject

| Grade 4 ELA/Reading | 2019 | 61 | 62 | 64 | 46 | 47 | 69 | * | 40 | - | 45 | 59 | 83 | 68 | 57 | 49 | 46 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2018 | 63 | 64 | 58 | 44 | 55 | 59 | - | * | - | 58 | 58 | 40 | 59 | 58 | 49 | 48 |
| Grade 4 Mathematics | 2019 | 65 | 68 | 70 | 54 | 56 | 74 | * | 90 | * | 55 | 61 | 100 | 70 | 71 | 63 | 50 |
|  | 2018 | 65 | 66 | 59 | 45 | 63 | 59 | - | * | - | 67 | 44 | 80 | 61 | 56 | 51 | 66 |
| Grade 5 ELA/Reading | 2019 | 81 | 78 | 83 | 95 | 79 | 83 | - | * | - | 81 | 78 | 80 | 83 | 84 | 80 | 73 |
|  | 2018 | 80 | 76 | 78 | 76 | 81 | 78 | * | * | * | 75 | 67 | 83 | 80 | 76 | 77 | 74 |
| Grade 5 Mathematics | 2019 | 83 | 79 | 84 | 73 | 81 | 84 | - | * | - | 78 | 79 | 70 | 86 | 80 | 78 | 70 |
|  | 2018 | 81 | 78 | 77 | 78 | 72 | 78 | * | * | * | 75 | 74 | 72 | 77 | 77 | 75 | 68 |
| Grade 6 ELA/Reading | 2019 | 42 | 45 | 45 | 29 | 56 | 43 | * | 70 | * | 32 | 46 | 36 | 47 | 41 | 41 | 45 |
|  | 2018 | 47 | 47 | 49 | 22 | 56 | 49 | * | * | - | 39 | 56 | 50 | 49 | 47 | 45 | 59 |
| Grade 6 Mathematics | 2019 | 54 | 61 | 73 | 59 | 74 | 73 | * | 60 | * | 79 | 50 | 73 | 75 | 67 | 64 | 82 |
|  | 2018 | 56 | 61 | 68 | 53 | 70 | 69 | * | * | - | 58 | 52 | 86 | 66 | 72 | 59 | 63 |
| Grade 7 ELA/Reading | 2019 | 77 | 77 | 78 | 64 | 77 | 79 | * | * | - | 81 | 64 | 83 | 79 | 75 | 72 | 66 |
|  | 2018 | 76 | 73 | 75 | 74 | 68 | 77 | * | 88 | - | 64 | 71 | 100 | 75 | 76 | 69 | 85 |
| Grade 7 Mathematics | 2019 | 63 | 59 | 74 | 47 | 79 | 75 | * | * | - | 65 | 45 | 90 | 75 | 73 | 66 | 69 |
|  | 2018 | 67 | 65 | 71 | 54 | 76 | 71 | * | 100 | - | 78 | 67 | 79 | 71 | 72 | 67 | 88 |
| Grade 8 ELA/Reading | 2019 | 77 | 78 | 78 | 92 | 76 | 77 | * | 78 | - | 92 | 61 | 64 | 78 | 77 | 72 | 67 |
|  | 2018 | 79 | 79 | 80 | 78 | 82 | 80 | * | 100 | * | 71 | 74 | 86 | 81 | 78 | 79 | 72 |
| Grade 8 Mathematics | 2019 | 84 | 84 | 90 | 89 | 88 | 90 | * | 100 | - | 100 | 93 | 67 | 90 | 88 | 88 | 91 |
|  | 2018 | 81 | 80 | 83 | 85 | 83 | 83 | * | * | * | 82 | 56 | 81 | 83 | 82 | 79 | 81 |
| End of Course English II | 2019 | 69 | 69 | 74 | 75 | 75 | 74 | 80 | 79 | * | 68 | 77 | * | 75 | 73 | 70 | 82 |
|  | 2018 | 67 | 67 | 67 | 64 | 66 | 67 | * | * | * | 75 | 57 | - | 65 | 72 | 63 | 67 |
| End of Course Algebra I | 2019 | 75 | 71 | 73 | 54 | 67 | 76 | * | 83 | * | 69 | 43 | 80 | 74 | 70 | 61 | 61 |
|  | 2018 | 72 | 69 | 82 | 64 | 81 | 82 | 75 | 100 | - | 87 | 44 | * | 84 | 75 | 71 | 81 |
| All Grades Both Subjects | 2019 | 69 | 69 | 74 | 64 | 71 | 75 | 81 | 73 | 80 | 67 | 63 | 74 | 75 | 71 | 67 | 66 |
|  | 2018 | 69 | 69 | 71 | 66 | 71 | 71 | 60 | 81 | 42 | 68 | 60 | 75 | 71 | 70 | 66 | 71 |
| All Grades ELA/Reading | 2019 | 68 | 68 | 70 | 67 | 69 | 71 | 81 | 67 | * | 63 | 64 | 69 | 72 | 68 | 64 | 61 |
|  | 2018 | 69 | 68 | 68 | 64 | 68 | 69 | 58 | 80 | * | 62 | 64 | 71 | 68 | 68 | 64 | 68 |
| All Grades Mathematics | 2019 | 70 | 70 | 77 | 62 | 74 | 79 | 81 | 81 | * | 71 | 61 | 79 | 78 | 75 | 70 | 71 |
|  | 2018 | 70 | 70 | 74 | 67 | 74 | 74 | 63 | 82 | * | 73 | 55 | 79 | 75 | 72 | 67 | 73 |
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## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

|  | State | Region 06 | District | African <br> American | Hispanic | White | American $\qquad$ | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | Special $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Econ } \\ \text { Disadv } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | (Current) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2019 STAAR Participation <br> (All Grades) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Tests |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Assessment Participant | 99\% | 99\% | 98\% | 98\% | 98\% | 98\% | 97\% | 100\% | 90\% | 99\% | 97\% | 97\% | 99\% |
| Included in Accountability | 94\% | 94\% | 94\% | 90\% | 90\% | 95\% | 88\% | 97\% | 90\% | 94\% | 89\% | 90\% | 76\% |
| Not Included in Accountability |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobile | 4\% | 4\% | 3\% | 7\% | 6\% | 3\% | 9\% | 0\% | 0\% | 5\% | 6\% | 7\% | 15\% |
| Other Exclusions | 1\% | 1\% | 0\% | 1\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 3\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 8\% |
| Not Tested | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 3\% | 0\% | 10\% | 1\% | 3\% | 3\% | 1\% |
| Absent | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 3\% | 0\% | 10\% | 1\% | 3\% | 3\% | 1\% |
| Other | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |

## 2018 STAAR Participation

(All Grades)

| All Tests |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assessment Participant | 99\% | 99\% | 98\% | 98\% | 98\% | 97\% | 99\% | 99\% | 100\% | 97\% | 95\% | 98\% | 99\% |
| Included in Accountability | 94\% | 94\% | 94\% | 92\% | 94\% | 94\% | 95\% | 98\% | 100\% | 94\% | 90\% | 91\% | 93\% |
| Not Included in Accountability |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobile | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 5\% | 3\% | 3\% | 2\% | 1\% | 0\% | 3\% | 4\% | 6\% | 3\% |
| Other Exclusions | 1\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 3\% |
| Not Tested | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 3\% | 1\% | 1\% | 0\% | 3\% | 5\% | 2\% | 1\% |
| Absent | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 3\% | 1\% | 1\% | 0\% | 3\% | 5\% | 2\% | 1\% |
| Other | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

District Name: MONTGOMERY ISD
County Name: MONTGOMERY
District Number: 170903

|  | State | Region 06 | District | African American | Hispanic | White | American $\qquad$ | Asian | Pacific <br> Islander | Two or More Races | Special $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Econ } \\ \text { Disadv } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | (Current) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Attendance Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 95.4\% | 95.8\% | 95.2\% | 95.5\% | 95.6\% | 95.2\% | 94.5\% | 97.1\% | 92.8\% | 95.0\% | 94.0\% | 93.9\% | 95.9\% |
| 2016-17 | 95.7\% | 96.0\% | 95.3\% | 95.8\% | 95.9\% | 95.2\% | 95.2\% | 96.8\% | 96.5\% | 95.7\% | 94.3\% | 94.4\% | 96.6\% |
| Annual Dropout Rate (Gr 7-8) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 0.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 2016-17 | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 1.0\% | 4.8\% |
| Annual Dropout Rate (Gr 9-12) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 1.9\% | 1.6\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% |
| 2016-17 | 1.9\% | 1.3\% | 0.6\% | 1.2\% | 0.6\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% |
| 4-Year Longitudinal Rate (Gr 9-12) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Class of 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Graduated | 90.0\% | 91.5\% | 97.5\% | 100.0\% | 97.5\% | 97.2\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | * | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 92.7\% | * |
| Received TxCHSE | 0.4\% | 0.9\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * |
| Continued HS | 3.8\% | 2.8\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.7\% | * |
| Dropped Out | 5.7\% | 4.7\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.5\% | * |
| Graduates and TxCHSE | 90.4\% | 92.4\% | 98.0\% | 100.0\% | 97.5\% | 97.8\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | * | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 92.7\% | * |
| Graduates, TxCHSE, and Continuers | 94.3\% | 95.3\% | 98.4\% | 100.0\% | 98.8\% | 98.2\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | * | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 95.5\% | * |
| Class of 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Graduated | 89.7\% | 91.4\% | 93.8\% | 95.5\% | 90.5\% | 94.4\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | - | 66.7\% | 93.5\% | 87.4\% | * |
| Received TxCHSE | 0.4\% | 1.1\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 3.2\% | 2.9\% | * |
| Continued HS | 4.0\% | 3.1\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 3.2\% | 2.9\% | * |
| Dropped Out | 5.9\% | 4.4\% | 3.3\% | 4.5\% | 6.8\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | - | 33.3\% | 0.0\% | 6.8\% | * |
| Graduates and TxCHSE | 90.1\% | 92.5\% | 95.5\% | 95.5\% | 91.9\% | 96.3\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | - | 66.7\% | 96.8\% | 90.3\% | * |
| Graduates, TxCHSE, and Continuers | 94.1\% | 95.6\% | 96.7\% | 95.5\% | 93.2\% | 97.6\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | - | 66.7\% | 100.0\% | 93.2\% | * |
| 5-Year Extended Longitudinal Rate (Gr 9-12) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Class of 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Graduated | 92.0\% | 93.3\% | 94.8\% | 95.5\% | 93.2\% | 95.3\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | - | 66.7\% | 93.8\% | 89.4\% | * |
| Received TxCHSE | 0.6\% | 1.3\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 3.1\% | 3.8\% | * |
| Continued HS | 1.1\% | 0.6\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 3.1\% | 1.0\% | * |
| Dropped Out | 6.3\% | 4.8\% | 2.9\% | 4.5\% | 5.5\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | - | 33.3\% | 0.0\% | 5.8\% | * |
| Graduates and TxCHSE | 92.6\% | 94.6\% | 96.7\% | 95.5\% | 94.5\% | 97.4\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | - | 66.7\% | 96.9\% | 93.3\% | * |
| Graduates, TxCHSE, and Continuers | 93.7\% | 95.2\% | 97.1\% | 95.5\% | 94.5\% | 97.9\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | - | 66.7\% | 100.0\% | 94.2\% | * |
| Class of 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Graduated | 91.6\% | 92.1\% | 96.0\% | 90.0\% | 96.8\% | 95.9\% | * | 100.0\% | * | 100.0\% | 92.5\% | 91.3\% | * |
| Received TxCHSE | 0.7\% | 1.5\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | * | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | * |
| Continued HS | 1.2\% | 0.8\% | 0.2\% | 5.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | * |
| Dropped Out | 6.6\% | 5.5\% | 1.8\% | 5.0\% | 3.2\% | 1.6\% | * | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 7.5\% | 5.4\% | * |
| Graduates and TxCHSE Graduates, TxCHSE, | 92.2\% | 93.6\% | 98.0\% | 90.0\% | 96.8\% | 98.4\% | * | 100.0\% | * | 100.0\% | 92.5\% | 93.5\% | * |
| and Continuers | 93.4\% | 94.5\% | 98.2\% | 95.0\% | 96.8\% | 98.4\% | * | 100.0\% | * | 100.0\% | 92.5\% | 94.6\% | * |
| 6-Year Extended Longitudinal Rate (Gr 9-12) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Graduated | 92.1\% | 92.6\% | 96.2\% | 95.0\% | 96.8\% | 95.9\% | * | 100.0\% | * | 100.0\% | 92.5\% | 92.4\% | * |

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

## Texas Academic Performance Report

County Name: MONTGOMERY
2018-19 District Attendance, Graduation, and Dropout Rates
District Number: 170903

|  | State | Region 06 | District | African <br> American | Hispanic | White | American $\qquad$ | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | Special $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Econ } \\ \text { Disadv } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | (Current) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Received TxCHSE | 0.8\% | 1.7\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | * | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% |  |
| Continued HS | 0.5\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * |
| Dropped Out | 6.6\% | 5.5\% | 1.8\% | 5.0\% | 3.2\% | 1.6\% | * | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 7.5\% | 5.4\% | * |
| Graduates and TxCHSE | 92.9\% | 94.3\% | 98.2\% | 95.0\% | 96.8\% | 98.4\% | * | 100.0\% | * | 100.0\% | 92.5\% | 94.6\% | * |
| Graduates, TxCHSE, and Continuers | 93.4\% | 94.5\% | 98.2\% | 95.0\% | 96.8\% | 98.4\% | * | 100.0\% | * | 100.0\% | 92.5\% | 94.6\% | * |
| Class of 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Graduated | 91.8\% | 92.9\% | 93.1\% | 85.7\% | 95.6\% | 92.9\% | * | * | * | 100.0\% | 87.1\% | 83.7\% | - |
| Received TxCHSE | 1.0\% | 1.7\% | 3.0\% | 4.8\% | 2.2\% | 3.2\% | * | * | * | 0.0\% | 6.5\% | 8.1\% | - |
| Continued HS | 0.6\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | * | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | - |
| Dropped Out | 6.7\% | 5.0\% | 3.9\% | 9.5\% | 2.2\% | 3.9\% | * | * | * | 0.0\% | 6.5\% | 8.1\% | - |
| Graduates and TxCHSE | 92.8\% | 94.7\% | 96.1\% | 90.5\% | 97.8\% | 96.1\% | * | * | * | 100.0\% | 93.5\% | 91.9\% | - |
| Graduates, TxCHSE, and Continuers | 93.3\% | 95.0\% | 96.1\% | 90.5\% | 97.8\% | 96.1\% | * | * | * | 100.0\% | 93.5\% | 91.9\% | - |
| 4-Year Federal Graduation Rate Without Exclusions (Gr 9-12) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Class of 2018 | 90.0\% | 91.5\% | 96.9\% | 100.0\% | 97.5\% | 96.5\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | * | 100.0\% | 97.5\% | 92.0\% | * |
| Class of 2017 | 89.7\% | 91.4\% | 92.5\% | 95.5\% | 88.2\% | 93.2\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | - | 66.7\% | 85.3\% | 84.1\% | * |
| RHSP/DAP Graduates (Longitudinal Rate) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Class of 2018 | 68.5\% | 49.4\% | * | - | * | - | - | - | - | - | - | * | - |
| Class of 2017 | 88.5\% | 87.1\% | 83.8\% | 65.0\% | 74.6\% | 85.9\% | 80.0\% | 100.0\% | - | * | 34.5\% | 69.0\% | * |
| FHSP-E Graduates (Longitudinal Rate) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Class of 2018 | 5.0\% | 3.8\% | 10.7\% | 19.0\% | 13.0\% | 10.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 19.0\% | * |
| Class of 2017 | 6.0\% | 5.7\% | 33.3\% | * | - | 38.5\% | * | - | - | - | - | * | - |
| FHSP-DLA Graduates (Longitudinal Rate) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Class of 2018 | 82.0\% | 86.7\% | 83.8\% | 61.9\% | 84.4\% | 84.4\% | 100.0\% | 90.0\% | * | 88.9\% | 32.4\% | 69.0\% | * |
| Class of 2017 | 60.8\% | 80.8\% | 66.7\% | * | - | 61.5\% | * | - | - | - | - | * | - |
| RHSP/DAP/FHSP-E/FHSP-DLA Graduates (Longitudinal Rate) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Class of 2018 | 86.8\% | 90.3\% | 94.3\% | 81.0\% | 96.2\% | 94.9\% | 100.0\% | 90.0\% | * | 88.9\% | 43.2\% | 87.1\% | * |
| Class of 2017 | 85.9\% | 87.0\% | 84.3\% | 66.7\% | 74.6\% | 86.3\% | 83.3\% | 100.0\% | - | * | 34.5\% | 70.0\% | * |
| RHSP/DAP Graduates (Annual Rate) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 37.7\% | 18.9\% | 50.0\% | * | - | 40.0\% | - | - | - | - | * | * | - |
| 2016-17 | 87.2\% | 86.1\% | 82.6\% | 65.0\% | 72.7\% | 85.1\% | 80.0\% | 83.3\% | - | 80.0\% | 33.3\% | 66.7\% | 40.0\% |
| FHSP-E Graduates (Annual Rate) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 4.9\% | 3.9\% | 11.0\% | 19.0\% | 13.6\% | 10.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 10.5\% | 21.8\% | * |
| 2016-17 | 7.2\% | 5.8\% | 20.8\% | * | - | 22.7\% | * | - | - | - | - | * | - |
| FHSP-DLA Graduates (Annual Rate) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 81.5\% | 86.3\% | 83.4\% | 61.9\% | 84.0\% | 83.9\% | 100.0\% | 90.0\% | * | 88.9\% | 31.6\% | 65.5\% | * |
| 2016-17 | 56.5\% | 76.4\% | 79.2\% | * | - | 77.3\% | * | - | - | - | - | * | - |
| RHSP/DAP/FHSP-E/FHSP-DLA Graduates (Annual Rate) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 85.1\% | 88.8\% | 93.9\% | 81.8\% | 97.5\% | 94.2\% | 100.0\% | 90.0\% | * | 88.9\% | 41.0\% | 85.7\% | * |
| 2016-17 | 84.0\% | 85.3\% | 83.4\% | 66.7\% | 72.7\% | 85.8\% | 83.3\% | 83.3\% | - | 80.0\% | 33.3\% | 67.5\% | 40.0\% |

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

 District Number: 170903
## Texas Academic Performance Report

2018-19 District Graduation Profile

|  | District <br> Count | District <br> Percent | State <br> Count | State <br> Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Graduates (2017-18 Annual Graduates) | 627 | $100.0 \%$ | 347,893 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Total Graduates |  |  |  |  |
| By Ethnicity: | 22 | $3.5 \%$ | 43,502 | $12.5 \%$ |
| African American | 81 | $12.9 \%$ | 173,272 | $49.8 \%$ |
| Hispanic | 499 | $79.6 \%$ | 107,052 | $30.8 \%$ |
| White | 5 | $0.8 \%$ | 1,226 | $0.4 \%$ |
| American Indian | 10 | $1.6 \%$ | 15,589 | $4.5 \%$ |
| Asian | 1 | $0.2 \%$ | 528 | $0.2 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander | 9 | $1.4 \%$ | 6,724 | $1.9 \%$ |
| Two or More Races |  |  |  |  |
| By Graduation Type: |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum H.S. Program | 3 | $0.5 \%$ | 5,855 | $1.7 \%$ |
| Recommended H.S. Program/Distinguished Achievement Program | 3 | $0.5 \%$ | 3,538 | $1.0 \%$ |
| Foundation H.S. Program (No Endorsement) | 37 | $5.9 \%$ | 49,432 | $14.2 \%$ |
| Foundation H.S. Program (Endorsement) | 68 | $10.8 \%$ | 16,542 | $4.8 \%$ |
| Foundation H.S. Program (DLA) | 516 | $82.3 \%$ | 272,526 | $78.3 \%$ |
| Special Education Graduates |  |  |  |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged Graduates | 41 | $6.5 \%$ | 25,962 | $7.5 \%$ |
| LEP Graduates | 92 | $14.7 \%$ | 166,956 | $48.0 \%$ |
| At-Risk Graduates | 4 | $0.6 \%$ | 21,359 | $6.1 \%$ |
|  | 171 | $27.3 \%$ | 144,805 | $41.6 \%$ |

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

District Name: MONTGOMERY ISD

## Texas Academic Performance Report

2018-19 District College, Career, and Military Readiness (CCMR)

County Name: MONTGOMERY
College, Career, and Military R
College, Career, or Military R
$2017-18$
College Ready (Annual Graduates)
2017-18
50.0\%

TSI Criteria Graduates (Annual Graduates)
English Language Arts

| 2017-18 | $58.2 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Mathematics |  |
| 2017-18 |  |
| Both Subjects <br> $2017-18$ | $46.0 \%$ |
|  | $42.1 \%$ |

Dual Course Credits (Annual Graduates)
Any Subject

| .0\% | 50.3\% | 59.3\% | 40.9\% | 53.1\% | 61.1\% | 60.0\% | 90.0\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| .2\% | 61.9\% | 71.6\% | 59.1\% | 70.4\% | 72.5\% | 60.0\% | 90.0\% |
| .0\% | 47.9\% | 58.7\% | 40.9\% | 54.3\% | 60.1\% | 60.0\% | 90.0\% |
| .1\% | 45.5\% | 57.7\% | 40.9\% | 51.9\% | 59.3\% | 60.0\% | 90.0\% |

AP/IB Met Criteria in Any Subject (Annual Graduates) Any Subject
$2017-18$

21.8\%

| $2017-18$ | $20.4 \%$ | $19.4 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2016-17$ | $20.1 \%$ | $19.8 \%$ |

$\begin{array}{lr}\text { Associate's Degree } \\ \text { Associate's Degree (Annual Graduates) } \\ 2017-18 & 1.4 \% \\ 2016-17 & 0.8 \%\end{array}$
OnRamps Course Credits (Annual Graduates)
2017-18
1.0\%

| $0.8 \%$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 \%}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $0.4 \%$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 \%}$ |

Career/Military Ready Graduates


## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

## Texas Academic Performance Report

District Name: MONTGOMERY ISD County Name: MONTGOMERY District Number: 170903


|  | State | Region 06 | District | African American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | Special $\qquad$ | Econ Disadv | $\begin{array}{r} \text { EL } \\ \text { (Current) } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reading |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 32.1\% | 34.9\% | 50.4\% | 27.3\% | 54.3\% | 51.3\% | 0.0\% | 60.0\% | * | 44.4\% | 19.5\% | 35.9\% | * |
| 2016-17 | 23.4\% | 23.3\% | 32.3\% | 4.8\% | 31.8\% | 33.9\% | 0.0\% | 50.0\% | - | 40.0\% | 16.7\% | 19.3\% | 0.0\% |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 23.7\% | 23.8\% | 38.1\% | 22.7\% | 34.6\% | 39.7\% | 0.0\% | 60.0\% | * | 22.2\% | 4.9\% | 25.0\% | * |
| 2016-17 | 19.8\% | 19.3\% | 27.2\% | 14.3\% | 24.2\% | 28.4\% | 0.0\% | 50.0\% | - | 20.0\% | 3.3\% | 15.7\% | 0.0\% |
| Both Subjects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 18.1\% | 19.7\% | 36.4\% | 22.7\% | 33.3\% | 37.7\% | 0.0\% | 60.0\% | * | 22.2\% | 4.9\% | 22.8\% | * |
| 2016-17 | 12.9\% | 13.5\% | 22.0\% | 4.8\% | 21.2\% | 22.9\% | 0.0\% | 50.0\% | - | 20.0\% | 3.3\% | 12.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 58.4\% | 50.9\% | 86.8\% | 81.8\% | 86.4\% | 87.2\% | 80.0\% | 80.0\% | * | 88.9\% | 82.9\% | 85.9\% | * |
| 2016-17 | 50.5\% | 41.2\% | 83.9\% | 71.4\% | 84.8\% | 84.4\% | 83.3\% | 83.3\% | - | 80.0\% | 90.0\% | 80.7\% | 60.0\% |
| Completed and Received Credit for College Prep Courses (Annual Graduates) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| English Language Arts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 2.0\% | 6.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * |
| 2016-17 | 0.8\% | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 3.9\% | 5.7\% | 9.9\% | 0.0\% | 7.4\% | 11.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.3\% | * |
| 2016-17 | 1.4\% | 3.9\% | 7.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.5\% | 7.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | - | 40.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.8\% | 0.0\% |
| Both Subjects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 0.9\% | 2.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * |
| 2016-17 | 0.2\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| AP/IB Results (Participation) (Grades 11-12) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Subjects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 25.8\% | 23.1\% | 22.8\% | 9.5\% | 25.5\% | 22.5\% | 10.0\% | 57.9\% | * | 18.5\% | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 12.0\% | n/a |
| 2017 | 26.2\% | 23.3\% | 22.7\% | 28.6\% | 21.7\% | 22.1\% | 45.5\% | 50.0\% | * | 14.3\% | n/a | 11.0\% | n/a |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 15.3\% | 11.1\% | 9.1\% | 2.4\% | 7.5\% | 9.3\% | 0.0\% | 31.6\% | * | 7.4\% | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 4.7\% | n/a |
| 2017 | 15.9\% | 10.8\% | 7.2\% | 4.8\% | 3.8\% | 7.4\% | 9.1\% | 31.3\% | * | 7.1\% | n/a | 2.2\% | n/a |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 7.3\% | 7.7\% | 4.6\% | 2.4\% | 5.0\% | 4.2\% | 0.0\% | 31.6\% | * | 3.7\% | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 2.1\% | n/a |
| 2017 | 7.2\% | 7.7\% | 4.8\% | 11.9\% | 3.2\% | 4.8\% | 0.0\% | 6.3\% | * | 7.1\% | n/a | 1.3\% | n/a |
| Science |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 10.8\% | 10.2\% | 10.4\% | 7.1\% | 11.2\% | 10.2\% | 0.0\% | 26.3\% | * | 11.1\% | n/a | 6.4\% | n/a |
| 2017 | 10.9\% | 10.1\% | 8.4\% | 7.1\% | 8.3\% | 8.0\% | 9.1\% | 31.3\% | * | 14.3\% | n/a | 3.5\% | n/a |
| Social Studies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 14.5\% | 12.5\% | 16.3\% | 2.4\% | 18.0\% | 16.3\% | 0.0\% | 47.4\% | * | 11.1\% | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 7.3\% | n/a |
| 2017 | 15.0\% | 13.4\% | 17.4\% | 19.0\% | 16.6\% | 17.1\% | 36.4\% | 37.5\% | * | 7.1\% | n/a | 6.6\% | n/a |
| AP/IB Results (Examinees >= Criterion) (Grades 11-12) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Subjects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 50.7\% | 57.8\% | 59.5\% | * | 51.2\% | 60.8\% | * | 54.5\% | - | 60.0\% | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 42.9\% | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| 2017 | 49.1\% | 57.0\% | 47.1\% | 58.3\% | 32.4\% | 48.8\% | 20.0\% | 50.0\% | - | * | n/a | 20.0\% | n/a |
| English Language Arts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 42.5\% | 53.2\% | 68.1\% | * | 66.7\% | 70.7\% | - | 50.0\% | - | * | n/a | 45.5\% | n/a |
| 2017 | 41.3\% | 49.9\% | 59.1\% | * | 50.0\% | 61.6\% | * | 80.0\% | - | * | n/a | 20.0\% | n/a |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 52.8\% | 59.5\% | 77.2\% | * | 50.0\% | 80.5\% | - | 83.3\% | - | * | n/a | 40.0\% | n/a |

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

## Texas Academic Performance Report 2018-19 District CCMR-Related Indicators

District Name: MONTGOMERY ISD
County Name: MONTGOMERY
District Number: 170903

|  | State | Region 06 | District | African American | Hispanic | White | American $\qquad$ | Asian | Pacific <br> Islander | Two or More Races | Special $\qquad$ | Econ Disadv | (Current) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 51.3\% | 60.4\% | 71.2\% | 80.0\% | 60.0\% | 70.2\% | - | * | - | * | n/a | * | n/a |
| Science |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 38.0\% | 50.3\% | 59.2\% | * | 50.0\% | 62.4\% | - | 40.0\% | - | * | n/a | 46.7\% | n/a |
| 2017 | 38.3\% | 49.6\% | 45.6\% | * | 30.8\% | 46.8\% | * | 60.0\% | - | * | n/a | 12.5\% | n/a |
| Social Studies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 44.6\% | 55.5\% | 47.3\% | * | 27.6\% | 50.3\% | - | 55.6\% | - | * | n/a | 29.4\% | n/a |
| 2017 | 41.4\% | 50.4\% | 36.2\% | 50.0\% | 15.4\% | 38.7\% | * | 50.0\% | - | * | n/a | 13.3\% | n/a |
| SAT/ACT Results (Annual Graduates) *** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tested |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 74.6\% | 61.2\% | 68.7\% | 59.1\% | 67.9\% | 69.9\% | 80.0\% | 60.0\% | * | 44.4\% | n/a | 46.3\% | n/a |
| 2016-17 | 73.5\% | 60.0\% | 65.4\% | 61.9\% | 60.6\% | 66.4\% | 66.7\% | 50.0\% | - | 80.0\% | n/a | 40.7\% | n/a |
| At/Above Criterion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 37.9\% | 51.0\% | 61.5\% | 38.5\% | 58.2\% | 61.9\% | * | 100.0\% | - | * | n/a | 50.0\% | n/a |
| Average SAT Score (Annual Graduates) *** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Subjects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 1036 | 1097 | 1131 | 1062 | 1075 | 1136 | * | 1340 | - | * | n/a | 1090 | n/a |
| English Language Arts and Writing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 521 | 553 | 569 | 537 | 549 | 572 | * | 664 | - | * | n/a | 556 | n/a |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 515 | 545 | 561 | 525 | 526 | 564 | * | 676 | - | * | n/a | 534 | n/a |
| Average ACT Score (Annual Graduates) *** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Subjects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 20.6 | 22.4 | 24.0 | 20.2 | 22.4 | 24.3 | * | * | - | * | n/a | 22.7 | n/a |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 20.3 | 22.1 | 24.1 | 19.9 | 22.6 | 24.4 | * | * | - | * | n/a | 22.7 | n/a |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 20.6 | 22.2 | 23.6 | 19.9 | 21.7 | 24.0 | * | * | - | * | n/a | 22.2 | n/a |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 20.9 | 22.6 | 23.6 | 20.2 | 22.2 | 23.9 | * | * | - | * | n/a | 22.1 | n/a |
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## Texas Academic Performance Report <br> 2018-19 District Student Information

County Name: MONTGOMERY

| Student Information | Count | Percent | Count | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Students | 8,837 | 100.0\% | 5,416,400 | 100.0\% |
| Students by Grade: |  |  |  |  |
| Early Childhood Education | 27 | 0.3\% | 15,122 | 0.3\% |
| Pre-Kindergarten | 170 | 1.9\% | 238,810 | 4.4\% |
| Kindergarten | 547 | 6.2\% | 373,435 | 6.9\% |
| Grade 1 | 647 | 7.3\% | 386,567 | 7.1\% |
| Grade 2 | 627 | 7.1\% | 387,490 | 7.2\% |
| Grade 3 | 593 | 6.7\% | 395,637 | 7.3\% |
| Grade 4 | 634 | 7.2\% | 411,805 | 7.6\% |
| Grade 5 | 672 | 7.6\% | 417,388 | 7.7\% |
| Grade 6 | 741 | 8.4\% | 417,587 | 7.7\% |
| Grade 7 | 721 | 8.2\% | 406,716 | 7.5\% |
| Grade 8 | 770 | 8.7\% | 404,933 | 7.5\% |
| Grade 9 | 746 | 8.4\% | 436,449 | 8.1\% |
| Grade 10 | 698 | 7.9\% | 400,571 | 7.4\% |
| Grade 11 | 657 | 7.4\% | 372,899 | 6.9\% |
| Grade 12 | 587 | 6.6\% | 350,991 | 6.5\% |
| Ethnic Distribution: |  |  |  |  |
| African American | 242 | 2.7\% | 684,349 | 12.6\% |
| Hispanic | 1,365 | 15.4\% | 2,847,629 | 52.6\% |
| White | 6,834 | 77.3\% | 1,484,069 | 27.4\% |
| American Indian | 35 | 0.4\% | 20,362 | 0.4\% |
| Asian | 90 | 1.0\% | 242,247 | 4.5\% |
| Pacific Islander | 10 | 0.1\% | 8,254 | 0.2\% |
| Two or More Races | 261 | 3.0\% | 129,490 | 2.4\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 2,239 | 25.3\% | 3,283,812 | 60.6\% |
| Non-Educationally Disadvantaged | 6,598 | 74.7\% | 2,132,588 | 39.4\% |
| Section 504 Students | 907 | 10.3\% | 354,440 | 6.5\% |
| English Learners (EL) | 199 | 2.3\% | 1,054,596 | 19.5\% |
| Students w/ Disciplinary Placements (2017-18) | 92 | 1.0\% | 75,963 | 1.4\% |
| Students w/ Dyslexia | 389 | 4.4\% | 194,074 | 3.6\% |
| At-Risk | 2,690 | 30.4\% | 2,713,848 | 50.1\% |
| Students with Disabilities by Type of Primary Disability: |  |  |  |  |
| Total Students with Disabilities | 653 |  | 521,908 |  |
| By Type of Primary Disability |  |  |  |  |
| Students with Intellectual Disabilities | 245 | 37.5\% | 221,426 | 42.4\% |
| Students with Physical Disabilities | 160 | 24.5\% | 114,118 | 21.9\% |
| Students with Autism | 91 | 13.9\% | 71,373 | 13.7\% |
| Students with Behavioral Disabilities | 149 | 22.8\% | 107,604 | 20.6\% |
| Students with Non-Categorical Early Childhood | 8 | 1.2\% | 7,387 | 1.4\% |

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

## Texas Academic Performance Report <br> 2018-19 District Student Information

| Student Information | - Non-Special Ed District | Rates State | - Special Ed District | Rates - <br> State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Retention Rates by Grade: |  |  |  |  |
| Kindergarten | 1.7\% | 1.7\% | 14.6\% | 6.2\% |
| Grade 1 | 3.3\% | 3.1\% | 1.9\% | 5.5\% |
| Grade 2 | 1.9\% | 1.8\% | 2.5\% | 2.3\% |
| Grade 3 | 0.7\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% |
| Grade 4 | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% |
| Grade 5 | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% |
| Grade 6 | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% |
| Grade 7 | 0.1\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% |
| Grade 8 | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% |
| Grade 9 | 3.1\% | 7.2\% | 6.7\% | 12.7\% |
|  | ---------- District ---------- |  | ------------ State ----------- |  |
|  |  |  | Count | Percent |
| Data Quality: |  |  |  |  |
| Underreported Students | 9 | 0.2\% | 6,321 | 0.3\% |
| Class Size Information |  | District |  | State |

Class Size Averages by Grade and Subject
(Derived from teacher responsibility records):

| Elementary: |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Kindergarten | 17.9 |  |
| Grade 1 | 19.0 | 18.8 |
| Grade 2 | 19.3 | 18.7 |
| Grade 3 | 17.8 | 18.9 |
| Grade 4 | 17.9 | 19.2 |
| Grade 5 | 22.9 | 20.2 |
| Grade 6 | 23.5 |  |
|  |  | 16.6 |
| Secondary: |  | 18.9 |
| English/Language Arts | 18.6 | 17.9 |
| Foreign Languages | 18.9 | 18.8 |
| Sathematics | 21.9 | 19.3 |

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 

## Texas Academic Performance Report

## 2018-19 District Staff Information

District Number: 170903

| Staff Information | Count | Percent | Count | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Staff | 1,055.2 | 100.0\% | 719,502.5 | 100.0\% |
| Professional Staff: | 704.4 | 66.8\% | 461,380.1 | 64.1\% |
| Teachers | 584.7 | 55.4\% | 358,450.1 | 49.8\% |
| Professional Support | 83.7 | 7.9\% | 72,848.5 | 10.1\% |
| Campus Administration (School Leadership) | 27.0 | 2.6\% | 21,812.7 | 3.0\% |
| Central Administration | 9.0 | 0.9\% | 8,268.8 | 1.1\% |
| Educational Aides: | 86.1 | 8.2\% | 74,292.4 | 10.3\% |
| Auxiliary Staff: | 264.7 | 25.1\% | 183,830.1 | 25.5\% |
| Librarians \& Counselors (Headcount): |  |  |  |  |
| Librarians |  |  |  |  |
| Full-time | 4.0 | n/a | 4,414.0 | n/a |
| Part-time | 2.0 | n/a | 572.0 | n/a |
| Counselors |  |  |  |  |
| Full-time | 20.0 | n/a | 12,433.0 | n/a |
| Part-time | 0.0 | n/a | 1,097.0 | n/a |
| Total Minority Staff: | 125.2 | 11.9\% | 362,803.7 | 50.4\% |
| Teachers by Ethnicity and Sex: |  |  |  |  |
| African American | 8.0 | 1.4\% | 37,875.6 | 10.6\% |
| Hispanic | 35.0 | 6.0\% | 99,261.7 | 27.7\% |
| White | 537.7 | 92.0\% | 209,288.6 | 58.4\% |
| American Indian | 1.0 | 0.2\% | 1,236.1 | 0.3\% |
| Asian | 1.0 | 0.2\% | 6,037.0 | 1.7\% |
| Pacific Islander | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 676.7 | 0.2\% |
| Two or More Races | 2.0 | 0.3\% | 4,074.5 | 1.1\% |
| Males | 101.1 | 17.3\% | 85,138.1 | 23.8\% |
| Females | 483.6 | 82.7\% | 273,312.0 | 76.2\% |
| Teachers by Highest Degree Held: |  |  |  |  |
| No Degree | 7.8 | 1.3\% | 4,932.1 | 1.4\% |
| Bachelors | 433.5 | 74.1\% | 263,991.5 | 73.6\% |
| Masters | 139.5 | 23.9\% | 87,059.6 | 24.3\% |
| Doctorate | 4.0 | 0.7\% | 2,466.8 | 0.7\% |
| Teachers by Years of Experience: |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning Teachers | 23.3 | 4.0\% | 24,953.3 | 7.0\% |
| 1-5 Years Experience | 100.9 | 17.3\% | 103,762.4 | 28.9\% |
| 6-10 Years Experience | 110.0 | 18.8\% | 68,136.0 | 19.0\% |
| 11-20 Years Experience | 221.3 | 37.8\% | 105,158.7 | 29.3\% |
| Over 20 Years Experience | 129.1 | 22.1\% | 56,439.7 | 15.7\% |
| Number of Students per Teacher | 15.1 | n/a | 15.1 | n/a |

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

District Name: MONTGOMERY ISD

## Texas Academic Performance Report

 2018-19 District Staff Information
## County Name: MONTGOMERY

Experience of Campus Leadership:Average Years Experience of Principals
Average Years Experience of Principals with District 4.1Average Years Experience of Assistant Principals
5.3
Average Years Experience of Assistant Principals with District ..... 5.3
13.6
Average Years Experience of Teachers:
Average Years Experience of Teachers with District:\$45,948
Average Teacher Salary by Years of Experience (regular duties only):Beginning Teachers\$51,962
1-5 Years Experience
6-10 Years Experience
11-20 Years Experience
Over 20 Years Experience
Teachers
\$54,468\$56,934\$64,178\$83,903\$134,59967.8\%

Average Actual Salaries (regular duties only):Teachers
Professional SupportCampus Administration (School Leadership)Central Administration
Staff Exclusions:
Shared Services Arrangement Staff:Professional Staff0.00.0
Auxiliary Staff ..... 0.0
Contracted Instructional Staff ..... 6.0
6.3 6.3
4.15.411.1\$47,218

    \$57,483
    
    \$63,962
    \$56,041
\$63,962 ..... \$62,039\$54,122
$\$ 64,069$
$\$ 78,947$\$103,400

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

District Name: MONTGOMERY ISD

## Texas Academic Performance Report

County Name: MONTGOMERY
District Number: 170903

| Program Information | Count | Percent | Count | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student Enrollment by Program: |  |  |  |  |
| Bilingual/ESL Education | 280 | 3.2\% | 1,066,099 | 19.7\% |
| Career \& Technical Education | 2,551 | 28.9\% | 1,424,391 | 26.3\% |
| Gifted \& Talented Education | 627 | 7.1\% | 436,361 | 8.1\% |
| Special Education | 653 | 7.4\% | 521,908 | 9.6\% |
| Teachers by Program (population served): |  |  |  |  |
| Bilingual/ESL Education | 0.8 | 0.1\% | 23,092.5 | 6.4\% |
| Career \& Technical Education | 33.1 | 5.7\% | 17,483.0 | 4.9\% |
| Compensatory Education | 24.7 | 4.2\% | 9,548.1 | 2.7\% |
| Gifted \& Talented Education | 0.2 | 0.0\% | 7,164.0 | 2.0\% |
| Regular Education | 450.7 | 77.1\% | 255,885.2 | 71.4\% |
| Special Education | 75.3 | 12.9\% | 32,449.2 | 9.1\% |
| Other | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 12,828.0 | 3.6\% |

' 1 ' Indicates that rates for reading and mathematics are based on the cumulative results from the first and second administrations of STAAR.
'*' Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
'**' When only one student disability group is masked, then the second smallest student disability group is masked regardless of size.
${ }^{\prime * * * ' ~ D u e ~ t o ~ c h a n g e s ~ i n ~ t h e ~ e v a l u a t i o n ~ o f ~ S A T / A C T ~ r e s u l t s ~(f o r ~ 2017-18 ~ t h e ~ b e s t ~ r e s u l t ~ w a s ~ u s e d, ~ r a t h e r ~ t h a n ~ t h e ~ m o s t ~ r e c e n t), ~ 2016-17 ~ S A T / A C T ~ r e s u l t s ~ a r e ~ n o t ~}$ comparable and, where applicable, are not shown.
'-' Indicates there are no students in the group.
' $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ ' Indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.
'?' Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable or were reported outside a reasonable range.

Link to: PEIMS Financial Standard Reports 2017-18 Financial Actual Report
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2018-19 Campus TAPR Links

Montgomery High School Lake Creek High School
Montgomery Junior High School
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Stewart Creek Elementary School
Lone Star Elementary School
Madeley Ranch Elementary School
Keenan Elementary School Lincoln Elementary School
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## STAAR Performance Rates by Tested Grade, Subject, and Performance Level

| End of Course English I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| At Approaches Grade Level or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above | 2019 | 68\% | 78\% | 75\% | 58\% | 79\% | 75\% | * | * | * | 75\% | 32\% | 70\% | 75\% | 75\% | 60\% | 70\% |
|  | 2018 | 65\% | 78\% | 78\% | 39\% | 71\% | 82\% | 40\% | 93\% | * | 67\% | 29\% | * | 81\% | 71\% | 61\% | 50\% |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 50\% | 65\% | 62\% | 26\% | 60\% | 66\% | * | * | * | 58\% | 15\% | 60\% | 63\% | 62\% | 41\% | 30\% |
|  | 2018 | 44\% | 60\% | 60\% | 16\% | 52\% | 65\% | 30\% | 86\% | * | 50\% | 15\% | * | 63\% | 55\% | 41\% | 19\% |
| At Masters Grade Level | 2019 | 11\% | 15\% | 13\% | 6\% | 7\% | 15\% |  |  | * | 25\% | 3\% | 0\% | 14\% | 10\% | 6\% | 0\% |
|  | 2018 | 7\% | 9\% | 9\% | 0\% | 4\% | 10\% | 0\% | 14\% | * | 8\% | 7\% | * | 10\% | 6\% | 5\% | 0\% |
| End of Course English II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Approaches Grade Level or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above | 2019 | 68\% | 81\% | 78\% | 42\% | 74\% | 81\% | * | 100\% | * | 100\% | 26\% | * | 80\% | 71\% | 62\% | 33\% |
|  | 2018 | 67\% | 81\% | 81\% | 39\% | 77\% | 84\% | 33\% | 100\% | * | 92\% | 25\% | * | 83\% | 79\% | 64\% | 71\% |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 49\% | 66\% | 65\% | 38\% | 60\% | 68\% | * | 88\% | * | 57\% | 19\% | * | 66\% | 59\% | 48\% | 0\% |
|  | 2018 | 48\% | 69\% | 69\% | 39\% | 61\% | 71\% | 17\% | 100\% | * | 92\% | 7\% | * | 71\% | 64\% | 46\% | 33\% |
| At Masters Grade Level | 2019 | 8\% | 11\% | 10\% | 4\% | 10\% | 10\% | * | 13\% | * | 0\% | 0\% | * | 10\% | 9\% | 3\% | 0\% |
|  | 2018 | 8\% | 12\% | 12\% | 6\% | 13\% | 12\% | 0\% | 40\% | * | 8\% | 4\% | * | 13\% | 10\% | 7\% | 5\% |
| End of Course Algebra I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Approaches Grade Level or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above | 2019 | 85\% | 89\% | 82\% | 65\% | 82\% | 85\% | - | * | - | 38\% | 29\% | 89\% | 83\% | 80\% | 70\% | 100\% |
|  | 2018 | 83\% | 93\% | 91\% | 83\% | 87\% | 92\% | 100\% | 100\% | - | 100\% | 56\% | * | 93\% | 86\% | 82\% | 89\% |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 61\% | 69\% | 55\% | 35\% | 58\% | 56\% | - | * | - | 38\% | 10\% | 56\% | 57\% | 49\% | 39\% | 50\% |
|  | 2018 | 55\% | 77\% | 68\% | 46\% | 67\% | 69\% | 60\% | 100\% | - | 78\% | 24\% | * | 72\% | 59\% | 55\% | 67\% |
| At Masters Grade Level | 2019 | 37\% | 49\% | 26\% | 10\% | 21\% | 28\% | - | * | - | 25\% | 10\% | 22\% | 28\% | 23\% | 11\% | 17\% |
|  | 2018 | 32\% | 53\% | 39\% | 29\% | 38\% | 40\% | 20\% | 33\% | - | 44\% | 17\% | * | 40\% | 35\% | 26\% | 22\% |
| End of Course Biology |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Approaches Grade Level or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above | 2019 | 88\% | 93\% | 94\% | 79\% | 92\% | 95\% | * | * | * | 100\% | 68\% | 100\% | 94\% | 93\% | 83\% | 86\% |
|  | 2018 | 87\% | 92\% | 92\% | 71\% | 84\% | 94\% | 83\% | 100\% | * | 100\% | 55\% | * | 94\% | 87\% | 81\% | 68\% |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 62\% | 73\% | 71\% | 38\% | 58\% | 75\% | * | * | * | 70\% | 12\% | 44\% | 73\% | 64\% | 48\% | 29\% |
|  | 2018 | 59\% | 73\% | 73\% | 36\% | 61\% | 77\% | 50\% | 93\% | * | 75\% | 19\% | * | 76\% | 65\% | 51\% | 36\% |
| At Masters Grade Level | 2019 | 25\% | 26\% | 22\% | 0\% | 10\% | 26\% | * | * | * | 30\% | 4\% | 11\% | 24\% | 17\% | 10\% | 0\% |
|  | 2018 | 24\% | 31\% | 31\% | 4\% | 24\% | 34\% | 17\% | 57\% | * | 33\% | 10\% | * | 33\% | 28\% | 16\% | 9\% |
| End of Course U.S. History |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Approaches Grade Level or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above | 2019 | 93\% | 98\% | 98\% | 82\% | 98\% | 98\% | * | 100\% | * | 100\% | 88\% | - | 98\% | 99\% | 95\% | * |
|  | 2018 | 92\% | 96\% | 96\% | 81\% | 95\% | 97\% | * | 100\% | - | 100\% | 67\% | * | 97\% | 92\% | 88\% | 89\% |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 73\% | 89\% | 88\% | 64\% | 87\% | 89\% | * | 100\% | * | 88\% | 41\% | - | 88\% | 87\% | 80\% | * |
|  | 2018 | 70\% | 84\% | 84\% | 33\% | 76\% | 87\% | * | 89\% | - | 93\% | 38\% | * | 86\% | 75\% | 70\% | 33\% |
| At Masters Grade Level | 2019 | 45\% | 56\% | 54\% | 9\% | 48\% | 56\% | * | 50\% | * | 88\% | 24\% | - | 56\% | 46\% | 39\% | * |
|  | 2018 | 40\% | 51\% | 51\% | 19\% | 43\% | 53\% | * | 44\% | - | 87\% | 21\% | * | 53\% | 43\% | 38\% | 11\% |
| All Grades All Subjects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Approaches Grade Level or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above | 2019 | 78\% | 88\% | 85\% | 63\% | 84\% | 87\% | 100\% | 100\% | * | 82\% | 45\% | 80\% | 86\% | 83\% | 72\% | 76\% |
|  | 2018 | 77\% | 87\% | 87\% | 62\% | 82\% | 89\% | 65\% | 98\% | * | 92\% | 45\% | 79\% | 89\% | 81\% | 73\% | 70\% |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 50\% | 64\% | 69\% | 37\% | 65\% | 72\% | 50\% | 95\% | * | 62\% | 18\% | 50\% | 71\% | 63\% | 50\% | 30\% |
|  | 2018 | 48\% | 61\% | 70\% | 33\% | 62\% | 73\% | 45\% | 92\% | * | 78\% | 20\% | 64\% | 73\% | 62\% | 50\% | 36\% |
| At Masters Grade Level | 2019 | 24\% | 32\% | 25\% | 5\% | 18\% | 27\% | 13\% | 30\% | * | 33\% | 6\% | 10\% | 26\% | 19\% | 12\% | 6\% |


|  |  | State | District | Campus | African American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | Special Ed (Current) | Special Ed (Former) | Continuously Enrolled | Non- <br> Continuously Enrolled | Econ Disadv | EL <br>  <br> Monitored) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2018 | 22\% | 29\% | 26\% | 11\% | 22\% | 28\% | 6\% | 38\% | * | 38\% | 11\% | 29\% | 28\% | 22\% | 16\% | 8\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Approaches Grade Level or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above | 2019 | 75\% | 85\% | 77\% | 51\% | 77\% | 78\% | 100\% | 100\% | * | 84\% | 30\% | 58\% | 78\% | 73\% | 61\% | 56\% |
|  | 2018 | 74\% | 85\% | 80\% | 39\% | 74\% | 83\% | 38\% | 95\% | * | 79\% | 27\% | 50\% | 82\% | 74\% | 62\% | 60\% |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 48\% | 61\% | 64\% | 32\% | 60\% | 67\% | 20\% | 91\% | * | 58\% | 16\% | 50\% | 65\% | 60\% | 44\% | 19\% |
|  | 2018 | 46\% | 60\% | 65\% | 24\% | 56\% | 68\% | 25\% | 89\% | * | 71\% | 12\% | 50\% | 67\% | 59\% | 43\% | 26\% |
| At Masters Grade Level | 2019 | 21\% | 28\% | 12\% | 5\% | 9\% | 13\% | 0\% | 9\% | * | 16\% | 2\% | 0\% | 12\% | 10\% | 5\% | 0\% |
|  | 2018 | 19\% | 26\% | 10\% | 2\% | 8\% | 11\% | 0\% | 21\% | * | 8\% | 5\% | 17\% | 11\% | 8\% | 6\% | 2\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Approaches Grade Level or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above | 2019 | 82\% | 91\% | 82\% | 65\% | 82\% | 85\% | - | * | - | 38\% | 29\% | 89\% | 83\% | 80\% | 70\% | 100\% |
|  | 2018 | 81\% | 91\% | 91\% | 83\% | 87\% | 92\% | 100\% | 100\% | - | 100\% | 56\% | * | 93\% | 86\% | 82\% | 89\% |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 52\% | 68\% | 55\% | 35\% | 58\% | 56\% | - | * | - | 38\% | 10\% | 56\% | 57\% | 49\% | 39\% | 50\% |
|  | 2018 | 50\% | 66\% | 68\% | 46\% | 67\% | 69\% | 60\% | 100\% | - | 78\% | 24\% | * | 72\% | 59\% | 55\% | 67\% |
| At Masters Grade Level | 2019 | 26\% | 39\% | 26\% | 10\% | 21\% | 28\% | - | * | - | 25\% | 10\% | 22\% | 28\% | 23\% | 11\% | 17\% |
|  | 2018 | 24\% | 35\% | 39\% | 29\% | 38\% | 40\% | 20\% | 33\% | - | 44\% | 17\% | * | 40\% | 35\% | 26\% | 22\% |
| All Grades Science |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Approaches Grade Level or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above | 2019 | 81\% | 90\% | 94\% | 79\% | 92\% | 95\% | * | * | * | 100\% | 68\% | 100\% | 94\% | 93\% | 83\% | 86\% |
|  | 2018 | 80\% | 87\% | 92\% | 71\% | 84\% | 94\% | 83\% | 100\% | * | 100\% | 55\% | * | 94\% | 87\% | 81\% | 68\% |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 54\% | 65\% | 71\% | 38\% | 58\% | 75\% | * | * | * | 70\% | 12\% | 44\% | 73\% | 64\% | 48\% | 29\% |
|  | 2018 | 51\% | 61\% | 73\% | 36\% | 61\% | 77\% | 50\% | 93\% | * | 75\% | 19\% | * | 76\% | 65\% | 51\% | 36\% |
| At Masters Grade Level | 2019 | 25\% | 28\% | 22\% | 0\% | 10\% | 26\% | * | * | * | 30\% | 4\% | 11\% | 24\% | 17\% | 10\% | 0\% |
|  | 2018 | 23\% | 28\% | 31\% | 4\% | 24\% | 34\% | 17\% | 57\% | * | 33\% | 10\% | * | 33\% | 28\% | 16\% | 9\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Approaches Grade Level or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above | 2019 | 81\% | 90\% | 98\% | 82\% | 98\% | 98\% | * | 100\% | * | 100\% | 88\% | - | 98\% | 99\% | 95\% | ${ }^{*}$ |
|  | 2018 | 78\% | 86\% | 96\% | 81\% | 95\% | 97\% | * | 100\% | - | 100\% | 67\% | * | 97\% | 92\% | 88\% | 89\% |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 55\% | 70\% | 88\% | 64\% | 87\% | 89\% | * | 100\% | * | 88\% | 41\% | - | 88\% | 87\% | 80\% | * |
|  | 2018 | 53\% | 63\% | 84\% | 33\% | 76\% | 87\% | * | 89\% | - | 93\% | 38\% | * | 86\% | 75\% | 70\% | 33\% |
| At Masters Grade Level | 2019 | 33\% | 44\% | 54\% | 9\% | 48\% | 56\% | * | 50\% | * | 88\% | 24\% | - | 56\% | 46\% | 39\% | * |
|  | 2018 | 31\% | 38\% | 51\% | 19\% | 43\% | 53\% | * | 44\% | - | 87\% | 21\% | * | 53\% | 43\% | 38\% | 11\% |

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY <br> Texas Academic Performance Report <br> 2018-19 Campus Progress

Total Students: 1,773


## School Progress Domain - Academic Growth Score by Grade and Subject

| End of Course English II | 2019 | 69 | 74 | 76 | 86 | 72 | 76 | * | 71 | * | 86 | 71 | * | 78 | 70 | 69 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2018 | 67 | 67 | 67 | 64 | 66 | 67 | * | * | * | 75 | 57 | - | 65 | 72 | 63 | 67 |
| End of Course Algebra I | 2019 | 75 | 73 | 59 | 39 | 60 | 61 | - | - | - | 43 | 16 | 75 | 60 | 54 | 49 | 67 |
|  | 2018 | 72 | 82 | 76 | 59 | 78 | 76 | 70 | 100 | - | 88 | 42 | * | 79 | 69 | 68 | 79 |
| All Grades Both Subjects | 2019 | 69 | 74 | 69 | 63 | 68 | 70 | * | 71 | * | 64 | 46 | 78 | 71 | 63 | 61 | 65 |
|  | 2018 | 69 | 71 | 71 | 60 | 72 | 71 | 56 | 88 | * | 81 | 48 | * | 71 | 71 | 66 | 73 |
| All Grades ELA/Reading | 2019 | 68 | 70 | 76 | 86 | 72 | 76 | * | 71 | * | 86 | 71 | * | 78 | 70 | 69 |  |
|  | 2018 | 69 | 68 | 67 | 64 | 66 | 67 | * | * | * | 75 | 57 | - | 65 | 72 | 63 | 67 |
| All Grades Mathematics | 2019 | 70 | 77 | 59 | 39 | 60 | 61 | - | - | - | 43 | 16 | 75 | 60 | 54 | 49 | 67 |
|  | 2018 | 70 | 74 | 76 | 59 | 78 | 76 | 70 | 100 | - | 88 | 42 | * | 79 | 69 | 68 | 79 |

Total Students: 1,773
Grade Span: 09-12 School Type: High School

Campus Name: MONTGOMERY H S Campus Number: 170903002

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY <br> <br> Texas Academic Performance Report <br> <br> Texas Academic Performance Report <br> <br> 2018-19 Campus Prior Year and Student Success Initiative 

 <br> <br> 2018-19 Campus Prior Year and Student Success Initiative}

|  | State | District | Campus | African <br> American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific <br> Islander | Two or More Races | $\begin{gathered} \text { Special } \\ \text { Ed } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Econ <br> Disadv | EL <br> (Current) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student Success Initiative |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 8 Reading STAAR Met Standard (Non-Proficient in Previous Year) Promoted to Grade 92019 | 13\% | 30\% | 39\% | * | * | 45\% | - | - | - | * | * | 13\% | * |
| Grade 8 Mathematics <br> STAAR Met Standard (Non-Proficient in Previous Year) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Promoted to Grade 92019 | 50\% | 53\% | 60\% | * | - | 68\% | - | - | - | * | * | 50\% | - |

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 



|  | State | District | Campus | African <br> American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific <br> Islander | Two or More Races | Special $\qquad$ | Econ Disadv | (Current) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2019 STAAR Participation <br> (All Grades) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Tests |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Assessment Participant | 99\% | 98\% | 98\% | 99\% | 99\% | 98\% | 89\% | 100\% | * | 98\% | 94\% | 97\% | 100\% |
| Included in Accountability | 94\% | 94\% | 96\% | 93\% | 91\% | 97\% | 89\% | 100\% | * | 92\% | 91\% | 92\% | 50\% |
| Not Included in Accountability |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobile | 4\% | 3\% | 2\% | 6\% | 7\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | * | 6\% | 4\% | 5\% | 17\% |
| Other Exclusions | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | * | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 33\% |
| Not Tested | 1\% | 2\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% | 11\% | 0\% | * | 2\% | 6\% | 3\% | 0\% |
| Absent | 1\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% | 11\% | 0\% | * | 2\% | 6\% | 3\% | 0\% |
| Other | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | * | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |

## 2018 STAAR Participation

(All Grades)

| All Tests |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assessment Participant | 99\% | 98\% | 99\% | 100\% | 100\% | 99\% | 100\% | 98\% | * | 100\% | 98\% | 99\% | 98\% |
| Included in Accountability | 94\% | 94\% | 96\% | 91\% | 96\% | 96\% | 97\% | 98\% | * | 95\% | 93\% | 94\% | 84\% |
| Not Included in Accountability |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobile | 4\% | 4\% | 3\% | 9\% | 3\% | 3\% | 0\% | 0\% | * | 5\% | 4\% | 5\% | 0\% |
| Other Exclusions | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | 3\% | 0\% | * | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 13\% |
| Not Tested | 1\% | 2\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | 2\% | * | 0\% | 2\% | 1\% | 2\% |
| Absent | 1\% | 2\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | 2\% | * | 0\% | 2\% | 1\% | 2\% |
| Other | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | * | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |



|  | State | District | Campus | African <br> American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | Special $\qquad$ | Econ Disadv | (Current) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Received TxCHSE | 0.8\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | * | 0.0\% | , | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% |  |
| Continued HS | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * |
| Dropped Out | 6.6\% | 1.8\% | 1.8\% | 5.0\% | 3.2\% | 1.6\% | * | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 7.5\% | 5.4\% | * |
| Graduates and TxCHSE | 92.9\% | 98.2\% | 98.2\% | 95.0\% | 96.8\% | 98.4\% | * | 100.0\% | * | 100.0\% | 92.5\% | 94.6\% | * |
| Graduates, TxCHSE, and Continuers | 93.4\% | 98.2\% | 98.2\% | 95.0\% | 96.8\% | 98.4\% | * | 100.0\% | * | 100.0\% | 92.5\% | 94.6\% | * |
| Class of 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Graduated | 91.8\% | 93.1\% | 93.1\% | 85.7\% | 95.6\% | 92.9\% | * | * | * | 100.0\% | 87.1\% | 83.7\% | - |
| Received TxCHSE | 1.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 4.8\% | 2.2\% | 3.2\% | * | * | * | 0.0\% | 6.5\% | 8.1\% | - |
| Continued HS | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | * | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | - |
| Dropped Out | 6.7\% | 3.9\% | 3.9\% | 9.5\% | 2.2\% | 3.9\% | * | * | * | 0.0\% | 6.5\% | 8.1\% | - |
| Graduates and TxCHSE | 92.8\% | 96.1\% | 96.1\% | 90.5\% | 97.8\% | 96.1\% | * | * | * | 100.0\% | 93.5\% | 91.9\% | - |
| Graduates, TxCHSE, and Continuers | 93.3\% | 96.1\% | 96.1\% | 90.5\% | 97.8\% | 96.1\% | * | * | * | 100.0\% | 93.5\% | 91.9\% | - |
| 4-Year Federal Graduation Rate Without Exclusions (Gr 9-12) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Class of 2018 | 90.0\% | 96.9\% | 97.0\% | 100.0\% | 97.5\% | 96.7\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | * | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 92.0\% | * |
| Class of 2017 | 89.7\% | 92.5\% | 92.5\% | 95.5\% | 88.2\% | 93.2\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | - | 66.7\% | 85.3\% | 84.1\% | * |
| RHSP/DAP Graduates (Longitudinal Rate) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Class of 2018 | 68.5\% | * | * | - | * | - | - | - | - | - | - | * | - |
| Class of 2017 | 88.5\% | 83.8\% | 83.8\% | 65.0\% | 74.6\% | 85.9\% | 80.0\% | 100.0\% | - | * | 34.5\% | 69.0\% | * |
| FHSP-E Graduates (Longitudinal Rate) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Class of 2018 | 5.0\% | 10.7\% | 10.7\% | 19.0\% | 13.0\% | 10.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 19.0\% | * |
| Class of 2017 | 6.0\% | 33.3\% | 33.3\% | * | - | 38.5\% | * | - | - | - | - | * | - |
| FHSP-DLA Graduates (Longitudinal Rate) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Class of 2018 | 82.0\% | 83.8\% | 83.8\% | 61.9\% | 84.4\% | 84.4\% | 100.0\% | 90.0\% | * | 88.9\% | 32.4\% | 69.0\% | * |
| Class of 2017 | 60.8\% | 66.7\% | 66.7\% | * | - | 61.5\% | * | - | - | - | - | * | - |
| RHSP/DAP/FHSP-E/FHSP-DLA Graduates (Longitudinal Rate) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Class of 2018 | 86.8\% | 94.3\% | 94.3\% | 81.0\% | 96.2\% | 94.9\% | 100.0\% | 90.0\% | * | 88.9\% | 43.2\% | 87.1\% | * |
| Class of 2017 | 85.9\% | 84.3\% | 84.3\% | 66.7\% | 74.6\% | 86.3\% | 83.3\% | 100.0\% | - | * | 34.5\% | 70.0\% | * |
| RHSP/DAP Graduates (Annual Rate) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 37.7\% | 50.0\% | 50.0\% | * | - | 40.0\% | - | - | - | - | * | * | - |
| 2016-17 | 87.2\% | 82.6\% | 82.6\% | 65.0\% | 72.7\% | 85.1\% | 80.0\% | 83.3\% | - | 80.0\% | 33.3\% | 66.7\% | 40.0\% |
| FHSP-E Graduates (Annual Rate) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 4.9\% | 11.0\% | 11.0\% | 19.0\% | 13.6\% | 10.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 10.5\% | 21.8\% | * |
| 2016-17 | 7.2\% | 20.8\% | 20.8\% | * | - | 22.7\% | * | - | - | - | - | * | - |
| FHSP-DLA Graduates (Annual Rate) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 81.5\% | 83.4\% | 83.4\% | 61.9\% | 84.0\% | 83.9\% | 100.0\% | 90.0\% | * | 88.9\% | 31.6\% | 65.5\% | * |
| 2016-17 | 56.5\% | 79.2\% | 79.2\% | * | - | 77.3\% | * | - | - | - | - | * | - |
| RHSP/DAP/FHSP-E/FHSP-DLA Graduates (Annual Rate) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 85.1\% | 93.9\% | 93.9\% | 81.8\% | 97.5\% | 94.2\% | 100.0\% | 90.0\% | * | 88.9\% | 41.0\% | 85.7\% | * |
| 2016-17 | 84.0\% | 83.4\% | 83.4\% | 66.7\% | 72.7\% | 85.8\% | 83.3\% | 83.3\% | - | 80.0\% | 33.3\% | 67.5\% | 40.0\% |

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

|  | Campus Count | Campus Percent | District Count | State Count |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Graduates (2017-18 Annual Graduates) |  |  |  |  |
| Total Graduates | 627 | 100.0\% | 627 | 347,893 |
| By Ethnicity: |  |  |  |  |
| African American | 22 | 3.5\% | 22 | 43,502 |
| Hispanic | 81 | 12.9\% | 81 | 173,272 |
| White | 499 | 79.6\% | 499 | 107,052 |
| American Indian | 5 | 0.8\% | 5 | 1,226 |
| Asian | 10 | 1.6\% | 10 | 15,589 |
| Pacific Islander | 1 | 0.2\% | 1 | 528 |
| Two or More Races | 9 | 1.4\% | 9 | 6,724 |
| By Graduation Type: |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum H.S. Program | 3 | 0.5\% | 3 | 5,855 |
| Recommended H.S. Program/Distinguished Achievement Program | 3 | 0.5\% | 3 | 3,538 |
| Foundation H.S. Program (No Endorsement) | 37 | 5.9\% | 37 | 49,432 |
| Foundation H.S. Program (Endorsement) | 68 | 10.8\% | 68 | 16,542 |
| Foundation H.S. Program (DLA) | 516 | 82.3\% | 516 | 272,526 |
| Special Education Graduates | 41 | 6.5\% | 41 | 25,962 |
| Economically Disadvantaged Graduates | 92 | 14.7\% | 92 | 166,956 |
| LEP Graduates | 4 | 0.6\% | 4 | 21,359 |
| At-Risk Graduates | 171 | 27.3\% | 171 | 144,805 |



# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 

Texas Academic Performance Report
Total Students: 1,773
2018-19 Campus College, Career, and Military Readiness (CCMR)

|  | State | District | Campus | African <br> American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | Special $\qquad$ | Econ Disadv | (Current) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| U.S. Armed Forces Enlistment(Annual Graduates) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 4.3\% | 2.1\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.0\% | * | 11.1\% | 0.0\% | 4.3\% | * |
| 2016-17 | 2.2\% | 1.5\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 3.0\% | 1.1\% | 16.7\% | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 3.6\% | 0.0\% |
| Graduates under an Advanced Degree Plan and Identified as a current Special Education Student (Annual Graduates) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 2.6\% | 2.6\% | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 3.7\% | 2.4\% | 20.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 39.0\% | 4.3\% | * |
| Graduates with Levell or Level II Certificate (Annual Graduates) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 0.6\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | * |
| 2016-17 | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |



## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Texas Academic Performance Report
2018-19 Campus CCMR-Related Indicators

Total Students: 1,773
Grade Span: 09-12 School Type: High School

District Name: MONTGOMERY ISD
Campus Name: MONTGOMERY H S
Campus Number: 170903002

|  | State | District | Campus | African <br> American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | Special $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Econ } \\ \text { Disadv } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { EL } \\ \text { (Current) } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 51.3\% | 71.2\% | 71.2\% | 80.0\% | 60.0\% | 70.2\% | - | * | - | * | n/a | * | n/a |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 38.0\% | 59.2\% | 59.2\% | * | 50.0\% | 62.4\% | - | 40.0\% | - | * | n/a | 46.7\% | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| 2017 | 38.3\% | 45.6\% | 45.6\% | * | 30.8\% | 46.8\% | * | 60.0\% | - | * | n/a | 12.5\% | n/a |
| Social Studies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 44.6\% | 47.3\% | 47.3\% | * | 27.6\% | 50.3\% | - | 55.6\% | - | * | n/a | 29.4\% | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| 2017 | 41.4\% | 36.2\% | 36.2\% | 50.0\% | 15.4\% | 38.7\% | * | 50.0\% | - | * | n/a | 13.3\% | n/a |
| SAT/ACT Results (Annual Graduates) *** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 74.6\% | 68.7\% | 68.7\% | 59.1\% | 67.9\% | 69.9\% | 80.0\% | 60.0\% | * | 44.4\% | n/a | 46.3\% | n/a |
| 2016-17 | 73.5\% | 65.4\% | 65.4\% | 61.9\% | 60.6\% | 66.4\% | 66.7\% | 50.0\% | - | 80.0\% | n/a | 40.7\% | n/a |
| At/Above Criterion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 37.9\% | 61.5\% | 61.5\% | 38.5\% | 58.2\% | 61.9\% | * | 100.0\% | - | * | n/a | 50.0\% | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Average SAT Score (Annual Graduates) *** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Subjects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 1036 | 1131 | 1131 | 1062 | 1075 | 1136 | * | 1340 | - | * | n/a | 1090 | n/a |
| English Language Arts <br> and Writing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 521 | 569 | 569 | 537 | 549 | 572 | * | 664 | - | * | n/a | 556 | n/a |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 515 | 561 | 561 | 525 | 526 | 564 | * | 676 | - | * | n/a | 534 | n/a |
| Average ACT Score (Annual Graduates) *** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Subjects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 20.6 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 20.2 | 22.4 | 24.3 | * | * | - | * | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 22.7 | n/a |
| English Language Arts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 20.3 | 24.1 | 24.1 | 19.9 | 22.6 | 24.4 | * | * | - | * | n/a | 22.7 | n/a |
| Mathematics 24.10 .0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 20.6 | 23.6 | 23.6 | 19.9 | 21.7 | 24.0 | * | * | - | * | n/a | 22.2 | n/a |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 20.9 | 23.6 | 23.6 | 20.2 | 22.2 | 23.9 | * | * | - | * | n/a | 22.1 | n/a |

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

District Name: MONTGOMERY ISD
Texas Academic Performance Report 2018-19 Campus Other Postsecondary Indicators

|  | State | District | Campus | African American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | Special Ed | Econ Disadv | $\begin{array}{r} \text { EL } \\ \text { (Current) } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 43.4\% | 39.0\% | 39.0\% | 25.3\% | 35.3\% | 40.1\% | 25.0\% | 57.9\% | * | 38.0\% | 12.9\% | 21.4\% | 5.3\% |
| 2016-17 | 37.1\% | 29.8\% | 29.8\% | 20.0\% | 26.5\% | 30.6\% | 36.4\% | 69.2\% | * | 15.2\% | 3.8\% | 14.8\% | 11.1\% |
| English Language Arts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 17.3\% | 17.1\% | 17.1\% | 7.7\% | 16.7\% | 17.6\% | 5.0\% | 21.1\% | * | 16.3\% | 3.5\% | 7.3\% | 0.0\% |
| 2016-17 | 16.8\% | 5.1\% | 5.1\% | 2.7\% | 2.6\% | 5.5\% | 4.5\% | 19.2\% | * | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 20.7\% | 19.7\% | 19.7\% | 13.2\% | 17.7\% | 20.0\% | 21.1\% | 34.3\% | * | 19.1\% | 0.7\% | 9.5\% | 0.0\% |
| 2016-17 | 19.5\% | 16.0\% | 16.0\% | 11.6\% | 11.4\% | 16.8\% | 11.1\% | 40.0\% | * | 7.5\% | 1.5\% | 7.6\% | 11.8\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 21.2\% | 16.4\% | 16.4\% | 13.3\% | 14.5\% | 17.0\% | 5.3\% | 25.7\% | * | 8.3\% | 6.3\% | 10.2\% | 5.9\% |
| 2016-17 | 5.7\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.9\% | 1.4\% | 1.8\% | 5.0\% | 16.7\% | * | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% |
| Social Studies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 22.8\% | 23.8\% | 23.8\% | 7.5\% | 19.8\% | 25.1\% | 0.0\% | 45.9\% | * | 18.0\% | 2.3\% | 9.6\% | 0.0\% |
| 2016-17 | 21.8\% | 21.4\% | 21.4\% | 14.5\% | 19.3\% | 21.7\% | 22.7\% | 50.0\% | * | 17.5\% | 1.3\% | 9.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Graduates Enrolled in Texas Institution of Higher Education (TX IHE) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2016-17 | 54.6\% | 59.0\% | 59.0\% | 61.9\% | 54.5\% | 59.7\% | 50.0\% | 50.0\% | - | 60.0\% | 43.3\% | 31.9\% | 20.0\% |
| 2015-16 | 54.7\% | 60.5\% | 60.5\% | 77.8\% | 52.2\% | 61.9\% | * | 60.0\% | * | 50.0\% | 23.1\% | 50.6\% | * |
| Graduates in TX IHE Completing One Year Without Enrollment in a Developmental Education Course |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2016-17 | 59.2\% | 73.6\% | 73.6\% | 50.0\% | 58.3\% | 76.2\% | * | * | - | * | 16.7\% | 46.4\% | * |
| 2015-16 | 55.7\% | 74.1\% | 74.1\% | 23.1\% | 69.7\% | 77.2\% | * | 83.3\% | - | 66.7\% | 11.1\% | 47.6\% | - |

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 



## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

| Student Information | ---------------- Campus ---------------- |  | District | State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | Percent |  |  |
| By Ethnicity: |  |  |  |  |
| African American | 11 | 0.4\% |  |  |
| Hispanic | 42 | 1.5\% |  |  |
| White | 191 | 6.9\% |  |  |
| American Indian | 2 | 0.1\% |  |  |
| Asian | 0 | 0.0\% |  |  |
| Pacific Islander | 0 | 0.0\% |  |  |
| Two or More Races | 9 | 0.3\% |  |  |


| Student Information | --------Non-Special Education Rates------- |  |  | --------Special Education Rates- |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Campus | District | State | Campus | District | State |
| Retention Rates by Grade: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kindergarten | - | 1.7\% | 1.7\% | - | 14.6\% | 6.2\% |
| Grade 1 | - | 3.3\% | 3.1\% | - | 1.9\% | 5.5\% |
| Grade 2 | - | 1.9\% | 1.8\% | - | 2.5\% | 2.3\% |
| Grade 3 | - | 0.7\% | 1.1\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.9\% |
| Grade 4 | - | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.5\% |
| Grade 5 | - | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.6\% |
| Grade 6 | - | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.5\% |
| Grade 7 | - | 0.1\% | 0.6\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.6\% |
| Grade 8 | - | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.7\% |
| Grade 9 | 3.1\% | 3.1\% | 7.2\% | 6.7\% | 6.7\% | 12.7\% |
| Class Size Information | Campus |  |  | District |  | State |

Class Size Averages by Grade and Subject
(Derived from teacher responsibility records):

| Elementary: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kindergarten | - | 17.3 | 18.9 |
| Grade 1 | - | 19.0 | 18.8 |
| Grade 2 | - | 19.3 | 18.7 |
| Grade 3 | - | 17.8 | 18.9 |
| Grade 4 | - | 17.9 | 19.2 |
| Grade 5 | - | 22.9 | 21.2 |
| Grade 6 | - | 23.5 | 20.4 |
| Secondary: |  |  |  |
| English/Language Arts | 18.8 | 18.6 | 16.6 |
| Foreign Languages | 19.2 | 18.9 | 18.9 |
| Mathematics | 23.3 | 21.9 | 17.8 |
| Science | 23.1 | 21.3 | 18.9 |
| Social Studies | 21.9 | 21.4 | 19.3 |

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 

Total Students: 1,773 Grade Span: 09-12 School Type: High School

| Staff Information | Count/Average | Percent | District | State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Staff | 130.4 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Professional Staff: | 126.1 | 96.7\% | 66.8\% | 64.1\% |
| Teachers | 114.0 | 87.4\% | 55.4\% | 49.8\% |
| Professional Support | 7.1 | 5.4\% | 7.9\% | 10.1\% |
| Campus Administration (School Leadership) | 5.0 | 3.8\% | 2.6\% | 3.0\% |
| Educational Aides: | 4.3 | 3.3\% | 8.2\% | 10.3\% |
| Librarians \& Counselors (Headcount): |  |  |  |  |
| Librarians |  |  |  |  |
| Full-time | 0.0 | n/a | 4.0 | 4,414.0 |
| Part-time | 0.0 | n/a | 2.0 | 572.0 |
| Counselors |  |  |  |  |
| Full-time | 4.0 | n/a | 20.0 | 12,433.0 |
| Part-time | 1.0 | n/a | 0.0 | 1,097.0 |
| Total Minority Staff: | 9.0 | 6.9\% | 11.9\% | 50.4\% |
| Teachers by Ethnicity and Sex: |  |  |  |  |
| African American | 1.0 | 0.9\% | 1.4\% | 10.6\% |
| Hispanic | 6.0 | 5.3\% | 6.0\% | 27.7\% |
| White | 106.0 | 93.0\% | 92.0\% | 58.4\% |
| American Indian | 1.0 | 0.9\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% |
| Asian | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 1.7\% |
| Pacific Islander | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% |
| Two or More Races | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 1.1\% |
| Males | 41.6 | 36.5\% | 17.3\% | 23.8\% |
| Females | 72.4 | 63.5\% | 82.7\% | 76.2\% |
| Teachers by Highest Degree Held: |  |  |  |  |
| No Degree | 5.0 | 4.4\% | 1.3\% | 1.4\% |
| Bachelors | 76.5 | 67.1\% | 74.1\% | 73.6\% |
| Masters | 31.5 | 27.6\% | 23.9\% | 24.3\% |
| Doctorate | 1.0 | 0.9\% | 0.7\% | 0.7\% |
| Teachers by Years of Experience: |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning Teachers | 6.6 | 5.8\% | 4.0\% | 7.0\% |
| 1-5 Years Experience | 11.6 | 10.2\% | 17.3\% | 28.9\% |
| 6-10 Years Experience | 14.0 | 12.3\% | 18.8\% | 19.0\% |
| 11-20 Years Experience | 47.2 | 41.4\% | 37.8\% | 29.3\% |
| Over 20 Years Experience | 34.6 | 30.3\% | 22.1\% | 15.7\% |
| Number of Students per Teacher | 15.6 | n/a | 15.1 | 15.1 |

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Experience of Campus Leadership:
Average Years Experience of Principals
Average Years Experience of Principals with District
Average Years Experience of Assistant Prin
Average Years Experience of Assistant Principals with District

Average Years Experience of Teachers:
Average Years Experience of Teachers with District:
Average Teacher Salary by Years of Experience (regular duties only): Beginning Teachers
1-5 Years Experience
6-10 Years Experience
11-20 Years Experience
Over 20 Years Experience
Average Actual Salaries (regular duties only):
Teachers
Professional Support
Campus Administration (School Leadership)
Instructional Staff Percent:
Contracted Instructional Staff (not incl. above):

Campus
District
\$70,846
\$89,177

$.0-6.3$
$\begin{array}{ll}6.3 & 6.3\end{array}$
7.1
7.1
5.3
13.6
6.6
$\begin{array}{ll}\$ 45,948 & \$ 47,218 \\ \$ 51,962 & \$ 50,408 \\ \$ 54,468 & \$ 52,786 \\ \$ 57,483 & \$ 56,041 \\ \$ 63,962 & \$ 62,039\end{array}$
\$63,962
\$56,93
64,934
\$83,903
67.8\%
6.0
$\$ 54,122$
$\$ 64,069$
$\$ 64,069$
$\$ 78,947$

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

## Texas Academic Performance Report <br> 2018-19 Campus Staff Information

| Program Information | ---------------- Campus ---------------- |  | District | State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | Percent |  |  |
| Student Enrollment by Program: |  |  |  |  |
| Bilingual/ESL Education | 8 | 0.5\% | 3.2\% | 19.7\% |
| Career \& Technical Education | 1,686 | 95.1\% | 28.9\% | 26.3\% |
| Gifted \& Talented Education | 150 | 8.5\% | 7.1\% | 8.1\% |
| Special Education | 102 | 5.8\% | 7.4\% | 9.6\% |
| Teachers by Program (population served): |  |  |  |  |
| Bilingual/ESL Education | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 6.4\% |
| Career \& Technical Education | 20.6 | 18.1\% | 5.7\% | 4.9\% |
| Compensatory Education | 1.6 | 1.4\% | 4.2\% | 2.7\% |
| Gifted \& Talented Education | 0.1 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% |
| Regular Education | 77.7 | 68.1\% | 77.1\% | 71.4\% |
| Special Education | 14.1 | 12.3\% | 12.9\% | 9.1\% |
| Other | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.6\% |

' 1 ' Indicates that rates for reading and mathematics are based on the cumulative results from the first and second administrations of STAAR.
'*' Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
'**' When only one student disability group is masked, then the second smallest student disability group is masked regardless of size.
${ }^{\prime * * * ' ~ D u e ~ t o ~ c h a n g e s ~ i n ~ t h e ~ e v a l u a t i o n ~ o f ~ S A T / A C T ~ r e s u l t s ~(f o r ~ 2017-18 ~ t h e ~ b e s t ~ r e s u l t ~ w a s ~ u s e d, ~ r a t h e r ~ t h a n ~ t h e ~ m o s t ~ r e c e n t), ~ 2016-17 ~ S A T / A C T ~ r e s u l t s ~ a r e ~ n o t ~}$ comparable and, where applicable, are not shown.
'-' Indicates there are no students in the group.
' $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ ' Indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.
'?' Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable or were reported outside a reasonable range.

## 2018-19 Texas Academic Performance Report

District Name: MONTGOMERY ISD
Campus Name: MONTGOMERY CO J J A E P
Campus Number: 170903003

2019 Accountability Rating: Not Rated

There is no data for this campus.

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

## Texas Academic Performance Report <br> 2018-19 Campus Progress

There is no data for this campus.

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 

There is no data for this campus.

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 

## There is no data for this campus.

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 

District Name: MONTGOMERY ISD

|  | State | District | Campus | African American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Special } \\ \mathrm{Ed} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Econ Disadv | $\begin{array}{r} \text { EL } \\ \text { (Current) } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AAR |  | District | Campus |  | Hispanic | White |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

All Tests
Assessment Participant
Included in Accountability
Not Included in Accountability

| $99 \%$ | $98 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| $94 \%$ | $94 \%$ |
|  |  |
| $4 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| $1 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
|  |  |
| $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |

2018 STAAR Participation
(All Grades)

| All Tests | $99 \%$ | $98 \%$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assessment Participant | $94 \%$ | $94 \%$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| Included in Accountability |  |  |  |  |
| Not Included in Accountability | $4 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| Mobile | $1 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| Other Exclusions |  |  | $*$ | $*$ |
|  | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| Not Tested | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $*$ | $*$ |


|  | State | District | Campus | African <br> American | Hispanic | White | American $\qquad$ | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | Special $\qquad$ | Econ Disadv | (Current) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Attendance Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 95.4\% | 95.2\% | * | * | * | * | - | - | - | - | - | * | - |
| 2016-17 | 95.7\% | 95.3\% | * | * | * | * | - | - | - | * | - | * | - |
| Annual Dropout Rate (Gr 7-8) $0.4 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 0.4\% | 0.3\% | * | * | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * | - |
| 2016-17 | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | * | - | * | - | - | - | - | - | * | - |
| Annual Dropout Rate (Gr 9-12) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 1.9\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | - | * | 0.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | 0.0\% | - |
| 2016-17 | 1.9\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | - | - | - | * | - | 0.0\% | - |
| 4-Year Longitudinal Rate (Gr 9-12) Class of 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Graduated | 90.0\% | 97.5\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Received TxCHSE | 0.4\% | 0.5\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Continued HS | 3.8\% | 0.5\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Dropped Out | 5.7\% | 1.6\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates and TxCHSE | 90.4\% | 98.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates, TxCHSE, and Continuers | 94.3\% | 98.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Class of 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Graduated | 89.7\% | 93.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Received TxCHSE | 0.4\% | 1.7\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Continued HS | 4.0\% | 1.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Dropped Out | 5.9\% | 3.3\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates and TxCHSE | 90.1\% | 95.5\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates, TxCHSE, and Continuers | 94.1\% | 96.7\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 5-Year Extended Longitudinal Rate (Gr 9-12) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Graduated | 92.0\% | 94.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Received TxCHSE | 0.6\% | 1.9\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Continued HS | 1.1\% | 0.3\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Dropped Out | 6.3\% | 2.9\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates and TxCHSE | 92.6\% | 96.7\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates, TxCHSE, and Continuers | 93.7\% | 97.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Class of 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Graduated | 91.6\% | 96.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Received TxCHSE | 0.7\% | 2.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Continued HS | 1.2\% | 0.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Dropped Out | 6.6\% | 1.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates and TxCHSE | 92.2\% | 98.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates, TxCHSE, and Continuers | 93.4\% | 98.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |


| 6-Year Extended Longitudinal Rate (Gr 9-12) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Class of 2016 |  |  |
| Graduated | $92.1 \%$ | $96.2 \%$ |

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 

Total Students: 2 Grade Span: 09-11 Campus Name: MONTGOMERY CO J J A E P Campus Number: 170903003

Texas Academic Performance Report 2018-19 Campus Attendance, Graduation, and Dropout Rates

|  | State | District | Campus | African <br> American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | Special $\qquad$ | Econ Disadv | EL <br> (Current) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Received TxCHSE | 0.8\% | 2.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Continued HS | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Dropped Out | 6.6\% | 1.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates and TxCHSE | 92.9\% | 98.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates, TxCHSE, and Continuers | 93.4\% | 98.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Class of 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Graduated | 91.8\% | 93.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Received TxCHSE | 1.0\% | 3.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Continued HS | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Dropped Out | 6.7\% | 3.9\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates and TxCHSE | 92.8\% | 96.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates, TxCHSE, and Continuers | 93.3\% | 96.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |



## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

## Texas Academic Performance Report <br> 2018-19 Campus Graduation Profile

Total Students: 2 Grade Span: 09-11

|  | Campus Count | Campus Percent | District Count | State Count |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Graduates (2017-18 Annual Graduates) |  |  |  |  |
| Total Graduates | - | - | 627 | 347,893 |
| By Ethnicity: |  |  |  |  |
| African American | - |  | 22 | 43,502 |
| Hispanic |  |  | 81 | 173,272 |
| White | - |  | 499 | 107,052 |
| American Indian | - |  | 5 | 1,226 |
| Asian |  |  | 10 | 15,589 |
| Pacific Islander |  |  | 1 | 528 |
| Two or More Races | - | - | 9 | 6,724 |
| By Graduation Type: |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum H.S. Program | - |  | 3 | 5,855 |
| Recommended H.S. Program/Distinguished Achievement Program |  |  | 3 | 3,538 |
| Foundation H.S. Program (No Endorsement) |  |  | 37 | 49,432 |
| Foundation H.S. Program (Endorsement) |  |  | 68 | 16,542 |
| Foundation H.S. Program (DLA) | - | - | 516 | 272,526 |
| Special Education Graduates | - | - | 41 | 25,962 |
| Economically Disadvantaged Graduates |  |  | 92 | 166,956 |
| LEP Graduates | - | - | 4 | 21,359 |
| At-Risk Graduates | - | - | 171 | 144,805 |



| College Ready Graduates *** |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| College Ready (Annual Graduates) <br> 2017-18 | $50.0 \%$ | $59.3 \%$ |
| TSI Criteria Graduates (Annual Graduates) |  |  |
| English Language Arts |  |  |
| 2017-18 |  |  |
| Mathematics <br> 2017-18 | $58.2 \%$ | $71.6 \%$ |
| Both Subjects <br> $2017-18$ | $46.0 \%$ | $58.7 \%$ |
|  | $42.1 \%$ | $57.7 \%$ |

Dual Course Credits (Annual Graduates)

| Any Subject |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2017-18$ |  |  |
| $2016-17$ | $20.7 \%$ | $26.8 \%$ |
|  | $19.9 \%$ | $21.8 \%$ |

AP/IB Met Criteria in Any Subject (Annual Graduates) Any Subject

| $2017-18$ | $20.4 \%$ | $16.1 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2016-17$ | $20.1 \%$ | $13.9 \%$ |


| Associate's Degree |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Associate's Degree (Annual Graduates) |  |
| $2017-18$ | $1.4 \%$ |
| $2016-17$ | $0.8 \%$ |

OnRampsCourse Credits (Annual Graduates)
2017-18 $0.0 \%$

| Career/Military Ready Graduates |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Career or Military Ready (Annual Graduates) |  |  |
| $2017-18$ | $28.7 \%$ | $48.3 \%$ |
| $2016-17$ | $13.2 \%$ | $11.3 \%$ |


| Approved Industry-Based Certification (Annual Graduates |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2017-18$ | $4.8 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ |
| $2016-17$ | $2.7 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |


| Graduate with Completed IEP and Workforce | Readiness (Annual Graduates) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2017-18$ | $1.7 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | - |
| $2016-17$ | $1.0 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | - |


| CTE Coherent Sequence Coursework Aligned with Industry-Based Certifications (Annual Graduates) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017-18 | $38.7 \%$ | $84.2 \%$ | - | - |
| $2016-17$ | $17.3 \%$ | $19.0 \%$ | - | - |

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 

Texas Academic Performance Report
Total Students: 2 Grade Span: 09-11


# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 

Texas Academic Performance Report
Total Students: 2 2018-19 Campus CCMR-Related Indicators

|  | State | District | Campus | African <br> American | Hispanic | White | American $\qquad$ | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | Special $\qquad$ | Econ Disadv | (Current) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TSIA Results (Graduates >= Criterion) (Annual Graduates) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reading |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 32.1\% | 50.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 23.4\% | 32.3\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 23.7\% | 38.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 19.8\% | 27.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Both Subjects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 18.1\% | 36.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 12.9\% | 22.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| CTE Coherent Sequence (Annual Graduates) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 58.4\% | 86.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 50.5\% | 83.9\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Completed and Received Credit for College Prep Courses (Annual Graduates)

| English Language Arts |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2017-18$ | $2.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| $2016-17$ | $0.8 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Mathematics | $3.9 \%$ | $9.9 \%$ |
| $2017-18$ | $1.4 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ |
| $2016-17$ |  |  |
| Both Subjects | $0.9 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| $2017-18$ | $0.2 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| $2016-17$ |  |  |


| AP/IB Results (Particip All Subjects | 11-12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 | 25.8\% | 22.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| 2017 | 26.2\% | 22.7\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| English Language Arts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 15.3\% | 9.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| 2017 | 15.9\% | 7.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 7.3\% | 4.6\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| 2017 | 7.2\% | 4.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Science |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 10.8\% | 10.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| 2017 | 10.9\% | 8.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Social Studies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 14.5\% | 16.3\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| 2017 | 15.0\% | 17.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |


| AP/IB Results (Examinees >= Criterion) (Grades 11-12) All Subjects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 | 50.7\% | 59.5\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| 2017 | 49.1\% | 47.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| English Language Arts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 42.5\% | 68.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| 2017 | 41.3\% | 59.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 52.8\% | 77.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 

Texas Academic Performance Report 2018-19 Campus CCMR-Related Indicators

Total Students: 2 Grade Span: 09-11 School Type: High School

|  | State | District | Campus | African American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | Special $\qquad$ | Econ Disadv | (Current) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 51.3\% | 71.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a |  | n/a |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 38.0\% | 59.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| 2017 | 38.3\% | 45.6\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 44.6\% | 47.3\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| 2017 | 41.4\% | 36.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| SAT/ACT Results (Annual Graduates) *** Tested |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 74.6\% | 68.7\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| 2016-17 | 73.5\% | 65.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 37.9\% | 61.5\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Average SAT Score (Annual Graduates) *** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Subjects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 1036 | 1131 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| English Language Arts and Writing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 521 | 569 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 515 | 561 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Average ACT Score (Annual Graduates) *** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Subjects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 20.6 | 24.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| English Language Arts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 20.3 | 24.1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 20.6 | 23.6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Science |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 20.9 | 23.6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY <br> Texas Academic Performance Report 

Total Students: 2 Grade Span: 09-11 School Type: High School

|  | State | District | Campus | African <br> American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | Special $\qquad$ | Econ Disadv | (Current) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Advanced Dual-Credit Course Completion (Grades 9-12) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Any Subject |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 43.4\% | 39.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 37.1\% | 29.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| English Language Arts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 17.3\% | 17.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 16.8\% | 5.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 20.7\% | 19.7\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 19.5\% | 16.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Science |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 21.2\% | 16.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 5.7\% | 2.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Social Studies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 22.8\% | 23.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 21.8\% | 21.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates Enrolled in Texas Institution of Higher Education (TX IHE) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2015-16 | 54.7\% | 60.5\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates in TX IHE Completing One Year Without Enrollment in a Developmental Education Course |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2016-17 | 59.2\% | 73.6\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2015-16 | 55.7\% | 74.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |


| Student Information | ---------------- Campus --------------- |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | Percent | District | State |
| Total Students | 2 | 100.0\% | 8,837 | 5,416,400 |
| Students by Grade: |  |  |  |  |
| Early Childhood Education | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% |
| Pre-Kindergarten | 0 | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 4.4\% |
| Kindergarten | 0 | 0.0\% | 6.2\% | 6.9\% |
| Grade 1 | 0 | 0.0\% | 7.3\% | 7.1\% |
| Grade 2 | 0 | 0.0\% | 7.1\% | 7.2\% |
| Grade 3 | 0 | 0.0\% | 6.7\% | 7.3\% |
| Grade 4 | 0 | 0.0\% | 7.2\% | 7.6\% |
| Grade 5 | 0 | 0.0\% | 7.6\% | 7.7\% |
| Grade 6 | 0 | 0.0\% | 8.4\% | 7.7\% |
| Grade 7 | 0 | 0.0\% | 8.2\% | 7.5\% |
| Grade 8 | 0 | 0.0\% | 8.7\% | 7.5\% |
| Grade 9 | 1 | 50.0\% | 8.4\% | 8.1\% |
| Grade 10 | 0 | 0.0\% | 7.9\% | 7.4\% |
| Grade 11 | 1 | 50.0\% | 7.4\% | 6.9\% |
| Grade 12 | 0 | 0.0\% | 6.6\% | 6.5\% |
| Ethnic Distribution: |  |  |  |  |
| African American | 1 | 50.0\% | 2.7\% | 12.6\% |
| Hispanic | 0 | 0.0\% | 15.4\% | 52.6\% |
| White | 1 | 50.0\% | 77.3\% | 27.4\% |
| American Indian | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.4\% |
| Asian | 0 | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 4.5\% |
| Pacific Islander | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% |
| Two or More Races | 0 | 0.0\% | 3.0\% | 2.4\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 1 | 50.0\% | 25.3\% | 60.6\% |
| Non-Educationally Disadvantaged | 1 | 50.0\% | 74.7\% | 39.4\% |
| Section 504 Students | 0 | 0.0\% | 10.3\% | 6.5\% |
| English Learners (EL) | 0 | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 19.5\% |
| Students w/ Disciplinary Placements (2017-18) | 11 | 64.7\% | 1.0\% | 1.4\% |
| Students w/ Dyslexia | 0 | 0.0\% | 4.4\% | 3.6\% |
| At-Risk | 2 | 100.0\% | 30.4\% | 50.1\% |
| Students with Disabilities by Type of Primary Disability: |  |  |  |  |
| Total Students with Disabilities | 0 |  |  |  |
| By Type of Primary Disability |  |  |  |  |
| Students with Intellectual Disabilities | 0 | 0.0\% | 37.5\% | 42.4\% |
| Students with Physical Disabilities | 0 | 0.0\% | 24.5\% | 21.9\% |
| Students with Autism | 0 | 0.0\% | 13.9\% | 13.7\% |
| Students with Behavioral Disabilities | 0 | 0.0\% | 22.8\% | 20.6\% |
| Students with Non-Categorical Early Childhood | 0 | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 1.4\% |
| Mobility (2017-18): |  |  |  |  |
| Total Mobile Students | 17 | 100.0\% | 10.8\% | 15.4\% |

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 

| Student Information |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | Percent |
| By Ethnicity: |  |  |
| African American | 2 | 11.8\% |
| Hispanic | 3 | 17.6\% |
| White | 12 | 70.6\% |
| American Indian | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Asian | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Pacific Islander | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Two or More Races | 0 | 0.0\% |

District
State

By Ethnicity:
$17.6 \%$
17.6\%
0.0\%
0.0\%
0.0\%
0.0\%
--------Special Education Rates-------
Campus $\begin{gathered}------ \text { Non-Special Education Rates-------- } \\ \text { District } \\ \text { State }\end{gathered}$ Campus District State

| Student Information | -------Non-Special Education Rates-------- |  |  | --------Special Education Rates------- |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Campus | District | State | Campus | District | State |
| Retention Rates by Grade: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kindergarten | - | 1.7\% | 1.7\% | - | 14.6\% | 6.2\% |
| Grade 1 | - | 3.3\% | 3.1\% | - | 1.9\% | 5.5\% |
| Grade 2 | - | 1.9\% | 1.8\% | - | 2.5\% | 2.3\% |
| Grade 3 | - | 0.7\% | 1.1\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.9\% |
| Grade 4 | - | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.5\% |
| Grade 5 | - | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.6\% |
| Grade 6 | - | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.5\% |
| Grade 7 | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.6\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.6\% |
| Grade 8 | - | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.7\% |
| Grade 9 | 0.0\% | 3.1\% | 7.2\% | - | 6.7\% | 12.7\% |

Class Size Averages by Grade and Subject
(Derived from teacher responsibility records):

| Elementary: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kindergarten | - | 17.3 | 18.9 |
| Grade 1 | - | 19.0 | 18.8 |
| Grade 2 | - | 19.3 | 18.7 |
| Grade 3 | - | 17.8 | 18.9 |
| Grade 4 | - | 17.9 | 19.2 |
| Grade 5 | - | 22.9 | 21.2 |
| Grade 6 | - | 23.5 | 20.4 |
| Secondary: |  |  |  |
| English/Language Arts | - | 18.6 | 16.6 |
| Foreign Languages | - | 18.9 | 18.9 |
| Mathematics | - | 21.9 | 17.8 |
| Science | - | 21.3 | 18.9 |
| Social Studies | - | 21.4 | 19.3 |

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

| Staff Information | Count/Average | Percent | District | State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Staff | - | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Professional Staff: | - |  | 66.8\% | 64.1\% |
| Teachers | - | - | 55.4\% | 49.8\% |
| Professional Support | - | - | 7.9\% | 10.1\% |
| Campus Administration (School Leadership) | - | - | 2.6\% | 3.0\% |
| Educational Aides: | - | - | 8.2\% | 10.3\% |
| Librarians \& Counselors (Headcount): |  |  |  |  |
| Librarians |  |  |  |  |
| Full-time | - | n/a | 4.0 | 4,414.0 |
| Part-time | - | n/a | 2.0 | 572.0 |
| Counselors |  |  |  |  |
| Full-time | - | n/a | 20.0 | 12,433.0 |
| Part-time | - | n/a | 0.0 | 1,097.0 |
| Total Minority Staff: | - | - | 11.9\% | 50.4\% |
| Teachers by Ethnicity and Sex: |  |  |  |  |
| African American | - | - | 1.4\% | 10.6\% |
| Hispanic | - | - | 6.0\% | 27.7\% |
| White | - | - | 92.0\% | 58.4\% |
| American Indian | - | - | 0.2\% | 0.3\% |
| Asian | - | - | 0.2\% | 1.7\% |
| Pacific Islander | - | - | 0.0\% | 0.2\% |
| Two or More Races | - | - | 0.3\% | 1.1\% |
| Males | - | - | 17.3\% | 23.8\% |
| Females | - | - | 82.7\% | 76.2\% |
| Teachers by Highest Degree Held: |  |  |  |  |
| No Degree | - | - | 1.3\% | 1.4\% |
| Bachelors | - | - | 74.1\% | 73.6\% |
| Masters | - | - | 23.9\% | 24.3\% |
| Doctorate | - | - | 0.7\% | 0.7\% |
| Teachers by Years of Experience: |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning Teachers | - | - | 4.0\% | 7.0\% |
| 1-5 Years Experience | - | - | 17.3\% | 28.9\% |
| 6-10 Years Experience | - | - | 18.8\% | 19.0\% |
| 11-20 Years Experience | - | - | 37.8\% | 29.3\% |
| Over 20 Years Experience | - | - | 22.1\% | 15.7\% |
| Number of Students per Teacher | - | n/a | 15.1 | 15.1 |


| Staff Information | Campus | District | State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Experience of Campus Leadership: |  |  |  |
| Average Years Experience of Principals | - | 6.3 | 6.3 |
| Average Years Experience of Principals with District | - | 4.1 | 5.4 |
| Average Years Experience of Assistant Principals | - | 7.1 | 5.3 |
| Average Years Experience of Assistant Principals with District | - | 5.3 | 4.7 |
| Average Years Experience of Teachers: | - | 13.6 | 11.1 |
| Average Years Experience of Teachers with District: | - | 6.6 | 7.2 |
| Average Teacher Salary by Years of Experience (regular duties only): |  |  |  |
| Beginning Teachers | - | \$45,948 | \$47,218 |
| 1-5 Years Experience | - | \$51,962 | \$50,408 |
| 6-10 Years Experience | - | \$54,468 | \$52,786 |
| 11-20 Years Experience | - | \$57,483 | \$56,041 |
| Over 20 Years Experience | - | \$63,962 | \$62,039 |
| Average Actual Salaries (regular duties only): |  |  |  |
| Teachers | - | \$56,934 | \$54,122 |
| Professional Support | - | \$64,178 | \$64,069 |
| Campus Administration (School Leadership) | - | \$83,903 | \$78,947 |
| Instructional Staff Percent: | n/a | 67.8\% | 64.5\% |
| Contracted Instructional Staff (not incl. above): | - | 6.0 | 6,043.6 |

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

| Program Information | ---------------- Campus ---------------- |  | District | State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | Percent |  |  |
| Student Enrollment by Program: |  |  |  |  |
| Bilingual/ESL Education | 0 | 0.0\% | 3.2\% | 19.7\% |
| Career \& Technical Education | 1 | 50.0\% | 28.9\% | 26.3\% |
| Gifted \& Talented Education | 0 | 0.0\% | 7.1\% | 8.1\% |
| Special Education | 0 | 0.0\% | 7.4\% | 9.6\% |
| Teachers by Program (population served): |  |  |  |  |
| Bilingual/ESL Education | - | - | 0.1\% | 6.4\% |
| Career \& Technical Education | - | - | 5.7\% | 4.9\% |
| Compensatory Education | - | - | 4.2\% | 2.7\% |
| Gifted \& Talented Education | - | - | 0.0\% | 2.0\% |
| Regular Education | - | - | 77.1\% | 71.4\% |
| Special Education | - | - | 12.9\% | 9.1\% |
| Other | - | - | 0.0\% | 3.6\% |

' 1 ' Indicates that rates for reading and mathematics are based on the cumulative results from the first and second administrations of STAAR.
'*' Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
'**' When only one student disability group is masked, then the second smallest student disability group is masked regardless of size.
${ }^{\prime * * * '}$ Due to changes in the evaluation of SAT/ACT results (for 2017-18 the best result was used, rather than the most recent), 2016-17 SAT/ACT results are not comparable and, where applicable, are not shown.
'-' Indicates there are no students in the group.
' $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ ' Indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.
'?' Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable or were reported outside a reasonable range.

## 2018-19 Texas Academic Performance Report

District Name: MONTGOMERY ISD
Campus Name: LAKE CREEK H S
Campus Number: 170903004

2019 Accountability Rating: A
Distinction Designations:
Academic Achievement in ELA/Reading

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 



## STAAR Performance Rates by Tested Grade, Subject, and Performance Level

End of Course English I
At Approaches Grade Level or
Above
At Meets Grade Level or Above
At Masters Grade Level
End of Course English II
At Approaches Grade Level or
Above
At Meets Grade Level or Above
At Masters Grade Level
End of Course Algebra I
At Approaches Grade Level or
Above
At Meets Grade Level or Above
At Masters Grade Level
End of Course Biology
At Approaches Grade Level or
Above
At Meets Grade Level or Above
At Masters Grade Level
End of Course U.S. History
At Approaches Grade Level or
Above
At Meets Grade Level or Above
At Masters Grade Level
All Grades All Subjects
At Approaches Grade Level or
Above
At Meets Grade Level or Above
At Masters Grade Level
All Grades ELA/Reading
At Approaches Grade Level or
Above
At Meets Grade Level or Above
At Masters Grade Level
All Grades Mathematics
At Approaches Grade Level or
Above
At Meets Grade Level or Above
At Masters Grade Level
All Grades Science
At Approaches Grade Level or
Above
At Meets Grade Level or Above
At Masters Grade Level
Aber

| 2019 | 68\% | 78\% | 82\% | 45\% | 64\% | 87\% | 40\% | 100\% | * | 82\% | 41\% | * | 83\% | 77\% | 59\% | 58\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2019 | 50\% | 65\% | 68\% | 45\% | 49\% | 73\% | 0\% | 100\% | * | 73\% | 24\% | * | 68\% | 67\% | 37\% | 25\% |
| 2019 | 11\% | 15\% | 18\% | 27\% | 2\% | 21\% | 0\% | 17\% | * | 36\% | 11\% | * | 17\% | 20\% | 6\% | 0\% |
| 2019 | 68\% | 81\% | 85\% | 29\% | 77\% | 89\% | 50\% | 100\% | - | 60\% | 38\% | * | 85\% | 84\% | 73\% | 63\% |
| 2019 | 49\% | 66\% | 68\% | 14\% | 57\% | 72\% | 33\% | 100\% | - | 60\% | 31\% | * | 68\% | 70\% | 56\% | 38\% |
| 2019 | 8\% | 11\% | 13\% | 0\% | 4\% | 16\% | 0\% | 17\% | - | 0\% | 23\% | * | 11\% | 18\% | 5\% | 0\% |
| 2019 | 85\% | 89\% | 86\% | 80\% | 72\% | 89\% | * | * | * | 83\% | 50\% | * | 85\% | 87\% | 76\% | 67\% |
| 2019 | 61\% | 69\% | 55\% | 40\% | 40\% | 58\% | * | * | * | 83\% | 39\% | * | 54\% | 56\% | 41\% | 22\% |
| 2019 | 37\% | 49\% | 32\% | 0\% | 21\% | 36\% | * | * | * | 33\% | 26\% | * | 31\% | 35\% | 21\% | 0\% |
| 2019 | 88\% | 93\% | 93\% | 100\% | 84\% | 96\% | * | 100\% | * | 90\% | 66\% | * | 93\% | 94\% | 83\% | 73\% |
| 2019 | 62\% | 73\% | 77\% | 71\% | 50\% | 83\% | * | 86\% | * | 80\% | 34\% | * | 76\% | 77\% | 48\% | 18\% |
| 2019 | 25\% | 26\% | 31\% | 14\% | 18\% | 35\% | * | 14\% | * | 40\% | 13\% | * | 30\% | 32\% | 13\% | 0\% |
| 2019 | 93\% | 98\% | 98\% | * | 100\% | 98\% | * | * | - | * | 88\% | - | 98\% | 98\% | 98\% | * |
| 2019 | 73\% | 89\% | 91\% | * | 80\% | 94\% | * | * | - | * | 75\% | - | 91\% | 90\% | 77\% | * |
| 2019 | 45\% | 56\% | 60\% | * | 46\% | 62\% | * | * | - | * | 63\% | - | 61\% | 57\% | 40\% | * |
| 2019 | 78\% | 88\% | 88\% | 59\% | 78\% | 92\% | 61\% | 100\% | * | 82\% | 51\% | 100\% | 88\% | 87\% | 75\% | 67\% |
| 2019 | 50\% | 64\% | 71\% | 44\% | 54\% | 76\% | 33\% | 91\% | * | 76\% | 34\% | 100\% | 71\% | 71\% | 50\% | 26\% |
| 2019 | 24\% | 32\% | 28\% | 16\% | 15\% | 32\% | 6\% | 22\% | * | 35\% | 20\% | 33\% | 27\% | 31\% | 14\% | 2\% |
| 2019 | 75\% | 85\% | 83\% | 39\% | 71\% | 88\% | 45\% | 100\% | * | 75\% | 40\% | * | 84\% | 80\% | 66\% | 60\% |
| 2019 | 48\% | 61\% | 68\% | 33\% | 53\% | 73\% | 18\% | 100\% | * | 69\% | 26\% | * | 68\% | 68\% | 47\% | 30\% |
| 2019 | 21\% | 28\% | 16\% | 17\% | 3\% | 18\% | 0\% | 17\% | * | 25\% | 15\% | * | 14\% | 19\% | 6\% | 0\% |
| 2019 | 82\% | 91\% | 86\% | 80\% | 72\% | 89\% | * | * | * | 83\% | 50\% | * | 85\% | 87\% | 76\% | 67\% |
| 2019 | 52\% | 68\% | 55\% | 40\% | 40\% | 58\% | * | * | * | 83\% | 39\% | * | 54\% | 56\% | 41\% | 22\% |
| 2019 | 26\% | 39\% | 32\% | 0\% | 21\% | 36\% | * | * | * | 33\% | 26\% | * | 31\% | 35\% | 21\% | 0\% |
| 2019 | 81\% | 90\% | 93\% | 100\% | 84\% | 96\% | * | 100\% | * | 90\% | 66\% | * | 93\% | 94\% | 83\% | 73\% |
| 2019 | 54\% | 65\% | 77\% | 71\% | 50\% | 83\% | * | 86\% | * | 80\% | 34\% | * | 76\% | 77\% | 48\% | 18\% |
| 2019 | 25\% | 28\% | 31\% | 14\% | 18\% | 35\% | * | 14\% | * | 40\% | 13\% | * | 30\% | 32\% | 13\% | 0\% |

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

## Texas Academic Performance Report <br> 2018-19 Campus STAAR Performance

Total Students: 913 Grade Span: 09-12 School Type: High School

|  |  | State | District | Campus | African American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific <br> Islander | Two or More Races | Special Ed (Current) | Special Ed (Former) | Continuously Enrolled | Non-Continuously Enrolled | Econ Disadv | EL (Current \& Monitored) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Grades Social Studies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Approaches Grade Level or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above | 2019 | 81\% | 90\% | 98\% | * | 100\% | 98\% | * | * | - | * | 88\% | - | 98\% | 98\% | 98\% | * |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 55\% | 70\% | 91\% | * | 80\% | 94\% | * | * | - | * | 75\% | - | 91\% | 90\% | 77\% | * |
| At Masters Grade Level | 2019 | 33\% | 44\% | 60\% | * | 46\% | 62\% | * | * | - | * | 63\% | - | 61\% | 57\% | 40\% | * |

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY <br> Texas Academic Performance Report <br> 2018-19 Campus Progress

Total Students: 913

|  |  | State | District | Campus | African American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | $\begin{gathered} \text { Special } \\ \text { Ed } \\ \text { (Current) } \end{gathered}$ | Special Ed (Former) | Continuously Enrolled | Non-Continuously Enrolled | $\begin{gathered} \text { Econ } \\ \text { Disadv } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  <br> Monitore |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School Progress Domain - Academic Growth Score by Grade and Subject |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| End of Course English II | $\begin{aligned} & 2019 \\ & 2018 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 69 \\ & 67 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 74 \\ & 67 \end{aligned}$ | $71$ | 42 | 78 - | 71 - | * | 83 | - | * | 80 | * | 70 - | 75 - | 71 - | 93 |
| End of Course Algebra I | $\begin{aligned} & 2019 \\ & 2018 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 75 \\ & 72 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 73 \\ & 82 \end{aligned}$ | $64$ | * | 54 | 66 | * | * | * | 83 | 53 | * | 63 | 68 | 61 | 38 |
| All Grades Both Subjects | $\begin{aligned} & 2019 \\ & 2018 \end{aligned}$ | 69 69 | 74 71 | 68 | 55 | 67 | 69 | 67 | 78 | * | 65 | 65 | * | 67 | 71 | 67 | 63 |
| All Grades ELA/Reading | 2019 | 68 | 70 | 71 | 42 | 78 | 71 | * | 83 | - | * | 80 | * | 70 | 75 | 71 | 93 |
|  | 2018 | 69 | 68 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| All Grades Mathematics | 2019 | 70 | 77 | 64 | * | 54 | 66 | * | * | * | 83 | 53 | * | 63 | 68 | 61 | 38 |
|  | 2018 | 70 | 74 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |  |  | - | - | - | - | - |

Total Students: 913
Grade Span: 00-12
Grade Span: 09-12 School Type: High School

Campus Name: LAKE CREEK H S Campus Number: 170903004

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY <br> <br> Texas Academic Performance Report <br> <br> Texas Academic Performance Report <br> <br> 2018-19 Campus Prior Year and Student Success Initiative 

 <br> <br> 2018-19 Campus Prior Year and Student Success Initiative}

|  | State | District | Campus | African American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | $\begin{gathered} \text { Special } \\ \text { Ed } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Econ Disadv | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{EL} \\ \text { (Current) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student Success Initiative |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 8 Reading <br> STAAR Met Standard (Non-Proficient in Previous Year) Promoted to Grade 9 | 13\% | 30\% | 13\% | - | 0\% | 14\% | - | - | * | - | 13\% | 14\% | * |
| Grade 8 Mathematics <br> STAAR Met Standard (Non-Proficient in Previous Year) Promoted to Grade 9 | 50\% | 53\% | 38\% | * | 40\% | 29\% | - | - | - | - | 20\% | 40\% | * |

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 



# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 

|  | State | District | Campus | African <br> American | Hispanic | White | American $\qquad$ | Asian | Pacific <br> Islander | Two or More Races | Special $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Econ } \\ \text { Disadv } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | (Current) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2019 STAAR Participation <br> (All Grades) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Tests |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Assessment Participant | 99\% | 98\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | * | 100\% | 99\% | 99\% | 100\% |
| Included in Accountability | 94\% | 94\% | 93\% | 91\% | 94\% | 93\% | 100\% | 82\% | * | 100\% | 90\% | 90\% | 45\% |
| Not Included in Accountability Mobile | 4\% | 3\% | 5\% | 9\% | 5\% | 6\% | 0\% | 4\% | * | 0\% | 7\% | 9\% | 27\% |
| Other Exclusions | 1\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 14\% | * | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 27\% |
| Not Tested | 1\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | * | 0\% | 1\% | 1\% | 0\% |
| Absent | 1\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | * | 0\% | 1\% | 1\% | 0\% |
| Other | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | * | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |

## 2018 STAAR Participation

(All Grades)
All Tests
Assessment Participant
Included in Accountability
Included in Accountability
Not Included in Accountability
Mobile
Other Exclusions
Not Tested
Absent
Other

| $99 \%$ | $98 \%$ | - | - | - | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $94 \%$ | $94 \%$ | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  | - | - |
| $4 \%$ | $4 \%$ | - | - | - | - |
| $1 \%$ | $0 \%$ | - | - | - | - |
| $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ | - | - | - | - |
| $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ | - | - | - | - |

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 

## Texas Academic Performance Report

Total Students: 913

|  | State | District | Campus | African American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Special } \\ \text { Ed } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Econ Disadv | $\begin{array}{r} \text { EL } \\ \text { (Current) } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Attendance Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 95.4\% | 95.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 95.7\% | 95.3\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Annual Dropout Rate (Gr 7-8) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 0.4\% | 0.3\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Annual Dropout Rate (Gr 9-12) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 1.9\% | 0.7\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 1.9\% | 0.6\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 4-Year Longitudinal Rate (Gr 9-12)Class of 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Graduated | 90.0\% | 97.5\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Received TxCHSE | 0.4\% | 0.5\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Continued HS | 3.8\% | 0.5\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Dropped Out | 5.7\% | 1.6\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates and TxCHSE | 90.4\% | 98.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates, TxCHSE, and Continuers | 94.3\% | 98.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Class of 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Graduated | 89.7\% | 93.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Received TxCHSE | 0.4\% | 1.7\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Continued HS | 4.0\% | 1.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Dropped Out | 5.9\% | 3.3\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates and TxCHSE | 90.1\% | 95.5\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates, TxCHSE, and Continuers | 94.1\% | 96.7\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 5-Year Extended Longitudinal Rate (Gr 9-12)Class of 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Graduated | 92.0\% | 94.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Received TxCHSE | 0.6\% | 1.9\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Continued HS | 1.1\% | 0.3\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Dropped Out | 6.3\% | 2.9\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates and TxCHSE | 92.6\% | 96.7\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates, TxCHSE, and Continuers | 93.7\% | 97.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Class of 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Graduated | 91.6\% | 96.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Received TxCHSE | 0.7\% | 2.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Continued HS | 1.2\% | 0.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Dropped Out | 6.6\% | 1.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates and TxCHSE | 92.2\% | 98.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates, TxCHSE, and Continuers | 93.4\% | 98.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |


| 6-Year Extended Longitudinal Rate (Gr 9-12) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Class of 2016 |  |  |
| Graduated | $92.1 \%$ | $96.2 \%$ |

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

## Texas Academic Performance Report

 2018-19 Campus Attendance, Graduation, and Dropout RatesTotal Students: 913 Grade Span: 09-12 School Type: High School

|  | State | District | Campus | African <br> American | Hispanic | White | American $\qquad$ | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | Special Ed $\qquad$ | Econ <br> Disadv | (Current) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Received TxCHSE | 0.8\% | 2.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Continued HS | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Dropped Out | 6.6\% | 1.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates and TxCHSE | 92.9\% | 98.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates, TxCHSE, and Continuers | 93.4\% | 98.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Class of 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Graduated | 91.8\% | 93.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Received TxCHSE | 1.0\% | 3.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Continued HS | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Dropped Out | 6.7\% | 3.9\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates and TxCHSE | 92.8\% | 96.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates, TxCHSE, and Continuers | 93.3\% | 96.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |



## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

|  | Campus Count | Campus Percent | District Count | State Count |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Graduates (2017-18 Annual Graduates) |  |  |  |  |
| Total Graduates | - | - | 627 | 347,893 |
| By Ethnicity: |  |  |  |  |
| African American | - | - | 22 | 43,502 |
| Hispanic | - | - | 81 | 173,272 |
| White | - | - | 499 | 107,052 |
| American Indian | - | - | 5 | 1,226 |
| Asian | - | - | 10 | 15,589 |
| Pacific Islander | - | - | 1 | 528 |
| Two or More Races | - | - | 9 | 6,724 |
| By Graduation Type: |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum H.S. Program | - | - | 3 | 5,855 |
| Recommended H.S. Program/Distinguished Achievement Program |  | - | 3 | 3,538 |
| Foundation H.S. Program (No Endorsement) | - | - | 37 | 49,432 |
| Foundation H.S. Program (Endorsement) |  | - | 68 | 16,542 |
| Foundation H.S. Program (DLA) | - | - | 516 | 272,526 |
| Special Education Graduates | - | - | 41 | 25,962 |
| Economically Disadvantaged Graduates | - | - | 92 | 166,956 |
| LEP Graduates | - | - | 4 | 21,359 |
| At-Risk Graduates | - | - | 171 | 144,805 |



| College Ready Graduates *** |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| College Ready (Annual Graduates) |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 50.0\% | 59.3\% |
| TSI Criteria Graduates (Annual Graduates) |  |  |
| English Language Arts |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 58.2\% | 71.6\% |
| Mathematics |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 46.0\% | 58.7\% |
| Both Subjects |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 42.1\% | 57.7\% |

Dual Course Credits (Annual Graduates)
Any Subject
2017-18
$2016-17$

AP/IB Met Criteria in Any Subject (Annual Graduates) Any Subject

| $2017-18$ | $20.4 \%$ | $16.1 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2016-17$ | $20.1 \%$ | $13.9 \%$ |


| Associate's Degree |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Associate's Degree (Annual Graduates) |  |
| $2017-18$ | $1.4 \%$ |
| $2016-17$ | $0.8 \%$ |

OnRampsCourse Credits (Annual Graduates)
2017-18 $0.0 \%$

| Career/Military Ready Graduates |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Career or Military Ready (Annual Graduates) |  |  |
| $2017-18$ | $28.7 \%$ | $48.3 \%$ |
| $2016-17$ | $13.2 \%$ | $11.3 \%$ |


| Approved Industry-Based Certification (Annual Graduates |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2017-18$ | $4.8 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ |
| $2016-17$ | $2.7 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |


| Graduate with Completed IEP and Workforce | Readiness (Annual Graduates) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2017-18$ | $1.7 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | - |
| $2016-17$ | $1.0 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | - |


| CTE Coherent Sequence Coursework Aligned with Industry-Based Certifications (Annual Graduates) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2017-18$ | $38.7 \%$ | $84.2 \%$ | - | - |
| $2016-17$ | $17.3 \%$ | $19.0 \%$ | - | - |

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 

Texas Academic Performance Report
Total Students: 913
2018-19 Campus College, Career, and Military Readiness (CCMR)

American Pacific | Two or |
| ---: |
| More |

| More | Special <br> Races | Econ <br> Disadv | EL <br> (Current) |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |


| U.S. Armed Forces Enlistment(Annual Graduates) |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2017-18$ | $4.3 \%$ | $2.1 \%$ |
| $2016-17$ | $2.2 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ |

Graduates under an Advanced Degree Plan and Identified as a current Special Education Student (Annual Graduates) 2017-18
2.6\% 2.6\%
$\begin{array}{ccc}\text { Graduates with Level I or Level II Certificate (Annual Graduates) } \\ 2017-18 & 0.6 \% & 0.2 \%\end{array}$ 2017-18 0.6\% 0.2\% 2016-17 0.5\% 0.0\%

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 

Texas Academic Performance Report
Total Students: 913


Completed and Received Credit for College Prep Courses (Annual Graduates)

| English Language Arts |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2017-18$ | $2.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| 2016-17 | $0.8 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Mathematics | $3.9 \%$ | $9.9 \%$ |
| $2017-18$ | $1.4 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ |
| $2016-17$ |  |  |
| Both Subjects | $0.9 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| $2017-18$ | $0.2 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| $2016-17$ |  |  |


| AP/IB Results (Particip All Subjects | 11-12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 | 25.8\% | 22.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| 2017 | 26.2\% | 22.7\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| English Language Arts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 15.3\% | 9.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| 2017 | 15.9\% | 7.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 7.3\% | 4.6\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| 2017 | 7.2\% | 4.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Science |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 10.8\% | 10.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| 2017 | 10.9\% | 8.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Social Studies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 14.5\% | 16.3\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| 2017 | 15.0\% | 17.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |


| AP/IB Results (Examinees >= Criterion) (Grades 11-12) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Subjects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 50.7\% | 59.5\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| 2017 | 49.1\% | 47.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| English Language Arts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 42.5\% | 68.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| 2017 | 41.3\% | 59.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 52.8\% | 77.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Texas Academic Performance Report
Total Students: 913 2018-19 Campus CCMR-Related Indicators

District Name: MONTGOMERY ISD
Campus Name: LAKE CREEK H S
Campus Number: 170903004

|  | State | District | Campus | African American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | Special Ed | Econ Disadv | (Current) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 51.3\% | 71.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Science |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 38.0\% | 59.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| 2017 | 38.3\% | 45.6\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Social Studies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 44.6\% | 47.3\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| 2017 | 41.4\% | 36.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| SAT/ACT Results (Annual Graduates) *** Tested |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 74.6\% | 68.7\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| 2016-17 | 73.5\% | 65.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 37.9\% | 61.5\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Average SAT Score (Annual Graduates) *** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Subjects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 1036 | 1131 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| English Language Arts and Writing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 521 | 569 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 515 | 561 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Average ACT Score (Annual Graduates) *** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Subjects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 20.6 | 24.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| English Language Arts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 20.3 | 24.1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 20.6 | 23.6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 20.9 | 23.6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY



# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 

District Name: MONTGOMERY ISD
Texas Academic Performance Report
Campus Name: LAKE CREEK H S
Campus Number: 170903004

## 2018-19 Campus Student Information

| Student Information | -------------- Campus ------------ |  | District | State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | Percent |  |  |
| Total Students | 913 | 100.0\% | 8,837 | 5,416,400 |
| Students by Grade: |  |  |  |  |
| Early Childhood Education | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% |
| Pre-Kindergarten | 0 | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 4.4\% |
| Kindergarten | 0 | 0.0\% | 6.2\% | 6.9\% |
| Grade 1 | 0 | 0.0\% | 7.3\% | 7.1\% |
| Grade 2 | 0 | 0.0\% | 7.1\% | 7.2\% |
| Grade 3 | 0 | 0.0\% | 6.7\% | 7.3\% |
| Grade 4 | 0 | 0.0\% | 7.2\% | 7.6\% |
| Grade 5 | 0 | 0.0\% | 7.6\% | 7.7\% |
| Grade 6 | 0 | 0.0\% | 8.4\% | 7.7\% |
| Grade 7 | 0 | 0.0\% | 8.2\% | 7.5\% |
| Grade 8 | 0 | 0.0\% | 8.7\% | 7.5\% |
| Grade 9 | 351 | 38.4\% | 8.4\% | 8.1\% |
| Grade 10 | 320 | 35.0\% | 7.9\% | 7.4\% |
| Grade 11 | 231 | 25.3\% | 7.4\% | 6.9\% |
| Grade 12 | 11 | 1.2\% | 6.6\% | 6.5\% |
| Ethnic Distribution: |  |  |  |  |
| African American | 15 | 1.6\% | 2.7\% | 12.6\% |
| Hispanic | 141 | 15.4\% | 15.4\% | 52.6\% |
| White | 712 | 78.0\% | 77.3\% | 27.4\% |
| American Indian | 9 | 1.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.4\% |
| Asian | 16 | 1.8\% | 1.0\% | 4.5\% |
| Pacific Islander | 2 | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% |
| Two or More Races | 18 | 2.0\% | 3.0\% | 2.4\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 203 | 22.2\% | 25.3\% | 60.6\% |
| Non-Educationally Disadvantaged | 710 | 77.8\% | 74.7\% | 39.4\% |
| Section 504 Students | 102 | 11.2\% | 10.3\% | 6.5\% |
| English Learners (EL) | 13 | 1.4\% | 2.3\% | 19.5\% |
| Students w/ Disciplinary Placements (2017-18) | 0 | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.4\% |
| Students w/ Dyslexia | 33 | 3.6\% | 4.4\% | 3.6\% |
| At-Risk | 349 | 38.2\% | 30.4\% | 50.1\% |
| Students with Disabilities by Type of Primary Disability: |  |  |  |  |
| Total Students with Disabilities | 89 |  |  |  |
| By Type of Primary Disability |  |  |  |  |
| Students with Intellectual Disabilities | 45 | 50.6\% | 37.5\% | 42.4\% |
| Students with Physical Disabilities | * | * | 24.5\% | 21.9\% |
| Students with Autism | ** | ** | 13.9\% | 13.7\% |
| Students with Behavioral Disabilities | 29 | 32.6\% | 22.8\% | 20.6\% |
| Students with Non-Categorical Early Childhood | 0 | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 1.4\% |
| Mobility (2017-18): |  |  |  |  |
| Total Mobile Students | 0 | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 15.4\% |

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Texas Academic Performance Report 2018-19 Campus Student Information

District Name: MONTGOMERY ISD

Total Students: 913 Grade Span: 09-12 School Type: High School

| Student Information | ---------------- Campus --------------- |  | District | State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | Percent |  |  |
| By Ethnicity: |  |  |  |  |
| African American | 0 | 0.0\% |  |  |
| Hispanic | 0 | 0.0\% |  |  |
| White | 0 | 0.0\% |  |  |
| American Indian | 0 | 0.0\% |  |  |
| Asian | 0 | 0.0\% |  |  |
| Pacific Islander | 0 | 0.0\% |  |  |
| Two or More Races | 0 | 0.0\% |  |  |

Class Size Averages by Grade and Subject
(Derived from teacher responsibility records):

| Elementary: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kindergarten | - | 17.3 | 18.9 |
| Grade 1 |  | 19.0 | 18.8 |
| Grade 2 |  | 19.3 | 18.7 |
| Grade 3 |  | 17.8 | 18.9 |
| Grade 4 | - | 17.9 | 19.2 |
| Grade 5 | - | 22.9 | 21.2 |
| Grade 6 | - | 23.5 | 20.4 |
| Secondary: |  |  |  |
| English/Language Arts | 15.4 | 18.6 | 16.6 |
| Foreign Languages | 17.1 | 18.9 | 18.9 |
| Mathematics | 19.0 | 21.9 | 17.8 |
| Science | 19.8 | 21.3 | 18.9 |
| Social Studies | 21.7 | 21.4 | 19.3 |

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 

Total Students: 913 Grade Span: 09-12 School Type: High School

| Staff Information | Count/Average | Percent | District | State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Staff | 91.3 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Professional Staff: | 85.3 | 93.4\% | 66.8\% | 64.1\% |
| Teachers | 73.5 | 80.5\% | 55.4\% | 49.8\% |
| Professional Support | 7.8 | 8.6\% | 7.9\% | 10.1\% |
| Campus Administration (School Leadership) | 4.0 | 4.4\% | 2.6\% | 3.0\% |
| Educational Aides: | 6.0 | 6.6\% | 8.2\% | 10.3\% |
| Librarians \& Counselors (Headcount): |  |  |  |  |
| Librarians |  |  |  |  |
| Full-time | 0.0 | n/a | 4.0 | 4,414.0 |
| Part-time | 0.0 | n/a | 2.0 | 572.0 |
| Counselors |  |  |  |  |
| Full-time | 3.0 | n/a | 20.0 | 12,433.0 |
| Part-time | 1.0 | n/a | 0.0 | 1,097.0 |
| Total Minority Staff: | 11.8 | 12.9\% | 11.9\% | 50.4\% |
| Teachers by Ethnicity and Sex: |  |  |  |  |
| African American | 1.0 | 1.4\% | 1.4\% | 10.6\% |
| Hispanic | 9.8 | 13.3\% | 6.0\% | 27.7\% |
| White | 62.7 | 85.4\% | 92.0\% | 58.4\% |
| American Indian | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% |
| Asian | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 1.7\% |
| Pacific Islander | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% |
| Two or More Races | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 1.1\% |
| Males | 29.2 | 39.8\% | 17.3\% | 23.8\% |
| Females | 44.3 | 60.2\% | 82.7\% | 76.2\% |
| Teachers by Highest Degree Held: |  |  |  |  |
| No Degree | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 1.4\% |
| Bachelors | 54.6 | 74.2\% | 74.1\% | 73.6\% |
| Masters | 18.9 | 25.8\% | 23.9\% | 24.3\% |
| Doctorate | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.7\% |
| Teachers by Years of Experience: |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning Teachers | 2.1 | 2.9\% | 4.0\% | 7.0\% |
| 1-5 Years Experience | 18.1 | 24.7\% | 17.3\% | 28.9\% |
| 6-10 Years Experience | 11.0 | 15.0\% | 18.8\% | 19.0\% |
| 11-20 Years Experience | 23.3 | 31.7\% | 37.8\% | 29.3\% |
| Over 20 Years Experience | 19.0 | 25.9\% | 22.1\% | 15.7\% |
| Number of Students per Teacher | 12.4 | n/a | 15.1 | 15.1 |

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Total Students: 913 Grade Span: 09-12 School Type: High School

Staff Information

Campus
District

Experience of Campus Leadership:
Average Years Experience of Principals
Average Years Experience of Principals with Distric
Average Years Experience of Assistant Principals
Average Years Experience of Assistant Principals with District

Average Years Experience of Teachers:
Average Years Experience of Teachers with District:
Average Teacher Salary by Years of Experience (regular duties only): Beginning Teachers
1-5 Years Experience
6-10 Years Experience
11-20 Years Experience
Over 20 Years Experience
Average Actual Salaries (regular duties only):
Teachers
Professional Support
Campus Administration (School Leadership)
Instructional Staff Percent:
Contracted Instructional Staff (not incl. above):
$9.7 \quad 4.1$
8.0
13.5
5.1
\$52,662
\$51,937
\$54,270
\$57,426
\$63,271
\$56,975
\$66,662
\$91,544
n/a
1.8
7.1
5.3
13.6
\$63,962
67.8\%
6.0
6.3 -
$\begin{array}{ll}4.1 & 5.4\end{array}$
5.4
11.1
7.2
$\begin{array}{ll}\$ 45,948 & \$ 47,218 \\ \$ 51,962 & \$ 50,408 \\ \$ 54,468 & \$ 52,786 \\ \$ 57,483 & \$ 56,041 \\ \$ 63,962 & \$ 62,039\end{array}$
$\$ 62,039$
\$56,934 \$54.122
$\$ 64,178 \quad \$ 64,069$
\$83,903 \$78,947
64.5\%

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

District Name: MONTGOMERY ISD

## Texas Academic Performance Report <br> 2018-19 Campus Staff Information

Total Students: 913
Grade Span: 09-12
Campus Number: 170903004

| Program Information | ---------------- Campus ---------------- |  | District | State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | Percent |  |  |
| Student Enrollment by Program: |  |  |  |  |
| Bilingual/ESL Education | 12 | 1.3\% | 3.2\% | 19.7\% |
| Career \& Technical Education | 864 | 94.6\% | 28.9\% | 26.3\% |
| Gifted \& Talented Education | 62 | 6.8\% | 7.1\% | 8.1\% |
| Special Education | 89 | 9.7\% | 7.4\% | 9.6\% |
| Teachers by Program (population served): |  |  |  |  |
| Bilingual/ESL Education | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 6.4\% |
| Career \& Technical Education | 12.4 | 16.9\% | 5.7\% | 4.9\% |
| Compensatory Education | 0.1 | 0.2\% | 4.2\% | 2.7\% |
| Gifted \& Talented Education | 0.1 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% |
| Regular Education | 43.0 | 58.4\% | 77.1\% | 71.4\% |
| Special Education | 17.9 | 24.3\% | 12.9\% | 9.1\% |
| Other | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.6\% |

' $\wedge$ ' Indicates that rates for reading and mathematics are based on the cumulative results from the first and second administrations of STAAR.
'*' Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
'**' When only one student disability group is masked, then the second smallest student disability group is masked regardless of size.
${ }^{\prime * * * *}$ Due to changes in the evaluation of SAT/ACT results (for 2017-18 the best result was used, rather than the most recent), 2016-17 SAT/ACT results are not comparable and, where applicable, are not shown.
'-' Indicates there are no students in the group.
' $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ ' Indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.
'?' Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable or were reported outside a reasonable range.

## 2018-19 Texas Academic Performance Report

District Name: MONTGOMERY ISD
Campus Name: MONTGOMERY J H
Campus Number: 170903042

2019 Accountability Rating: B
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## STAAR Performance Rates by Tested Grade, Subject, and Performance Level
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TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

|  |  | State | District | Campus | African <br> American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific <br> Islander | Two or More Races | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Special } \\ & \text { Ed } \\ & \text { (Current) } \end{aligned}$ | Special Ed (Former) | Continuously Enrolled | Non- <br> Continuously Enrolled | Econ Disadv | EL (Current \& Monitored) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Approaches Grade Level or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above | 2019 | 82\% | 91\% | 92\% | 74\% | 94\% | 93\% | * | 75\% | * | 86\% | 53\% | 93\% | 93\% | 90\% | 84\% | 93\% |
|  | 2018 | 81\% | 91\% | 90\% | 65\% | 88\% | 92\% | 60\% | 80\% | * | 83\% | 45\% | 92\% | 90\% | 90\% | 78\% | 86\% |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 52\% | 68\% | 73\% | 41\% | 74\% | 76\% | * | 75\% | * | 59\% | 33\% | 79\% | 76\% | 66\% | 55\% | 72\% |
|  | 2018 | 50\% | 66\% | 65\% | 37\% | 50\% | 69\% | 60\% | 80\% | * | 52\% | 16\% | 33\% | 66\% | 63\% | 43\% | 42\% |
| At Masters Grade Level | 2019 | 26\% | 39\% | 42\% | 13\% | 40\% | 44\% | * | 50\% | * | 27\% | 13\% | 0\% | 44\% | 36\% | 21\% | 30\% |
|  | 2018 | 24\% | 35\% | 33\% | 9\% | 25\% | 35\% | 40\% | 40\% | * | 22\% | 3\% | 8\% | 33\% | 32\% | 17\% | 12\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Approaches Grade Level or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above | 2019 | 68\% | 80\% | 79\% | 40\% | 76\% | 83\% | * | * | * | 75\% | 26\% | * | 81\% | 76\% | 54\% | 71\% |
|  | 2018 | 66\% | 77\% | 79\% | 67\% | 67\% | 82\% | * | * | - | 63\% | 6\% | * | 78\% | 82\% | 63\% | 63\% |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 38\% | 50\% | 53\% | 10\% | 63\% | 54\% | * | * | * | 50\% | 21\% | * | 55\% | 48\% | 33\% | 64\% |
|  | 2018 | 41\% | 52\% | 55\% | 33\% | 41\% | 58\% | * | * | - | 50\% | 6\% | * | 53\% | 62\% | 38\% | 50\% |
| At Masters Grade Level | 2019 | 14\% | 19\% | 21\% | 0\% | 26\% | 20\% | * | * | * | 25\% | 5\% | * | 22\% | 17\% | 13\% | 14\% |
|  | 2018 | 13\% | 16\% | 22\% | 25\% | 15\% | 24\% | * | * | - | 0\% | 6\% | * | 21\% | 26\% | 8\% | 6\% |
| All Grades Science |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Approaches Grade Level or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above | 2019 | 81\% | 90\% | 88\% | 79\% | 82\% | 90\% | * | * | * | 75\% | 47\% | 100\% | 90\% | 86\% | 78\% | 85\% |
|  | 2018 | 80\% | 87\% | 84\% | 63\% | 78\% | 86\% | * | * | - | 75\% | 29\% | 100\% | 85\% | 81\% | 70\% | 67\% |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 54\% | 65\% | 66\% | 64\% | 51\% | 69\% | * | * | * | 63\% | 7\% | 33\% | 68\% | 62\% | 51\% | 31\% |
|  | 2018 | 51\% | 61\% | 61\% | 32\% | 34\% | 67\% | * | * | - | 63\% | 10\% | 60\% | 62\% | 59\% | 42\% | 0\% |
| At Masters Grade Level | 2019 | 25\% | 28\% | 35\% | 14\% | 27\% | 38\% | * | * | * | 25\% | 7\% | 0\% | 35\% | 34\% | 18\% | 15\% |
|  | 2018 | 23\% | 28\% | 35\% | 11\% | 17\% | 39\% | * | * | - | 38\% | 10\% | 20\% | 38\% | 29\% | 18\% | 0\% |
| All Grades Social Studies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Approaches Grade Level or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above | 2019 | 81\% | 90\% | 86\% | 79\% | 82\% | 87\% | * | * | * | 75\% | 53\% | 83\% | 86\% | 84\% | 75\% | 77\% |
|  | 2018 | 78\% | 86\% | 79\% | 65\% | 71\% | 82\% | * | * | - | 75\% | 27\% | 80\% | 80\% | 77\% | 66\% | 67\% |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 55\% | 70\% | 53\% | 36\% | 45\% | 55\% | * | * | * | 38\% | 13\% | 50\% | 55\% | 47\% | 32\% | 23\% |
|  | 2018 | 53\% | 63\% | 47\% | 30\% | 34\% | 50\% | * | * | - | 63\% | 14\% | 20\% | 51\% | 37\% | 32\% | 22\% |
| At Masters Grade Level | 2019 | 33\% | 44\% | 32\% | 36\% | 18\% | 34\% | * | * | * | 25\% | 0\% | 17\% | 32\% | 30\% | 17\% | 8\% |
|  | 2018 | 31\% | 38\% | 28\% | 10\% | 12\% | 31\% | * | * | - | 50\% | 9\% | 0\% | 29\% | 25\% | 12\% | 0\% |



## School Progress Domain - Academic Growth Score by Grade and Subject

| Grade 6 ELA/Reading | 2019 | 42 | 45 | 38 | 22 | 51 | 38 | * | * | * | 17 | 42 | 0 | 41 | 32 | 34 | 41 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2018 | 47 | 49 | 46 | 14 | 58 | 45 | * | * | - | 40 | 50 | 60 | 46 | 46 | 40 | 69 |
| Grade 6 Mathematics | 2019 | 54 | 73 | 70 | 58 | 71 | 71 | * | * | * | 67 | 52 | * | 73 | 64 | 60 | 88 |
|  | 2018 | 56 | 68 | 62 | 46 | 63 | 64 | * | * | - | 30 | 36 | 70 | 59 | 69 | 50 | 56 |
| Grade 7 ELA/Reading | 2019 | 77 | 78 | 76 | 70 | 72 | 78 | * | * | - | 64 | 60 | * | 78 | 71 | 66 | 54 |
|  | 2018 | 76 | 75 | 73 | 59 | 64 | 75 | * | * | - | 50 | 59 | * | 73 | 72 | 67 | 73 |
| Grade 7 Mathematics | 2019 | 63 | 74 | 77 | 35 | 79 | 80 | * | * | - | 58 | 39 | * | 77 | 77 | 64 | 70 |
|  | 2018 | 67 | 71 | 65 | 56 | 66 | 65 | * | * | - | 67 | 56 | * | 66 | 64 | 59 | 79 |
| Grade 8 ELA/Reading | 2019 | 77 | 78 | 79 | 93 | 81 | 79 | * | * | - | 86 | 77 | 50 | 79 | 80 | 76 | 77 |
|  | 2018 | 79 | 80 | 78 | 73 | 78 | 79 | * | * | - | 75 | 67 | 80 | 80 | 75 | 79 | 69 |
| Grade 8 Mathematics | 2019 | 84 | 90 | 94 | 92 | 98 | 94 | * | * | - | 100 | 91 | 83 | 94 | 94 | 89 | 97 |
|  | 2018 | 81 | 83 | 87 | 81 | 90 | 87 | - | - | - | 83 | 53 | 90 | 86 | 90 | 84 | 96 |
| End of Course Algebra I | 2019 | 75 | 73 | 95 | * | 100 | 95 | - | - | - | * | - | - | 96 | 91 | 82 | * |
|  | 2018 | 72 | 82 | 90 | * | 100 | 89 | * | * | - | * | * | - | 90 | 88 | 93 | - |
| All Grades Both Subjects | 2019 | 69 | 74 | 74 | 60 | 76 | 74 | 88 | 65 | * | 67 | 57 | 54 | 75 | 69 | 65 | 72 |
|  | 2018 | 69 | 71 | 70 | 58 | 71 | 71 | 60 | 86 | - | 63 | 54 | 72 | 70 | 70 | 64 | 73 |
| All Grades ELA/Reading | 2019 | 68 | 70 | 65 | 57 | 68 | 65 | * | 50 | * | 58 | 56 | 39 | 67 | 60 | 58 | 56 |
|  | 2018 | 69 | 68 | 66 | 51 | 66 | 67 | 60 | * | - | 58 | 59 | 73 | 67 | 63 | 62 | 71 |
| All Grades Mathematics | 2019 | 70 | 77 | 82 | 62 | 84 | 83 | * | 83 | * | 76 | 58 | 69 | 84 | 79 | 72 | 87 |
|  | 2018 | 70 | 74 | 74 | 65 | 75 | 75 | 60 | * | - | 68 | 49 | 71 | 73 | 77 | 66 | 74 |

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Texas Academic Performance Report
Total Students: 1,151 2018-19 Campus Prior Year and Student Success Initiative


## Progress of Prior-Year Non-Proficient Students

## Sum of Grades 4-8

| Reading | 2019 | 41\% | 49\% | 42\% | 36\% | 48\% | 42\% | * | * | - | 50\% | 23\% | 33\% | 20\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2018 | 38\% | 47\% | 40\% | 45\% | 41\% | 41\% | * | * | - | * | 18\% | 40\% | * |
| Mathematics | 2019 | 45\% | 60\% | 61\% | 33\% | 83\% | 61\% | * | * | * | * | 23\% | 49\% | * |
|  | 2018 | 47\% | 56\% | 57\% | 50\% | 65\% | 56\% | - | - | - | * | * | 53\% | * |

Student Success Initiative

## Grade 5 Reading

STAAR Met Standard (Non-Proficient in Previous Year)
$\begin{array}{llllllllll}\text { Promoted to Grade } 6 & 2019 & 9 \% & 19 \% & \mathbf{2 0 \%} & * & * & 20 \%\end{array}$
Grade 5 Mathematics
STAAR Met Standard (Non-Proficient in Previous Year) Promoted to Grade 6

2019
24\%

63\%

## Grade 8 Reading

Students Meeting Approaches Grade Level on First STAAR Administratio

|  | 2019 | 78\% | 88\% | 87\% | 71\% | 84\% | 88\% | * | * | * | 88\% | 47\% | 79\% | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Students Requiring Accelerated Instruction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2019 | 22\% | 12\% | 13\% | 29\% | 16\% | 12\% | * | * | * | 13\% | 53\% | 21\% | - |
| STAAR CumulativeMet Standard | 2019 | 85\% | 92\% | 92\% | 86\% | 89\% | 93\% | * | * | * | 88\% | 47\% | 86\% | - |
| STAAR Non-Proficient Students Promoted by | $\begin{gathered} \text { rade } P \\ 2018 \end{gathered}$ | 99\% | 100\% | 100\% | * | * | 100\% | - | - | - | * | * | 100\% | * |

Grade 8 Mathematics

| Students Meeting Approaches Grade Level on First STAAR Administration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2019 | 82\% | 96\% | 96\% | 92\% | 96\% | 96\% | * | * | * | 88\% | 71\% | 92\% |
| Students Requiring Accelerated Instruction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2019 | 18\% | 4\% | 4\% | 8\% | 4\% | 4\% | * | * | * | 13\% | 29\% | 8\% |
| STAAR CumulativeMet Standard |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2019 | 88\% | 97\% | 97\% | 92\% | 96\% | 98\% | * | * | * | 88\% | 71\% | 92\% |
| STAAR Non-Proficient Students Promoted by Grade Placement Committee |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2018 | 98\% | 100\% | 100\% | * | - | 100\% | - | - | - | * | * | 100\% |

Bilingual BE-Trans BE-Trans BE-Dual BE-Dual ESL ESL LEP No LEP with Total


# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 

Bilingual BE-Trans BE-Trans BE-Dual BE-Dual ESL ESL LEP No LEP with Total


# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 

|  | State | District | Campus | African <br> American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | Special $\qquad$ | Econ Disadv | (Current) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2019 STAAR Participation (All Grades) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Tests |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Assessment Participant | 99\% | 98\% | 97\% | 99\% | 98\% | 97\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 97\% | 96\% | 96\% | 97\% |
| Included in Accountability | 94\% | 94\% | 94\% | 93\% | 91\% | 95\% | 86\% | 100\% | 100\% | 88\% | 88\% | 89\% | 70\% |
| Not Included in Accountability |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobile | 4\% | 3\% | 2\% | 6\% | 5\% | 2\% | 14\% | 0\% | 0\% | 9\% | 5\% | 6\% | 27\% |
| Other Exclusions | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 4\% | 1\% | 0\% |
| Not Tested | 1\% | 2\% | 3\% | 1\% | 2\% | 3\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 3\% | 4\% | 4\% | 3\% |
| Absent | 1\% | 2\% | 3\% | 1\% | 2\% | 3\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% | 3\% | 4\% | 3\% |
| Other | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% |

## 2018 STAAR Participation

(All Grades)

| All Tests |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assessment Participant | 99\% | 98\% | 97\% | 97\% | 98\% | 97\% | 100\% | 94\% | * | 91\% | 92\% | 96\% | 97\% |
| Included in Accountability | 94\% | 94\% | 92\% | 90\% | 96\% | 92\% | 100\% | 94\% | * | 91\% | 88\% | 89\% | 92\% |
| Not Included in Accountability |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobile | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 7\% | 1\% | 5\% | 0\% | 0\% | * | 0\% | 4\% | 7\% | 6\% |
| Other Exclusions | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | * | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Not Tested | 1\% | 2\% | 3\% | 3\% | 2\% | 3\% | 0\% | 6\% | * | 9\% | 8\% | 4\% | 3\% |
| Absent | 1\% | 2\% | 3\% | 3\% | 2\% | 3\% | 0\% | 6\% | * | 8\% | 8\% | 4\% | 3\% |
| Other | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | * | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |

## 2018-19 Campus Attendance, Graduation, and Dropout Rates

Grade Span: 06 School Type: Middle Campus Number: 170903042

|  | State | District | Campus | African <br> American | Hispanic | White | American $\qquad$ | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Special } \\ \text { Ed } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Econ Disadv | (Current) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Attendance Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 95.4\% | 95.2\% | 95.3\% | 96.2\% | 95.2\% | 95.4\% | 95.8\% | 97.1\% | * | 93.4\% | 94.1\% | 94.0\% | 95.8\% |
| 2016-17 | 95.7\% | 95.3\% | 95.8\% | 96.7\% | 96.3\% | 95.6\% | 95.8\% | 97.9\% | * | 95.8\% | 94.7\% | 94.7\% | 96.6\% |
| Annual Dropout Rate (Gr 7-8) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 0.4\% | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | * | * | - | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | * |
| 2016-17 | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 1.0\% | 4.8\% |


| 4-Year Longitudinal Rate (G Class of 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Graduated | 90.0\% | 97.5\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Received TxCHSE | 0.4\% | 0.5\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Continued HS | 3.8\% | 0.5\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Dropped Out | 5.7\% | 1.6\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates and TxCHSE | 90.4\% | 98.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates, TxCHSE, and Continuers | 94.3\% | 98.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Class of 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Graduated | 89.7\% | 93.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Received TxCHSE | 0.4\% | 1.7\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Continued HS | 4.0\% | 1.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Dropped Out | 5.9\% | 3.3\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates and TxCHSE | 90.1\% | 95.5\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates, TxCHSE, and Continuers | 94.1\% | 96.7\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 5-Year Extended Longitudin | (Gr 9-12) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Class of 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Graduated | 92.0\% | 94.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Received TxCHSE | 0.6\% | 1.9\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Continued HS | 1.1\% | 0.3\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Dropped Out | 6.3\% | 2.9\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates and TxCHSE | 92.6\% | 96.7\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates, TxCHSE, and Continuers | 93.7\% | 97.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Class of 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Graduated | 91.6\% | 96.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Received TxCHSE | 0.7\% | 2.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Continued HS | 1.2\% | 0.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Dropped Out | 6.6\% | 1.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates and TxCHSE | 92.2\% | 98.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates, TxCHSE, and Continuers | 93.4\% | 98.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |


| 6-Year Extended Longitudinal Rate (Gr 9-12) <br> Class of 2016 <br> Graduated |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $92.1 \%$ | $96.2 \%$ |

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Texas Academic Performance Report
Total Students: 1,151
Campus Name: MONTGOMERY 」
pus Attendance, Graduation, and Dropout Rates
Grade Span: 06-08 Campus Number: 170903042

|  | State | District | Campus | African <br> American | Hispanic | White | American $\qquad$ | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | Special Ed $\qquad$ | Econ <br> Disadv | (Current) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Received TxCHSE | 0.8\% | 2.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Continued HS | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Dropped Out | 6.6\% | 1.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates and TxCHSE | 92.9\% | 98.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates, TxCHSE, and Continuers | 93.4\% | 98.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Class of 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Graduated | 91.8\% | 93.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Received TxCHSE | 1.0\% | 3.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Continued HS | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Dropped Out | 6.7\% | 3.9\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates and TxCHSE | 92.8\% | 96.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates, TxCHSE, and Continuers | 93.3\% | 96.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |



## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

|  | Campus Count | Campus Percent | District Count | State Count |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Graduates (2017-18 Annual Graduates) |  |  |  |  |
| Total Graduates | - | - | 627 | 347,893 |
| By Ethnicity: |  |  |  |  |
| African American | - | - | 22 | 43,502 |
| Hispanic | - | - | 81 | 173,272 |
| White |  | - | 499 | 107,052 |
| American Indian | - | - | 5 | 1,226 |
| Asian | - | - | 10 | 15,589 |
| Pacific Islander | - | - | 1 | 528 |
| Two or More Races | - | - | 9 | 6,724 |
| By Graduation Type: |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum H.S. Program | - | - | 3 | 5,855 |
| Recommended H.S. Program/Distinguished Achievement Program | - | - | 3 | 3,538 |
| Foundation H.S. Program (No Endorsement) | - | - | 37 | 49,432 |
| Foundation H.S. Program (Endorsement) | - | - | 68 | 16,542 |
| Foundation H.S. Program (DLA) | - | - | 516 | 272,526 |
| Special Education Graduates | - | - | 41 | 25,962 |
| Economically Disadvantaged Graduates |  | - | 92 | 166,956 |
| LEP Graduates |  | - | 4 | 21,359 |
| At-Risk Graduates | - | - | 171 | 144,805 |



| College Ready Graduates *** |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| College Ready (Annual Graduates) |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 50.0\% | 59.3\% |
| TSI Criteria Graduates (Annual Graduates) |  |  |
| English Language Arts |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 58.2\% | 71.6\% |
| Mathematics |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 46.0\% | 58.7\% |
| Both Subjects |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 42.1\% | 57.7\% |

Dual Course Credits (Annual Graduates)
Any Subject
2017-18
$2016-17$

AP/IB Met Criteria in Any Subject (Annual Graduates) Any Subject

| $2017-18$ | $20.4 \%$ | $16.1 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2016-17$ | $20.1 \%$ | $13.9 \%$ |


| Associate's Degree |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Associate's Degree (Annual Graduates) |  |
| $2017-18$ | $1.4 \%$ |
| $2016-17$ | $0.8 \%$ |

OnRampsCourse Credits (Annual Graduates) 2017-18

| Career/Military Ready Graduates |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Career or Military Ready (Annual Graduates) |  |  |
| $2017-18$ | $28.7 \%$ | $48.3 \%$ |
| $2016-17$ | $13.2 \%$ | $11.3 \%$ |


| Approved Industry-Based Certification (Annual Graduates |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2017-18$ | $4.8 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ |
| $2016-17$ | $2.7 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |


| Graduate with Completed IEP and Workforce | Readiness (Annual Graduates) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2017-18$ | $1.7 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | - |
| $2016-17$ | $1.0 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | - |


| CTE Coherent Sequence Coursework Aligned with Industry-Based Certifications (Annual Graduates) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2017-18$ | $38.7 \%$ | $84.2 \%$ | - | - |
| $2016-17$ | $17.3 \%$ | $19.0 \%$ | - | - |

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 

Total Students: 1,151
District Name: MONTGOMERY ISD
Campus Name: MONTGOMERY J H
Campus Number: 170903042

## Texas Academic Performance Report 2018-19 Campus College, Career, and Military Readiness (CCMR)

Grade Span: 06-08

|  | State | District | Campus | African American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Special } \\ \text { Ed } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Econ } \\ \text { Disadv } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { EL } \\ \text { (Current) } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| U.S. Armed Forces Enlistment(Annual Graduates) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 4.3\% | 2.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 2.2\% | 1.5\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates under an Advanced Degree Plan and Identified as a current Special Education Student (Annual Graduates) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 2.6\% | 2.6\% | - |  | (A) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates with Level I or Level II Certificate (Annual Graduates) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 0.6\% | 0.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 

Texas Academic Performance Report
Total Students: 1,151 2018-19 Campus CCMR-Related Indicators

|  | State | District | Campus | African <br> American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | Special <br> Ed | Econ Disadv | (Current) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TSIA Results (Graduates $>=$ Criterion) (Annual Graduates)Reading |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 32.1\% | 50.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 23.4\% | 32.3\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 23.7\% | 38.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 19.8\% | 27.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Both Subjects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 18.1\% | 36.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 12.9\% | 22.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| CTE Coherent Sequence (Annual Graduates) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 58.4\% | 86.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 50.5\% | 83.9\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

## Completed and Received Credit for College Prep Courses (Annual Graduates)

| English Language Arts |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2017-18$ | $2.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| $2016-17$ | $0.8 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Mathematics |  |  |
| $2017-18$ | $3.9 \%$ | $9.9 \%$ |
| $2016-17$ | $1.4 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ |
| Both Subjects |  |  |
| $2017-18$ | $0.9 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| $2016-17$ | $0.2 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |


| AP/IB Results (Participation) (Grades 11-12) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 25.8\% | 22.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| 2017 | 26.2\% | 22.7\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| English Language Arts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 15.3\% | 9.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| 2017 | 15.9\% | 7.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 7.3\% | 4.6\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| 2017 | 7.2\% | 4.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | - | n/a |
| Science |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 10.8\% | 10.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| 2017 | 10.9\% | 8.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Social Studies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 14.5\% | 16.3\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| 2017 | 15.0\% | 17.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |


| AP/IB Results (Examinees >= Criterion) (Grades 11-12) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Subjects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 50.7\% | 59.5\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| 2017 | 49.1\% | 47.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| English Language Arts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 42.5\% | 68.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| 2017 | 41.3\% | 59.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 52.8\% | 77.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 

Texas Academic Performance Report
Total Students: 1,151 2018-19 Campus CCMR-Related Indicators

|  | State | District | Campus | African <br> American | Hispanic | White | American $\qquad$ | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | Special $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Econ } \\ \text { Disadv } \end{array}$ | (Current) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 51.3\% | 71.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Science |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 38.0\% | 59.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| 2017 | 38.3\% | 45.6\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Social Studies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 44.6\% | 47.3\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| 2017 | 41.4\% | 36.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| SAT/ACT Results (Annual Graduates) *** Tested |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 74.6\% | 68.7\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| 2016-17 | 73.5\% | 65.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| At/Above Criterion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 37.9\% | 61.5\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Average SAT Score (Annual Graduates) *** All Subjects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 1036 | 1131 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| English Language Arts and Writing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 521 | 569 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 515 | 561 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Average ACT Score (Annual Graduates) *** All Subjects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 20.6 | 24.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| English Language Arts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 20.3 | 24.1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 20.6 | 23.6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Science |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 20.9 | 23.6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

## Texas Academic Performance Report

Total Students: 1,151 2018-19 Campus Other Postsecondary Indicators


| Advanced Dual-Credit Course Completion (Grades 9-12) |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Any Subject |  |  |
| 2017-18 | $43.4 \%$ | $39.0 \%$ |
| 2016-17 | $37.1 \%$ | $29.8 \%$ |
| English Language Arts |  |  |
| $2017-18$ | $17.3 \%$ | $17.1 \%$ |
| $2016-17$ | $16.8 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ |
| Mathematics |  |  |
| 2017-18 | $20.7 \%$ | $19.7 \%$ |
| $2016-17$ | $19.5 \%$ | $16.0 \%$ |
| Science |  |  |
| 2017-18 | $21.2 \%$ | $16.4 \%$ |
| $2016-17$ | $5.7 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ |
| Social Studies |  |  |
| $2017-18$ | $22.8 \%$ | $23.8 \%$ |
| $2016-17$ | $21.8 \%$ | $21.4 \%$ |


| Graduates Enrolled in Texas Institution of Higher Education (TX IHE) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2016-17$ | $54.6 \%$ | $59.0 \%$ | - |
| $2015-16$ | $54.7 \%$ | $60.5 \%$ | - |


| Graduates in TX IHE Completing One Year Without Enrollment in a Developmental Education Course |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2016-17$ | $59.2 \%$ | $73.6 \%$ | - | - |
| $2015-16$ | $55.7 \%$ | $74.1 \%$ | - | - |

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 

| Student Information | ------ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | Percent | District | State |
| Total Students | 1,151 | 100.0\% | 8,837 | 5,416,400 |
| Students by Grade: |  |  |  |  |
| Early Childhood Education | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% |
| Pre-Kindergarten | 0 | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 4.4\% |
| Kindergarten | 0 | 0.0\% | 6.2\% | 6.9\% |
| Grade 1 | 0 | 0.0\% | 7.3\% | 7.1\% |
| Grade 2 | 0 | 0.0\% | 7.1\% | 7.2\% |
| Grade 3 | 0 | 0.0\% | 6.7\% | 7.3\% |
| Grade 4 | 0 | 0.0\% | 7.2\% | 7.6\% |
| Grade 5 | 0 | 0.0\% | 7.6\% | 7.7\% |
| Grade 6 | 396 | 34.4\% | 8.4\% | 7.7\% |
| Grade 7 | 364 | 31.6\% | 8.2\% | 7.5\% |
| Grade 8 | 391 | 34.0\% | 8.7\% | 7.5\% |
| Grade 9 | 0 | 0.0\% | 8.4\% | 8.1\% |
| Grade 10 | 0 | 0.0\% | 7.9\% | 7.4\% |
| Grade 11 | 0 | 0.0\% | 7.4\% | 6.9\% |
| Grade 12 | 0 | 0.0\% | 6.6\% | 6.5\% |
| Ethnic Distribution: |  |  |  |  |
| African American | 48 | 4.2\% | 2.7\% | 12.6\% |
| Hispanic | 145 | 12.6\% | 15.4\% | 52.6\% |
| White | 918 | 79.8\% | 77.3\% | 27.4\% |
| American Indian | 4 | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 0.4\% |
| Asian | 8 | 0.7\% | 1.0\% | 4.5\% |
| Pacific Islander | 3 | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% |
| Two or More Races | 25 | 2.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.4\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 277 | 24.1\% | 25.3\% | 60.6\% |
| Non-Educationally Disadvantaged | 874 | 75.9\% | 74.7\% | 39.4\% |
| Section 504 Students | 161 | 14.0\% | 10.3\% | 6.5\% |
| English Learners (EL) | 9 | 0.8\% | 2.3\% | 19.5\% |
| Students w/ Disciplinary Placements (2017-18) | 15 | 1.2\% | 1.0\% | 1.4\% |
| Students w/ Dyslexia | 51 | 4.4\% | 4.4\% | 3.6\% |
| At-Risk | 418 | 36.3\% | 30.4\% | 50.1\% |
| Students with Disabilities by Type of Primary Disability: |  |  |  |  |
| Total Students with Disabilities | 69 |  |  |  |
| By Type of Primary Disability |  |  |  |  |
| Students with Intellectual Disabilities | 32 | 46.4\% | 37.5\% | 42.4\% |
| Students with Physical Disabilities | * | * | 24.5\% | 21.9\% |
| Students with Autism | ** | ** | 13.9\% | 13.7\% |
| Students with Behavioral Disabilities | 20 | 29.0\% | 22.8\% | 20.6\% |
| Students with Non-Categorical Early Childhood | 0 | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 1.4\% |
| Mobility (2017-18): |  |  |  |  |
| Total Mobile Students | 123 | 10.1\% | 10.8\% | 15.4\% |

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

| Student Information | ---------------- Campus ---------------- |  | District | State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | Percent |  |  |
| By Ethnicity: |  |  |  |  |
| African American | 9 | 0.7\% |  |  |
| Hispanic | 19 | 1.6\% |  |  |
| White | 89 | 7.3\% |  |  |
| American Indian | 2 | 0.2\% |  |  |
| Asian | 0 | 0.0\% |  |  |
| Pacific Islander | 0 | 0.0\% |  |  |
| Two or More Races | 4 | 0.3\% |  |  |


| Student Information | --------Non-Special Education Rates------- |  |  | --------Special Education Rates- |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Campus | District | State | Campus | District | State |
| Retention Rates by Grade: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kindergarten | - | 1.7\% | 1.7\% | - | 14.6\% | 6.2\% |
| Grade 1 | - | 3.3\% | 3.1\% | - | 1.9\% | 5.5\% |
| Grade 2 | - | 1.9\% | 1.8\% | - | 2.5\% | 2.3\% |
| Grade 3 | - | 0.7\% | 1.1\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.9\% |
| Grade 4 | - | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.5\% |
| Grade 5 | - | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.6\% |
| Grade 6 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% |
| Grade 7 | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% |
| Grade 8 | 0.6\% | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% |
| Grade 9 | - | 3.1\% | 7.2\% | - | 6.7\% | 12.7\% |
| Class Size Information | Campus |  |  | District |  | State |

Class Size Averages by Grade and Subject
(Derived from teacher responsibility records):

| Elementary: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kindergarten | - | 17.3 | 18.9 |
| Grade 1 | - | 19.0 | 18.8 |
| Grade 2 | - | 19.3 | 18.7 |
| Grade 3 | - | 17.8 | 18.9 |
| Grade 4 | - | 17.9 | 19.2 |
| Grade 5 | - | 22.9 | 21.2 |
| Grade 6 | 23.9 | 23.5 | 20.4 |
| Secondary: |  |  |  |
| English/Language Arts | 20.8 | 18.6 | 16.6 |
| Foreign Languages | 21.6 | 18.9 | 18.9 |
| Mathematics | 21.6 | 21.9 | 17.8 |
| Science | 19.7 | 21.3 | 18.9 |
| Social Studies | 19.7 | 21.4 | 19.3 |

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 

| Staff Information | Count/Average | Percent | District | State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Staff | 87.2 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Professional Staff: | 79.2 | 90.8\% | 66.8\% | 64.1\% |
| Teachers | 71.6 | 82.1\% | 55.4\% | 49.8\% |
| Professional Support | 4.6 | 5.3\% | 7.9\% | 10.1\% |
| Campus Administration (School Leadership) | 3.0 | 3.4\% | 2.6\% | 3.0\% |
| Educational Aides: | 8.0 | 9.2\% | 8.2\% | 10.3\% |
| Librarians \& Counselors (Headcount): |  |  |  |  |
| Librarians |  |  |  |  |
| Full-time | 0.0 | n/a | 4.0 | 4,414.0 |
| Part-time | 1.0 | n/a | 2.0 | 572.0 |
| Counselors |  |  |  |  |
| Full-time | 2.0 | n/a | 20.0 | 12,433.0 |
| Part-time | 0.0 | n/a | 0.0 | 1,097.0 |
| Total Minority Staff: | 6.0 | 6.9\% | 11.9\% | 50.4\% |
| Teachers by Ethnicity and Sex: |  |  |  |  |
| African American | 1.0 | 1.4\% | 1.4\% | 10.6\% |
| Hispanic | 3.0 | 4.2\% | 6.0\% | 27.7\% |
| White | 66.6 | 93.0\% | 92.0\% | 58.4\% |
| American Indian | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% |
| Asian | 1.0 | 1.4\% | 0.2\% | 1.7\% |
| Pacific Islander | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% |
| Two or More Races | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 1.1\% |
| Males | 16.7 | 23.4\% | 17.3\% | 23.8\% |
| Females | 54.9 | 76.6\% | 82.7\% | 76.2\% |
| Teachers by Highest Degree Held: |  |  |  |  |
| No Degree | 0.9 | 1.3\% | 1.3\% | 1.4\% |
| Bachelors | 51.2 | 71.5\% | 74.1\% | 73.6\% |
| Masters | 18.5 | 25.8\% | 23.9\% | 24.3\% |
| Doctorate | 1.0 | 1.4\% | 0.7\% | 0.7\% |
| Teachers by Years of Experience: |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning Teachers | 2.4 | 3.3\% | 4.0\% | 7.0\% |
| 1-5 Years Experience | 15.0 | 21.0\% | 17.3\% | 28.9\% |
| 6-10 Years Experience | 12.0 | 16.8\% | 18.8\% | 19.0\% |
| 11-20 Years Experience | 29.7 | 41.4\% | 37.8\% | 29.3\% |
| Over 20 Years Experience | 12.6 | 17.6\% | 22.1\% | 15.7\% |
| Number of Students per Teacher | 16.1 | n/a | 15.1 | 15.1 |

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Experience of Campus Leadership:
Average Years Experience of Principals
Average Years Experience of Principals with District
Average Years Experience of Assistant Principals
Average Years Experience of Assistant Principals with District
Average Years Experience of Teachers:
Average Years Experience of Teachers with District:
Average Teacher Salary by Years of Experience (regular duties only): Beginning Teachers
1-5 Years Experience
6-10 Years Experience
11-20 Years Experience
Over 20 Years Experience
Average Actual Salaries (regular duties only):
Teachers
Professional Support
Campus Administration (School Leadership)
Instructional Staff Percent:
Contracted Instructional Staff (not incl. above):

Campus
District

(-2.3
4.1
7.1
7.1
5.3
13.6
6.6
$\begin{array}{ll}\$ 45,948 & \$ 47,218 \\ \$ 51,962 & \$ 50,408 \\ \$ 54,468 & \$ 52,786 \\ \$ 57,483 & \$ 56,041\end{array}$
\$51,928
51,928
$\$ 54,610$
$\$ 56,884$
\$64,833
\$56,323
\$65,693
\$81,663
5.5
4.5
13.2
6.3

## 458

\$63,962

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

| Program Information | ---------------- Campus ---------------- |  | District | State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | Percent |  |  |
| Student Enrollment by Program: |  |  |  |  |
| Bilingual/ESL Education | 8 | 0.7\% | 3.2\% | 19.7\% |
| Career \& Technical Education | 0 | 0.0\% | 28.9\% | 26.3\% |
| Gifted \& Talented Education | 111 | 9.6\% | 7.1\% | 8.1\% |
| Special Education | 69 | 6.0\% | 7.4\% | 9.6\% |
| Teachers by Program (population served): |  |  |  |  |
| Bilingual/ESL Education | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 6.4\% |
| Career \& Technical Education | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 5.7\% | 4.9\% |
| Compensatory Education | 0.6 | 0.8\% | 4.2\% | 2.7\% |
| Gifted \& Talented Education | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% |
| Regular Education | 58.6 | 81.9\% | 77.1\% | 71.4\% |
| Special Education | 12.4 | 17.3\% | 12.9\% | 9.1\% |
| Other | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.6\% |

' $\wedge$ ' Indicates that rates for reading and mathematics are based on the cumulative results from the first and second administrations of STAAR.
'*' Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
${ }^{\prime * *}$ ' When only one student disability group is masked, then the second smallest student disability group is masked regardless of size.
${ }^{\prime * * * '}$ Due to changes in the evaluation of SAT/ACT results (for 2017-18 the best result was used, rather than the most recent), 2016-17 SAT/ACT results are not comparable and, where applicable, are not shown.
'-' Indicates there are no students in the group.
' $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ ' Indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.
'?' Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable or were reported outside a reasonable range.

# 2018-19 Texas Academic Performance Report 

District Name: MONTGOMERY ISD
Campus Name: OAK HILLS J H
Campus Number: 170903043

2019 Accountability Rating: A
Distinction Designations:
Top 25 Percent: Comparative Academic Growth
Top 25 Percent: Comparative Closing the Gaps

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 

|  |  |  | African <br> American | Hispani | Whit | American Indian | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | Special Ed (Current) | Special <br> Ed <br> (Former) | Continuously Enrolled | Non-Continuously Enrolled | Econ Disadv | EL <br>  <br> Monitored) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State | District | ampu |  | Hispani | White |  | Asian |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## STAAR Performance Rates by Tested Grade, Subject, and Performance Level



# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 



# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 

|  |  | State | District | Campus | African American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific <br> Islander | Two or More Races | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Special } \\ & \text { Ed } \\ & \text { (Current) } \end{aligned}$ | Special Ed (Former) | Continuously Enrolled | Non- <br> Continuously Enrolled | Econ Disadv | EL <br>  <br> Monitored) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Approaches Grade Level or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above | 2019 | 82\% | 91\% | 94\% | 90\% | 89\% | 96\% | 80\% | 91\% | - | 88\% | 70\% | 100\% | 95\% | 92\% | 87\% | 81\% |
|  | 2018 | 81\% | 91\% | 93\% | 94\% | 87\% | 95\% | 71\% | 100\% | * | 79\% | 63\% | 100\% | 94\% | 92\% | 88\% | 81\% |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 52\% | 68\% | 77\% | 50\% | 66\% | 80\% | 40\% | 82\% | - | 72\% | 41\% | 94\% | 80\% | 69\% | 59\% | 57\% |
|  | 2018 | 50\% | 66\% | 74\% | 65\% | 61\% | 78\% | 29\% | 92\% | * | 66\% | 37\% | 89\% | 76\% | 71\% | 56\% | 58\% |
| At Masters Grade Level | 2019 | 26\% | 39\% | 42\% | 25\% | 27\% | 45\% | 0\% | 73\% | - | 48\% | 16\% | 39\% | 45\% | 34\% | 23\% | 19\% |
|  | 2018 | 24\% | 35\% | 41\% | 41\% | 25\% | 44\% | 14\% | 62\% | * | 38\% | 15\% | 56\% | 44\% | 36\% | 18\% | 19\% |
| All Grades Writing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Approaches Grade Level or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above | 2019 | 68\% | 80\% | 85\% | 63\% | 88\% | 86\% | * | * | - | 58\% | 48\% | 83\% | 86\% | 83\% | 73\% | 67\% |
|  | 2018 | 66\% | 77\% | 85\% | 88\% | 82\% | 86\% | * | 100\% | - | * | 41\% | 86\% | 86\% | 85\% | 74\% | 79\% |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 38\% | 50\% | 57\% | 25\% | 52\% | 60\% | * | * | - | 33\% | 28\% | 33\% | 61\% | 48\% | 39\% | 50\% |
|  | 2018 | 41\% | 52\% | 56\% | 38\% | 44\% | 59\% | * | 67\% | - | * | 41\% | 29\% | 56\% | 56\% | 35\% | 14\% |
| At Masters Grade Level | 2019 | 14\% | 19\% | 28\% | 25\% | 18\% | 31\% | * | * | - | 17\% | 8\% | 0\% | 31\% | 21\% | 10\% | 17\% |
|  | 2018 | 13\% | 16\% | 17\% | 25\% | 13\% | 17\% | * | 17\% | - | * | 12\% | 0\% | 18\% | 13\% | 4\% | 0\% |
| All Grades Science |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Approaches Grade Level or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above | 2019 | 81\% | 90\% | 93\% | 89\% | 85\% | 94\% | * | 100\% | - | 80\% | 63\% | 100\% | 94\% | 91\% | 86\% | 75\% |
|  | 2018 | 80\% | 87\% | 84\% | 71\% | 66\% | 87\% | * | 100\% | * | 78\% | 44\% | * | 85\% | 83\% | 67\% | 40\% |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 54\% | 65\% | 62\% | 33\% | 44\% | 65\% | * | 100\% | - | 60\% | 37\% | 80\% | 64\% | 57\% | 39\% | 42\% |
|  | 2018 | 51\% | 61\% | 62\% | 57\% | 37\% | 65\% | * | 100\% | * | 78\% | 29\% | * | 65\% | 56\% | 37\% | 20\% |
| At Masters Grade Level | 2019 | 25\% | 28\% | 26\% | 11\% | 19\% | 28\% | * | 50\% | - | 20\% | 23\% | 40\% | 27\% | 25\% | 18\% | 8\% |
|  | 2018 | 23\% | 28\% | 34\% | 43\% | 23\% | 36\% | * | 20\% | * | 22\% | 9\% | * | 32\% | 36\% | 6\% | 10\% |
| All Grades Social Studies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Approaches Grade Level or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above | 2019 | 81\% | 90\% | 80\% | 67\% | 71\% | 82\% | * | 100\% | - | 80\% | 53\% | 80\% | 83\% | 74\% | 66\% | 62\% |
|  | 2018 | 78\% | 86\% | 76\% | 71\% | 58\% | 78\% | * | 100\% | * | 80\% | 42\% | * | 77\% | 73\% | 55\% | 50\% |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 55\% | 70\% | 53\% | 44\% | 45\% | 54\% | * | 83\% | - | 60\% | 40\% | 80\% | 55\% | 50\% | 40\% | 23\% |
|  | 2018 | 53\% | 63\% | 40\% | 57\% | 25\% | 41\% | * | 60\% | * | 40\% | 30\% | * | 39\% | 42\% | 17\% | 10\% |
| At Masters Grade Level | 2019 | 33\% | 44\% | 34\% | 44\% | 29\% | 34\% | * | 33\% | - | 60\% | 13\% | 40\% | 34\% | 32\% | 22\% | 8\% |
|  | 2018 | 31\% | 38\% | 23\% | 43\% | 11\% | 24\% | * | 60\% | * | 10\% | 6\% | * | 24\% | 21\% | 3\% | 10\% |



## School Progress Domain - Academic Growth Score by Grade and Subject

| Grade 6 ELA/Reading | 2019 | 42 | 45 | 52 | * | 59 | 50 | * | * | - | 44 | 50 | 67 | 53 | 51 | 49 | 50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2018 | 47 | 49 | 53 | * | 55 | 53 | * |  | - | 38 | 60 | 44 | 54 | 51 | 51 | 36 |
| Grade 6 Mathematics | 2019 | 54 | 73 | 75 | * | 76 | 75 | * | * | - | 88 | 48 | 93 | 78 | 71 | 69 | 77 |
|  | 2018 | 56 | 68 | 74 | * | 78 | 74 | * | * | - | 69 | 64 | 94 | 73 | 75 | 72 | 83 |
| Grade 7 ELA/Reading | 2019 | 77 | 78 | 79 | 56 | 82 | 79 | * | * | - | 91 | 68 | 83 | 79 | 80 | 79 | 82 |
|  | 2018 | 76 | 75 | 78 | 94 | 72 | 79 | * | 83 | - | * | 82 | 100 | 77 | 80 | 73 | 97 |
| Grade 7 Mathematics | 2019 | 63 | 74 | 72 | 67 | 79 | 70 | * | - | - | 71 | 50 | * | 73 | 69 | 71 | 69 |
|  | 2018 | 67 | 71 | 80 | 60 | 85 | 80 | * | * | - | * | 78 | 100 | 80 | 80 | 77 | 100 |
| Grade 8 ELA/Reading | 2019 | 77 | 78 | 76 | 89 | 71 | 76 | * | 83 | - | 100 | 53 | 80 | 76 | 74 | 67 | 58 |
|  | 2018 | 79 | 80 | 82 | 93 | 85 | 82 | * | 100 | * | 67 | 78 | * | 83 | 81 | 79 | 75 |
| Grade 8 Mathematics | 2019 | 84 | 90 | 85 | 85 | 78 | 86 | * | 100 | - | 100 | 94 | 56 | 86 | 83 | 87 | 83 |
|  | 2018 | 81 | 83 | 79 | 100 | 74 | 79 | * | * | * | 80 | 58 | * | 81 | 74 | 74 | 67 |
| End of Course Algebra I | 2019 | 75 | 73 | 98 | * | 100 | 98 | - | * | - | * | * | * | 98 | 100 | 100 | * |
|  | 2018 | 72 | 82 | 99 | * | 100 | 100 | - | * | - | * | * | * | 100 | 96 | * | * |
| All Grades Both Subjects | 2019 | 69 | 74 | 75 | 74 | 74 | 74 | 85 | 77 | - | 82 | 62 | 76 | 76 | 72 | 71 | 70 |
|  | 2018 | 69 | 71 | 75 | 89 | 75 | 75 | 57 | 87 | * | 66 | 69 | 82 | 75 | 75 | 71 | 80 |
| All Grades ELA/Reading | 2019 | 68 | 70 | 70 | 73 | 70 | 69 | 80 | 73 | - | 77 | 57 | 76 | 70 | 68 | 65 | 61 |
|  | 2018 | 69 | 68 | 71 | 91 | 70 | 71 | 64 | 88 | * | 56 | 73 | 72 | 71 | 71 | 68 | 77 |
| All Grades Mathematics | 2019 | 70 | 77 | 80 | 76 | 78 | 80 | 90 | 82 | - | 88 | 67 | 75 | 81 | 76 | 77 | 78 |
|  | 2018 | 70 | 74 | 80 | 88 | 80 | 80 | 50 | 85 | * | 76 | 65 | 92 | 80 | 78 | 75 | 84 |

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Texas Academic Performance Report
Total Students: 1,081


Grade Span: 06-08 2018-19 Campus Prior Year and Student Success Initiative School Type: Middle Campus Number: 170903043

| State | District | Campus | African <br> American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | Special Ed | Econ Disadv | EL (Current) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sate | District | Campus |  | Hispanic | White |  | Asian |  |  |  |  |  |

## Progress of Prior-Year Non-Proficient Students

## Sum of Grades 4-8

| Reading | 2019 | 41\% | 49\% | 42\% | * | 52\% | 39\% | * | * | - | 60\% | 24\% | 37\% | 10\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2018 | 38\% | 47\% | 48\% | * | 42\% | 50\% | * | - | - | * | 32\% | 40\% | * |
| Mathematics | 2019 | 45\% | 60\% | 63\% | * | 57\% | 64\% | * | - | - | * | 41\% | 48\% | * |
|  | 2018 | 47\% | 56\% | 45\% | * | 39\% | 46\% | * | - | * | * | 27\% | 46\% |  |

Student Success Initiative

## Grade 5 Reading

STAAR Met Standard (Non-Proficient in Previous Year)
Promoted to Grade 6
2019 - 19\% 18\%
Grade 5 Mathematics
STAAR Met Standard (Non-Proficient in Previous Year)
Promoted to Grade 6
2019 24\%
$63 \% \quad 60 \%$

## Grade 8 Reading

Students Meeting Approaches Grade Level on First STAAR Administration

|  | 2019 | 78\% | 88\% | 90\% | 89\% | 84\% | 91\% | * | 100\% | - | 80\% | 40\% | 79\% | * |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Students Requiring Accelerated Instruction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2019 | 22\% | 12\% | 10\% | 11\% | 16\% | 9\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 20\% | 60\% | 21\% | * |
| STAAR CumulativeMet Standard | 2019 | 85\% | 92\% | 93\% | 89\% | 88\% | 93\% | * | 100\% | - | 80\% | 44\% | 84\% | * |
| STAAR Non-Proficient Students Promoted by | $\begin{array}{r} \text { rade P } \\ 2018 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { nent } \mathrm{C} \\ 99 \% \end{gathered}$ | ittee | 100\% | - | 100\% | 100\% | - | - | * | - | 100\% | 100\% | * |

Grade 8 Mathematics

| Students Meeting Approaches Grade Level | st ST | Admin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2019 | 82\% | 96\% | 96\% | 78\% | 94\% | 97\% | * | * | - | 100\% | 63\% | 92\% | * |
| Students Requiring Accelerated Instruction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| STAAR CumulativeMet Standard | 2019 | 18\% | 4\% | 4\% | 22\% | 6\% | 3\% | * | * | - | 0\% | 38\% | 8\% | * |
| STAAR CumulativeMet Standard | 2019 | 88\% | 97\% | 97\% | 89\% | 94\% | 97\% | * | * | - | 100\% | 63\% | 92\% | * |
| STAAR Non-Proficient Students Promoted b | $\begin{aligned} & \text { rade } P \\ & 7018 \end{aligned}$ | 98\% | 100\% | 100\% | - | 100\% | 100\% | - | - | - | * | 100\% | 100\% | * |

Bilingual BE-Trans BE-Trans BE-Dual BE-Dual ESL ESL LEP No LEP with Total


# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 

Bilingual BE-Trans BE-Trans BE-Dual BE-Dual ESL ESL LEP No LEP with Total


# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 

|  | State | District | Campus | African <br> American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | Special $\qquad$ | Econ Disadv | (Current) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2019 STAAR Participation <br> (All Grades) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Tests |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Assessment Participant | 99\% | 98\% | 97\% | 92\% | 98\% | 97\% | 100\% | 100\% | - | 100\% | 97\% | 96\% | 100\% |
| Included in Accountability | 94\% | 94\% | 93\% | 78\% | 91\% | 94\% | 88\% | 100\% | - | 94\% | 87\% | 90\% | 76\% |
| Not Included in Accountability |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobile | 4\% | 3\% | 4\% | 8\% | 5\% | 3\% | 12\% | 0\% | - | 6\% | 5\% | 5\% | 14\% |
| Other Exclusions | 1\% | 0\% | 1\% | 6\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 0\% | 5\% | 1\% | 10\% |
| Not Tested | 1\% | 2\% | 3\% | 8\% | 2\% | 3\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 0\% | 3\% | 4\% | 0\% |
| Absent | 1\% | 2\% | 3\% | 7\% | 2\% | 3\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 0\% | 3\% | 4\% | 0\% |
| Other | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |

## 2018 STAAR Participation

(All Grades)

| All Tests |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assessment Participant | 99\% | 98\% | 96\% | 95\% | 97\% | 96\% | 96\% | 100\% | * | 96\% | 95\% | 97\% | 100\% |
| Included in Accountability | 94\% | 94\% | 93\% | 92\% | 93\% | 93\% | 96\% | 100\% | * | 91\% | 91\% | 92\% | 100\% |
| Not Included in Accountability |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobile | 4\% | 4\% | 3\% | 0\% | 3\% | 3\% | 0\% | 0\% | * | 4\% | 2\% | 4\% | 0\% |
| Other Exclusions | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 3\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | * | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Not Tested | 1\% | 2\% | 4\% | 5\% | 3\% | 4\% | 4\% | 0\% | * | 4\% | 5\% | 3\% | 0\% |
| Absent | 1\% | 2\% | 4\% | 5\% | 3\% | 4\% | 4\% | 0\% | * | 4\% | 5\% | 3\% | 0\% |
| Other | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | * | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |

# 2018-19 Campus Attendance, Graduation, and Dropout Rates 

 School Type: Middle|  | State | District | Campus | African <br> American | Hispanic | White | American $\qquad$ | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | Special $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Econ } \\ \text { Disadv } \end{array}$ | (Current) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Attendance Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 95.4\% | 95.2\% | 96.0\% | 97.7\% | 96.3\% | 95.9\% | 95.3\% | 97.7\% | * | 96.6\% | 94.6\% | 94.3\% | 95.8\% |
| 2016-17 | 95.7\% | 95.3\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Annual Dropout Rate (Gr 7-8) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 0.4\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% |
| 2016-17 | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Annual Dropout Rate (Gr 9-12) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 1.9\% | 0.7\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 1.9\% | 0.6\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 4-Year Longitudinal Rate (Gr 9-12) Class of 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Graduated | 90.0\% | 97.5\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Received TxCHSE | 0.4\% | 0.5\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Continued HS | 3.8\% | 0.5\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Dropped Out | 5.7\% | 1.6\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates and TxCHSE | 90.4\% | 98.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates, TxCHSE, and Continuers | 94.3\% | 98.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Class of 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Graduated | 89.7\% | 93.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Received TxCHSE | 0.4\% | 1.7\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Continued HS | 4.0\% | 1.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Dropped Out | 5.9\% | 3.3\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates and TxCHSE | 90.1\% | 95.5\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates, TxCHSE, and Continuers | 94.1\% | 96.7\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 5-Year Extended Longitudinal Rate (Gr 9-12) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Class of 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Graduated | 92.0\% | 94.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Received TxCHSE | 0.6\% | 1.9\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Continued HS | 1.1\% | 0.3\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Dropped Out | 6.3\% | 2.9\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates and TxCHSE | 92.6\% | 96.7\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates, TxCHSE, and Continuers | 93.7\% | 97.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Class of 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Graduated | 91.6\% | 96.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Received TxCHSE | 0.7\% | 2.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Continued HS | 1.2\% | 0.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Dropped Out | 6.6\% | 1.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates and TxCHSE | 92.2\% | 98.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates, TxCHSE, and Continuers | 93.4\% | 98.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |


| 6-Year Extended Longitudinal Rate (Gr 9-12) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Class of 2016 |  |  |
| Graduated | $92.1 \%$ | $96.2 \%$ |

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Texas Academic Performance Report
Total Students: 1,081
mpus Attendance, Graduation, and Dropout Rates

|  | State | District | Campus | African American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | Special <br> Ed | Econ Disadv | (Current) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Received TxCHSE | 0.8\% | 2.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Continued HS | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Dropped Out | 6.6\% | 1.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates and TxCHSE | 92.9\% | 98.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates, TxCHSE, and Continuers | 93.4\% | 98.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Class of 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Graduated | 91.8\% | 93.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Received TxCHSE | 1.0\% | 3.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Continued HS | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Dropped Out | 6.7\% | 3.9\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates and TxCHSE | 92.8\% | 96.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates, TxCHSE, and Continuers | 93.3\% | 96.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |



## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

|  | Campus Count | Campus Percent | District Count | State Count |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Graduates (2017-18 Annual Graduates) |  |  |  |  |
| Total Graduates | - | - | 627 | 347,893 |
| By Ethnicity: |  |  |  |  |
| African American | - | - | 22 | 43,502 |
| Hispanic | - | - | 81 | 173,272 |
| White | - | - | 499 | 107,052 |
| American Indian | - | - | 5 | 1,226 |
| Asian | - | - | 10 | 15,589 |
| Pacific Islander | - | - | 1 | 528 |
| Two or More Races | - | - |  | 6,724 |
| By Graduation Type: |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum H.S. Program | - | - | 3 | 5,855 |
| Recommended H.S. Program/Distinguished Achievement Program | - | - | 3 | 3,538 |
| Foundation H.S. Program (No Endorsement) | - | - | 37 | 49,432 |
| Foundation H.S. Program (Endorsement) | - | - | 68 | 16,542 |
| Foundation H.S. Program (DLA) | - | - | 516 | 272,526 |
| Special Education Graduates | - | - | 41 | 25,962 |
| Economically Disadvantaged Graduates | - | - | 92 | 166,956 |
| LEP Graduates | - | - | 4 | 21,359 |
| At-Risk Graduates | - | - | 171 | 144,805 |



| College Ready Graduates *** <br> College Ready (Annual Graduates) <br> 2017-18 | $50.0 \%$ | $59.3 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| TSI Criteria Graduates (Annual Graduates) <br> English Language Arts <br> 2017-18 |  |  |
| Mathematics <br> 2017-18 | $58.2 \%$ | $71.6 \%$ |
| Both Subjects <br> 2017-18 | $46.0 \%$ | $58.7 \%$ |

Dual Course Credits (Annual Graduates)

| Any Subject |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2017-18$ |  |  |
| $2016-17$ | $20.7 \%$ | $26.8 \%$ |
|  | $19.9 \%$ | $21.8 \%$ |

AP/IB Met Criteria in Any Subject (Annual Graduates) Any Subject

| $2017-18$ | $20.4 \%$ | $16.1 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2016-17$ | $20.1 \%$ | $13.9 \%$ |


| Associate's Degree |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Associate's Degree (Annual Graduates) |  |
| $2017-18$ | $1.4 \%$ |
| $2016-17$ | $0.8 \%$ |

OnRampsCourse Credits (Annual Graduates) 2017-18 1.0\%
0.0\%

| Career/Military Ready Graduates |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Career or Military Ready (Annual Graduates) |  |  |
| $2017-18$ | $28.7 \%$ | $48.3 \%$ |
| $2016-17$ | $13.2 \%$ | $11.3 \%$ |


| Approved Industry-Based Certification (Annual Graduates) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2017-18$ | $4.8 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ |
| $2016-17$ | $2.7 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |


| Graduate with Completed IEP and Workforce | Readiness (Annual Graduates) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2017-18$ | $1.7 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | - |
| $2016-17$ | $1.0 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | - |


| CTE Coherent Sequence Coursework Aligned with Industry-Based Certifications (Annual Graduates) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2017-18$ | $38.7 \%$ | $84.2 \%$ | - | - |
| $2016-17$ | $17.3 \%$ | $19.0 \%$ | - | - |

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 

Texas Academic Performance Report
Total Students: 1,081
District Name: MONTGOMERY ISD
Campus Name: OAK HILLS J H
Campus Number: 170903043

## 2018-19 Campus College, Career, and Military Readiness (CCMR)



|  | State | District | Campus | African <br> American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | Special | Econ Disadv | (Current) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TSIA Results (Graduates >= Criterion) (Annual Graduates) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reading |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 32.1\% | 50.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 23.4\% | 32.3\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 23.7\% | 38.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 19.8\% | 27.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Both Subjects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 18.1\% | 36.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 12.9\% | 22.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| CTE Coherent Sequence (Annual Graduates) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 58.4\% | 86.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 50.5\% | 83.9\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

## Completed and Received Credit for College Prep Courses (Annual Graduates)

| English Language Arts |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2017-18$ | $2.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| $2016-17$ | $0.8 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Mathematics |  |  |
| $2017-18$ | $3.9 \%$ | $9.9 \%$ |
| $2016-17$ | $1.4 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ |
| Both Subjects |  |  |
| $2017-18$ | $0.9 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| $2016-17$ | $0.2 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |


| AP/IB Results (Particip All Subjects | 11-12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 | 25.8\% | 22.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| 2017 | 26.2\% | 22.7\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| English Language Arts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 15.3\% | 9.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| 2017 | 15.9\% | 7.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 7.3\% | 4.6\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| 2017 | 7.2\% | 4.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Science |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 10.8\% | 10.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| 2017 | 10.9\% | 8.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Social Studies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 14.5\% | 16.3\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| 2017 | 15.0\% | 17.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |


| AP/IB Results (Examinees >= Criterion) (Grades 11-12) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Subjects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 50.7\% | 59.5\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| 2017 | 49.1\% | 47.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| English Language Arts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 42.5\% | 68.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| 2017 | 41.3\% | 59.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 52.8\% | 77.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 

Texas Academic Performance Report
Total Students: 1,081 2018-19 Campus CCMR-Related Indicators

|  | State | District | Campus | African American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | Special <br> Ed | Econ Disadv | (Current) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 51.3\% | 71.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Science |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 38.0\% | 59.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| 2017 | 38.3\% | 45.6\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Social Studies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 44.6\% | 47.3\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| 2017 | 41.4\% | 36.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| SAT/ACT Results (Annual Graduates) *** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 74.6\% | 68.7\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| 2016-17 | 73.5\% | 65.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| At/Above Criterion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 37.9\% | 61.5\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Average SAT Score (Annual Graduates) *** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Subjects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 1036 | 1131 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| English Language Arts and Writing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 521 | 569 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 515 | 561 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Average ACT Score (Annual Graduates) *** All Subjects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 20.6 | 24.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| English Language Arts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 20.3 | 24.1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 20.6 | 23.6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 20.9 | 23.6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

|  | State | District | Campus | African <br> American | Hispanic | White | American $\qquad$ | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | Special <br> Ed | Econ <br> Disadv | $\begin{array}{r} \text { EL } \\ \text { (Current) } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Advanced Dual-Credit Course Completion (Grades 9-12) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Any Subject |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 43.4\% | 39.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 37.1\% | 29.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| English Language Arts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 17.3\% | 17.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 16.8\% | 5.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 20.7\% | 19.7\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 19.5\% | 16.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Science |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 21.2\% | 16.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 5.7\% | 2.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Social Studies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 22.8\% | 23.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 21.8\% | 21.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

# Graduates Enrolled in Texas Institution of Higher Education (TX IHE) 2016-17 <br> 59.0\% 

54.6\%

2015-16
54.7\%
60.5\%

| Graduates in TX IHE Completing One Year Without Enrollment in a Developmental Education Course |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2016-17$ | $59.2 \%$ | $73.6 \%$ | - | - |
| $2015-16$ | $55.7 \%$ | $74.1 \%$ | - | - |

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 

| Student Information | Count | Percent | District | State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Students | 1,081 | 100.0\% | 8,837 | 5,416,400 |
| Students by Grade: |  |  |  |  |
| Early Childhood Education | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% |
| Pre-Kindergarten | 0 | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 4.4\% |
| Kindergarten | 0 | 0.0\% | 6.2\% | 6.9\% |
| Grade 1 | 0 | 0.0\% | 7.3\% | 7.1\% |
| Grade 2 | 0 | 0.0\% | 7.1\% | 7.2\% |
| Grade 3 | 0 | 0.0\% | 6.7\% | 7.3\% |
| Grade 4 | 0 | 0.0\% | 7.2\% | 7.6\% |
| Grade 5 | 0 | 0.0\% | 7.6\% | 7.7\% |
| Grade 6 | 345 | 31.9\% | 8.4\% | 7.7\% |
| Grade 7 | 357 | 33.0\% | 8.2\% | 7.5\% |
| Grade 8 | 379 | 35.1\% | 8.7\% | 7.5\% |
| Grade 9 | 0 | 0.0\% | 8.4\% | 8.1\% |
| Grade 10 | 0 | 0.0\% | 7.9\% | 7.4\% |
| Grade 11 | 0 | 0.0\% | 7.4\% | 6.9\% |
| Grade 12 | 0 | 0.0\% | 6.6\% | 6.5\% |
| Ethnic Distribution: |  |  |  |  |
| African American | 26 | 2.4\% | 2.7\% | 12.6\% |
| Hispanic | 179 | 16.6\% | 15.4\% | 52.6\% |
| White | 834 | 77.2\% | 77.3\% | 27.4\% |
| American Indian | 5 | 0.5\% | 0.4\% | 0.4\% |
| Asian | 11 | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 4.5\% |
| Pacific Islander | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% |
| Two or More Races | 26 | 2.4\% | 3.0\% | 2.4\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 245 | 22.7\% | 25.3\% | 60.6\% |
| Non-Educationally Disadvantaged | 836 | 77.3\% | 74.7\% | 39.4\% |
| Section 504 Students | 137 | 12.7\% | 10.3\% | 6.5\% |
| English Learners (EL) | 19 | 1.8\% | 2.3\% | 19.5\% |
| Students w/ Disciplinary Placements (2017-18) | 7 | 0.7\% | 1.0\% | 1.4\% |
| Students w/ Dyslexia | 79 | 7.3\% | 4.4\% | 3.6\% |
| At-Risk | 305 | 28.2\% | 30.4\% | 50.1\% |
| Students with Disabilities by Type of Primary Disability: |  |  |  |  |
| Total Students with Disabilities | 91 |  |  |  |
| By Type of Primary Disability |  |  |  |  |
| Students with Intellectual Disabilities | 45 | 49.5\% | 37.5\% | 42.4\% |
| Students with Physical Disabilities | 11 | 12.1\% | 24.5\% | 21.9\% |
| Students with Autism | 12 | 13.2\% | 13.9\% | 13.7\% |
| Students with Behavioral Disabilities | 23 | 25.3\% | 22.8\% | 20.6\% |
| Students with Non-Categorical Early Childhood | 0 | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 1.4\% |
| Mobility (2017-18): |  |  |  |  |
| Total Mobile Students | 100 | 9.4\% | 10.8\% | 15.4\% |

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 

| Student Information | --------------- Campus --------------- |  | District | State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | Percent |  |  |
| By Ethnicity: |  |  |  |  |
| African American | 2 | 0.2\% |  |  |
| Hispanic | 16 | 1.5\% |  |  |
| White | 80 | 7.5\% |  |  |
| American Indian | 0 | 0.0\% |  |  |
| Asian | 0 | 0.0\% |  |  |
| Pacific Islander | 0 | 0.0\% |  |  |
| Two or More Races | 2 | 0.2\% |  |  |


| Student Information | --------Non-Special Education Rates------- |  |  | --------Special Education Rates- |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Campus | District | State | Campus | District | State |
| Retention Rates by Grade: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kindergarten | - | 1.7\% | 1.7\% | - | 14.6\% | 6.2\% |
| Grade 1 | - | 3.3\% | 3.1\% | - | 1.9\% | 5.5\% |
| Grade 2 | - | 1.9\% | 1.8\% | - | 2.5\% | 2.3\% |
| Grade 3 | - | 0.7\% | 1.1\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.9\% |
| Grade 4 | - | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.5\% |
| Grade 5 | - | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.6\% |
| Grade 6 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% |
| Grade 7 | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% |
| Grade 8 | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% |
| Grade 9 | - | 3.1\% | 7.2\% | - | 6.7\% | 12.7\% |
| Class Size Information | Campus |  |  | District |  | State |

Class Size Averages by Grade and Subject
(Derived from teacher responsibility records):

| Elementary: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kindergarten | - | 17.3 | 18.9 |
| Grade 1 | - | 19.0 | 18.8 |
| Grade 2 | - | 19.3 | 18.7 |
| Grade 3 | - | 17.8 | 18.9 |
| Grade 4 | - | 17.9 | 19.2 |
| Grade 5 | - | 22.9 | 21.2 |
| Grade 6 | 22.9 | 23.5 | 20.4 |
| Secondary: |  |  |  |
| English/Language Arts | 21.3 | 18.6 | 16.6 |
| Foreign Languages | 20.2 | 18.9 | 18.9 |
| Mathematics | 22.9 | 21.9 | 17.8 |
| Science | 20.6 | 21.3 | 18.9 |
| Social Studies | 21.9 | 21.4 | 19.3 |

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 

District Name: MONTGOMERY ISD

## Texas Academic Performance Report

Campus Name: OAK HILLS J H
2018-19 Campus Staff Information
Campus Number: 170903043

| Staff Information | Count/Average | Percent | District | State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Staff | 85.5 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Professional Staff: | 74.6 | 87.2\% | 66.8\% | 64.1\% |
| Teachers | 65.8 | 76.9\% | 55.4\% | 49.8\% |
| Professional Support | 5.8 | 6.7\% | 7.9\% | 10.1\% |
| Campus Administration (School Leadership) | 3.0 | 3.5\% | 2.6\% | 3.0\% |
| Educational Aides: | 11.0 | 12.8\% | 8.2\% | 10.3\% |
| Librarians \& Counselors (Headcount): |  |  |  |  |
| Librarians |  |  |  |  |
| Full-time | 0.0 | n/a | 4.0 | 4,414.0 |
| Part-time | 1.0 | n/a | 2.0 | 572.0 |
| Counselors |  |  |  |  |
| Full-time | 2.0 | n/a | 20.0 | 12,433.0 |
| Part-time | 0.0 | n/a | 0.0 | 1,097.0 |
| Total Minority Staff: | 6.2 | 7.3\% | 11.9\% | 50.4\% |
| Teachers by Ethnicity and Sex: |  |  |  |  |
| African American | 1.0 | 1.5\% | 1.4\% | 10.6\% |
| Hispanic | 2.2 | 3.4\% | 6.0\% | 27.7\% |
| White | 62.6 | 95.1\% | 92.0\% | 58.4\% |
| American Indian | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% |
| Asian | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 1.7\% |
| Pacific Islander | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% |
| Two or More Races | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 1.1\% |
| Males | 8.6 | 13.0\% | 17.3\% | 23.8\% |
| Females | 57.2 | 87.0\% | 82.7\% | 76.2\% |
| Teachers by Highest Degree Held: |  |  |  |  |
| No Degree | 1.4 | 2.1\% | 1.3\% | 1.4\% |
| Bachelors | 54.3 | 82.5\% | 74.1\% | 73.6\% |
| Masters | 9.2 | 13.9\% | 23.9\% | 24.3\% |
| Doctorate | 1.0 | 1.5\% | 0.7\% | 0.7\% |
| Teachers by Years of Experience: |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning Teachers | 1.4 | 2.1\% | 4.0\% | 7.0\% |
| 1-5 Years Experience | 14.2 | 21.6\% | 17.3\% | 28.9\% |
| 6-10 Years Experience | 12.0 | 18.2\% | 18.8\% | 19.0\% |
| 11-20 Years Experience | 21.7 | 33.0\% | 37.8\% | 29.3\% |
| Over 20 Years Experience | 16.5 | 25.0\% | 22.1\% | 15.7\% |
| Number of Students per Teacher | 16.4 | n/a | 15.1 | 15.1 |

Experience of Campus Leadership:
Average Years Experience of Principals
Average Years Experience of Principals with District
Average Years Experience of Assistant Principals
Average Years Experie Assistant Principals with Distric

Average Years Experience of Teachers:
Average Years Experience of Teachers with District:
Average Teacher Salary by Years of Experience (regular duties only): Beginning Teachers
1-5 Years Experience
6-10 Years Experience
11-20 Years Experience
Over 20 Years Experience
Average Actual Salaries (regular duties only):
Teachers
Professional Support
Campus Administration (School Leadership)
Instructional Staff Percent:
.
5.5
13.6
8.0
\$33,333
\$51,154
\$54,376
\$57,057
\$64,021
\$56,547
\$56,130
\$80,707
$4.0-6.3$
$\begin{array}{ll}6.3 & 6.3\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}6.0 & 7.1 \\ 5.3\end{array}$

Contracted Instructional Staff (not incl. above):

| $\$ 51,962$ | $\$ 50,408$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 54,468$ | $\$ 52,786$ |
| $\$ 57,483$ | $\$ 56,041$ |
| $\$ 63,962$ | $\$ 62,039$ |

63,962

56,934
\$83,903
67.8\%
6.0

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

District Name: MONTGOMERY ISD

## Texas Academic Performance Report

Campus Name: OAK HILLS J H
Campus Number: 170903043
2018-19 Campus Staff Information
Total Students: 1,081 Grade Span: 06-08 School Type: Middle

| Program Information | --------------- Campus --------------- |  | District | State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | Percent |  |  |
| Student Enrollment by Program: |  |  |  |  |
| Bilingual/ESL Education | 19 | 1.8\% | 3.2\% | 19.7\% |
| Career \& Technical Education | 0 | 0.0\% | 28.9\% | 26.3\% |
| Gifted \& Talented Education | 116 | 10.7\% | 7.1\% | 8.1\% |
| Special Education | 91 | 8.4\% | 7.4\% | 9.6\% |
| Teachers by Program (population served): |  |  |  |  |
| Bilingual/ESL Education | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 6.4\% |
| Career \& Technical Education | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 5.7\% | 4.9\% |
| Compensatory Education | 0.5 | 0.8\% | 4.2\% | 2.7\% |
| Gifted \& Talented Education | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% |
| Regular Education | 57.0 | 86.7\% | 77.1\% | 71.4\% |
| Special Education | 8.3 | 12.6\% | 12.9\% | 9.1\% |
| Other | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.6\% |

' $\wedge$ ' Indicates that rates for reading and mathematics are based on the cumulative results from the first and second administrations of STAAR.
'*' Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
'**' When only one student disability group is masked, then the second smallest student disability group is masked regardless of size.
${ }^{* * * * ' ~ D u e ~ t o ~ c h a n g e s ~ i n ~ t h e ~ e v a l u a t i o n ~ o f ~ S A T / A C T ~ r e s u l t s ~(f o r ~ 2017-18 ~ t h e ~ b e s t ~ r e s u l t ~ w a s ~ u s e d, ~ r a t h e r ~ t h a n ~ t h e ~ m o s t ~ r e c e n t), ~ 2016-17 ~ S A T / A C T ~ r e s u l t s ~ a r e ~ n o t ~}$ comparable and, where applicable, are not shown.
'-' Indicates there are no students in the group.
' $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ ' Indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.
'?' Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable or were reported outside a reasonable range.

## 2018-19 Texas Academic Performance Report

District Name: MONTGOMERY ISD
Campus Name: LINCOLN EL
Campus Number: 170903102

2019 Accountability Rating: C

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 



## STAAR Performance Rates by Tested Grade, Subject, and Performance Level



## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Total Students: 495

|  |  | State | District | Campus | African American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific <br> Islander | Two or More Races | Special Ed (Current) | Special Ed (Former) | Continuously Enrolled | Non-Continuously Enrolled | Econ Disadv | EL (Current \& $\qquad$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| At Masters Grade Level | 2019 | 24\% | 32\% | 24\% | 7\% | 16\% | 28\% | * | 33\% | - | 17\% | 15\% | * | 26\% | 21\% | 12\% | 9\% |
| All Grades ELA/Reading |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Approaches Grade Level or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above | 2019 | 75\% | 85\% | 87\% | 70\% | 79\% | 92\% | * | * | - | 64\% | 56\% | * | 88\% | 85\% | 77\% | 75\% |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 48\% | 61\% | 56\% | 30\% | 47\% | 61\% | * | * | - | 36\% | 36\% | * | 55\% | 57\% | 42\% | 31\% |
| At Masters Grade Level | 2019 | 21\% | 28\% | 26\% | 20\% | 15\% | 30\% | * | * | - | 18\% | 12\% | * | 29\% | 19\% | 12\% | 9\% |
| All Grades Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Approaches Grade Level or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above | 2019 | 82\% | 91\% | 87\% | 70\% | 77\% | 91\% | * | * | - | 70\% | 48\% | * | 88\% | 84\% | 81\% | 72\% |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 52\% | 68\% | 51\% | 40\% | 42\% | 56\% | * | * | - | 30\% | 32\% | * | 51\% | 51\% | 40\% | 34\% |
| At Masters Grade Level | 2019 | 26\% | 39\% | 31\% | 0\% | 23\% | 35\% | * | * | - | 30\% | 20\% | * | 32\% | 29\% | 17\% | 9\% |
| All Grades Writing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Approaches Grade Level or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above | 2019 | 68\% | 80\% | 75\% | 40\% | 67\% | 83\% | - | * | - | 50\% | 36\% | - | 78\% | 68\% | 59\% | 64\% |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 38\% | 50\% | 41\% | 20\% | 40\% | 45\% | - | * | - | 38\% | 36\% | - | 42\% | 39\% | 22\% | 36\% |
| At Masters Grade Level | 2019 | 14\% | 19\% | 10\% | 0\% | 13\% | 12\% | - | * | - | 0\% | 18\% | - | 10\% | 11\% | 4\% | 18\% |
| All Grades Science |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Approaches Grade Level or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above | 2019 | 81\% | 90\% | 72\% | * | 62\% | 74\% | - | * | - | * | * | - | 75\% | 67\% | 62\% | 50\% |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 54\% | 65\% | 39\% | * | 14\% | 45\% | - | * | - | * | * | - | 40\% | 37\% | 23\% | 0\% |
| At Masters Grade Level | 2019 | 25\% | 28\% | 13\% | * | 5\% | 15\% | - | * | - | * | * | - | 13\% | 13\% | 4\% | 0\% |

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY <br> Texas Academic Performance Report <br> 2018-19 Campus Progress 

Total Students: 495


| School Progress Domain - Academic Growth Score by Grade and Subject |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade 4 ELA/Reading | 2019 | 61 | 64 | 64 | 60 | 56 | 70 | * | * | - | 29 | 45 | - | 66 | 59 | 57 | 83 |
|  | 2018 | 63 | 58 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - |  |
| Grade 4 Mathematics | 2019 | 65 | 70 | 71 | 60 | 61 | 77 | * | * | - | 58 | 64 | - | 66 | 83 | 67 | 45 |
|  | 2018 | 65 | 59 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Grade 5 ELA/Reading | 2019 | 81 | 83 | 76 | * | 62 | 79 | - | * | - | * | * | - | 75 | 76 | 75 | 64 |
|  | 2018 | 80 | 78 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Grade 5 Mathematics | 2019 | 83 | 84 | 70 | * | 73 | 69 | - | * | - | * | * | - | 74 | 63 | 64 | 63 |
|  | 2018 | 81 | 77 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| All Grades Both Subjects | 2019 | 69 | 74 | 70 | 69 | 64 | 74 | * | 67 | - | 47 | 60 | - | 71 | 70 | 66 | 61 |
|  | 2018 | 69 | 71 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| All Grades ELA/Reading | 2019 | 68 | 70 | 70 | 75 | 60 | 75 | * | * | - | 38 | 53 | - | 71 | 68 | 67 | 71 |
|  | 2018 | 69 | 68 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 67 | - | - | - | 6 | - |
| All Grades Mathematics | 2019 | 70 | 77 | 71 | 63 | 68 | 73 | * | * | - | 57 | 67 | - | 70 | 72 | 66 | 54 |
|  | 2018 | 70 | 74 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |

Total Students: 495
Grade Span: PK - 05 School Type: Elementary

Campus Name: LINCOLN EL Campus Number: 170903102

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY <br> Texas Academic Performance Report <br> 2018-19 Campus Prior Year and Student Success Initiative 

|  |  | State | District | Campus | African American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific <br> Islander | Two or More Races | $\begin{gathered} \text { Special } \\ \text { Ed } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Econ Disadv | EL <br> (Current |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Progress of Prior-Year Non-Proficient Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mathematics | 2018 | 47\% | 56\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Reading | 2018 | 38\% | 47\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sum of Grades 4-8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reading | 2019 | 41\% | 49\% | 64\% | * | 20\% | 75\% | - | - | - | * | 33\% | 57\% | 40\% |
| Mathematics | 2019 | 45\% | 60\% | 36\% | * | 33\% | 41\% | - | - | - | * | 14\% | 41\% | 20\% |
| Student Success Initiative |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 5 Reading |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Students Requiring Accelerated Instruction | 2019 | 22\% | 10\% | 12\% | * | 19\% | 10\% | - | * | - | * | * | 18\% | 60\% |
| STAAR CumulativeMet Standard | 2019 | 86\% | 95\% | 96\% | * | 86\% | 99\% | - | * | - | * | * | 90\% | 60\% |
| Grade 5 Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Students Meeting Approaches Grade Level on First STAAR Administration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2019 | 83\% | 93\% | 84\% | * | 71\% | 87\% | - | * | - | * | * | 79\% | 20\% |
| Students Requiring Accelerated Instruction | 2019 | 17\% | 7\% | 16\% | * | 29\% | 13\% | - | * | - | * | * | 21\% | 80\% |
| STAAR CumulativeMet Standard | 2019 | 90\% | 95\% | 90\% | * | 76\% | 93\% | - | * | - | * | * | 86\% | 40\% |

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 

|  |  | State | District | Campus | Bilingual BE-Trans BE-Trans BE-Dual BE-Dual Education Early Exit Late Exit Two-Way One-Way |  |  |  |  | ESL | ESL <br> Content | $\begin{gathered} \text { ESL } \\ \text { Pull-Out } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | LEP No Services | LEP with Services | Total EL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STAAR Performance Rate by Subject and Performance Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Approaches Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 78\% | 88\% | 83\% | 52\% | - | - | 52\% | - | * | * | - | - | 55\% | 55\% |
|  | 2018 | 77\% | 87\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 50\% | 64\% | 50\% | 25\% | - | - | 25\% | - | * | * | - | - | 27\% | 27\% |
|  | 2018 | 48\% | 61\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| At Masters Grade Level | 2019 | 24\% | 32\% | 24\% | 8\% | - | - | 8\% | - | * | * | - | - | 7\% | 7\% |
|  | 2018 | 22\% | 29\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| All Grades ELA/Reading 2018 22\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Approaches Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 75\% | 85\% | 87\% | 60\% | - | - | 60\% | - | * | * | - | - | 64\% | 64\% |
|  | 2018 | 74\% | 85\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 48\% | 61\% | 56\% | 20\% | - | - | 20\% | - | * | * | - | - | 23\% | 23\% |
|  | 2018 | 46\% | 60\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| At Masters Grade Level | 2019 | 21\% | 28\% | 26\% | 5\% | - | - | 5\% | - | * | * | - | - | 5\% | 5\% |
|  | 2018 | 19\% | 26\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| All Grades Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Approaches Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 82\% | 91\% | 87\% | 55\% | - | - | 55\% | - | * | * | - | - | 59\% | 59\% |
|  | 2018 | 81\% | 91\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 52\% | 68\% | 51\% | 30\% | - | - | 30\% | - | * | * | - | - | 32\% | 32\% |
|  | 2018 | 50\% | 66\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| At Masters Grade Level | 2019 | 26\% | 39\% | 31\% | 10\% | - | - | 10\% | - | * | * | - | - | 9\% | 9\% |
|  | 2018 | 24\% | 35\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| All Grades Writing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Approaches Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 68\% | 80\% | 75\% | 50\% | - | - | 50\% | - | - | - | - | - | 50\% | 50\% |
|  | 2018 | 66\% | 77\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 38\% | 50\% | 41\% | 38\% | - | - | 38\% | - | - | - | - | - | 38\% | 38\% |
|  | 2018 | 41\% | 52\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |
| At Masters Grade Level | 2019 | 14\% | 19\% | 10\% | 13\% | - | - | 13\% | - | - | - | - | - | 13\% | 13\% |
|  | 2018 | 13\% | 16\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| All Grades Science |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Approaches Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 81\% | 90\% | 72\% | * | - | - | * | - | - | - | - | - | * | * |
|  | 2018 | 80\% | 87\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 54\% | 65\% | 39\% | * | - | - | * | - | - | - | - | - | * | * |
|  | 2018 | 51\% | 61\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| At Masters Grade Level | 2019 | 25\% | 28\% | 13\% | * | - | - | * | - | - | - | - | - | * | * |
|  | 2018 | 23\% | 28\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| School Progress Domain - Academic Growth Score |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Grades Both Subjects | 2019 | 69\% | 74\% | 70\% | 56\% | - | - | 56\% | - | * | * | - | - | 58\% | 58\% |
|  | 2018 | 69\% | 71\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| All Grades ELA/Reading | 2019 | 68\% | 70\% | 70\% | 92\% | - | - | 92\% | - | * | * | - | - | 93\% | 93\% |
|  | 2018 | 69\% | 68\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| All Grades Mathematics | 2019 | 70\% | 77\% | 71\% | 38\% | - | - | 38\% | - | * | * | - | - | 38\% | 38\% |
|  | 2018 | 70\% | 74\% | - |  | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Progress of Prior Year STAAR Non-Proficient Students (Percent of Non-Proficient Passing STAAR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reading | 2019 | 41\% | 49\% | 64\% | 40\% | - | - | 40\% | - | - | - | - | - | 40\% | 40\% |
|  | 2018 | 38\% | 47\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mathematics | 2019 | 45\% | 60\% | 36\% | 20\% | - | - | 20\% | - | - | - | - | - | 20\% | 20\% |
|  | 2018 | 47\% | 56\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 

|  | State | District | Campus | African American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Special } \\ \text { Ed } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Econ } \\ \text { Disadv } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { EL } \\ \text { (Current) } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2019 STAAR Participation (All Grades) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Tests |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Assessment Participant | 99\% | 98\% | 99\% | 100\% | 99\% | 98\% | 80\% | 100\% | - | 100\% | 100\% | 98\% | 98\% |
| Included in Accountability | 94\% | 94\% | 94\% | 76\% | 95\% | 95\% | 80\% | 100\% | - | 100\% | 96\% | 87\% | 93\% |
| Not Included in Accountability |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobile | 4\% | 3\% | 5\% | 24\% | 5\% | 4\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 0\% | 4\% | 11\% | 5\% |
| Other Exclusions | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Not Tested | 1\% | 2\% | 1\% | 0\% | 1\% | 2\% | 20\% | 0\% |  | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Absent | 1\% | 2\% | 1\% | 0\% | 1\% | 2\% | 20\% | 0\% |  | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Other | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |

## 2018 STAAR Participation

(All Grades)
All Tests
Assessment Participant
Included in Accountability
Included in Accountability
Not Included in Accountability
Mobile

| $99 \%$ | $98 \%$ | - | - | - | - |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| $94 \%$ | $94 \%$ | - | - | - | - |
| $4 \%$ | $4 \%$ | - | - | - | - |
| $1 \%$ | $0 \%$ | - | - | - | - |
| $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ | - | - | - | - |
| $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ | - | - | - | - |
| $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | - | - | - | - |

Other

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

## Texas Academic Performance Report

Total Students: 495


| 6-Year Extended Longitudinal Rate (Gr 9-12) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Class of 2016 |  |  |
| Graduated | $92.1 \%$ | $96.2 \%$ |

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

|  | State | District | Campus | African American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | Special <br> Ed | Econ Disadv | (Current) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Received TxCHSE | 0.8\% | 2.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Continued HS | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Dropped Out | 6.6\% | 1.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates and TxCHSE | 92.9\% | 98.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates, TxCHSE, and Continuers | 93.4\% | 98.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Class of 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Graduated | 91.8\% | 93.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Received TxCHSE | 1.0\% | 3.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Continued HS | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Dropped Out | 6.7\% | 3.9\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates and TxCHSE | 92.8\% | 96.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates, TxCHSE, and Continuers | 93.3\% | 96.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |


| 4-Year Federal Graduation Rate Without | ns (Gr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class of 2018 90.0\% | 96.9\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Class of 2017 89.7\% | 92.5\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| RHSP/DAP Graduates (Longitudinal Rate) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Class of 2018 68.5\% | * | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Class of 2017 88.5\% | 83.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| FHSP-E Graduates (Longitudinal Rate) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Class of 2018 5.0\% | 10.7\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Class of 2017 6.0\% | 33.3\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| FHSP-DLA Graduates (Longitudinal Rate) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Class of 2018 82.0\% | 83.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Class of 2017 60.8\% | 66.7\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| RHSP/DAP/FHSP-E/FHSP-DLA Graduates | itudinal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Class of 2018 86.8\% | 94.3\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Class of 2017 85.9\% | 84.3\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| RHSP/DAP Graduates (Annual Rate) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 37.7\% | 50.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 87.2\% | 82.6\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| FHSP-E Graduates (Annual Rate) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 4.9\% | 11.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 7.2\% | 20.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| FHSP-DLA Graduates (Annual Rate) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 81.5\% | 83.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 56.5\% | 79.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| RHSP/DAP/FHSP-E/FHSP-DLA Graduates | ual Rate) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 85.1\% | 93.9\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 84.0\% | 83.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

|  | Campus Count | Campus Percent | District Count | State Count |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Graduates (2017-18 Annual Graduates) |  |  |  |  |
| Total Graduates | - | - | 627 | 347,893 |
| By Ethnicity: |  |  |  |  |
| African American | - | - | 22 | 43,502 |
| Hispanic | - | - | 81 | 173,272 |
| White |  | - | 499 | 107,052 |
| American Indian | - | - | 5 | 1,226 |
| Asian | - | - | 10 | 15,589 |
| Pacific Islander | - | - | 1 | 528 |
| Two or More Races | - | - | 9 | 6,724 |
| By Graduation Type: |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum H.S. Program | - | - | 3 | 5,855 |
| Recommended H.S. Program/Distinguished Achievement Program | - | - | 3 | 3,538 |
| Foundation H.S. Program (No Endorsement) | - | - | 37 | 49,432 |
| Foundation H.S. Program (Endorsement) | - | - | 68 | 16,542 |
| Foundation H.S. Program (DLA) | - | - | 516 | 272,526 |
| Special Education Graduates | - | - | 41 | 25,962 |
| Economically Disadvantaged Graduates |  | - | 92 | 166,956 |
| LEP Graduates |  | - | 4 | 21,359 |
| At-Risk Graduates | - | - | 171 | 144,805 |



| College Ready Graduates *** |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| College Ready (Annual Graduates) |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 50.0\% | 59.3\% |
| TSI Criteria Graduates (Annual Graduates) |  |  |
| English Language Arts |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 58.2\% | 71.6\% |
| Mathematics |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 46.0\% | 58.7\% |
| Both Subjects |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 42.1\% | 57.7\% |

Dual Course Credits (Annual Graduates)
Any Subject
2017-18
$2016-17$

AP/IB Met Criteria in Any Subject (Annual Graduates) Any Subject

| $2017-18$ | $20.4 \%$ | $16.1 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2016-17$ | $20.1 \%$ | $13.9 \%$ |


| Associate's Degree |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Associate's Degree (Annual Graduates) |  |
| $2017-18$ | $1.4 \%$ |
| $2016-17$ | $0.8 \%$ |

OnRampsCourse Credits (Annual Graduates) 2017-18
1.0\%
0.0\%

| Career/Military Ready Graduates |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Career or Military Ready (Annual Graduates) |  |  |
| $2017-18$ | $28.7 \%$ | $48.3 \%$ |
| $2016-17$ | $13.2 \%$ | $11.3 \%$ |


| Approved Industry-Based Certification (Annual Graduates) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2017-18$ | $4.8 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ |


| $2016-17$ | $2.7 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Graduate with Completed IEP and Workforce | Readiness (Annual Graduates) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2017-18$ | $1.7 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | - |
| $2016-17$ | $1.0 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | - |


| CTE Coherent Sequence Coursework Aligned with Industry-Based Certifications (Annual Graduates) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2017-18$ | $38.7 \%$ | $84.2 \%$ | - | - |
| $2016-17$ | $17.3 \%$ | $19.0 \%$ | - | - |

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 

## Texas Academic Performance Report

Total Students: 495
2018-19 Campus College, Career, and Military Readiness (CCMR)

|  | State | District | Campus | African American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Special } \\ \text { Ed } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Econ } \\ \text { Disadv } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { EL } \\ \text { (Current) } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| U.S. Armed Forces Enlistment(Annual Graduates) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 4.3\% | 2.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 2.2\% | 1.5\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates under an Advanced Degree Plan and Identified as a current Special Education Student (Annual Graduates) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Graduates with Levell or Level II Certificate (Annual Graduates) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 0.6\% | 0.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 

Texas Academic Performance Report
Total Students: 495 2018-19 Campus CCMR-Related Indicators

|  | State | District | Campus | African American | Hispanic | White | American $\qquad$ | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | Special Ed | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Econ } \\ \text { Disadv } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | (Current) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TSIA Results (Graduates >= Criterion) (Annual Graduates) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reading |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 32.1\% | 50.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 23.4\% | 32.3\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 23.7\% | 38.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 19.8\% | 27.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Both Subjects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 18.1\% | 36.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 12.9\% | 22.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| CTE Coherent Sequence (Annual Graduates) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 58.4\% | 86.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 50.5\% | 83.9\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

## Completed and Received Credit for College Prep Courses (Annual Graduates)

| English Language Arts |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2017-18 | $2.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| 2016-17 | $0.8 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Mathematics | $3.9 \%$ | $9.9 \%$ |
| $2017-18$ | $1.4 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ |
| $2016-17$ |  |  |
| Both Subjects | $0.9 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| $2017-18$ | $0.2 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| $2016-17$ |  |  |


| AP/IB Results (Particip All Subjects | 11-12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 | 25.8\% | 22.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| 2017 | 26.2\% | 22.7\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| English Language Arts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 15.3\% | 9.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| 2017 | 15.9\% | 7.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 7.3\% | 4.6\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| 2017 | 7.2\% | 4.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Science |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 10.8\% | 10.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| 2017 | 10.9\% | 8.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Social Studies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 14.5\% | 16.3\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| 2017 | 15.0\% | 17.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |


| AP/IB Results (Examinees >= Criterion) (Grades 11-12) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Subjects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 50.7\% | 59.5\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| 2017 | 49.1\% | 47.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| English Language Arts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 42.5\% | 68.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| 2017 | 41.3\% | 59.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 52.8\% | 77.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

## Texas Academic Performance Report

 2018-19 Campus CCMR-Related IndicatorsTotal Students: 495 Grade Span: PK - 05 School Type: Elementary

|  | State | District | Campus | African American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | Special Ed | Econ Disadv | (Current) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 51.3\% | 71.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Science |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 38.0\% | 59.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| 2017 | 38.3\% | 45.6\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Social Studies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 44.6\% | 47.3\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| 2017 | 41.4\% | 36.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| SAT/ACT Results (Annual Graduates) *** Tested |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 74.6\% | 68.7\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| 2016-17 | 73.5\% | 65.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| At/Above Criterion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 37.9\% | 61.5\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Average SAT Score (Annual Graduates) ***All Subjects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 1036 | 1131 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| English Language Arts and Writing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 521 | 569 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 515 | 561 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Average ACT Score (Annual Graduates) *** All Subjects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 20.6 | 24.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| English Language Arts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 20.3 | 24.1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 20.6 | 23.6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 20.9 | 23.6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

|  | State | District | Campus | African <br> American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | Special $\qquad$ | Econ Disadv | (Current) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Advanced Dual-Credit Course Completion (Grades 9-12) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Any Subject |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 43.4\% | 39.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 37.1\% | 29.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| English Language Arts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 17.3\% | 17.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 16.8\% | 5.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 20.7\% | 19.7\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 19.5\% | 16.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Science |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 21.2\% | 16.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 5.7\% | 2.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Social Studies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 22.8\% | 23.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 21.8\% | 21.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates Enrolled in Texas Institution of Higher Education (TX IHE) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2016-17 | 54.6\% | 59.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2015-16 | 54.7\% | 60.5\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates in TX IHE Completing One Year Without Enrollment in a Developmental Education Course |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2016-17 | 59.2\% | 73.6\% |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2015-16 | 55.7\% | 74.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 

District Name: MONTGOMERY ISD
Texas Academic Performance Report
Campus Name: LINCOLN EL
2018-19 Campus Student Information
Campus Number: 170903102

Total Students: 495
Grade Span: PK - 05 School Type: Elementary

| Student Information | ---------------- Campus --------------- |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | Percent | District | State |
| Total Students | 495 | 100.0\% | 8,837 | 5,416,400 |
| Students by Grade: |  |  |  |  |
| Early Childhood Education | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% |
| Pre-Kindergarten | 26 | 5.3\% | 1.9\% | 4.4\% |
| Kindergarten | 59 | 11.9\% | 6.2\% | 6.9\% |
| Grade 1 | 65 | 13.1\% | 7.3\% | 7.1\% |
| Grade 2 | 71 | 14.3\% | 7.1\% | 7.2\% |
| Grade 3 | 81 | 16.4\% | 6.7\% | 7.3\% |
| Grade 4 | 92 | 18.6\% | 7.2\% | 7.6\% |
| Grade 5 | 101 | 20.4\% | 7.6\% | 7.7\% |
| Grade 6 | 0 | 0.0\% | 8.4\% | 7.7\% |
| Grade 7 | 0 | 0.0\% | 8.2\% | 7.5\% |
| Grade 8 | 0 | 0.0\% | 8.7\% | 7.5\% |
| Grade 9 | 0 | 0.0\% | 8.4\% | 8.1\% |
| Grade 10 | 0 | 0.0\% | 7.9\% | 7.4\% |
| Grade 11 | 0 | 0.0\% | 7.4\% | 6.9\% |
| Grade 12 | 0 | 0.0\% | 6.6\% | 6.5\% |
| Ethnic Distribution: |  |  |  |  |
| African American | 21 | 4.2\% | 2.7\% | 12.6\% |
| Hispanic | 124 | 25.1\% | 15.4\% | 52.6\% |
| White | 328 | 66.3\% | 77.3\% | 27.4\% |
| American Indian | 2 | 0.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.4\% |
| Asian | 3 | 0.6\% | 1.0\% | 4.5\% |
| Pacific Islander | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% |
| Two or More Races | 17 | 3.4\% | 3.0\% | 2.4\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 188 | 38.0\% | 25.3\% | 60.6\% |
| Non-Educationally Disadvantaged | 307 | 62.0\% | 74.7\% | 39.4\% |
| Section 504 Students | 47 | 9.5\% | 10.3\% | 6.5\% |
| English Learners (EL) | 63 | 12.7\% | 2.3\% | 19.5\% |
| Students w/ Disciplinary Placements (2017-18) | 0 | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.4\% |
| Students w/ Dyslexia | 34 | 6.9\% | 4.4\% | 3.6\% |
| At-Risk | 212 | 42.8\% | 30.4\% | 50.1\% |
| Students with Disabilities by Type of Primary Disability: |  |  |  |  |
| Total Students with Disabilities | 49 |  |  |  |
| By Type of Primary Disability |  |  |  |  |
| Students with Intellectual Disabilities | 15 | 30.6\% | 37.5\% | 42.4\% |
| Students with Physical Disabilities | 25 | 51.0\% | 24.5\% | 21.9\% |
| Students with Autism | * | * | 13.9\% | 13.7\% |
| Students with Behavioral Disabilities | * | * | 22.8\% | 20.6\% |
| Students with Non-Categorical Early Childhood | * | * | 1.2\% | 1.4\% |
| Mobility (2017-18): |  |  |  |  |
| Total Mobile Students | 0 | 0.0\% | 10.8\% | 15.4\% |

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Texas Academic Performance Report 2018-19 Campus Student Information 

District Name: MONTGOMERY ISD

| Student Information | --------------- Campus --------------- |  | District | State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | Percent |  |  |
| By Ethnicity: |  |  |  |  |
| African American | 0 | 0.0\% |  |  |
| Hispanic | 0 | 0.0\% |  |  |
| White | 0 | 0.0\% |  |  |
| American Indian | 0 | 0.0\% |  |  |
| Asian | 0 | 0.0\% |  |  |
| Pacific Islander | 0 | 0.0\% |  |  |
| Two or More Races | 0 | 0.0\% |  |  |

Class Size Averages by Grade and Subject
(Derived from teacher responsibility records):

| Elementary: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kindergarten | 14.7 | 17.3 | 18.9 |
| Grade 1 | 16.3 | 19.0 | 18.8 |
| Grade 2 | 17.1 | 19.3 | 18.7 |
| Grade 3 | 16.1 | 17.8 | 18.9 |
| Grade 4 | 15.0 | 17.9 | 19.2 |
| Grade 5 | 24.4 | 22.9 | 21.2 |
| Grade 6 | - | 23.5 | 20.4 |
| Secondary: |  |  |  |
| English/Language Arts | - | 18.6 | 16.6 |
| Foreign Languages | - | 18.9 | 18.9 |
| Mathematics | - | 21.9 | 17.8 |
| Science | - | 21.3 | 18.9 |
| Social Studies | - | 21.4 | 19.3 |

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 

District Name: MONTGOMERY ISD

Total Students: 495 Grade Span: PK - 05 School Type: Elementary

| Staff Information | Count/Average | Percent | District | State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Staff | 50.4 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Professional Staff: | 41.6 | 82.7\% | 66.8\% | 64.1\% |
| Teachers | 35.9 | 71.2\% | 55.4\% | 49.8\% |
| Professional Support | 3.8 | 7.4\% | 7.9\% | 10.1\% |
| Campus Administration (School Leadership) | 2.0 | 4.0\% | 2.6\% | 3.0\% |
| Educational Aides: | 8.7 | 17.3\% | 8.2\% | 10.3\% |
| Librarians \& Counselors (Headcount): |  |  |  |  |
| Librarians |  |  |  |  |
| Full-time | 0.0 | n/a | 4.0 | 4,414.0 |
| Part-time | 1.0 | n/a | 2.0 | 572.0 |
| Counselors |  |  |  |  |
| Full-time | 1.0 | n/a | 20.0 | 12,433.0 |
| Part-time | 0.0 | n/a | 0.0 | 1,097.0 |
| Total Minority Staff: | 11.5 | 22.8\% | 11.9\% | 50.4\% |
| Teachers by Ethnicity and Sex: |  |  |  |  |
| African American | 3.0 | 8.4\% | 1.4\% | 10.6\% |
| Hispanic | 5.0 | 13.9\% | 6.0\% | 27.7\% |
| White | 27.9 | 77.7\% | 92.0\% | 58.4\% |
| American Indian | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% |
| Asian | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 1.7\% |
| Pacific Islander | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% |
| Two or More Races | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 1.1\% |
| Males | 1.0 | 2.8\% | 17.3\% | 23.8\% |
| Females | 34.9 | 97.2\% | 82.7\% | 76.2\% |
| Teachers by Highest Degree Held: |  |  |  |  |
| No Degree | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 1.4\% |
| Bachelors | 23.9 | 66.6\% | 74.1\% | 73.6\% |
| Masters | 12.0 | 33.4\% | 23.9\% | 24.3\% |
| Doctorate | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.7\% |
| Teachers by Years of Experience: |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning Teachers | 3.0 | 8.2\% | 4.0\% | 7.0\% |
| 1-5 Years Experience | 2.9 | 8.2\% | 17.3\% | 28.9\% |
| 6-10 Years Experience | 11.0 | 30.7\% | 18.8\% | 19.0\% |
| 11-20 Years Experience | 12.5 | 34.8\% | 37.8\% | 29.3\% |
| Over 20 Years Experience | 6.5 | 18.1\% | 22.1\% | 15.7\% |
| Number of Students per Teacher | 13.8 | n/a | 15.1 | 15.1 |

Total Students: 495

| Staff Information | Campus | District | State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Experience of Campus Leadership: |  |  |  |
| Average Years Experience of Principals | 1.0 | 6.3 | 6.3 |
| Average Years Experience of Principals with District | 1.0 | 4.1 | 5.4 |
| Average Years Experience of Assistant Principals | 1.0 | 7.1 | 5.3 |
| Average Years Experience of Assistant Principals with District | 1.0 | 5.3 | 4.7 |
| Average Years Experience of Teachers: | 13.1 | 13.6 | 11.1 |
| Average Years Experience of Teachers with District: | 8.3 | 6.6 | 7.2 |
| Average Teacher Salary by Years of Experience (regular duties only): $\$ 4.208080$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| 1 -5 Years Experience | \$52,687 | \$51,962 | \$50,408 |
| 6-10 Years Experience | \$54,023 | \$54,468 | \$52,786 |
| 11-20 Years Experience | \$58,043 | \$57,483 | \$56,041 |
| Over 20 Years Experience | \$63,649 | \$63,962 | \$62,039 |
| Average Actual Salaries (regular duties only): |  |  |  |
| Teachers | \$56,800 | \$56,934 | \$54,122 |
| Professional Support | \$61,132 | \$64,178 | \$64,069 |
| Campus Administration (School Leadership) | \$75,480 | \$83,903 | \$78,947 |
| Instructional Staff Percent: | n/a | 67.8\% | 64.5\% |
| Contracted Instructional Staff (not incl. above): | 0.1 | 6.0 | 6,043.6 |

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

District Name: MONTGOMERY ISD

## Texas Academic Performance Report

Total Students: 495
2018-19 Campus Staff Information

| Program Information | ---------------- Campus ---------------- |  | District | State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | Percent |  |  |
| Student Enrollment by Program: |  |  |  |  |
| Bilingual/ESL Education | 109 | 22.0\% | 3.2\% | 19.7\% |
| Career \& Technical Education | 0 | 0.0\% | 28.9\% | 26.3\% |
| Gifted \& Talented Education | 29 | 5.9\% | 7.1\% | 8.1\% |
| Special Education | 49 | 9.9\% | 7.4\% | 9.6\% |
| Teachers by Program (population served): |  |  |  |  |
| Bilingual/ESL Education | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 6.4\% |
| Career \& Technical Education | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 5.7\% | 4.9\% |
| Compensatory Education | 4.0 | 11.1\% | 4.2\% | 2.7\% |
| Gifted \& Talented Education | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% |
| Regular Education | 29.4 | 82.0\% | 77.1\% | 71.4\% |
| Special Education | 2.5 | 6.9\% | 12.9\% | 9.1\% |
| Other | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.6\% |

' $\wedge$ ' Indicates that rates for reading and mathematics are based on the cumulative results from the first and second administrations of STAAR.
'*' Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
'**' When only one student disability group is masked, then the second smallest student disability group is masked regardless of size.
${ }^{\prime * * * *}$ Due to changes in the evaluation of SAT/ACT results (for 2017-18 the best result was used, rather than the most recent), 2016-17 SAT/ACT results are not comparable and, where applicable, are not shown.
'-' Indicates there are no students in the group.
' $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ ' Indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.
'?' Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable or were reported outside a reasonable range.

## 2018-19 Texas Academic Performance Report

District Name: MONTGOMERY ISD
Campus Name: MONTGOMERY EL
Campus Number: 170903103

2019 Accountability Rating: B

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 



## STAAR Performance Rates by Tested Grade, Subject, and Performance Level




## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

District Name: MONTGOMERY ISD Campus Name: MONTGOMERY EL Campus Number: 170903103

## Texas Academic Performance Report <br> 2018-19 Campus STAAR Performance

Total Students: 384 Grade Span: PK - 05 School Type: Elementary

|  |  | State | District | Campus | African American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Special } \\ & \text { Ed } \\ & \text { (Current) } \end{aligned}$ | Special Ed (Former) | Continuously Enrolled | Non-Continuously Enrolled | $\begin{gathered} \text { Econ } \\ \text { Disadv } \end{gathered}$ | EL (Current \& Monitored) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Grades Science |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Approaches Grade Level or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above | 2019 | 81\% | 90\% | 94\% | * | * | 97\% | - | - | - | * | * | - | 96\% | 90\% | 90\% | - |
|  | 2018 | 80\% | 87\% | 80\% | 53\% | 74\% | 86\% | - | - | * | * | 40\% | * | 82\% | 78\% | 73\% | 77\% |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 54\% | 65\% | 66\% | * | * | 72\% | - | - | - | * | * | - | 74\% | 55\% | 20\% | - |
|  | 2018 | 51\% | 61\% | 43\% | 33\% | 33\% | 47\% | - | - | * | * | 30\% | * | 43\% | 43\% | 34\% | 23\% |
| At Masters Grade Level | 2019 | 25\% | 28\% | 28\% | * | * | 31\% | - | - | - | * | * | - | 30\% | 25\% | 10\% | - |
|  | 2018 | 23\% | 28\% | 16\% | 0\% | 11\% | 21\% | - | - | * | * | 20\% | * | 16\% | 16\% | 7\% | 0\% |

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY <br> Texas Academic Performance Report <br> 2018-19 Campus Progress

Total Students: 384 Grade Span: PK - 05 School Type: Elementary


| School Progress Domain - Academic Growth Score by Grade and Subject |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade 4 ELA/Reading | 2019 | 61 | 64 | 43 | * | 21 | 46 | - | * | - | * | * | - | 45 |
|  | 2018 | 63 | 58 | 57 | 17 | 57 | 58 | - | * | - | * | * | - | 58 |
| Grade 4 Mathematics | 2019 | 65 | 70 | 62 | * | 43 | 69 | - | * | - | * | * | - | 62 |
|  | 2018 | 65 | 59 | 48 | 33 | 50 | 48 | - | * | - | * | * | - | 52 |
| Grade 5 ELA/Reading | 2019 | 81 | 83 | 91 | * | 70 | 93 | - | - | - | - | * | - | 89 |
|  | 2018 | 80 | 78 | 73 | 72 | 77 | 74 | - | - | * | * | 50 | * | 75 |
| Grade 5 Mathematics | 2019 | 83 | 84 | 94 | * | 100 | 93 | - | - | - | - | * | - | 93 |
|  | 2018 | 81 | 77 | 78 | 88 | 70 | 80 | - | - | * | * | 75 | * | 78 |
| All Grades Both Subjects | 2019 | 69 | 74 | 69 | 67 | 54 | 73 | - | * | - | 38 | 71 | - | 69 |
|  | 2018 | 69 | 71 | 65 | 65 | 64 | 66 | - | * | * | 67 | 66 | * | 67 |
| All Grades ELA/Reading | 2019 | 68 | 70 | 63 | 67 | 42 | 66 | - | * | - | * | 75 | - | 63 |
|  | 2018 | 69 | 68 | 66 | 57 | 67 | 67 | - | * | * | * | 59 | * | 67 |
| All Grades Mathematics | 2019 | 70 | 77 | 75 | 67 | 67 | 80 | - | * | - | * | 67 | - | 75 |
|  | 2018 | 70 | 74 | 65 | 73 | 60 | 65 | - | * | * | * | 73 | * | 67 |

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY <br> Texas Academic Performance Report 2018-19 Campus Prior Year and Student Success Initiative 

Total Students: 384
Grade Span: PK - 05 School Type: Elementary


## Progress of Prior-Year Non-Proficient Students

Sum of Grades 4-8

| Reading | 2019 | 41\% | 49\% | 57\% | * | * | 75\% | - | - | - | * | * | 38\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2018 | 38\% | 47\% | 48\% | * | * | 75\% | - | - | - | * |  | 26\% |
| Mathematics | 2019 | 45\% | 60\% | 55\% |  |  | 50\% | - | - | - | * |  | 33\% |
|  | 2018 | 47\% | 56\% | 58\% |  |  | 56\% | - | - | - | * |  | 53\% |

## Student Success Initiative

| Grade 5 Reading |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Students Meeting Approaches Grade Level on First STAAR Administration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2019 | 78\% | 90\% | 96\% | * | 100\% | 97\% |
| Students Requiring Accelerated Instruction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2019 | 22\% | 10\% | 4\% | * | 0\% | 3\% |
| STAAR CumulativeMet Standard |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2019 | 86\% | 95\% | 98\% | * | 100\% | 100\% |
| STAAR Non-Proficient Students Promoted by Grade Placement Committee |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2018 | 97\% | 100\% | 100\% | * | * | 100\% |
| Grade 5 Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Students Meeting Approaches Grade Level on First STAAR Administration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2019 | 83\% | 93\% | 96\% | * | 100\% | 97\% |
| Students Requiring Accelerated Instruction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2019 | 17\% | 7\% | 4\% | * | 0\% | 3\% |
| STAAR CumulativeMet Standard |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2019 | 90\% | 95\% | 100\% | * | 100\% | 100\% |
| STAAR Non-Proficient Students Promoted by Grade Placement Committee |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2018 | 97\% | 100\% | * | - | - | * |


| - | - | $*$ | $*$ | $91 \%$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | - | - | $*$ | $*$ | $9 \%$ |
| - | - | - | $*$ | $*$ | $91 \%$ |
| - | - | - | $*$ | $*$ | $100 \%$ |
| - | - | - | $*$ | $*$ | $82 \%$ |
| - | - | - | $*$ | $18 \%$ |  |
| - | - | - | $*$ | $100 \%$ |  |
| - | - | $*$ | - | $*$ | - |

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 

|  | State |  | District | Campus |  |  |  |  |  | ESL | ESL <br> Content | $\begin{gathered} \text { ESL } \\ \text { Pull-Out } \end{gathered}$ | LEP No LEP with Services Services |  | Total EL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STAAR Performance Rate by Subject and Performance Level All Grades All Subjects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Approaches Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 78\% | 88\% | 87\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2018 | 77\% | 87\% | 85\% | 51\% | - | - | 51\% | - | * | * | - | - | 54\% | 54\% |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 50\% | 64\% | 57\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | 2018 | 48\% | 61\% | 53\% | 10\% | - | - | 10\% | - | * | * | - | - | 9\% | 9\% |
| At Masters Grade Level | 2019 | 24\% | 32\% | 30\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2018 | 22\% | 29\% | 28\% | 4\% | - | - | 4\% | - | * | * | - | - | 4\% | 4\% |
| All Grades ELA/Reading |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Approaches Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 75\% | 85\% | 91\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2018 | 74\% | 85\% | 87\% | 40\% | - | - | 40\% | - | * | * | - | - | 43\% | 43\% |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 48\% | 61\% | 54\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2018 | 46\% | 60\% | 56\% | 10\% | - | - | 10\% | - | * | * | - | - | 10\% | 10\% |
| At Masters Grade Level | 2019 | 21\% | 28\% | 32\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2018 | 19\% | 26\% | 35\% | 5\% | - | - | 5\% | - | * | * | - | - | 5\% | 5\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Approaches Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 82\% | 91\% | 89\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2018 | 81\% | 91\% | 88\% | 65\% | - | - | 65\% | - | * | * | - | - | 67\% | 67\% |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 52\% | 68\% | 68\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2018 | 50\% | 66\% | 57\% | 15\% | - | - | 15\% | - | * | * | - | - | 14\% | 14\% |
| At Masters Grade Level | 2019 | 26\% | 39\% | 40\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2018 | 24\% | 35\% | 31\% | 5\% | - | - | 5\% | - | * | * | - | - | 5\% | 5\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Approaches Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 68\% | 80\% | 67\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2018 | 66\% | 77\% | 69\% | 20\% | - | - | 20\% | - | * | * | - | - | 33\% | 33\% |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 38\% | 50\% | 30\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2018 | 41\% | 52\% | 42\% | 0\% | - | - | 0\% | - | * | * | - | - | 0\% | 0\% |
| At Masters Grade Level | 2019 | 14\% | 19\% | 3\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2018 | 13\% | 16\% | 8\% | 0\% | - | - | 0\% | - | * | * | - | - | 0\% | 0\% |
| All Grades Science |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Approaches Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 81\% | 90\% | 94\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2018 | 80\% | 87\% | 80\% | 67\% | - | - | 67\% | - | - | - | - | - | 67\% | 67\% |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 54\% | 65\% | 66\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2018 | 51\% | 61\% | 43\% | 0\% | - | - | 0\% | - | - | - | - | - | 0\% | 0\% |
| At Masters Grade Level | 2019 | 25\% | 28\% | 28\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2018 | 23\% | 28\% | 16\% | 0\% | - | - | 0\% | - | - | - | - | - | 0\% | 0\% |
| School Progress Domain - Academic Growth Score |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Grades Both Subjects | 2019 | 69\% | 74\% | 69\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | 2018 | 69\% | 71\% | 65\% | 58\% | - | - | 58\% | - | * | * | - | - | 57\% | 57\% |
| All Grades ELA/Reading | 2019 | 68\% | 70\% | 63\% | , | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 5 |
|  | 2018 | 69\% | 68\% | 66\% | 61\% | - | - | 61\% | - | * | * | - | - | 65\% | 65\% |
| All Grades Mathematics | 2019 | 70\% | 77\% | 75\% | - | - | - | $\stackrel{-}{-}$ | - | - | - | - | - | -- |  |
|  | 2018 | 70\% | 74\% | 65\% | 55\% | - | - | 55\% | - | * | * | - | - | 50\% | 50\% |

Progress of Prior Year STAAR Non-Proficient Students (Percent of Non-Proficient Passing STAAR)
Reading

Mathematics

| 2018 | $38 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $\mathbf{4 8 \%}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2019 | $45 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $\mathbf{5 5 \%}$ |
| 2018 | $47 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $\mathbf{5 8 \%}$ |

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 

|  | State | District | Campus | African American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | Special | Econ Disadv | $\begin{array}{r} \text { EL } \\ \text { (Current) } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STAAR Participatio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

2019 STAAR Participation
(All Grades)

| All Tests |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assessment Participant | 99\% | 98\% | 98\% | 100\% | 98\% | 98\% | - | 100\% | - | 100\% | 100\% | 96\% |
| Included in Accountability | 94\% | 94\% | 92\% | 86\% | 88\% | 92\% | - | 100\% | - | 100\% | 71\% | 81\% |
| Not Included in Accountability |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobile | 4\% | 3\% | 6\% | 14\% | 10\% | 6\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | 29\% | 15\% |
| Other Exclusions | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Not Tested | 1\% | 2\% | 2\% | 0\% | 2\% | 2\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | 0\% | 4\% |
| Absent | 1\% | 2\% | 2\% | 0\% | 2\% | 2\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | 0\% | 4\% |
| Other | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |

## 2018 STAAR Participation

(All Grades)

| All Tests |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assessment Participant | 99\% | 98\% | 99\% | 99\% | 100\% | 99\% | * | 100\% | * | 100\% | 100\% | 99\% | 100\% |
| Included in Accountability | 94\% | 94\% | 93\% | 99\% | 94\% | 93\% | * | 91\% | * | 94\% | 89\% | 90\% | 86\% |
| Not Included in Accountability |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobile | 4\% | 4\% | 6\% | 0\% | 6\% | 6\% | * | 9\% | * | 6\% | 11\% | 8\% | 13\% |
| Other Exclusions | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | * | 0\% | * | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% |
| Not Tested | 1\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% | 0\% | 1\% | * | 0\% | * | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% |
| Absent | 1\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% | 0\% | 1\% | * | 0\% | * | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% |
| Other | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | * | 0\% | * | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |



| 6-Year Extended Longitudinal Rate (Gr 9-12) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Class of 2016 |  |  |
| Graduated | $92.1 \%$ | $96.2 \%$ |

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

## Texas Academic Performance Report

Total Students: 384

|  | State | District | Campus | African American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | Special <br> Ed | Econ Disadv | (Current) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Received TxCHSE | 0.8\% | 2.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Continued HS | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Dropped Out | 6.6\% | 1.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates and TxCHSE | 92.9\% | 98.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates, TxCHSE, and Continuers | 93.4\% | 98.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Class of 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Graduated | 91.8\% | 93.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Received TxCHSE | 1.0\% | 3.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Continued HS | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Dropped Out | 6.7\% | 3.9\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates and TxCHSE | 92.8\% | 96.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates, TxCHSE, and Continuers | 93.3\% | 96.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |



## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

## 2018-19 Campus Graduation Profile

Total Students: 384

|  | Campus Count | Campus Percent | District Count | State Count |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Graduates (2017-18 Annual Graduates) |  |  |  |  |
| Total Graduates | - | - | 627 | 347,893 |
| By Ethnicity: |  |  |  |  |
| African American | - | - | 22 | 43,502 |
| Hispanic | - | - | 81 | 173,272 |
| White | - | - | 499 | 107,052 |
| American Indian | - | - | 5 | 1,226 |
| Asian | - | - | 10 | 15,589 |
| Pacific Islander | - | - | 1 | 528 |
| Two or More Races | - | - | 9 | 6,724 |
| By Graduation Type: |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum H.S. Program | - | - | 3 | 5,855 |
| Recommended H.S. Program/Distinguished Achievement Program | - | - | 3 | 3,538 |
| Foundation H.S. Program (No Endorsement) | - | - | 37 | 49,432 |
| Foundation H.S. Program (Endorsement) | - | - | 68 | 16,542 |
| Foundation H.S. Program (DLA) | - | - | 516 | 272,526 |
| Special Education Graduates | - | - | 41 | 25,962 |
| Economically Disadvantaged Graduates | - | - | 92 | 166,956 |
| LEP Graduates | - | - | 4 | 21,359 |
| At-Risk Graduates | - | - | 171 | 144,805 |


|  | State | District | Campus | African American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | Special | Econ Disadv | $\begin{array}{r} \text { EL } \\ \text { (Current) } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| College, Career, and Military Ready Graduates (Student Achievement) ${ }^{* * *}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| College, Ca | Annual | deas) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 65.5\% | 79.7\% | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| College Ready Graduates *** |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| College Ready (Annual Graduates) |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 50.0\% | 59.3\% |
| TSI Criteria Graduates (Annual Graduates) |  |  |
| English Language Arts |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 58.2\% | 71.6\% |
| Mathematics |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 46.0\% | 58.7\% |
| Both Subjects |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 42.1\% | $57.7 \%$ |


| Dual Course Credits (Annual Graduates) |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Any Subject |  |  |
| $2017-18$ | $20.7 \%$ | $26.8 \%$ |
| $2016-17$ | $19.9 \%$ | $21.8 \%$ |

AP/IB Met Criteria in Any Subject (Annual Graduates) Any Subject

| $2017-18$ | $20.4 \%$ | $16.1 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2016-17$ | $20.1 \%$ | $13.9 \%$ |


| Associate's Degree |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Associate's Degree (Annual Graduates) |  |
| $2017-18$ | $1.4 \%$ |
| $2016-17$ | $0.8 \%$ |

OnRamps Course Credits (Annual Graduates) 2017-18 1.0\%
0.0\%

| Career/Military Ready Graduates |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Career or Military Ready (Annual Graduates) |  |  |
| $2017-18$ | $28.7 \%$ | $48.3 \%$ |
| $2016-17$ | $13.2 \%$ | $11.3 \%$ |


| Approved Industry-Based Certification (Annual Graduates |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2017-18$ | $4.8 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ |
| $2016-17$ | $2.7 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |


| Graduate with Completed IEP and Workforce | Readiness (Annual Graduates) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2017-18$ | $1.7 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | - |
| $2016-17$ | $1.0 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | - |


| CTE Coherent Sequence Coursework Aligned with Industry-Based Certifications (Annual Graduates) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2017-18$ | $38.7 \%$ | $84.2 \%$ | - | - |
| $2016-17$ | $17.3 \%$ | $19.0 \%$ | - | - |

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 

## Texas Academic Performance Report

Total Students: 384 2018-19 Campus College, Career, and Military Readiness (CCMR)
American Pacific Two or
More Special Econ

American Hispanic White Indian Asian Islander Races Specia isadv (Current)
$\begin{array}{lcl}\text { U.S. Armed Forces Enlistment(Annual Graduates) } & \\ 2017-18 & 4.3 \% & 2.1 \% \\ 2016-17 & 2.2 \% & 1.5 \%\end{array}$ 2017-18
2.6\%

Graduates with Level I or Level II Certificate (Annual Graduates) 2017-18 0.6\% 0.2\% $\begin{array}{lll}2017-18 & 0.6 \% & 0.2 \% \\ 2016-17 & 0.5 \% & 0.0 \%\end{array}$

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 

Texas Academic Performance Report
Total Students: 384 2018-19 Campus CCMR-Related Indicators

|  | State | District | Campus | African American | Hispanic | White | American $\qquad$ | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | Special $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Econ } \\ \text { Disadv } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { EL } \\ \text { (Current) } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TSIA Results (Graduates >= Criterion) (Annual Graduates) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reading |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 32.1\% | 50.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 23.4\% | 32.3\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 23.7\% | 38.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 19.8\% | 27.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Both Subjects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 18.1\% | 36.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 12.9\% | 22.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| CTE Coherent Sequence (Annual Graduates) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 58.4\% | 86.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 50.5\% | 83.9\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Completed and Received Credit for College Prep Courses (Annual Graduates)

| English Language Arts |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2017-18 | $2.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| 2016-17 | $0.8 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Mathematics | $3.9 \%$ | $9.9 \%$ |
| $2017-18$ | $1.4 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ |
| $2016-17$ |  |  |
| Both Subjects | $0.9 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| $2017-18$ | $0.2 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| $2016-17$ |  |  |



| AP/IB Results (Examinees >= Criterion) (Grades 11-12) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Subjects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 50.7\% | 59.5\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| 2017 | 49.1\% | 47.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| English Language Arts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 42.5\% | 68.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| 2017 | 41.3\% | 59.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 52.8\% | 77.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

## Texas Academic Performance Report

Total Students: 384 Grade Span: PK - 05 School Type: Elementary

District Name: MONTGOMERY ISD Campus Name: MONTGOMERY EL Campus Number: 170903103

## 2018-19 Campus CCMR-Related Indicators

|  | State | District | Campus | African <br> American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | Special $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Econ } \\ \text { Disadv } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { EL } \\ \text { (Current) } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 51.3\% | 71.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Science |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 38.0\% | 59.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| 2017 | 38.3\% | 45.6\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Social Studies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 44.6\% | 47.3\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| 2017 | 41.4\% | 36.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| SAT/ACT Results (Annu | s) *** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tested |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 74.6\% | 68.7\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| 2016-17 | 73.5\% | 65.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| At/Above Criterion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 37.9\% | 61.5\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Average SAT Score (Annual Graduates) *** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 1036 | 1131 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| English Language Arts and Writing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 521 | 569 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 515 | 561 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Average ACT Score (Annual Graduates) *** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Subjects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 20.6 | 24.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| English Language Arts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 20.3 | 24.1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 20.6 | 23.6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Science |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 20.9 | 23.6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

## Texas Academic Performance Report

 2018-19 Campus Other Postsecondary IndicatorsTotal Students: 384 Grade Span: PK - 05 School Type: Elementary

|  | State | District | Campus | African American | Hispanic | White | American $\qquad$ | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | Special $\qquad$ | Econ Disadv | $\begin{array}{r} \text { EL } \\ \text { (Current) } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Advanced Dual-Credit Course Completion (Grades 9-12) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Any Subject |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 43.4\% | 39.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 37.1\% | 29.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| English Language Arts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 17.3\% | 17.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 16.8\% | 5.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 20.7\% | 19.7\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 19.5\% | 16.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Science |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 21.2\% | 16.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 5.7\% | 2.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Social Studies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 22.8\% | 23.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 21.8\% | 21.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

# Graduates Enrolled in Texas Institution of Higher Education (TX IHE) 2016-17 <br> 59.0\% 

54.6\%

2015-16
54.7\%
60.5\%

| Graduates in TX IHE Completing One Year Without Enrollment in a Developmental Education Course |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2016-17$ | $59.2 \%$ | $73.6 \%$ | - | - |
| $2015-16$ | $55.7 \%$ | $74.1 \%$ | - | - |

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 

District Name: MONTGOMERY ISD
Texas Academic Performance Report
2018-19 Campus Student Information
Campus Number: 170903103

Total Students: 384
Grade Span: PK - 05 School Type: Elementary

| Student Information | --------------- Campus --------------- |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | Percent | District | State |
| Total Students | 384 | 100.0\% | 8,837 | 5,416,400 |
| Students by Grade: |  |  |  |  |
| Early Childhood Education | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% |
| Pre-Kindergarten | 22 | 5.7\% | 1.9\% | 4.4\% |
| Kindergarten | 60 | 15.6\% | 6.2\% | 6.9\% |
| Grade 1 | 73 | 19.0\% | 7.3\% | 7.1\% |
| Grade 2 | 65 | 16.9\% | 7.1\% | 7.2\% |
| Grade 3 | 48 | 12.5\% | 6.7\% | 7.3\% |
| Grade 4 | 68 | 17.7\% | 7.2\% | 7.6\% |
| Grade 5 | 48 | 12.5\% | 7.6\% | 7.7\% |
| Grade 6 | 0 | 0.0\% | 8.4\% | 7.7\% |
| Grade 7 | 0 | 0.0\% | 8.2\% | 7.5\% |
| Grade 8 | 0 | 0.0\% | 8.7\% | 7.5\% |
| Grade 9 | 0 | 0.0\% | 8.4\% | 8.1\% |
| Grade 10 | 0 | 0.0\% | 7.9\% | 7.4\% |
| Grade 11 | 0 | 0.0\% | 7.4\% | 6.9\% |
| Grade 12 | 0 | 0.0\% | 6.6\% | 6.5\% |
| Ethnic Distribution: |  |  |  |  |
| African American | 19 | 4.9\% | 2.7\% | 12.6\% |
| Hispanic | 49 | 12.8\% | 15.4\% | 52.6\% |
| White | 296 | 77.1\% | 77.3\% | 27.4\% |
| American Indian | 1 | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 0.4\% |
| Asian | 2 | 0.5\% | 1.0\% | 4.5\% |
| Pacific Islander | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% |
| Two or More Races | 17 | 4.4\% | 3.0\% | 2.4\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 140 | 36.5\% | 25.3\% | 60.6\% |
| Non-Educationally Disadvantaged | 244 | 63.5\% | 74.7\% | 39.4\% |
| Section 504 Students | 27 | 7.0\% | 10.3\% | 6.5\% |
| English Learners (EL) | 1 | 0.3\% | 2.3\% | 19.5\% |
| Students w/ Disciplinary Placements (2017-18) | 3 | 0.3\% | 1.0\% | 1.4\% |
| Students w/ Dyslexia | 20 | 5.2\% | 4.4\% | 3.6\% |
| At-Risk | 124 | 32.3\% | 30.4\% | 50.1\% |
| Students with Disabilities by Type of Primary Disability: |  |  |  |  |
| Total Students with Disabilities | 23 |  |  |  |
| By Type of Primary Disability |  |  |  |  |
| Students with Intellectual Disabilities | 8 | 34.8\% | 37.5\% | 42.4\% |
| Students with Physical Disabilities | 8 | 34.8\% | 24.5\% | 21.9\% |
| Students with Autism | * | * | 13.9\% | 13.7\% |
| Students with Behavioral Disabilities | ** | ** | 22.8\% | 20.6\% |
| Students with Non-Categorical Early Childhood | 0 | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 1.4\% |
| Mobility (2017-18): |  |  |  |  |
| Total Mobile Students | 104 | 13.5\% | 10.8\% | 15.4\% |

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

| Count | Percent | District | State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 | 1.6\% |  |  |
| 20 | 2.6\% |  |  |
| 68 | 8.9\% |  |  |
| , | 0.1\% |  |  |
| 0 | 0.0\% |  |  |
| 0 | 0.0\% |  |  |
| 3 | 0.4\% |  |  |


| Student Information | --------Non-Special Education Rates------- |  |  | --------Special Education Rates- |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Campus | District | State | Campus | District | State |
| Retention Rates by Grade: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kindergarten | 1.6\% | 1.7\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 14.6\% | 6.2\% |
| Grade 1 | 0.9\% | 3.3\% | 3.1\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 5.5\% |
| Grade 2 | 0.9\% | 1.9\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 2.3\% |
| Grade 3 | 1.5\% | 0.7\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% |
| Grade 4 | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% |
| Grade 5 | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% |
| Grade 6 | - | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.5\% |
| Grade 7 | - | 0.1\% | 0.6\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.6\% |
| Grade 8 | - | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.7\% |
| Grade 9 | - | 3.1\% | 7.2\% | - | 6.7\% | 12.7\% |

Class Size Averages by Grade and Subject
(Derived from teacher responsibility records):

| Elementary: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kindergarten | 13.1 | 17.3 | 18.9 |
| Grade 1 | 18.0 | 19.0 | 18.8 |
| Grade 2 | 16.0 | 19.3 | 18.7 |
| Grade 3 | 15.7 | 17.8 | 18.9 |
| Grade 4 | 16.9 | 17.9 | 19.2 |
| Grade 5 | 23.8 | 22.9 | 21.2 |
| Grade 6 | - | 23.5 | 20.4 |
| Secondary: |  |  |  |
| English/Language Arts | - | 18.6 | 16.6 |
| Foreign Languages | - | 18.9 | 18.9 |
| Mathematics | - | 21.9 | 17.8 |
| Science | - | 21.3 | 18.9 |
| Social Studies | - | 21.4 | 19.3 |

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Total Students: 384 Grade Span: PK - 05 School Type: Elementary

| Staff Information | Count/Average | Percent | District | State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Staff | 42.7 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Professional Staff: | 35.7 | 83.8\% | 66.8\% | 64.1\% |
| Teachers | 30.0 | 70.3\% | 55.4\% | 49.8\% |
| Professional Support | 3.8 | 8.8\% | 7.9\% | 10.1\% |
| Campus Administration (School Leadership) | 2.0 | 4.7\% | 2.6\% | 3.0\% |
| Educational Aides: | 6.9 | 16.2\% | 8.2\% | 10.3\% |
| Librarians \& Counselors (Headcount): |  |  |  |  |
| Librarians |  |  |  |  |
| Full-time | 0.0 | n/a | 4.0 | 4,414.0 |
| Part-time | 1.0 | n/a | 2.0 | 572.0 |
| Counselors |  |  |  |  |
| Full-time | 1.0 | n/a | 20.0 | 12,433.0 |
| Part-time | 0.0 | n/a | 0.0 | 1,097.0 |
| Total Minority Staff: | 2.0 | 4.7\% | 11.9\% | 50.4\% |
| Teachers by Ethnicity and Sex: |  |  |  |  |
| African American | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 10.6\% |
| Hispanic | 1.0 | 3.3\% | 6.0\% | 27.7\% |
| White | 28.0 | 93.3\% | 92.0\% | 58.4\% |
| American Indian | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% |
| Asian | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 1.7\% |
| Pacific Islander | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% |
| Two or More Races | 1.0 | 3.3\% | 0.3\% | 1.1\% |
| Males | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 17.3\% | 23.8\% |
| Females | 30.0 | 100.0\% | 82.7\% | 76.2\% |
| Teachers by Highest Degree Held: |  |  |  |  |
| No Degree | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 1.4\% |
| Bachelors | 22.0 | 73.3\% | 74.1\% | 73.6\% |
| Masters | 8.0 | 26.7\% | 23.9\% | 24.3\% |
| Doctorate | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.7\% |
| Teachers by Years of Experience: |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning Teachers | 1.0 | 3.3\% | 4.0\% | 7.0\% |
| 1-5 Years Experience | 4.0 | 13.3\% | 17.3\% | 28.9\% |
| 6-10 Years Experience | 12.0 | 40.0\% | 18.8\% | 19.0\% |
| 11-20 Years Experience | 9.0 | 30.0\% | 37.8\% | 29.3\% |
| Over 20 Years Experience | 4.0 | 13.3\% | 22.1\% | 15.7\% |
| Number of Students per Teacher | 12.8 | n/a | 15.1 | 15.1 |

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

| Staff Information | Campus | District | State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Experience of Campus Leadership: |  |  |  |
| Average Years Experience of Principals | 14.0 | 6.3 | 6.3 |
| Average Years Experience of Principals with District | 2.0 | 4.1 | 5.4 |
| Average Years Experience of Assistant Principals | 1.0 | 7.1 | 5.3 |
| Average Years Experience of Assistant Principals with District | 1.0 | 5.3 | 4.7 |
| Average Years Experience of Teachers: | 12.0 | 13.6 | 11.1 |
| Average Years Experience of Teachers with District: | 4.9 | 6.6 | 7.2 |
| Average Teacher Salary by Years of Experience (regular duties only): |  |  |  |
| Beginning Teachers | \$51,275 | \$45,948 | \$47,218 |
| 1-5 Years Experience | \$51,926 | \$51,962 | \$50,408 |
| 6-10 Years Experience | \$54,517 | \$54,468 | \$52,786 |
| 11-20 Years Experience | \$57,454 | \$57,483 | \$56,041 |
| Over 20 Years Experience | \$64,274 | \$63,962 | \$62,039 |
| Average Actual Salaries (regular duties only): |  |  |  |
| Teachers | \$56,245 | \$56,934 | \$54,122 |
| Professional Support | \$62,611 | \$64,178 | \$64,069 |
| Campus Administration (School Leadership) | \$82,980 | \$83,903 | \$78,947 |
| Instructional Staff Percent: | n/a | 67.8\% | 64.5\% |
| Contracted Instructional Staff (not incl. above): | 0.5 | 6.0 | 6,043.6 |

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

| Program Information | ---------------- Campus --------------- |  | District | State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | Percent |  |  |
| Student Enrollment by Program: |  |  |  |  |
| Bilingual/ESL Education | 1 | 0.3\% | 3.2\% | 19.7\% |
| Career \& Technical Education | 0 | 0.0\% | 28.9\% | 26.3\% |
| Gifted \& Talented Education | 12 | 3.1\% | 7.1\% | 8.1\% |
| Special Education | 23 | 6.0\% | 7.4\% | 9.6\% |
| Teachers by Program (population served): |  |  |  |  |
| Bilingual/ESL Education | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 6.4\% |
| Career \& Technical Education | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 5.7\% | 4.9\% |
| Compensatory Education | 3.0 | 10.0\% | 4.2\% | 2.7\% |
| Gifted \& Talented Education | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% |
| Regular Education | 24.6 | 82.0\% | 77.1\% | 71.4\% |
| Special Education | 2.4 | 8.0\% | 12.9\% | 9.1\% |
| Other | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.6\% |

' 1 ' Indicates that rates for reading and mathematics are based on the cumulative results from the first and second administrations of STAAR.
'*' Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
'**' When only one student disability group is masked, then the second smallest student disability group is masked regardless of size.
${ }^{\prime * * * ' ~ D u e ~ t o ~ c h a n g e s ~ i n ~ t h e ~ e v a l u a t i o n ~ o f ~ S A T / A C T ~ r e s u l t s ~(f o r ~ 2017-18 ~ t h e ~ b e s t ~ r e s u l t ~ w a s ~ u s e d, ~ r a t h e r ~ t h a n ~ t h e ~ m o s t ~ r e c e n t), ~ 2016-17 ~ S A T / A C T ~ r e s u l t s ~ a r e ~ n o t ~}$ comparable and, where applicable, are not shown.
'-' Indicates there are no students in the group.
' $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ ' Indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.
'?' Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable or were reported outside a reasonable range.

# 2018-19 Texas Academic Performance Report 

District Name: MONTGOMERY ISD
Campus Name: STEWART CREEK EL
Campus Number: 170903104

2019 Accountability Rating: B
Distinction Designations:
Academic Achievement in ELA/Reading
Academic Achievement in Mathematics
Postsecondary Readiness

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 



## STAAR Performance Rates by Tested Grade, Subject, and Performance Level



TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY
Texas Academic Performance Report
2018-19 Campus STAAR Performance

Total Students: 780
Grade Span: PK - 05 School Type: Elementary


## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

|  |  | State | District | Campus | African <br> American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific <br> Islander | Two or More Races | Special Ed (Current) | Special Ed (Former) | Continuously Enrolled | Non- <br> Continuously Enrolled | Econ Disadv | EL <br>  <br> Monitored) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Approaches Grade Level or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above | 2019 | 81\% | 90\% | 81\% | * | 88\% | 78\% | - | * | - | 86\% | 40\% | * | 79\% | 83\% | 78\% | 77\% |
|  | 2018 | 80\% | 87\% | 83\% | * | 66\% | 90\% | - | * | - | * | * | * | 82\% | 84\% | 76\% | 68\% |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 54\% | 65\% | 56\% | * | 56\% | 54\% | - | * | - | 57\% | 20\% | * | 54\% | 57\% | 52\% | 54\% |
|  | 2018 | 51\% | 61\% | 42\% | * | 29\% | 47\% | - | * | - | * | * | * | 42\% | 41\% | 33\% | 23\% |
| At Masters Grade Level | 2019 | 25\% | 28\% | 23\% | * | 16\% | 25\% | - | * | - | 14\% | 10\% | * | 22\% | 24\% | 19\% | 31\% |
|  | 2018 | 23\% | 28\% | 18\% | * | 9\% | 22\% | - | * | - | * | * | * | 19\% | 18\% | 7\% | 9\% |

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 

District Name: MONTGOMERY ISD

## Texas Academic Performance Report

Total Students: 780
2018-19 Campus Progress

|  |  | State | District | Campus | African American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | $\begin{gathered} \text { Special } \\ \text { Ed } \\ \text { (Current) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Special } \\ & \text { Ed } \\ & \text { (Former) } \end{aligned}$ | Continuously Enrolled | Non-Continuously Enrolled | Econ Disadv |  <br> Monitored |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School Progress Domain - Academic Growth Score by Grade and Subject |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 4 ELA/Reading | 2019 | 61 | 64 | 54 | * | 46 | 58 | - | * | - | * | 64 | * | 64 | 33 | 49 | 39 |
|  | 2018 | 63 | 58 | 50 | * | 52 | 51 | - | * | - | 20 | 0 | * | 49 | 50 | 51 | 55 |
| Grade 4 Mathematics | 2019 | 65 | 70 | 74 | * | 62 | 78 | - | * | * | * | 57 | * | 73 | 76 | 71 | 62 |
|  | 2018 | 65 | 59 | 79 | * | 94 | 77 | - | * | - | 40 | 40 | * | 80 | 78 | 71 | 100 |
| Grade 5 ELA/Reading | 2019 | 81 | 83 | 86 | * | 78 | 89 | - | * | - | 71 | 70 | * | 86 | 86 | 80 | 71 |
|  | 2018 | 80 | 78 | 81 | * | 77 | 83 | - | * | - | * | * | * | 83 | 77 | 79 | 70 |
| Grade 5 Mathematics | 2019 | 83 | 84 | 76 | * | 77 | 77 | - | * | - | 57 | 90 | * | 77 | 76 | 78 | 77 |
|  | 2018 | 81 | 77 | 75 | * | 74 | 75 | - | * | - | * | * | * | 77 | 71 | 73 | 66 |
| All Grades Both Subjects | 2019 | 69 | 74 | 73 | 67 | 66 | 76 | - | 75 | * | 63 | 72 | 88 | 75 | 71 | 71 | 64 |
|  | 2018 | 69 | 71 | 71 | 88 | 75 | 72 | - | 25 | - | 46 | 17 | 63 | 73 | 69 | 69 | 73 |
| All Grades ELA/Reading | 2019 | 68 | 70 | 71 | * | 63 | 75 | - | * | - | 65 | 68 | 92 | 74 | 66 | 67 | 57 |
|  | 2018 | 69 | 68 | 66 | * | 68 | 67 | - | * | - | 43 | 0 | 83 | 68 | 63 | 66 | 65 |
| All Grades Mathematics | 2019 | 70 | 77 | 75 | * | 69 | 77 | - | * | * | 60 | 76 | ${ }_{*}^{83}$ | 75 | 76 | 75 | 69 |
|  | 2018 | 70 | 74 | 77 | * | 83 | 76 | - | * | - | 50 | 33 | * | 78 | 75 | 72 | 79 |

Total Students: 780
Grade Span: PK - 05 School Type: Elementary

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY <br> Texas Academic Performance Report 2018-19 Campus Prior Year and Student Success Initiative 



## Progress of Prior-Year Non-Proficient Students

Sum of Grades 4-8
Reading

| 2019 | 41\% | 49\% | 72\% | - | 80\% | 72\% | - | - | - | * | 45\% | 76\% | 80\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 | 38\% | 47\% | 67\% | * | 71\% | 63\% | - | - | - | * | * | 57\% | * |
| 2019 | 45\% | 60\% | 82\% | * | * | 80\% | - | - | * | * | 63\% | 93\% | * |
| 2018 | 47\% | 56\% | 65\% | * | 71\% | 67\% | - | - | - |  | * | * |  |

## Student Success Initiative

## Grade 5 Reading

Students Meeting Approaches Grade Level on First STAARAdministration

|  | 2019 | 78\% | 90\% | 89\% | * | 85\% | 91\% | - | * | - | 71\% | 44\% | 83\% | 43\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Students Requiring Accelerated Instruction | 2019 | 22\% | 10\% | 11\% | * | 15\% | 9\% | - | * | - | 29\% | 56\% | 17\% | 57\% |
| STAAR CumulativeMet Standard |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2019 | 86\% | 95\% | 94\% | * | 89\% | 96\% | - | * | - | 86\% | 50\% | 89\% | 57\% |
| STAAR Non-Proficient Students Promoted by | $\begin{aligned} \text { rade P } \\ 2018 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { nent } \\ 97 \% \end{gathered}$ | ittee 100\% | * | - | * | * | - | - |  |  |  | * |  |

Grade 5 Mathematics

| Students Meeting Approaches Grade Leve | $2019$ | 83\% | 93\% | 95\% | * | 96\% | 94\% | - | * | - | 100\% | 60\% | 91\% | 86\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Students Requiring Accelerated Instruction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2019 | 17\% | 7\% | 5\% | * | 4\% | 6\% | - | * | - | 0\% | 40\% | 9\% | 14\% |
| STAAR CumulativeMet Standard |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2019 | 90\% | 95\% | 97\% | * | 96\% | 97\% | - | * | - | 100\% | 80\% | 96\% | 86\% |
| STAAR Non-Proficient Students Promoted b | rade P | ment <br> 97\% |  | 100\% |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  | * |  |



# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 

|  | State | District | Campus | African <br> American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | Special $\qquad$ | Econ Disadv | $\begin{array}{r} \text { EL } \\ \text { (Current) } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2019 STAAR Participation <br> (All Grades) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Tests |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Assessment Participant | 99\% | 98\% | 98\% | 100\% | 98\% | 98\% | - | 100\% | * | 98\% | 97\% | 97\% | 100\% |
| Included in Accountability | 94\% | 94\% | 92\% | 100\% | 83\% | 95\% | - | 100\% | * | 83\% | 97\% | 87\% | 82\% |
| Not Included in Accountability |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobile | 4\% | 3\% | 6\% | 0\% | 14\% | 3\% | - | 0\% | * | 14\% | 0\% | 10\% | 13\% |
| Other Exclusions | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | - | 0\% | * | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% | 4\% |
| Not Tested | 1\% | 2\% | 2\% | 0\% | 2\% | 2\% | - | 0\% | * | 2\% | 3\% | 3\% | 0\% |
| Absent | 1\% | 2\% | 2\% | 0\% | 2\% | 2\% | - | 0\% | * | 2\% | 3\% | 3\% | 0\% |
| Other | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 0\% | * | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |

## 2018 STAAR Participation

(All Grades)

| All Tests |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assessment Participant | 99\% | 98\% | 98\% | 96\% | 99\% | 97\% | - | 100\% | * | 100\% | 100\% | 97\% | 100\% |
| Included in Accountability | 94\% | 94\% | 91\% | 81\% | 91\% | 91\% | - | 100\% | * | 86\% | 78\% | 89\% | 96\% |
| Not Included in Accountability |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobile | 4\% | 4\% | 6\% | 15\% | 5\% | 5\% | - | 0\% | * | 14\% | 4\% | 6\% | 0\% |
| Other Exclusions | 1\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | 2\% | 1\% | - | 0\% | * | 0\% | 18\% | 2\% | 4\% |
| Not Tested | 1\% | 2\% | 2\% | 4\% | 1\% | 3\% | - | 0\% | * | 0\% | 0\% | 3\% | 0\% |
| Absent | 1\% | 2\% | 2\% | 0\% | 1\% | 3\% | - | 0\% | * | 0\% | 0\% | 3\% | 0\% |
| Other | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 4\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 0\% | * | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |


|  | State | District | Campus | African American | Hispanic | White | American $\qquad$ | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | Special $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Econ } \\ \text { Disadv } \end{array}$ | (Current) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Attendance Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 95.4\% | 95.2\% | 95.6\% | 95.2\% | 96.2\% | 95.5\% | * | 98.0\% | * | 94.6\% | 93.7\% | 94.9\% | 96.6\% |
| 2016-17 | 95.7\% | 95.3\% | 95.9\% | 94.7\% | 96.5\% | 95.8\% | * | 97.9\% | * | 94.8\% | 93.4\% | 95.3\% | 96.9\% |
| Annual Dropout Rate (Gr 7-8) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 0.4\% | 0.3\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Annual Dropout Rate (Gr 9-12) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 1.9\% | 0.7\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 1.9\% | 0.6\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 4-Year Longitudinal Rate (Gr 9-12) Class of 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Graduated | 90.0\% | 97.5\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Received TxCHSE | 0.4\% | 0.5\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Continued HS | 3.8\% | 0.5\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Dropped Out | 5.7\% | 1.6\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates and TxCHSE | 90.4\% | 98.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates, TxCHSE, and Continuers | 94.3\% | 98.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Class of 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Graduated | 89.7\% | 93.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Received TxCHSE | 0.4\% | 1.7\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Continued HS | 4.0\% | 1.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Dropped Out | 5.9\% | 3.3\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates and TxCHSE | 90.1\% | 95.5\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates, TxCHSE, and Continuers | 94.1\% | 96.7\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 5-Year Extended Longitudinal Rate (Gr 9-12)Class of 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Class of 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Graduated | 92.0\% | 94.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Received TxCHSE | 0.6\% | 1.9\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Continued HS | 1.1\% | 0.3\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Dropped Out | 6.3\% | 2.9\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates and TxCHSE | 92.6\% | 96.7\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates, TxCHSE, and Continuers | 93.7\% | 97.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Class of 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Graduated | 91.6\% | 96.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Received TxCHSE | 0.7\% | 2.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Continued HS | 1.2\% | 0.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Dropped Out | 6.6\% | 1.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates and TxCHSE | 92.2\% | 98.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates, TxCHSE, and Continuers | 93.4\% | 98.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |


| 6-Year Extended Longitudinal Rate (Gr 9-12) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Class of 2016 |  |  |
| Graduated | $92.1 \%$ | $96.2 \%$ |

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Texas Academic Performance Report
Total Students: 780
Grade Span: PK - 05 School Type: Elementary

|  | State | District | Campus | African <br> American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Special } \\ \text { Ed } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Econ Disadv | (Current) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Received TxCHSE | 0.8\% | 2.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Continued HS | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Dropped Out | 6.6\% | 1.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates and TxCHSE | 92.9\% | 98.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates, TxCHSE, and Continuers | 93.4\% | 98.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Class of 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Graduated | 91.8\% | 93.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Received TxCHSE | 1.0\% | 3.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Continued HS | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Dropped Out | 6.7\% | 3.9\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates and TxCHSE | 92.8\% | 96.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates, TxCHSE, and Continuers | 93.3\% | 96.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |



## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

|  | Campus Count | Campus Percent | District Count | State Count |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Graduates (2017-18 Annual Graduates) |  |  |  |  |
| Total Graduates | - | - | 627 | 347,893 |
| By Ethnicity: |  |  |  |  |
| African American | - | - | 22 | 43,502 |
| Hispanic | - | - | 81 | 173,272 |
| White |  |  | 499 | 107,052 |
| American Indian | - | - | 5 | 1,226 |
| Asian | - | - | 10 | 15,589 |
| Pacific Islander | - | - | 1 | 528 |
| Two or More Races | - | - | 9 | 6,724 |
| By Graduation Type: |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum H.S. Program | - | - | 3 | 5,855 |
| Recommended H.S. Program/Distinguished Achievement Program | - | - |  | 3,538 |
| Foundation H.S. Program (No Endorsement) | - | - | 37 | 49,432 |
| Foundation H.S. Program (Endorsement) | - | - | 68 | 16,542 |
| Foundation H.S. Program (DLA) | - | - | 516 | 272,526 |
| Special Education Graduates |  | - | 41 | 25,962 |
| Economically Disadvantaged Graduates | - | - | 92 | 166,956 |
| LEP Graduates |  | - | 4 | 21,359 |
| At-Risk Graduates | - | - | 171 | 144,805 |



| College Ready Graduates *** <br> College Ready (Annual Graduates) <br> 2017-18 | $50.0 \%$ | $59.3 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| TSI Criteria Graduates (Annual Graduates) <br> English Language Arts <br> 2017-18 |  |  |
| Mathematics <br> 2017-18 | $58.2 \%$ | $71.6 \%$ |
| Both Subjects <br> 2017-18 | $46.0 \%$ | $58.7 \%$ |
|  | $42.1 \%$ | $57.7 \%$ |

Dual Course Credits (Annual Graduates)
Any Subject
2017-18
$2016-17$

AP/IB Met Criteria in Any Subject (Annual Graduates) Any Subject

| $2017-18$ | $20.4 \%$ | $16.1 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2016-17$ | $20.1 \%$ | $13.9 \%$ |


| Associate's Degree |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Associate's Degree (Annual Graduates) |  |
| $2017-18$ | $1.4 \%$ |
| $2016-17$ | $0.8 \%$ |

OnRampsCourse Credits (Annual Graduates) 2017-18
1.0\%
0.0\%

| Career/Military Ready Graduates |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Career or Military Ready (Annual Graduates) |  |  |
| $2017-18$ | $28.7 \%$ | $48.3 \%$ |
| $2016-17$ | $13.2 \%$ | $11.3 \%$ |


| Approved Industry-Based Certification (Annual Graduates |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2017-18$ | $4.8 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ |
| $2016-17$ | $2.7 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |


| Graduate with Completed IEP and Workforce | Readiness (Annual Graduates) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2017-18$ | $1.7 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | - |
| $2016-17$ | $1.0 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | - |


| CTE Coherent Sequence Coursework Aligned with Industry-Based Certifications (Annual Graduates) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2017-18$ | $38.7 \%$ | $84.2 \%$ | - | - |
| $2016-17$ | $17.3 \%$ | $19.0 \%$ | - | - |

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 

## Texas Academic Performance Report

Total Students: 780
2018-19 Campus College, Career, and Military Readiness (CCMR)
American Pacific Two or
More Special Econ

American Hispanic White Indian Asian Islander Races Specia isadv (Current)
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { U.S. Armed Forces Enlistment(Annual Graduates) } & \\ 2017-18 & 4.3 \% & 2.1 \% \\ 2016-17 & 2.2 \% & 1.5 \%\end{array}$ 2017-18
2.6\%

Graduates with Level I or Level II Certificate (Annual Graduates) 2017-18 0.6\% 0.2\% $\begin{array}{lll}2017-18 & 0.6 \% & 0.2 \% \\ 2016-17 & 0.5 \% & 0.0 \%\end{array}$

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 

Texas Academic Performance Report
Total Students: 780 2018-19 Campus CCMR-Related Indicators

|  | State | District | Campus | African <br> American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | Special <br> Ed | Econ Disadv | (Current) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TSIA Results (Graduates >= Criterion) (Annual Graduates) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reading |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 32.1\% | 50.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 23.4\% | 32.3\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 23.7\% | 38.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 19.8\% | 27.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Both Subjects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 18.1\% | 36.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 12.9\% | 22.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| CTE Coherent Sequence (Annual Graduates) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 58.4\% | 86.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 50.5\% | 83.9\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |


| Completed and Received Credit for College Prep Courses (Annual Graduates) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English Language Arts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 3.9\% | 9.9\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 1.4\% | 7.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Both Subjects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |



| AP/IB Results (Examinees >= Criterion) (Grades 11-12) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Subjects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 50.7\% | 59.5\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| 2017 | 49.1\% | 47.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| English Language Arts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 42.5\% | 68.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| 2017 | 41.3\% | 59.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 52.8\% | 77.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

## Texas Academic Performance Report

 2018-19 Campus CCMR-Related IndicatorsTotal Students: 780 Grade Span: PK - 05 School Type: Elementary

|  | State | District | Campus | African <br> American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | Special $\qquad$ | Econ Disadv | $\begin{array}{r} \text { EL } \\ \text { (Current) } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 51.3\% | 71.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Science |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 38.0\% | 59.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| 2017 | 38.3\% | 45.6\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Social Studies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 44.6\% | 47.3\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| 2017 | 41.4\% | 36.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| SAT/ACT Results (Annual Graduates) *** Tested |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 74.6\% | 68.7\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| 2016-17 | 73.5\% | 65.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| At/Above Criterion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 37.9\% | 61.5\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Average SAT Score (Annual Graduates) *** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 1036 | 1131 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| English Language Arts and Writing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 521 | 569 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 515 | 561 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Average ACT Score (Annual Graduates) ***All Subjects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 20.6 | 24.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| English Language Arts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 20.3 | 24.1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 20.6 | 23.6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Science |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 20.9 | 23.6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

|  | State | District | Campus | African <br> American | Hispanic | White | American $\qquad$ | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | Special $\qquad$ | Econ <br> Disadv | $\begin{array}{r} \text { EL } \\ \text { (Current) } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Advanced Dual-Credit Course Completion (Grades 9-12) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Any Subject |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 43.4\% | 39.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 37.1\% | 29.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| English Language Arts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 17.3\% | 17.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 16.8\% | 5.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 20.7\% | 19.7\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 19.5\% | 16.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Science |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 21.2\% | 16.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 5.7\% | 2.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Social Studies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 22.8\% | 23.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 21.8\% | 21.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates Enrolled in Texas Institution of Higher Education (TX IHE) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2016-17 | 54.6\% | 59.0\% | ) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2015-16 | 54.7\% | 60.5\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates in TX IHE Completing One Year Without Enrollment in a Developmental Education Course |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2016-17 | 59.2\% | 73.6\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2015-16 | 55.7\% | 74.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 

District Name: MONTGOMERY ISD
Texas Academic Performance Report
Campus Name: STEWART CREEK EL
Campus Number: 170903104

## 2018-19 Campus Student Information

Total Students: 780
Grade Span: PK - 05 School Type: Elementary

| Student Information | --------------- Campus ----------- |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | Percent | District | State |
| Total Students | 780 | 100.0\% | 8,837 | 5,416,400 |
| Students by Grade: |  |  |  |  |
| Early Childhood Education | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% |
| Pre-Kindergarten | 31 | 4.0\% | 1.9\% | 4.4\% |
| Kindergarten | 104 | 13.3\% | 6.2\% | 6.9\% |
| Grade 1 | 129 | 16.5\% | 7.3\% | 7.1\% |
| Grade 2 | 125 | 16.0\% | 7.1\% | 7.2\% |
| Grade 3 | 121 | 15.5\% | 6.7\% | 7.3\% |
| Grade 4 | 128 | 16.4\% | 7.2\% | 7.6\% |
| Grade 5 | 142 | 18.2\% | 7.6\% | 7.7\% |
| Grade 6 | 0 | 0.0\% | 8.4\% | 7.7\% |
| Grade 7 | 0 | 0.0\% | 8.2\% | 7.5\% |
| Grade 8 | 0 | 0.0\% | 8.7\% | 7.5\% |
| Grade 9 | 0 | 0.0\% | 8.4\% | 8.1\% |
| Grade 10 | 0 | 0.0\% | 7.9\% | 7.4\% |
| Grade 11 | 0 | 0.0\% | 7.4\% | 6.9\% |
| Grade 12 | 0 | 0.0\% | 6.6\% | 6.5\% |
| Ethnic Distribution: |  |  |  |  |
| African American | 20 | 2.6\% | 2.7\% | 12.6\% |
| Hispanic | 172 | 22.1\% | 15.4\% | 52.6\% |
| White | 540 | 69.2\% | 77.3\% | 27.4\% |
| American Indian | 1 | 0.1\% | 0.4\% | 0.4\% |
| Asian | 15 | 1.9\% | 1.0\% | 4.5\% |
| Pacific Islander | 2 | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% |
| Two or More Races | 30 | 3.8\% | 3.0\% | 2.4\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 358 | 45.9\% | 25.3\% | 60.6\% |
| Non-Educationally Disadvantaged | 422 | 54.1\% | 74.7\% | 39.4\% |
| Section 504 Students | 43 | 5.5\% | 10.3\% | 6.5\% |
| English Learners (EL) | 60 | 7.7\% | 2.3\% | 19.5\% |
| Students w/ Disciplinary Placements (2017-18) | 2 | 0.2\% | 1.0\% | 1.4\% |
| Students w/ Dyslexia | 17 | 2.2\% | 4.4\% | 3.6\% |
| At-Risk | 228 | 29.2\% | 30.4\% | 50.1\% |
| Students with Disabilities by Type of Primary Disability: |  |  |  |  |
| Total Students with Disabilities | 51 |  |  |  |
| By Type of Primary Disability |  |  |  |  |
| Students with Intellectual Disabilities | 15 | 29.4\% | 37.5\% | 42.4\% |
| Students with Physical Disabilities | 22 | 43.1\% | 24.5\% | 21.9\% |
| Students with Autism | 7 | 13.7\% | 13.9\% | 13.7\% |
| Students with Behavioral Disabilities | 7 | 13.7\% | 22.8\% | 20.6\% |
| Students with Non-Categorical Early Childhood | 0 | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 1.4\% |
| Mobility (2017-18): |  |  |  |  |
| Total Mobile Students | 97 | 13.5\% | 10.8\% | 15.4\% |

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

| Student Information | ---------------- Campus --------------- |  | District | State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | Percent |  |  |
| By Ethnicity: |  |  |  |  |
| African American | 5 | 0.7\% |  |  |
| Hispanic | 19 | 2.6\% |  |  |
| White | 64 | 8.9\% |  |  |
| American Indian | 0 | 0.0\% |  |  |
| Asian | 2 | 0.3\% |  |  |
| Pacific Islander | 0 | 0.0\% |  |  |
| Two or More Races | 7 | 1.0\% |  |  |


| Student Information | --------Non-Special Education Rates------- |  |  | -------Special Education Rates- |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Campus | District | State | Campus | District | State |
| Retention Rates by Grade: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kindergarten | 2.0\% | 1.7\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 14.6\% | 6.2\% |
| Grade 1 | 5.3\% | 3.3\% | 3.1\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 5.5\% |
| Grade 2 | 4.9\% | 1.9\% | 1.8\% | 12.5\% | 2.5\% | 2.3\% |
| Grade 3 | 0.9\% | 0.7\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% |
| Grade 4 | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% |
| Grade 5 | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% |
| Grade 6 | - | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.5\% |
| Grade 7 | - | 0.1\% | 0.6\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.6\% |
| Grade 8 | - | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.7\% |
| Grade 9 | - | 3.1\% | 7.2\% | - | 6.7\% | 12.7\% |
| Class Size Information | Campus |  |  | District |  | State |

Class Size Averages by Grade and Subject
(Derived from teacher responsibility records):

| Elementary: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kindergarten | 20.9 | 17.3 | 18.9 |
| Grade 1 | 18.5 | 19.0 | 18.8 |
| Grade 2 | 20.0 | 19.3 | 18.7 |
| Grade 3 | 17.1 | 17.8 | 18.9 |
| Grade 4 | 17.6 | 17.9 | 19.2 |
| Grade 5 | 23.1 | 22.9 | 21.2 |
| Grade 6 | - | 23.5 | 20.4 |
| Secondary: |  |  |  |
| English/Language Arts | - | 18.6 | 16.6 |
| Foreign Languages | - | 18.9 | 18.9 |
| Mathematics | - | 21.9 | 17.8 |
| Science | - | 21.3 | 18.9 |
| Social Studies | - | 21.4 | 19.3 |

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 

Total Students: 780 Grade Span: PK - 05 School Type: Elementary

| Staff Information | Count/Average | Percent | District | State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Staff | 65.8 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Professional Staff: | 55.1 | 83.7\% | 66.8\% | 64.1\% |
| Teachers | 46.4 | 70.5\% | 55.4\% | 49.8\% |
| Professional Support | 6.7 | 10.1\% | 7.9\% | 10.1\% |
| Campus Administration (School Leadership) | 2.0 | 3.0\% | 2.6\% | 3.0\% |
| Educational Aides: | 10.7 | 16.3\% | 8.2\% | 10.3\% |
| Librarians \& Counselors (Headcount): |  |  |  |  |
| Librarians |  |  |  |  |
| Full-time | 1.0 | n/a | 4.0 | 4,414.0 |
| Part-time | 0.0 | n/a | 2.0 | 572.0 |
| Counselors |  |  |  |  |
| Full-time | 1.0 | n/a | 20.0 | 12,433.0 |
| Part-time | 0.0 | n/a | 0.0 | 1,097.0 |
| Total Minority Staff: | 12.2 | 18.6\% | 11.9\% | 50.4\% |
| Teachers by Ethnicity and Sex: |  |  |  |  |
| African American | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 10.6\% |
| Hispanic | 7.0 | 15.1\% | 6.0\% | 27.7\% |
| White | 38.4 | 82.8\% | 92.0\% | 58.4\% |
| American Indian | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% |
| Asian | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 1.7\% |
| Pacific Islander | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% |
| Two or More Races | 1.0 | 2.2\% | 0.3\% | 1.1\% |
| Males | 1.0 | 2.2\% | 17.3\% | 23.8\% |
| Females | 45.4 | 97.8\% | 82.7\% | 76.2\% |
| Teachers by Highest Degree Held: |  |  |  |  |
| No Degree | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 1.4\% |
| Bachelors | 34.0 | 73.2\% | 74.1\% | 73.6\% |
| Masters | 11.4 | 24.6\% | 23.9\% | 24.3\% |
| Doctorate | 1.0 | 2.2\% | 0.7\% | 0.7\% |
| Teachers by Years of Experience: |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning Teachers | 1.0 | 2.2\% | 4.0\% | 7.0\% |
| 1-5 Years Experience | 7.0 | 15.1\% | 17.3\% | 28.9\% |
| 6-10 Years Experience | 9.0 | 19.4\% | 18.8\% | 19.0\% |
| 11-20 Years Experience | 19.4 | 41.8\% | 37.8\% | 29.3\% |
| Over 20 Years Experience | 10.0 | 21.5\% | 22.1\% | 15.7\% |
| Number of Students per Teacher | 16.8 | n/a | 15.1 | 15.1 |


| Staff Information | Campus | District | State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Experience of Campus Leadership: |  |  |  |
| Average Years Experience of Principals | 4.0 | 6.3 | 6.3 |
| Average Years Experience of Principals with District | 4.0 | 4.1 | 5.4 |
| Average Years Experience of Assistant Principals | 5.0 | 7.1 | 5.3 |
| Average Years Experience of Assistant Principals with District | 4.0 | 5.3 | 4.7 |
| Average Years Experience of Teachers: | 14.1 | 13.6 | 11.1 |
| Average Years Experience of Teachers with District: | 8.0 | 6.6 | 7.2 |
| Average Teacher Salary by Years of Experience (regular duties only): |  |  |  |
| Beginning Teachers | \$53,575 | \$45,948 | \$47,218 |
| 1-5 Years Experience | \$51,754 | \$51,962 | \$50,408 |
| 6-10 Years Experience | \$54,254 | \$54,468 | \$52,786 |
| 11-20 Years Experience | \$57,594 | \$57,483 | \$56,041 |
| Over 20 Years Experience | \$63,505 | \$63,962 | \$62,039 |
| Average Actual Salaries (regular duties only): |  |  |  |
| Teachers | \$57,253 | \$56,934 | \$54,122 |
| Professional Support | \$56,037 | \$64,178 | \$64,069 |
| Campus Administration (School Leadership) | \$78,399 | \$83,903 | \$78,947 |
| Instructional Staff Percent: | n/a | 67.8\% | 64.5\% |
| Contracted Instructional Staff (not incl. above): | 0.1 | 6.0 | 6,043.6 |

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

| Program Information | --------------- Campus --------------- |  | District | State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | Percent |  |  |
| Student Enrollment by Program: |  |  |  |  |
| Bilingual/ESL Education | 99 | 12.7\% | 3.2\% | 19.7\% |
| Career \& Technical Education | 0 | 0.0\% | 28.9\% | 26.3\% |
| Gifted \& Talented Education | 34 | 4.4\% | 7.1\% | 8.1\% |
| Special Education | 51 | 6.5\% | 7.4\% | 9.6\% |
| Teachers by Program (population served): |  |  |  |  |
| Bilingual/ESL Education | 0.8 | 1.7\% | 0.1\% | 6.4\% |
| Career \& Technical Education | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 5.7\% | 4.9\% |
| Compensatory Education | 2.4 | 5.2\% | 4.2\% | 2.7\% |
| Gifted \& Talented Education | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% |
| Regular Education | 42.2 | 91.0\% | 77.1\% | 71.4\% |
| Special Education | 0.9 | 2.0\% | 12.9\% | 9.1\% |
| Other | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.6\% |

' $\wedge$ ' Indicates that rates for reading and mathematics are based on the cumulative results from the first and second administrations of STAAR.
'*' Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
'**' When only one student disability group is masked, then the second smallest student disability group is masked regardless of size.
${ }^{\prime * * * *}$ Due to changes in the evaluation of SAT/ACT results (for 2017-18 the best result was used, rather than the most recent), 2016-17 SAT/ACT results are not comparable and, where applicable, are not shown.
'-' Indicates there are no students in the group.
' $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ ' Indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.
'?' Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable or were reported outside a reasonable range.

# 2018-19 Texas Academic Performance Report 

District Name: MONTGOMERY ISD
Campus Name: LONE STAR EL
Campus Number: 170903105

2019 Accountability Rating: A
Distinction Designations:
Academic Achievement in ELA/Reading
Top 25 Percent: Comparative Academic Growth
Top 25 Percent: Comparative Closing the Gaps
Postsecondary Readiness

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 



## STAAR Performance Rates by Tested Grade, Subject, and Performance Level

| Grade 3 Reading |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| At Approaches Grade Level or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above | 2019 | 76\% | 89\% | 92\% | * | 91\% | 94\% | - | * | - | * | * | * | 93\% | 91\% | 80\% |
|  | 2018 | 77\% | 88\% | 92\% | - | 69\% | 96\% | - | * | - | 100\% | * | * | 92\% | 93\% | 67\% |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 45\% | 58\% | 64\% | * | 36\% | 68\% | - | * | - | * | * | * | 65\% | 62\% | 27\% |
|  | 2018 | 43\% | 53\% | 66\% | - | 54\% | 66\% | - | * | - | 80\% | * | * | 63\% | 72\% | 42\% |
| At Masters Grade Level | 2019 | 27\% | 36\% | 42\% | * | 27\% | 43\% | - | * | - | * | * | * | 39\% | 47\% | 13\% |
|  | 2018 | 25\% | 35\% | 46\% | - | 46\% | 47\% | - | * | - | 20\% | * | * | 44\% | 52\% | 33\% |
| Grade 3 Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Approaches Grade Level or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above | 2019 | 79\% | 90\% | 89\% | * | 82\% | 90\% | - | * | - | * | * | * | 94\% | 77\% | 69\% |
|  | 2018 | 78\% | 88\% | 91\% | - | 62\% | 97\% | - | * | - | 80\% | * | * | 94\% | 86\% | 67\% |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 49\% | 61\% | 60\% | * | 36\% | 64\% | - | * | - | * | * | * | 61\% | 57\% | 19\% |
|  | 2018 | 47\% | 56\% | 65\% | - | 54\% | 67\% | - | * | - | 60\% | * | * | 62\% | 72\% | 42\% |
| At Masters Grade Level | 2019 | 25\% | 34\% | 36\% | * | 18\% | 39\% | - | * | - | * | * | * | 35\% | 40\% | 6\% |
|  | 2018 | 23\% | 29\% | 31\% | - | 8\% | 35\% | - | * | - | 20\% | * | * | 29\% | 34\% | 0\% |
| Grade 4 Reading |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Approaches Grade Level or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above | 2019 | 75\% | 86\% | 93\% | - | 80\% | 96\% | - | * | - | 80\% | 86\% | * | 95\% | 91\% | 80\% |
|  | 2018 | 73\% | 86\% | 87\% | * | 76\% | 88\% | - | * | - | * | 50\% | - | 85\% | 88\% | 75\% |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 44\% | 58\% | 80\% | - | 60\% | 84\% | - | * | - | 80\% | 43\% | * | 82\% | 78\% | 60\% |
|  | 2018 | 46\% | 58\% | 64\% | * | 41\% | 68\% | - | * | - | * | 20\% | - | 60\% | 70\% | 25\% |
| At Masters Grade Level | 2019 | 22\% | 30\% | 51\% | - | 27\% | 58\% | - | * | - | 40\% | 14\% | * | 51\% | 52\% | 10\% |
|  | 2018 | 24\% | 30\% | 42\% | * | 29\% | 43\% | - | * | - | * | 10\% | - | 44\% | 40\% | 19\% |
| Grade 4 Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Approaches Grade Level or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above | 2019 | 75\% | 87\% | 91\% | - | 79\% | 94\% | - | * | - | 80\% | 71\% | * | 93\% | 89\% | 78\% |
|  | 2018 | 78\% | 87\% | 82\% | * | 59\% | 86\% | - | * | - | * | 30\% | - | 79\% | 86\% | 63\% |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 48\% | 60\% | 68\% | - | 50\% | 73\% | - | * | - | 40\% | 57\% | * | 63\% | 74\% | 56\% |
|  | 2018 | 49\% | 58\% | 61\% | * | 41\% | 63\% | - | * | - | * | 20\% | - | 58\% | 64\% | 50\% |
| At Masters Grade Level | 2019 | 28\% | 36\% | 39\% | - | 21\% | 43\% | - | * | - | 20\% | 57\% | * | 33\% | 46\% | 11\% |
|  | 2018 | 27\% | 33\% | 38\% | * | 12\% | 42\% | - | * | - | * | 10\% | - | 42\% | 34\% | 19\% |
| Grade 4 Writing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Approaches Grade Level or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above | 2019 | 67\% | 77\% | 93\% | - | 80\% | 95\% | - | * | - | 100\% | 67\% | * | 96\% | 89\% | 90\% |
|  | 2018 | 63\% | 71\% | 76\% | * | 69\% | 77\% | - | * | - | * | 20\% | - | 74\% | 78\% | 47\% |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 35\% | 43\% | 65\% | - | 40\% | 67\% | - | * | - | 100\% | 50\% | * | 59\% | 71\% | 30\% |
|  | 2018 | 39\% | 48\% | 63\% | * | 56\% | 63\% | - | * | - | * | 20\% | - | 61\% | 65\% | 35\% |
| At Masters Grade Level | 2019 | 11\% | 13\% | 28\% | - | 13\% | 31\% | - | * | - | 20\% | 33\% | * | 24\% | 33\% | 20\% |
|  | 2018 | 11\% | 11\% | 19\% | * | 19\% | 18\% | - | * | - | * | 10\% | - | 21\% | 17\% | 6\% |
| Grade 5 Reading^ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Approaches Grade Level or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above | 2019 | 86\% | 95\% | 94\% | * | 100\% | 93\% | - | * | - | * | 64\% | * | 94\% | 95\% | 92\% |
|  | 2018 | 84\% | 92\% | 92\% | * | 94\% | 95\% | * | * | - | * | 69\% | * | 93\% | 92\% | 76\% |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 54\% | 68\% | 72\% | * | 60\% | 73\% | - | * | - | * | 55\% | * | 72\% | 70\% | 50\% |
|  | 2018 | 54\% | 68\% | 73\% | * | 78\% | 75\% | * | * | - | * | 46\% | * | 75\% | 70\% | 41\% |



## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

|  |  | State | District | Campus | African American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific <br> Islander | Two or More Races | Special Ed (Current) | Special Ed (Former) | Continuously Enrolled | Non-Continuously Enrolled | Econ Disadv | EL (Current \& Monitored) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Grades Science |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Approaches Grade Level or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above | 2019 | 81\% | 90\% | 84\% | * | 64\% | 87\% | - | * | - | * | 55\% | * | 84\% | 84\% | 58\% | * |
|  | 2018 | 80\% | 87\% | 83\% | * | 94\% | 83\% | * | * | - | * | 69\% | * | 82\% | 84\% | 59\% | * |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 54\% | 65\% | 63\% | * | 36\% | 66\% | - | * | - | * | 36\% | * | 66\% | 57\% | 33\% | * |
|  | 2018 | 51\% | 61\% | 49\% | * | 39\% | 53\% | * | * | - | * | 38\% | * | 53\% | 44\% | 6\% | * |
| At Masters Grade Level | 2019 | 25\% | 28\% | 40\% | * | 21\% | 42\% | - | * | - | * | 27\% | * | 39\% | 41\% | 13\% | * |
|  | 2018 | 23\% | 28\% | 21\% | * | 0\% | 25\% | * | * | - | * | 15\% | * | 21\% | 22\% | 0\% | * |

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY <br> Texas Academic Performance Report <br> 2018-19 Campus Progress

Total Students: 755


| School Progress Domain - Academic Growth Score by Grade and Subject |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade 4 ELA/Reading | 2019 | 61 | 64 | 80 | - | 50 | 86 | - | * | - | 80 | 70 | * | 85 | 74 |
| Grade 4 Mathematics | 2018 | 63 | 58 | 70 | * | 50 | 73 | - | * | - | * | 65 | - | 74 | 64 |
|  | 2019 | 65 | 70 | 68 | - | 45 | 72 | - | * | - | 50 | 80 | * | 66 | 71 |
|  | 2018 | 65 | 59 | 65 | * | 44 | 68 | - | * | - | * | 70 | - | 68 | 61 |
| Grade 5 ELA/Reading | 2019 | 81 | 83 | 83 | * | 90 | 81 | - | * | - | * | 80 | - | 82 | 83 |
|  | 2018 | 80 | 78 | 84 | * | 91 | 84 | * | * | - | * | 90 | * | 86 | 81 |
| Grade 5 Mathematics | 2019 | 83 | 84 | 86 | * | 87 | 87 | - | * | - | * | 85 | - | 93 | 73 |
|  | 2018 | 81 | 77 | 82 | * | 68 | 84 | * | * | - | * | 91 | * | 79 | 87 |
| All Grades Both Subjects | 2019 | 69 | 74 | 80 | * | 71 | 82 | - | * | - | 75 | 80 | 83 | 82 | 75 |
|  | 2018 | 69 | 71 | 76 | 69 | 64 | 78 | * | * | - | 88 | 79 | * | 77 | 74 |
| All Grades ELA/Reading | 2019 | 68 | 70 | 81 | * | 72 | 83 | - | * | - | 86 | 77 | * | 83 | 79 |
|  | 2018 | 69 | 68 | 77 | * | 71 | 79 | * | * | - | * | 78 | * | 80 | 73 |
| All Grades Mathematics | 2019 | 70 | 77 | 78 | * | 69 | 80 | - | * | - | 64 | 83 | * | 82 | 72 |
|  | 2018 | 70 | 74 | 74 | * | 56 | 77 | * | * | - | * | 81 | * | 73 | 75 |

Total Students: 755
Grade Span: PK - 05 School Type: Elementary

OMERY ISD Campus Number: 170903105

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY <br> Texas Academic Performance Report 2018-19 Campus Prior Year and Student Success Initiative 



## Progress of Prior-Year Non-Proficient Students

Sum of Grades 4-8

| Reading | 2019 | 41\% | 49\% | 52\% | - | 67\% | 47\% | - | - | - | - | 29\% | 70\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2018 | 38\% | 47\% | 53\% | * | * | 59\% | - | - | - | * | * | 45\% |
| Mathematics | 2019 | 45\% | 60\% | 62\% | * | 55\% | 75\% |  | - | - |  | 56\% | 54\% |
|  | 2018 | 47\% | 56\% | 50\% | * | * | 52\% |  | - |  |  | * | 64\% |

## Student Success Initiative

## Grade 5 Reading

Students Meeting Approaches Grade Level on First STAAR Administration
2019 78\%

Students Requiring Accelerated Instruction
STAAR CumulativeMet Standard
2019 22\% 10\%

| $88 \%$ | $*$ | $80 \%$ | 8 |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| $12 \%$ | $*$ | $20 \%$ | 1 |
| $94 \%$ | $*$ | $100 \%$ | 9 |

89\%
11\%
93\%
*
.

Students Meeting Approaches Grade Level on First STAAR Administration

|  | 2019 | 83\% | 93\% | 92\% | * | 87\% | 93\% | - | * | - | * | 67\% | 75\% | * |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Students Requiring Accelerated Instruction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2019 | 17\% | 7\% | 8\% | * | 13\% | 7\% | - | * | - | * | 33\% | 25\% | * |
| STAAR CumulativeMet Standard | 2019 | 90\% | 95\% | 94\% | * | 87\% | 96\% | - | * | - | * | 67\% | 79\% | * |



Progress of Prior Year STAAR Non-Proficient Students (Percent of Non-Proficient Passing STAAR)
Reading

| 2019 | $41 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $52 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2018 | $38 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $53 \%$ |

Mathematics

| 2018 | $38 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $53 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2019 | $45 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $62 \%$ |
| 2018 | $47 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $50 \%$ |

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 

|  | State | District | Campus | African <br> American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | Special $\qquad$ | Econ Disadv | (Current) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2019 STAAR Participation (All Grades) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Tests |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Assessment Participant | 99\% | 98\% | 98\% | 100\% | 98\% | 99\% | - | 100\% | - | 100\% | 96\% | 99\% | 100\% |
| Included in Accountability | 94\% | 94\% | 95\% | 100\% | 91\% | 95\% | - | 100\% | - | 100\% | 82\% | 86\% | 100\% |
| Not Included in Accountability |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobile | 4\% | 3\% | 3\% | 0\% | 5\% | 3\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | 10\% | 11\% | 0\% |
| Other Exclusions | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | 3\% | 1\% | 0\% |
| Not Tested | 1\% | 2\% | 2\% | 0\% | 2\% | 1\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | 4\% | 1\% | 0\% |
| Absent | 1\% | 2\% | 1\% | 0\% | 2\% | 1\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | 4\% | 1\% | 0\% |
| Other | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |

## 2018 STAAR Participation

(All Grades)

| All Tests |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assessment Participant | 99\% | 98\% | 99\% | 100\% | 99\% | 99\% | * | 100\% | - | 100\% | 98\% | 99\% | 100\% |
| Included in Accountability | 94\% | 94\% | 95\% | 100\% | 98\% | 94\% | * | 100\% | - | 100\% | 87\% | 86\% | 100\% |
| Not Included in Accountability |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobile | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 0\% | 2\% | 4\% | * | 0\% | - | 0\% | 7\% | 11\% | 0\% |
| Other Exclusions | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | * | 0\% | - | 0\% | 3\% | 2\% | 0\% |
| Not Tested | 1\% | 2\% | 1\% | 0\% | 1\% | 1\% | * | 0\% | - | 0\% | 2\% | 1\% | 0\% |
| Absent | 1\% | 2\% | 1\% | 0\% | 1\% | 1\% | * | 0\% | - | 0\% | 2\% | 1\% | 0\% |
| Other | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | * | 0\% | - | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |


|  | State | District | Campus | African American | Hispanic | White | American $\qquad$ | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | Special $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Econ } \\ \text { Disadv } \end{array}$ | (Current) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Attendance Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 95.4\% | 95.2\% | 96.1\% | 98.4\% | 96.3\% | 96.0\% | * | 96.7\% | - | 96.4\% | 94.6\% | 95.1\% | 94.6\% |
| 2016-17 | 95.7\% | 95.3\% | 96.0\% | 95.6\% | 96.5\% | 95.9\% | * | * | - | 97.8\% | 94.6\% | 94.6\% | 95.8\% |
| Annual Dropout Rate (Gr 7-8) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 0.4\% | 0.3\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Annual Dropout Rate (Gr 9-12) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 1.9\% | 0.7\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 1.9\% | 0.6\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 4-Year Longitudinal Rate (Gr 9-12) Class of 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Graduated | 90.0\% | 97.5\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Received TxCHSE | 0.4\% | 0.5\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Continued HS | 3.8\% | 0.5\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Dropped Out | 5.7\% | 1.6\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates and TxCHSE | 90.4\% | 98.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates, TxCHSE, and Continuers | 94.3\% | 98.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Class of 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Graduated | 89.7\% | 93.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Received TxCHSE | 0.4\% | 1.7\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Continued HS | 4.0\% | 1.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Dropped Out | 5.9\% | 3.3\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates and TxCHSE | 90.1\% | 95.5\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates, TxCHSE, and Continuers | 94.1\% | 96.7\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 5-Year Extended Longitudinal Rate (Gr 9-12)Class of 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Graduated | 92.0\% | 94.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Received TxCHSE | 0.6\% | 1.9\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Continued HS | 1.1\% | 0.3\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Dropped Out | 6.3\% | 2.9\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates and TxCHSE | 92.6\% | 96.7\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates, TxCHSE, and Continuers | 93.7\% | 97.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Class of 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Graduated | 91.6\% | 96.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Received TxCHSE | 0.7\% | 2.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Continued HS | 1.2\% | 0.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Dropped Out | 6.6\% | 1.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates and TxCHSE | 92.2\% | 98.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates, TxCHSE, and Continuers | 93.4\% | 98.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |


| 6-Year Extended Longitudinal Rate (Gr 9-12) <br> Class of 2016 <br> Graduated |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $92.1 \%$ | $96.2 \%$ |

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Texas Academic Performance Report
Total Students: 755
Grade Span: PK - 05 Campus Name: LONE STAR EL Campus Number: 170903105

|  | State | District | Campus | African American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | Special Ed | Econ Disadv | $\begin{array}{r} \text { EL } \\ \text { (Current) } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Received TxCHSE | 0.8\% | 2.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Continued HS | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Dropped Out | 6.6\% | 1.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |  |
| Graduates and TxCHSE | 92.9\% | 98.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates, TxCHSE, and Continuers | 93.4\% | 98.2\% | . | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Class of 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Graduated | 91.8\% | 93.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Received TxCHSE | 1.0\% | 3.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |  |
| Continued HS | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |  |
| Dropped Out | 6.7\% | 3.9\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates and TxCHSE | 92.8\% | 96.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates, TxCHSE, and Continuers | 93.3\% | 96.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |



## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

|  | Campus Count | Campus Percent | District Count | State Count |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Graduates (2017-18 Annual Graduates) |  |  |  |  |
| Total Graduates | - | - | 627 | 347,893 |
| By Ethnicity: |  |  |  |  |
| African American | - | - | 22 | 43,502 |
| Hispanic | - | - | 81 | 173,272 |
| White |  |  | 499 | 107,052 |
| American Indian | - | - | 5 | 1,226 |
| Asian | - | - | 10 | 15,589 |
| Pacific Islander | - | - | 1 | 528 |
| Two or More Races | - | - | 9 | 6,724 |
| By Graduation Type: |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum H.S. Program | - | - | 3 | 5,855 |
| Recommended H.S. Program/Distinguished Achievement Program | - | - |  | 3,538 |
| Foundation H.S. Program (No Endorsement) | - | - | 37 | 49,432 |
| Foundation H.S. Program (Endorsement) | - | - | 68 | 16,542 |
| Foundation H.S. Program (DLA) | - | - | 516 | 272,526 |
| Special Education Graduates |  | - | 41 | 25,962 |
| Economically Disadvantaged Graduates | - | - | 92 | 166,956 |
| LEP Graduates |  | - | 4 | 21,359 |
| At-Risk Graduates | - | - | 171 | 144,805 |


| State | District | Campus | African American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | Special Ed | Econ Disadv | $\begin{array}{r} \text { EL } \\ \text { (Current) } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| College, Career, and Military Ready Graduates (Student Achievement) ${ }^{* * *}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| College, Career, or Military Ready (Annual | uates) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 65.5\% | 79.7\% | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| College Ready Graduates *** |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| College Ready (Annual Graduates) |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 50.0\% | 59.3\% |
| TSI Criteria Graduates (Annual Graduates)English Language Arts |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 58.2\% | 71.6\% |
| Mathematics |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 46.0\% | 58.7\% |
| Both Subjects |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 42.1\% | 57.7\% |


| Dual Course Credits (Annual Graduates) <br> Any Subject |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2017-18 | $20.7 \%$ | $26.8 \%$ |
| $2016-17$ | $19.9 \%$ | $21.8 \%$ |

AP/IB Met Criteria in Any Subject (Annual Graduates) Any Subject

| $2017-18$ | $20.4 \%$ | $16.1 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2016-17$ | $20.1 \%$ | $13.9 \%$ |


| Associate's Degree |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Associate's Degree (Annual Graduates) |  |
| $2017-18$ | $1.4 \%$ |
| $2016-17$ | $0.8 \%$ |

OnRampsCourse Credits (Annual Graduates)
2017-18 $0.0 \%$

| Career/Military Ready Graduates |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Career or Military Ready (Annual Graduates) |  |  |
| $2017-18$ | $28.7 \%$ | $48.3 \%$ |
| $2016-17$ | $13.2 \%$ | $11.3 \%$ |


| Approved Industry-Based Certification (Annual Graduates |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2017-18$ | $4.8 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ |
| $2016-17$ | $2.7 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |


| Graduate with Completed IEP and Workforce | Readiness (Annual Graduates) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2017-18$ | $1.7 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | - |
| $2016-17$ | $1.0 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | - |


| CTE Coherent Sequence Coursework Aligned with Industry-Based Certifications (Annual Graduates) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2017-18$ | $38.7 \%$ | $84.2 \%$ | - | - |
| $2016-17$ | $17.3 \%$ | $19.0 \%$ | - | - |

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 

## Texas Academic Performance Report

Total Students: 755
2018-19 Campus College, Career, and Military Readiness (CCMR)

|  | State | District | Campus | African American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Special } \\ \mathrm{Ed} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Econ } \\ \text { Disadv } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { EL } \\ \text { (Current) } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| U.S. Armed Forces Enlistment(Annual Graduates) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 4.3\% | 2.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 2.2\% | 1.5\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Graduates under an Advanced Degree Plan and Identified as a current Special Education Student (Annual Graduates) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 2.6\% | 2.6\% | - |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Graduates with Levell or Level II Certificate (Annual Graduates) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 0.6\% | 0.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 

Texas Academic Performance Report
Total Students: 755 2018-19 Campus CCMR-Related Indicators

|  | State | District | Campus | African American | Hispanic | White | American $\qquad$ | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | Special $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Econ } \\ \text { Disadv } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | (Current) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TSIA Results (Graduates >= Criterion) (Annual Graduates) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reading |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 32.1\% | 50.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 23.4\% | 32.3\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 23.7\% | 38.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 19.8\% | 27.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Both Subjects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 18.1\% | 36.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 12.9\% | 22.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| CTE Coherent Sequence (Annual Graduates) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 58.4\% | 86.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 50.5\% | 83.9\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

## Completed and Received Credit for College Prep Courses (Annual Graduates)

| English Language Arts |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2017-18 | $2.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| 2016-17 | $0.8 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Mathematics | $3.9 \%$ |  |
| 2017-18 | $1.4 \%$ | $7.9 \%$ |
| $2016-17$ |  |  |
| Both Subjects | $0.9 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| $2017-18$ | $0.2 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| $2016-17$ |  |  |



| AP/IB Results (Examinees >= Criterion) (Grades 11-12) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Subjects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 50.7\% | 59.5\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| 2017 | 49.1\% | 47.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| English Language Arts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 42.5\% | 68.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| 2017 | 41.3\% | 59.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 52.8\% | 77.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

## Texas Academic Performance Report

 2018-19 Campus CCMR-Related IndicatorsTotal Students: 755 Grade Span: PK-05 School Type: Elementary

|  | State | District | Campus | African American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | Special <br> Ed | Econ Disadv | (Current) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 51.3\% | 71.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | n/a | - | n/a |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 38.0\% | 59.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| 2017 | 38.3\% | 45.6\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Social Studies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 44.6\% | 47.3\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| 2017 | 41.4\% | 36.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| SAT/ACT Results (Annual Graduates) *** Tested |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 74.6\% | 68.7\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| 2016-17 | 73.5\% | 65.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| At/Above Criterion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 37.9\% | 61.5\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Average SAT Score (Annual Graduates) *** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Subjects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 1036 | 1131 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| English Language Arts and Writing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 521 | 569 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 515 | 561 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Average ACT Score (Annual Graduates) *** <br> All Subiects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 20.6 | 24.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| English Language Arts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 20.3 | 24.1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 20.6 | 23.6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 20.9 | 23.6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

|  | State | District | Campus | African <br> American | Hispanic | White | American $\qquad$ | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | Special $\qquad$ | Econ <br> Disadv | $\begin{array}{r} \text { EL } \\ \text { (Current) } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Advanced Dual-Credit Course Completion (Grades 9-12) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Any Subject |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 43.4\% | 39.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 37.1\% | 29.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| English Language Arts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 17.3\% | 17.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 16.8\% | 5.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 20.7\% | 19.7\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 19.5\% | 16.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Science |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 21.2\% | 16.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 5.7\% | 2.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Social Studies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 22.8\% | 23.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 21.8\% | 21.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates Enrolled in Texas Institution of Higher Education (TX IHE) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2016-17 | 54.6\% | 59.0\% | ) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2015-16 | 54.7\% | 60.5\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates in TX IHE Completing One Year Without Enrollment in a Developmental Education Course |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2016-17 | 59.2\% | 73.6\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2015-16 | 55.7\% | 74.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 

Total Students: 755

| Student Information | --------------- Campus --------------- |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | Percent | District | State |
| Total Students | 755 | 100.0\% | 8,837 | 5,416,400 |
| Students by Grade: |  |  |  |  |
| Early Childhood Education | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% |
| Pre-Kindergarten | 30 | 4.0\% | 1.9\% | 4.4\% |
| Kindergarten | 103 | 13.6\% | 6.2\% | 6.9\% |
| Grade 1 | 147 | 19.5\% | 7.3\% | 7.1\% |
| Grade 2 | 129 | 17.1\% | 7.1\% | 7.2\% |
| Grade 3 | 112 | 14.8\% | 6.7\% | 7.3\% |
| Grade 4 | 110 | 14.6\% | 7.2\% | 7.6\% |
| Grade 5 | 124 | 16.4\% | 7.6\% | 7.7\% |
| Grade 6 | 0 | 0.0\% | 8.4\% | 7.7\% |
| Grade 7 | 0 | 0.0\% | 8.2\% | 7.5\% |
| Grade 8 | 0 | 0.0\% | 8.7\% | 7.5\% |
| Grade 9 | 0 | 0.0\% | 8.4\% | 8.1\% |
| Grade 10 | 0 | 0.0\% | 7.9\% | 7.4\% |
| Grade 11 | 0 | 0.0\% | 7.4\% | 6.9\% |
| Grade 12 | 0 | 0.0\% | 6.6\% | 6.5\% |
| Ethnic Distribution: |  |  |  |  |
| African American | 11 | 1.5\% | 2.7\% | 12.6\% |
| Hispanic | 112 | 14.8\% | 15.4\% | 52.6\% |
| White | 595 | 78.8\% | 77.3\% | 27.4\% |
| American Indian | 2 | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 0.4\% |
| Asian | 9 | 1.2\% | 1.0\% | 4.5\% |
| Pacific Islander | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% |
| Two or More Races | 26 | 3.4\% | 3.0\% | 2.4\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 129 | 17.1\% | 25.3\% | 60.6\% |
| Non-Educationally Disadvantaged | 626 | 82.9\% | 74.7\% | 39.4\% |
| Section 504 Students | 41 | 5.4\% | 10.3\% | 6.5\% |
| English Learners (EL) | 14 | 1.9\% | 2.3\% | 19.5\% |
| Students w/ Disciplinary Placements (2017-18) | 1 | 0.1\% | 1.0\% | 1.4\% |
| Students w/ Dyslexia | 22 | 2.9\% | 4.4\% | 3.6\% |
| At-Risk | 126 | 16.7\% | 30.4\% | 50.1\% |
| Students with Disabilities by Type of Primary Disability: |  |  |  |  |
| Total Students with Disabilities | 53 |  |  |  |
| By Type of Primary Disability |  |  |  |  |
| Students with Intellectual Disabilities | 11 | 20.8\% | 37.5\% | 42.4\% |
| Students with Physical Disabilities | 24 | 45.3\% | 24.5\% | 21.9\% |
| Students with Autism | 8 | 15.1\% | 13.9\% | 13.7\% |
| Students with Behavioral Disabilities | ** | ** | 22.8\% | 20.6\% |
| Students with Non-Categorical Early Childhood | * | * | 1.2\% | 1.4\% |
| Mobility (2017-18): |  |  |  |  |
| Total Mobile Students | 68 | 11.1\% | 10.8\% | 15.4\% |

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 

District Name: MONTGOMERY ISD Texas Academic Performance Report 2018-19 Campus Student Information

| Student Information | --------------- Campus --------------- |  | District | State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | Percent |  |  |
| By Ethnicity: |  |  |  |  |
| African American | 0 | 0.0\% |  |  |
| Hispanic | 15 | 2.4\% |  |  |
| White | 49 | 8.0\% |  |  |
| American Indian | 0 | 0.0\% |  |  |
| Asian | 1 | 0.2\% |  |  |
| Pacific Islander | 0 | 0.0\% |  |  |
| Two or More Races | 3 | 0.5\% |  |  |


| Student Information | --------Non-Special Education Rates------- |  |  | --------Special Education Rates- |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Campus | District | State | Campus | District | State |
| Retention Rates by Grade: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kindergarten | 1.6\% | 1.7\% | 1.7\% | 14.3\% | 14.6\% | 6.2\% |
| Grade 1 | 4.5\% | 3.3\% | 3.1\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 5.5\% |
| Grade 2 | 1.0\% | 1.9\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 2.3\% |
| Grade 3 | 1.1\% | 0.7\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% |
| Grade 4 | 1.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% |
| Grade 5 | 0.9\% | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% |
| Grade 6 | - | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.5\% |
| Grade 7 | - | 0.1\% | 0.6\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.6\% |
| Grade 8 | - | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.7\% |
| Grade 9 | - | 3.1\% | 7.2\% | - | 6.7\% | 12.7\% |
| Class Size Information | Campus |  |  | District |  | State |

Class Size Averages by Grade and Subject
(Derived from teacher responsibility records):

| Elementary: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kindergarten | 17.2 | 17.3 | 18.9 |
| Grade 1 | 20.6 | 19.0 | 18.8 |
| Grade 2 | 21.3 | 19.3 | 18.7 |
| Grade 3 | 18.5 | 17.8 | 18.9 |
| Grade 4 | 18.4 | 17.9 | 19.2 |
| Grade 5 | 24.8 | 22.9 | 21.2 |
| Grade 6 | - | 23.5 | 20.4 |
| Secondary: |  |  |  |
| English/Language Arts | - | 18.6 | 16.6 |
| Foreign Languages | - | 18.9 | 18.9 |
| Mathematics | - | 21.9 | 17.8 |
| Science | - | 21.3 | 18.9 |
| Social Studies | - | 21.4 | 19.3 |

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 

Total Students: 755

| Staff Information | Count/Average | Percent | District | State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Staff | 63.3 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Professional Staff: | 53.5 | 84.6\% | 66.8\% | 64.1\% |
| Teachers | 46.5 | 73.5\% | 55.4\% | 49.8\% |
| Professional Support | 5.0 | 7.9\% | 7.9\% | 10.1\% |
| Campus Administration (School Leadership) | 2.0 | 3.2\% | 2.6\% | 3.0\% |
| Educational Aides: | 9.8 | 15.4\% | 8.2\% | 10.3\% |
| Librarians \& Counselors (Headcount): |  |  |  |  |
| Librarians |  |  |  |  |
| Full-time | 1.0 | n/a | 4.0 | 4,414.0 |
| Part-time | 0.0 | n/a | 2.0 | 572.0 |
| Counselors |  |  |  |  |
| Full-time | 1.0 | n/a | 20.0 | 12,433.0 |
| Part-time | 0.0 | n/a | 0.0 | 1,097.0 |
| Total Minority Staff: | 1.5 | 2.4\% | 11.9\% | 50.4\% |
| Teachers by Ethnicity and Sex: |  |  |  |  |
| African American | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 10.6\% |
| Hispanic | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 6.0\% | 27.7\% |
| White | 46.5 | 100.0\% | 92.0\% | 58.4\% |
| American Indian | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% |
| Asian | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 1.7\% |
| Pacific Islander | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% |
| Two or More Races | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 1.1\% |
| Males | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 17.3\% | 23.8\% |
| Females | 46.5 | 100.0\% | 82.7\% | 76.2\% |
| Teachers by Highest Degree Held: |  |  |  |  |
| No Degree | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 1.4\% |
| Bachelors | 39.0 | 83.9\% | 74.1\% | 73.6\% |
| Masters | 7.5 | 16.1\% | 23.9\% | 24.3\% |
| Doctorate | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.7\% |
| Teachers by Years of Experience: |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning Teachers | 1.0 | 2.2\% | 4.0\% | 7.0\% |
| 1-5 Years Experience | 9.0 | 19.4\% | 17.3\% | 28.9\% |
| 6-10 Years Experience | 12.0 | 25.8\% | 18.8\% | 19.0\% |
| 11-20 Years Experience | 15.5 | 33.3\% | 37.8\% | 29.3\% |
| Over 20 Years Experience | 9.0 | 19.4\% | 22.1\% | 15.7\% |
| Number of Students per Teacher | 16.2 | n/a | 15.1 | 15.1 |


| Staff Information | Campus | District | State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Experience of Campus Leadership: |  |  |  |
| Average Years Experience of Principals | 13.0 | 6.3 | 6.3 |
| Average Years Experience of Principals with District | 6.0 | 4.1 | 5.4 |
| Average Years Experience of Assistant Principals | 4.0 | 7.1 | 5.3 |
| Average Years Experience of Assistant Principals with District | 4.0 | 5.3 | 4.7 |
| Average Years Experience of Teachers: | 13.0 | 13.6 | 11.1 |
| Average Years Experience of Teachers with District: | 7.1 | 6.6 | 7.2 |
| Average Teacher Salary by Years of Experience (regular duties only): |  |  |  |
| Beginning Teachers | \$50,075 | \$45,948 | \$47,218 |
| 1-5 Years Experience | \$50,838 | \$51,962 | \$50,408 |
| 6-10 Years Experience | \$54,210 | \$54,468 | \$52,786 |
| 11-20 Years Experience | \$57,069 | \$57,483 | \$56,041 |
| Over 20 Years Experience | \$64,374 | \$63,962 | \$62,039 |
| Average Actual Salaries (regular duties only): |  |  |  |
| Teachers | \$56,388 | \$56,934 | \$54,122 |
| Professional Support | \$65,489 | \$64,178 | \$64,069 |
| Campus Administration (School Leadership) | \$85,777 | \$83,903 | \$78,947 |
| Instructional Staff Percent: | n/a | 67.8\% | 64.5\% |
| Contracted Instructional Staff (not incl. above): | 0.4 | 6.0 | 6,043.6 |

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

| Program Information | ----------------- Campus ---------------- |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | Percent | District | State |
| Student Enrollment by Program: |  |  |  |  |
| Bilingual/ESL Education | 14 | 1.9\% | 3.2\% | 19.7\% |
| Career \& Technical Education | 0 | 0.0\% | 28.9\% | 26.3\% |
| Gifted \& Talented Education | 45 | 6.0\% | 7.1\% | 8.1\% |
| Special Education | 53 | 7.0\% | 7.4\% | 9.6\% |
| Teachers by Program (population served): |  |  |  |  |
| Bilingual/ESL Education | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 6.4\% |
| Career \& Technical Education | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 5.7\% | 4.9\% |
| Compensatory Education | 3.5 | 7.5\% | 4.2\% | 2.7\% |
| Gifted \& Talented Education | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% |
| Regular Education | 39.0 | 83.9\% | 77.1\% | 71.4\% |
| Special Education | 4.0 | 8.6\% | 12.9\% | 9.1\% |
| Other | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.6\% |

' 1 ' Indicates that rates for reading and mathematics are based on the cumulative results from the first and second administrations of STAAR.
'*' Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
'**' When only one student disability group is masked, then the second smallest student disability group is masked regardless of size.
${ }^{\prime * * * *}$ Due to changes in the evaluation of SAT/ACT results (for 2017-18 the best result was used, rather than the most recent), 2016-17 SAT/ACT results are not comparable and, where applicable, are not shown.
'-' Indicates there are no students in the group.
' $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ ' Indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.
'?' Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable or were reported outside a reasonable range.

## 2018-19 Texas Academic Performance Report

District Name: MONTGOMERY ISD
Campus Name: MADELEY RANCH EL
Campus Number: 170903106

2019 Accountability Rating: A
Distinction Designations:
Top 25 Percent: Comparative Closing the Gaps

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 



## STAAR Performance Rates by Tested Grade, Subject, and Performance Level

| Grade 3 Reading |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| At Approaches Grade Level or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above | 2019 | 76\% | 89\% | 85\% | - | 63\% | 88\% | - | - | - | 100\% | 60\% | * | 86\% | 82\% | 63\% |
|  | 2018 | 77\% | 88\% | 88\% | * | 85\% | 89\% | - | - | - | * | * | * | 90\% | 85\% | 86\% |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 45\% | 58\% | 48\% | - | 38\% | 49\% | - | - | - | 67\% | 40\% | * | 44\% | 56\% | 26\% |
|  | 2018 | 43\% | 53\% | 53\% | * | 38\% | 59\% | - | - | - | * | * | * | 66\% | 27\% | 29\% |
| At Masters Grade Level | 2019 | 27\% | 36\% | 30\% | - | 38\% | 27\% | - | - | - | 50\% | 20\% | * | 21\% | 47\% | 16\% |
|  | 2018 | 25\% | 35\% | 35\% | * | 23\% | 38\% | - | - | - | * | * | * | 46\% | 12\% | 21\% |
| Grade 3 Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Approaches Grade Level or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above | 2019 | 79\% | 90\% | 87\% | - | 80\% | 87\% | - | - | - | 100\% | 80\% | * | 86\% | 88\% | 75\% |
|  | 2018 | 78\% | 88\% | 85\% | * | 77\% | 89\% | - | - | - | * | 60\% | * | 91\% | 74\% | 73\% |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 49\% | 61\% | 59\% | - | 53\% | 56\% | - | - | - | 100\% | 60\% | * | 53\% | 70\% | 50\% |
|  | 2018 | 47\% | 56\% | 54\% | * | 31\% | 60\% | - | - | - | * | 60\% | * | 65\% | 32\% | 27\% |
| At Masters Grade Level | 2019 | 25\% | 34\% | 30\% | - | 47\% | 26\% | - | - | - | 50\% | 40\% | * | 29\% | 33\% | 13\% |
|  | 2018 | 23\% | 29\% | 26\% | * | 8\% | 32\% | - | - | - | * | 20\% | * | 34\% | 12\% | 7\% |
| Grade 4 Reading |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Approaches Grade Level or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above | 2019 | 75\% | 86\% | 84\% | * | 64\% | 89\% | - | - | - | 60\% | * | * | 89\% | 76\% | 71\% |
|  | 2018 | 73\% | 86\% | 86\% | - | 83\% | 87\% | - | - | - | * | 75\% | * | 83\% | 92\% | 78\% |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 44\% | 58\% | 59\% | * | 43\% | 67\% | - | - | - | 20\% | * | * | 72\% | 38\% | 29\% |
|  | 2018 | 46\% | 58\% | 57\% | - | 58\% | 57\% | - | - | - | * | 50\% | * | 51\% | 68\% | 35\% |
| At Masters Grade Level | 2019 | 22\% | 30\% | 31\% | * | 7\% | 38\% | - | - | - | 20\% | * | * | 40\% | 16\% | 7\% |
|  | 2018 | 24\% | 30\% | 28\% | - | 25\% | 27\% | - | - | - | * | 13\% | * | 21\% | 42\% | 17\% |
| Grade 4 Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Approaches Grade Level or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above | 2019 | 75\% | 87\% | 82\% | * | 62\% | 88\% | - | - | - | 60\% | * | * | 90\% | 69\% | 46\% |
|  | 2018 | 78\% | 87\% | 88\% | - | 92\% | 89\% | - | - | - | * | 63\% | * | 88\% | 89\% | 87\% |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 48\% | 60\% | 55\% | * | 15\% | 65\% | - | - | - | 20\% | * | * | 62\% | 43\% | 23\% |
|  | 2018 | 49\% | 58\% | 60\% | - | 62\% | 59\% | - | - | - | * | 50\% | * | 60\% | 58\% | 26\% |
| At Masters Grade Level | 2019 | 28\% | 36\% | 34\% | * | 15\% | 40\% | - | - | - | 20\% | * | * | 43\% | 20\% | 15\% |
|  | 2018 | 27\% | 33\% | 31\% | - | 38\% | 30\% | - | - | - | * | 13\% | * | 34\% | 26\% | 17\% |
| Grade 4 Writing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Approaches Grade Level or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above | 2019 | 67\% | 77\% | 72\% | * | 57\% | 78\% | - | - | - | 20\% | * | * | 76\% | 65\% | 57\% |
|  | 2018 | 63\% | 71\% | 68\% | - | 67\% | 69\% | - | - | - | * | 50\% | * | 67\% | 71\% | 52\% |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 35\% | 43\% | 38\% | * | 7\% | 47\% | - | - | - | 20\% | * | * | 47\% | 24\% | 7\% |
|  | 2018 | 39\% | 48\% | 39\% | - | 33\% | 40\% | - | - | - | * | 25\% | * | 35\% | 47\% | 29\% |
| At Masters Grade Level | 2019 | 11\% | 13\% | 13\% | * | 0\% | 15\% | - | - | - | 20\% | * | * | 19\% | 3\% | 0\% |
|  | 2018 | 11\% | 11\% | 9\% | - | 8\% | 9\% | - | - | - | * | 25\% | * | 6\% | 13\% | 0\% |
| Grade 5 Reading^ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Approaches Grade Level or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above | 2019 | 86\% | 95\% | 95\% | - | 100\% | 95\% | - | - | - | * | 80\% | * | 93\% | 100\% | 92\% |
|  | 2018 | 84\% | 92\% | 89\% | * | 88\% | 89\% | * | - | - | * | 54\% | * | 88\% | 92\% | 78\% |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 54\% | 68\% | 65\% | - | 64\% | 67\% | - | - | - | * | 20\% | * | 61\% | 72\% | 48\% |
|  | 2018 | 54\% | 68\% | 66\% | * | 50\% | 68\% | * | - | - | * | 31\% | * | 70\% | 57\% | 59\% |



## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

|  |  | State | District | Campus | African American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific <br> Islander | Two or More Races | Special Ed (Current) | Special Ed (Former) | Continuously Enrolled | Non-Continuously Enrolled | Econ Disadv | EL (Current \& Monitored) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Grades Science |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Approaches Grade Level or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above | 2019 | 81\% | 90\% | 90\% | - | 80\% | 92\% | - | - | - | * | 100\% | * | 90\% | 89\% | 83\% | * |
|  | 2018 | 80\% | 87\% | 82\% | * | 80\% | 83\% | * | - | - | * | 67\% | * | 84\% | 79\% | 77\% | * |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 54\% | 65\% | 62\% | - | 70\% | 62\% | - | - | - | * | 80\% | * | 58\% | 68\% | 50\% | * |
|  | 2018 | 51\% | 61\% | 46\% | * | 53\% | 44\% | * | - | - | * | 33\% | * | 47\% | 43\% | 38\% | * |
| At Masters Grade Level | 2019 | 25\% | 28\% | 33\% | - | 40\% | 33\% | - | - | - | * | 40\% | * | 31\% | 36\% | 25\% | * |
|  | 2018 | 23\% | 28\% | 16\% | * | 13\% | 17\% | * | - | - | * | 8\% | * | 17\% | 15\% | 15\% | * |

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY <br> Texas Academic Performance Report <br> 2018-19 Campus Progress

Total Students: 734


| School Progress Domain - Academic Growth Score by Grade and Subject |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade 4 ELA/Reading | 2019 | 61 | 64 | 67 | * | 50 | 71 | - | - | - | * | * | * | 69 | 63 |
|  | 2018 | 63 | 58 | 55 | - | 45 | 56 | - | - | - | * | 63 | * | 54 | 59 |
| Grade 4 Mathematics | 2019 | 65 | 70 | 69 | * | 58 | 73 | - | - | - | * | * | * | 69 | 68 |
|  | 2018 | 65 | 59 | 57 | - | 63 | 57 | - | - | - | * | 38 | * | 59 | 54 |
| Grade 5 ELA/Reading | 2019 | 81 | 83 | 80 | - | 95 | 79 | - | - | - | * | 80 | * | 81 | 79 |
|  | 2018 | 80 | 78 | 76 | * | 87 | 75 | * | - | - | * | 54 | * | 78 | 73 |
| Grade 5 Mathematics | 2019 | 83 | 84 | 88 | - | 85 | 88 | - | - | - | * | 40 | * | 89 | 85 |
|  | 2018 | 81 | 77 | 67 | * | 60 | 67 | * | - | - | * | 65 | * | 66 | 69 |
| All Grades Both Subjects | 2019 | 69 | 74 | 77 | 50 | 70 | 79 | - | - | - | 67 | 67 | 94 | 78 | 75 |
|  | 2018 | 69 | 71 | 65 | * | 65 | 64 | * | - | - | 70 | 56 | 81 | 65 | 65 |
| All Grades ELA/Reading | 2019 | 68 | 70 | 75 | * | 70 | 76 | - | - | - | 58 | 78 | * | 76 | 72 |
|  | 2018 | 69 | 68 | 67 | * | 69 | 66 | * | - | - | 70 | 57 | * | 67 | 67 |
| All Grades Mathematics | 2019 | 70 | 77 | 80 | * | 70 | 82 | - | - | - | 75 | 56 | * | 81 | 78 |
|  | 2018 | 70 | 74 | 63 | * | 61 | 62 | * | - | - | 70 | 55 | * | 63 | 62 |

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY <br> Texas Academic Performance Report 2018-19 Campus Prior Year and Student Success Initiative 

Total Students: 734
Grade Span: PK - 05 School Type: Elementary

Campus Name: MADEIEY RANCH Campus Number: 170903106


## Progress of Prior-Year Non-Proficient Students

Sum of Grades 4-8

| Reading | 2019 | 41\% | 49\% | 57\% | - | * | 57\% | - | - | - | * | * | 50\% | * |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2018 | 38\% | 47\% | 43\% | * | * | 39\% | - | - | - | - | * | * |  |
| Mathematics | 2019 | 45\% | 60\% | 58\% | * |  | 70\% | - | - | - | * | * | 20\% |  |
|  | 2018 | 47\% | 56\% | 63\% |  |  | 63\% | - | - |  | * | * | * |  |

## Student Success Initiative

## Grade 5 Reading

Students Meeting Approaches Grade Level on First STAAR Administration

| Requiring Accelerated Instruction | 2019 | 78\% | 90\% | 88\% | - | 100\% | 87\% | - | - | - | * | 40\% | 88\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dents Requiring Accelerated Instruction | 2019 | 22\% | 10\% | 12\% | - | 0\% | 13\% | - | - | - | * | 60\% | 12\% |
| STAAR CumulativeMet Standard |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2019 | 86\% | 95\% | 95\% | - | 100\% | 95\% | - | - | - | * | 80\% | 92\% |
| TAAR Non-Proficient Students Promoted by Grade Placement Committee |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Grade 5 Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Students Meeting Approaches Grade Level on First STAAR Administration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2019 | 83\% | 93\% | 95\% | - | 90\% | 97\% | - | - | - | * | 40\% | 92\% |
| Students Requiring Accelerated Instruction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2019 | 17\% | 7\% | 5\% | - | 10\% | 3\% | - | - | - | * | 60\% | 8\% |
| STAAR Cumulative Met Standard |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2019 | 90\% | 95\% | 97\% | - | 91\% | 99\% | - | - | - | * | 80\% | 92\% |
| STAAR Non-Proficient Students Promoted by | rade P $2018$ | ent 97\% | ttee 100\% | * |  | - | * | - | - |  | - | - |  |



Progress of Prior Year STAAR Non-Proficient Students (Percent of Non-Proficient Passing STAAR)

| Reading | 2019 | $41 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $57 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2018 | $38 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $\mathbf{4 3 \%}$ |
| Mathematics | 2019 | $45 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $\mathbf{5 8 \%}$ |
|  | 2018 | $47 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $\mathbf{6 3 \%}$ |

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 

|  | State | District | Campus | African American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | Special Ed | Econ Disadv | (Current) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2019 STAAR Participation <br> (All Grades) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Tests |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Assessment Participant | 99\% | 98\% | 97\% | 100\% | 91\% | 98\% | - | - | - | 98\% | 95\% | 93\% | 100\% |
| Included in Accountability | 94\% | 94\% | 92\% | 100\% | 87\% | 93\% | - | - | - | 93\% | 76\% | 82\% | 100\% |
| Not Included in Accountability |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobile | 4\% | 3\% | 4\% | 0\% | 4\% | 4\% | - | - | - | 5\% | 15\% | 11\% | 0\% |
| Other Exclusions | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | - | - | 0\% | 5\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Not Tested | 1\% | 2\% | 3\% | 0\% | 9\% | 2\% | - | - | - | 2\% | 5\% | 7\% | 0\% |
| Absent | 1\% | 2\% | 3\% | 0\% | 9\% | 2\% | - | - | - | 2\% | 5\% | 7\% | 0\% |
| Other | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | - | - | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |

## 2018 STAAR Participation

(All Grades)

| All Tests |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assessment Participant | 99\% | 98\% | 98\% | 100\% | 96\% | 99\% | * | - | - | 100\% | 98\% | 98\% | 100\% |
| Included in Accountability | 94\% | 94\% | 91\% | 100\% | 93\% | 93\% | * | - | - | 92\% | 85\% | 84\% | 100\% |
| Not Included in Accountability |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobile | 4\% | 4\% | 6\% | 0\% | 3\% | 6\% | * | - | - | 8\% | 10\% | 14\% | 0\% |
| Other Exclusions | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | * | - | - | 0\% | 4\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Not Tested | 1\% | 2\% | 2\% | 0\% | 4\% | 1\% | * | - | - | 0\% | 2\% | 2\% | 0\% |
| Absent | 1\% | 2\% | 2\% | 0\% | 4\% | 1\% | * | - | - | 0\% | 2\% | 2\% | 0\% |
| Other | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | * | - | - | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |


|  | State | District | Campus | African American | Hispanic | White | American $\qquad$ | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | Special $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Econ } \\ \text { Disadv } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { EL } \\ \text { (Current) } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Attendance Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 95.4\% | 95.2\% | 95.5\% | 96.7\% | 95.3\% | 95.6\% | * | - | - | 94.9\% | 94.7\% | 94.6\% | 95.4\% |
| 2016-17 | 95.7\% | 95.3\% | 96.1\% | * | 96.0\% | 96.1\% | * | * | - | 97.0\% | 95.8\% | 95.2\% | * |
| Annual Dropout Rate (Gr 7-8) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 0.4\% | 0.3\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Annual Dropout Rate (Gr 9-12) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 1.9\% | 0.7\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 1.9\% | 0.6\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 4-Year Longitudinal Rate (Gr 9-12) Class of 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Graduated | 90.0\% | 97.5\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Received TxCHSE | 0.4\% | 0.5\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Continued HS | 3.8\% | 0.5\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Dropped Out | 5.7\% | 1.6\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates and TxCHSE | 90.4\% | 98.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates, TxCHSE, and Continuers | 94.3\% | 98.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Class of 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Graduated | 89.7\% | 93.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Received TxCHSE | 0.4\% | 1.7\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Continued HS | 4.0\% | 1.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Dropped Out | 5.9\% | 3.3\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates and TxCHSE | 90.1\% | 95.5\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates, TxCHSE, and Continuers | 94.1\% | 96.7\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 5-Year Extended Longitudinal Rate (Gr 9-12)Class of 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Class of 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Graduated | 92.0\% | 94.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Received TxCHSE | 0.6\% | 1.9\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Continued HS | 1.1\% | 0.3\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Dropped Out | 6.3\% | 2.9\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates and TxCHSE | 92.6\% | 96.7\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates, TxCHSE, and Continuers | 93.7\% | 97.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Class of 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Graduated | 91.6\% | 96.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Received TxCHSE | 0.7\% | 2.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Continued HS | 1.2\% | 0.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Dropped Out | 6.6\% | 1.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates and TxCHSE | 92.2\% | 98.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates, TxCHSE, and Continuers | 93.4\% | 98.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |


| 6-Year Extended Longitudinal Rate (Gr 9-12) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Class of 2016 |  |  |
| Graduated | $92.1 \%$ | $96.2 \%$ |

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Texas Academic Performance Report
Total Students: 734
Grade Span: PK - 05 School Type: Elementary

|  | State | District | Campus | African <br> American | Hispanic | White | American $\qquad$ | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | Special $\qquad$ | Econ Disadv | (Current) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Received TxCHSE | 0.8\% | 2.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Continued HS | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Dropped Out | 6.6\% | 1.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates and TxCHSE | 92.9\% | 98.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates, TxCHSE, and Continuers | 93.4\% | 98.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Class of 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Graduated | 91.8\% | 93.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Received TxCHSE | 1.0\% | 3.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Continued HS | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Dropped Out | 6.7\% | 3.9\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates and TxCHSE | 92.8\% | 96.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates, TxCHSE, and Continuers | 93.3\% | 96.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |



## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

## 2018-19 Campus Graduation Profile

Total Students: 734

|  | Campus Count | Campus Percent | District Count | State Count |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Graduates (2017-18 Annual Graduates) |  |  |  |  |
| Total Graduates | - | - | 627 | 347,893 |
| By Ethnicity: |  |  |  |  |
| African American | - | - | 22 | 43,502 |
| Hispanic |  | - | 81 | 173,272 |
| White | - | - | 499 | 107,052 |
| American Indian | - | - | 5 | 1,226 |
| Asian | - | - | 10 | 15,589 |
| Pacific Islander |  | - | 1 | 528 |
| Two or More Races | - | - | 9 | 6,724 |
| By Graduation Type: |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum H.S. Program |  | - | 3 | 5,855 |
| Recommended H.S. Program/Distinguished Achievement Program |  |  | 3 | 3,538 |
| Foundation H.S. Program (No Endorsement) |  |  | 37 | 49,432 |
| Foundation H.S. Program (Endorsement) |  | - | 68 | 16,542 |
| Foundation H.S. Program (DLA) | - | - | 516 | 272,526 |
| Special Education Graduates | - | - | 41 | 25,962 |
| Economically Disadvantaged Graduates | - | - | 92 | 166,956 |
| LEP Graduates |  | - | 4 | 21,359 |
| At-Risk Graduates | - | - | 171 | 144,805 |



| College Ready Graduates *** |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| College Ready (Annual Graduates) |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 50.0\% | 59.3\% |
| TSI Criteria Graduates (Annual Graduates) |  |  |
| English Language Arts |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 58.2\% | 71.6\% |
| Mathematics |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 46.0\% | 58.7\% |
| Both Subjects |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 42.1\% | 57.7\% |

Dual Course Credits (Annual Graduates)
Any Subject
2017-18
$2016-17$

AP/IB Met Criteria in Any Subject (Annual Graduates) Any Subject

| $2017-18$ | $20.4 \%$ | $16.1 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2016-17$ | $20.1 \%$ | $13.9 \%$ |


| Associate's Degree |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Associate's Degree (Annual Graduates) |  |
| $2017-18$ | $1.4 \%$ |
| $2016-17$ | $0.8 \%$ |

OnRampsCourse Credits (Annual Graduates) 2017-18
1.0\%
0.0\%

| Career/Military Ready Graduates |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Career or Military Ready (Annual Graduates) |  |  |
| $2017-18$ | $28.7 \%$ | $48.3 \%$ |
| $2016-17$ | $13.2 \%$ | $11.3 \%$ |


| Approved Industry-Based Certification (Annual Graduates) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2017-18$ | $4.8 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ |


| $2016-17$ | $2.7 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Graduate with Completed IEP and Workforce | Readiness (Annual Graduates) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2017-18$ | $1.7 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | - |
| $2016-17$ | $1.0 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | - |


| CTE Coherent Sequence Coursework Aligned with Industry-Based Certifications (Annual Graduates) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2017-18$ | $38.7 \%$ | $84.2 \%$ | - | - |
| $2016-17$ | $17.3 \%$ | $19.0 \%$ | - | - |

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 

## Texas Academic Performance Report

Total Students: 734
2018-19 Campus College, Career, and Military Readiness (CCMR)

American Pacific | Two or |
| ---: |
| More |

More Special Econ

American Hispanic White Indian Asian Islander Races Specia isadv (Current)
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { U.S. Armed Forces Enlistment(Annual Graduates) } & \\ 2017-18 & 4.3 \% & 2.1 \% \\ 2016-17 & 2.2 \% & 1.5 \%\end{array}$ 2017-18
2.6\%

Graduates with Level I or Level II Certificate (Annual Graduates) 2017-18 0.6\% 0.2\% $\begin{array}{lll}2017-18 & 0.6 \% & 0.2 \% \\ 2016-17 & 0.5 \% & 0.0 \%\end{array}$

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 

Texas Academic Performance Report
Total Students: 734 2018-19 Campus CCMR-Related Indicators

|  | State | District | Campus | African American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | Special <br> Ed | Econ Disadv | (Current) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reading |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 32.1\% | 50.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 23.4\% | 32.3\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 23.7\% | 38.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 19.8\% | 27.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Both Subjects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 18.1\% | 36.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 12.9\% | 22.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| CTE Coherent Sequence (Annual Graduates) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 58.4\% | 86.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 50.5\% | 83.9\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Completed and Received Credit for College Prep Courses (Annual Graduates)

| English Language Arts |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2017-18 | $2.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| 2016-17 | $0.8 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Mathematics | $3.9 \%$ |  |
| $2017-18$ | $1.4 \%$ | $7.9 \%$ |
| $2016-17$ |  |  |
| Both Subjects | $0.9 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| $2017-18$ | $0.2 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| $2016-17$ |  |  |



| AP/IB Results (Examinees >= Criterion) (Grades 11-12) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Subjects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 50.7\% | 59.5\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| 2017 | 49.1\% | 47.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| English Language Arts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 42.5\% | 68.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| 2017 | 41.3\% | 59.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 52.8\% | 77.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 

## Texas Academic Performance Report

Total Students: 734 Grade Span: PK - 05

School Type: Elementary

District Name: MONTGOMERY ISD
Campus Name: MADELEY RANCH EL
Campus Number: 170903106

2018-19 Campus CCMR-Related Indicators

|  | State | District | Campus | African <br> American | Hispanic | White | American $\qquad$ | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | Special $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Econ } \\ \text { Disadv } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | (Current) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 51.3\% | 71.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Science |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 38.0\% | 59.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| 2017 | 38.3\% | 45.6\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Social Studies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 44.6\% | 47.3\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| 2017 | 41.4\% | 36.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| SAT/ACT Results (Annual Graduates) *** Tested |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 74.6\% | 68.7\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| 2016-17 | 73.5\% | 65.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| At/Above Criterion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 37.9\% | 61.5\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Average SAT Score (Annual Graduates) *** All Subjects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 1036 | 1131 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| English Language Arts and Writing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 521 | 569 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 515 | 561 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Average ACT Score (Annual Graduates) *** All Subjects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 20.6 | 24.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| English Language Arts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 20.3 | 24.1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 20.6 | 23.6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Science |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 20.9 | 23.6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY



# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 

District Name: MONTGOMERY ISD
Texas Academic Performance Report
2018-19 Campus Student Information
Total Students: 734
Campus Name: MADELEY RANCH EL
Campus Number: 170903106

| Student Information |  |  | District | State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | Percent |  |  |
| Total Students | 734 | 100.0\% | 8,837 | 5,416,400 |
| Students by Grade: |  |  |  |  |
| Early Childhood Education | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% |
| Pre-Kindergarten | 29 | 4.0\% | 1.9\% | 4.4\% |
| Kindergarten | 118 | 16.1\% | 6.2\% | 6.9\% |
| Grade 1 | 116 | 15.8\% | 7.3\% | 7.1\% |
| Grade 2 | 124 | 16.9\% | 7.1\% | 7.2\% |
| Grade 3 | 113 | 15.4\% | 6.7\% | 7.3\% |
| Grade 4 | 102 | 13.9\% | 7.2\% | 7.6\% |
| Grade 5 | 132 | 18.0\% | 7.6\% | 7.7\% |
| Grade 6 | 0 | 0.0\% | 8.4\% | 7.7\% |
| Grade 7 | 0 | 0.0\% | 8.2\% | 7.5\% |
| Grade 8 | 0 | 0.0\% | 8.7\% | 7.5\% |
| Grade 9 | 0 | 0.0\% | 8.4\% | 8.1\% |
| Grade 10 | 0 | 0.0\% | 7.9\% | 7.4\% |
| Grade 11 | 0 | 0.0\% | 7.4\% | 6.9\% |
| Grade 12 | 0 | 0.0\% | 6.6\% | 6.5\% |
| Ethnic Distribution: |  |  |  |  |
| African American | 6 | 0.8\% | 2.7\% | 12.6\% |
| Hispanic | 117 | 15.9\% | 15.4\% | 52.6\% |
| White | 579 | 78.9\% | 77.3\% | 27.4\% |
| American Indian | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.4\% |
| Asian | 0 | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 4.5\% |
| Pacific Islander | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% |
| Two or More Races | 32 | 4.4\% | 3.0\% | 2.4\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 157 | 21.4\% | 25.3\% | 60.6\% |
| Non-Educationally Disadvantaged | 577 | 78.6\% | 74.7\% | 39.4\% |
| Section 504 Students | 54 | 7.4\% | 10.3\% | 6.5\% |
| English Learners (EL) | 4 | 0.5\% | 2.3\% | 19.5\% |
| Students w/ Disciplinary Placements (2017-18) | 2 | 0.2\% | 1.0\% | 1.4\% |
| Students w/ Dyslexia | 19 | 2.6\% | 4.4\% | 3.6\% |
| At-Risk | 207 | 28.2\% | 30.4\% | 50.1\% |
| Students with Disabilities by Type of Primary Disability: |  |  |  |  |
| Total Students with Disabilities | 57 |  |  |  |
| By Type of Primary Disability |  |  |  |  |
| Students with Intellectual Disabilities | 10 | 17.5\% | 37.5\% | 42.4\% |
| Students with Physical Disabilities | 31 | 54.4\% | 24.5\% | 21.9\% |
| Students with Autism | ** | ** | 13.9\% | 13.7\% |
| Students with Behavioral Disabilities | 8 | 14.0\% | 22.8\% | 20.6\% |
| Students with Non-Categorical Early Childhood | * | * | 1.2\% | 1.4\% |
| Mobility (2017-18): |  |  |  |  |
| Total Mobile Students | 95 | 13.9\% | 10.8\% | 15.4\% |

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

| Count | Percent | District | State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 0.3\% |  |  |
| 13 | 1.9\% |  |  |
| 73 | 10.7\% |  |  |
| 1 | 0.1\% |  |  |
| 0 | 0.0\% |  |  |
| 0 | 0.0\% |  |  |
| 6 | 0.9\% |  |  |


| Student Information | --------Non-Special Education Rates------- |  |  | --------Special Education Rates- |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Campus | District | State | Campus | District | State |
| Retention Rates by Grade: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kindergarten | 1.1\% | 1.7\% | 1.7\% | 10.0\% | 14.6\% | 6.2\% |
| Grade 1 | 3.6\% | 3.3\% | 3.1\% | 7.7\% | 1.9\% | 5.5\% |
| Grade 2 | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 2.3\% |
| Grade 3 | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% |
| Grade 4 | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% |
| Grade 5 | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% |
| Grade 6 | - | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.5\% |
| Grade 7 | - | 0.1\% | 0.6\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.6\% |
| Grade 8 | - | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.7\% |
| Grade 9 | - | 3.1\% | 7.2\% | - | 6.7\% | 12.7\% |

Class Size Averages by Grade and Subject
(Derived from teacher responsibility records):

| Elementary: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kindergarten | 19.1 | 17.3 | 18.9 |
| Grade 1 | 19.3 | 19.0 | 18.8 |
| Grade 2 | 20.6 | 19.3 | 18.7 |
| Grade 3 | 18.7 | 17.8 | 18.9 |
| Grade 4 | 20.4 | 17.9 | 19.2 |
| Grade 5 | 22.0 | 22.9 | 21.2 |
| Grade 6 | - | 23.5 | 20.4 |
| Secondary: |  |  |  |
| English/Language Arts | - | 18.6 | 16.6 |
| Foreign Languages | - | 18.9 | 18.9 |
| Mathematics | - | 21.9 | 17.8 |
| Science | - | 21.3 | 18.9 |
| Social Studies | - | 21.4 | 19.3 |

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Total Students: 734
Grade Span: PK - 05 School Type: Elementary

| Staff Information | Count/Average | Percent | District | State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Staff | 58.4 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Professional Staff: | 50.8 | 87.0\% | 66.8\% | 64.1\% |
| Teachers | 45.0 | 77.0\% | 55.4\% | 49.8\% |
| Professional Support | 3.8 | 6.6\% | 7.9\% | 10.1\% |
| Campus Administration (School Leadership) | 2.0 | 3.4\% | 2.6\% | 3.0\% |
| Educational Aides: | 7.6 | 13.0\% | 8.2\% | 10.3\% |
| Librarians \& Counselors (Headcount): |  |  |  |  |
| Librarians |  |  |  |  |
| Full-time | 0.0 | n/a | 4.0 | 4,414.0 |
| Part-time | 0.0 | n/a | 2.0 | 572.0 |
| Counselors |  |  |  |  |
| Full-time | 1.0 | n/a | 20.0 | 12,433.0 |
| Part-time | 0.0 | n/a | 0.0 | 1,097.0 |
| Total Minority Staff: | 2.5 | 4.3\% | 11.9\% | 50.4\% |
| Teachers by Ethnicity and Sex: |  |  |  |  |
| African American | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 10.6\% |
| Hispanic | 1.0 | 2.2\% | 6.0\% | 27.7\% |
| White | 44.0 | 97.8\% | 92.0\% | 58.4\% |
| American Indian | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% |
| Asian | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 1.7\% |
| Pacific Islander | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% |
| Two or More Races | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 1.1\% |
| Males | 2.0 | 4.4\% | 17.3\% | 23.8\% |
| Females | 43.0 | 95.6\% | 82.7\% | 76.2\% |
| Teachers by Highest Degree Held: |  |  |  |  |
| No Degree | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 1.4\% |
| Bachelors | 36.0 | 80.0\% | 74.1\% | 73.6\% |
| Masters | 9.0 | 20.0\% | 23.9\% | 24.3\% |
| Doctorate | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.7\% |
| Teachers by Years of Experience: |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning Teachers | 4.0 | 8.9\% | 4.0\% | 7.0\% |
| 1-5 Years Experience | 9.0 | 20.0\% | 17.3\% | 28.9\% |
| 6-10 Years Experience | 6.0 | 13.3\% | 18.8\% | 19.0\% |
| 11-20 Years Experience | 18.0 | 40.0\% | 37.8\% | 29.3\% |
| Over 20 Years Experience | 8.0 | 17.8\% | 22.1\% | 15.7\% |
| Number of Students per Teacher | 16.3 | n/a | 15.1 | 15.1 |


| Staff Information | Campus | District | State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Experience of Campus Leadership: |  |  |  |
| Average Years Experience of Principals | 1.0 | 6.3 | 6.3 |
| Average Years Experience of Principals with District | 1.0 | 4.1 | 5.4 |
| Average Years Experience of Assistant Principals | 1.0 | 7.1 | 5.3 |
| Average Years Experience of Assistant Principals with District | 1.0 | 5.3 | 4.7 |
| Average Years Experience of Teachers: | 11.7 | 13.6 | 11.1 |
| Average Years Experience of Teachers with District: | 5.9 | 6.6 | 7.2 |
| Average Teacher Salary by Years of Experience (regular duties only): |  |  |  |
| Beginning Teachers | \$50,075 | \$45,948 | \$47,218 |
| 1-5 Years Experience | \$51,615 | \$51,962 | \$50,408 |
| 6-10 Years Experience | \$54,076 | \$54,468 | \$52,786 |
| 11-20 Years Experience | \$56,913 | \$57,483 | \$56,041 |
| Over 20 Years Experience | \$63,324 | \$63,962 | \$62,039 |
| Average Actual Salaries (regular duties only): |  |  |  |
| Teachers | \$56,007 | \$56,934 | \$54,122 |
| Professional Support | \$58,721 | \$64,178 | \$64,069 |
| Campus Administration (School Leadership) | \$77,337 | \$83,903 | \$78,947 |
| Instructional Staff Percent: | n/a | 67.8\% | 64.5\% |
| Contracted Instructional Staff (not incl. above): | 0.3 | 6.0 | 6,043.6 |

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

| Program Information | --------------- Campus --------------- |  | District | State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | Percent |  |  |
| Student Enrollment by Program: |  |  |  |  |
| Bilingual/ESL Education | 3 | 0.4\% | 3.2\% | 19.7\% |
| Career \& Technical Education | 0 | 0.0\% | 28.9\% | 26.3\% |
| Gifted \& Talented Education | 44 | 6.0\% | 7.1\% | 8.1\% |
| Special Education | 57 | 7.8\% | 7.4\% | 9.6\% |
| Teachers by Program (population served): |  |  |  |  |
| Bilingual/ESL Education | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 6.4\% |
| Career \& Technical Education | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 5.7\% | 4.9\% |
| Compensatory Education | 4.0 | 8.9\% | 4.2\% | 2.7\% |
| Gifted \& Talented Education | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% |
| Regular Education | 39.1 | 86.9\% | 77.1\% | 71.4\% |
| Special Education | 1.9 | 4.2\% | 12.9\% | 9.1\% |
| Other | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.6\% |

' $\wedge$ ' Indicates that rates for reading and mathematics are based on the cumulative results from the first and second administrations of STAAR.
'*' Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
'**' When only one student disability group is masked, then the second smallest student disability group is masked regardless of size.
${ }^{\prime * * * *}$ Due to changes in the evaluation of SAT/ACT results (for 2017-18 the best result was used, rather than the most recent), 2016-17 SAT/ACT results are not comparable and, where applicable, are not shown.
'-' Indicates there are no students in the group.
' $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ ' Indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.
'?' Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable or were reported outside a reasonable range.

## 2018-19 Texas Academic Performance Report

District Name: MONTGOMERY ISD
Campus Name: KEENAN EL
Campus Number: 170903107

2019 Accountability Rating: A
Distinction Designations:
Top 25 Percent: Comparative Academic Growth
Top 25 Percent: Comparative Closing the Gaps

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 



## STAAR Performance Rates by Tested Grade, Subject, and Performance Level

| Grade 3 Reading |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| At Approaches Grade Level or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above | 2019 | 76\% | 89\% | 89\% | - | 73\% | 91\% | - | - | - | * | 100\% | * | 90\% | 87\% | 70\% |
|  | 2018 | 77\% | 88\% | 88\% | - | 82\% | 90\% | * | - | - | 100\% | 80\% | * | 89\% | 87\% | 81\% |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 45\% | 58\% | 62\% | - | 55\% | 64\% | - | - | - | * | 60\% | * | 65\% | 53\% | 45\% |
|  | 2018 | 43\% | 53\% | 48\% | - | 18\% | 52\% | * | - | - | 60\% | 60\% | * | 48\% | 48\% | 19\% |
| At Masters Grade Level | 2019 | 27\% | 36\% | 41\% | - | 36\% | 41\% | - | - | - | * | 60\% |  | 44\% | 30\% | 20\% |
|  | 2018 | 25\% | 35\% | 29\% | - | 9\% | 32\% | * | - | - | 20\% | 20\% | * | 28\% | 32\% | 10\% |
| Grade 3 Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Approaches Grade Level or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above | 2019 | 79\% | 90\% | 95\% | - | 100\% | 95\% | - | - | - | * | 80\% | * | 95\% | 93\% | 85\% |
|  | 2018 | 78\% | 88\% | 91\% | - | 73\% | 94\% | * | - | - | 100\% | 60\% | * | 91\% | 90\% | 81\% |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 49\% | 61\% | 69\% | - | 58\% | 72\% | - | - | - | * | 80\% | * | 75\% | 53\% | 45\% |
|  | 2018 | 47\% | 56\% | 52\% | - | 27\% | 55\% | * | - | - | 60\% | 60\% |  | 53\% | 48\% | 43\% |
| At Masters Grade Level | 2019 | 25\% | 34\% | 39\% | - | 17\% | 42\% | - | - | - | * | 60\% | * | 46\% | 20\% | 20\% |
|  | 2018 | 23\% | 29\% | 27\% | - | 0\% | 29\% | * | - | - | 40\% | 40\% | * | 26\% | 29\% | 24\% |
| Grade 4 Reading |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Approaches Grade Level or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above | 2019 | 75\% | 86\% | 87\% | * | 70\% | 92\% | * | - | - | 71\% | 75\% | * | 90\% | 82\% | 67\% |
|  | 2018 | 73\% | 86\% | 86\% | * | 82\% | 86\% | - | - | - | * | 57\% | * | 88\% | 84\% | 80\% |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 44\% | 58\% | 58\% | * | 20\% | 64\% | * | - | - | 29\% | 38\% | * | 56\% | 63\% | 29\% |
|  | 2018 | 46\% | 58\% | 58\% | * | 64\% | 55\% | - | - | - | * | 14\% | * | 51\% | 68\% | 30\% |
| At Masters Grade Level | 2019 | 22\% | 30\% | 30\% | * | 10\% | 35\% | * | - | - | 0\% | 25\% | * | 31\% | 29\% | 14\% |
|  | 2018 | 24\% | 30\% | 28\% | * | 36\% | 25\% | - | - | - | * | 0\% | * | 25\% | 34\% | 0\% |
| Grade 4 Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Approaches Grade Level or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above | 2019 | 75\% | 87\% | 88\% | * | 70\% | 90\% | * | - | - | 100\% | 63\% | * | 90\% | 84\% | 81\% |
|  | 2018 | 78\% | 87\% | 86\% | * | 82\% | 87\% | - | - | - | ${ }^{*}$ | 25\% | * | 85\% | 89\% | 73\% |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 48\% | 60\% | 55\% | * | 30\% | 58\% | * | - | - | 57\% | 38\% | * | 54\% | 58\% | 33\% |
|  | 2018 | 49\% | 58\% | 51\% | * | 55\% | 48\% | - | - | - | * | 0\% | * | 46\% | 58\% | 23\% |
| At Masters Grade Level | 2019 | 28\% | 36\% | 34\% | * | 10\% | 37\% | * | - | - | 29\% | 13\% | * | 36\% | 29\% | 14\% |
|  | 2018 | 27\% | 33\% | 25\% | * | 27\% | 20\% | - | - | - | * | 0\% | * | 25\% | 24\% | 3\% |
| Grade 4 Writing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Approaches Grade Level or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above | 2019 | 67\% | 77\% | 78\% | * | 60\% | 83\% | * | - | - | 57\% | 63\% | * | 78\% | 78\% | 67\% |
|  | 2018 | 63\% | 71\% | 72\% | * | 55\% | 73\% | - | - | - | * | 14\% | * | 77\% | 66\% | 50\% |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 35\% | 43\% | 48\% | * | 20\% | 53\% | * | - | - | 29\% | 50\% | * | 44\% | 57\% | 19\% |
|  | 2018 | 39\% | 48\% | 55\% | * | 36\% | 55\% | - | - | - | * | 0\% | * | 52\% | 59\% | 23\% |
| At Masters Grade Level | 2019 | 11\% | 13\% | 12\% | * | 0\% | 14\% | * | - | - | 0\% | 25\% | * | 11\% | 14\% | 0\% |
|  | 2018 | 11\% | 11\% | 9\% | * | 9\% | 10\% | - | - | - | * | 0\% | * | 6\% | 14\% | 3\% |
| Grade 5 Reading^ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Approaches Grade Level or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above | 2019 | 86\% | 95\% | 94\% | * | 89\% | 95\% | - | - | - |  | 50\% | * | 96\% | 91\% | 91\% |
|  | 2018 | 84\% | 92\% | 92\% | * | 79\% | 96\% | * | * | - | 80\% | 60\% | - | 96\% | 84\% | 79\% |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 54\% | 68\% | 72\% | * | 67\% | 71\% | - | - | - | * | 20\% | * | 68\% | 77\% | 43\% |
|  | 2018 | 54\% | 68\% | 64\% | * | 36\% | 70\% | * | * | - | 80\% | 20\% | - | 66\% | 61\% | 42\% |



## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

|  |  | State | District | Campus | African American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | Special Ed (Current) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Special } \\ & \text { Ed } \\ & \text { (Former) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Continu- } \\ & \text { ously } \\ & \text { Enrolled } \end{aligned}$ | Non- Continuously Enrolled | Econ Disadv | $\begin{gathered} \text { EL } \\ \text { (Current } \\ \& \\ \text { Monitored) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Grades Science |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Approaches Grade Level or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above | 2019 | 81\% | 90\% | 86\% | * | 67\% | 87\% | - | - | - | * | 10\% | * | 87\% | 83\% | 76\% | * |
|  | 2018 | 80\% | 87\% | 91\% | * | 79\% | 92\% | * | * | - | 100\% | 60\% | - | 95\% | 84\% | 74\% | * |
| At Meets Grade Level or Above | 2019 | 54\% | 65\% | 63\% | * | 56\% | 62\% | - | - | - | * | 0\% | * | 55\% | 76\% | 43\% | * |
|  | 2018 | 51\% | 61\% | 54\% | * | 43\% | 56\% | * | * | - | 80\% | 40\% | - | 53\% | 55\% | 32\% | * |
| At Masters Grade Level | 2019 | 25\% | 28\% | 29\% | * | 33\% | 25\% | - | - | - | * | 0\% | * | 21\% | 43\% | 14\% | * |
|  | 2018 | 23\% | 28\% | 22\% | * | 14\% | 24\% | * | * | - | 20\% | 20\% | - | 25\% | 16\% | 11\% | * |

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY <br> Texas Academic Performance Report <br> 2018-19 Campus Progress 

Total Students: 769


| School Progress Domain - Academic Growth Score by Grade and Subject |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade 4 ELA/Reading | 2019 | 61 | 64 | 69 | * | 55 | 73 | * | - |  | 36 | 64 | * | 70 | 66 | 53 | * |
|  | 2018 | 63 | 58 | 63 | * | 78 | 61 | - | - | - | * | 71 | * | 60 | 69 | 54 | - |
| Grade 4 Mathematics | 2019 | 65 | 70 | 72 | * | 55 | 74 | * | - | - | 64 | 50 | * | 75 | 66 | 61 | * |
|  | 2018 | 65 | 59 | 47 | * | 44 | 47 | - | - | - | * | 13 | * | 52 | 39 | 40 | - |
| Grade 5 ELA/Reading | 2019 | 81 | 83 | 86 | * | 93 | 86 | - | - | - | * | 78 | * | 87 | 85 | 80 |  |
|  | 2018 | 80 | 78 | 79 | * | 81 | 79 | * | * | - | 80 | * | - | 79 | 79 | 91 | * |
| Grade 5 Mathematics | 2019 | 83 | 84 | 93 | * | 94 | 94 | - | - | - | * | 78 | * | 93 | 93 | 93 | , |
|  | 2018 | 81 | 77 | 84 | * | 92 | 85 | * | * | - | 70 | * | - | 86 | 79 | 82 | * |
| All Grades Both Subjects | 2019 | 69 | 74 | 80 | 67 | 71 | 81 | * | - | - | 64 | 69 | 81 | 80 | 78 | 73 | 55 |
|  | 2018 | 69 | 71 | 69 | 60 | 76 | 68 | * | * |  | 82 | 52 | * | 71 | 66 | 63 | 88 |
| All Grades ELA/Reading | 2019 | 68 | 70 | 77 | * | 71 | 79 | * | - | - | 50 | 72 | * | 78 | 76 | 68 | 70 |
|  | 2018 | 69 | 68 | 72 | * | 80 | 70 | * | * | - | 86 | 80 | * | 71 | 74 | 69 | * |
| All Grades Mathematics | 2019 | 70 | 77 | 82 | * | 72 | 83 | * | - | - | 77 | 66 | * | 83 | 80 | 79 | 40 |
|  | 2018 | 70 | 74 | 67 | * | 73 | 66 | * | * | - | 79 | 27 | * | 71 | 59 | 57 | * |

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY <br> <br> Texas Academic Performance Report <br> <br> Texas Academic Performance Report <br> 2018-19 Campus Prior Year and Student Success Initiative 

Total Students: 769

| State | District | Campus | African <br> American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | Special Ed | Econ Disadv | EL (Current) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Progress of Prior-Year Non-Proficient Students

Sum of Grades 4-8

| Reading | 2019 | 41\% | 49\% | 57\% |  |  | 71\% | * | - | - |  | 33\% | 50\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2018 | 38\% | 47\% | 57\% | - | * | 67\% | - | * | - |  | * | 45\% |
| Mathematics | 2019 | 45\% | 60\% | 63\% | * |  | 65\% | * | - |  |  | 11\% | 71\% |
|  | 2018 | 47\% | 56\% | 67\% |  |  | 68\% |  |  |  |  | * | * |

## Student Success Initiative

## Grade 5 Reading

Students Meeting Approaches Grade Level on First STAAR Administration


Grade 5 Mathematic

|  | 2019 | 83\% | 93\% | 94\% | * | 89\% | 95\% | - | - | - | * | 40\% | 96\% | * |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Students Requiring Accelerated Instruction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2019 | 17\% | 7\% | 6\% | * | 11\% | 5\% | - | - | - | * | 60\% | 4\% | * |
| STAAR CumulativeMet Standard | 2019 | 90\% | 95\% | 95\% | * | 89\% | 96\% | - | - |  | * | 40\% | 96\% | * |



# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 

|  | State | District | Campus | African <br> American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | Special $\qquad$ | Econ Disadv | (Current) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2019 STAAR Participation <br> (All Grades) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Tests |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Assessment Participant | 99\% | 98\% | 98\% | 100\% | 99\% | 98\% | 100\% | - | - | 100\% | 96\% | 98\% | 100\% |
| Included in Accountability | 94\% | 94\% | 95\% | 100\% | 90\% | 96\% | 60\% | - | - | 100\% | 89\% | 90\% | 62\% |
| Not Included in Accountability |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobile | 4\% | 3\% | 3\% | 0\% | 9\% | 3\% | 40\% | - | - | 0\% | 7\% | 8\% | 38\% |
| Other Exclusions | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | - | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Not Tested | 1\% | 2\% | 2\% | 0\% | 1\% | 2\% | 0\% | - | - | 0\% | 4\% | 2\% | 0\% |
| Absent | 1\% | 2\% | 2\% | 0\% | 1\% | 2\% | 0\% | - | - | 0\% | 4\% | 2\% | 0\% |
| Other | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | - | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |

## 2018 STAAR Participation

(All Grades)

| All Tests |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assessment Participant | 99\% | 98\% | 95\% | 88\% | 97\% | 95\% | 100\% | * | - | 100\% | 80\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Included in Accountability | 94\% | 94\% | 92\% | 88\% | 89\% | 92\% | 100\% | * | - | 95\% | 80\% | 93\% | 100\% |
| Not Included in Accountability |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobile | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 0\% | 8\% | 3\% | 0\% | * | - | 5\% | 0\% | 7\% | 0\% |
| Other Exclusions | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | * | - | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Not Tested | 1\% | 2\% | 5\% | 13\% | 3\% | 5\% | 0\% | * | - | 0\% | 20\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Absent | 1\% | 2\% | 5\% | 13\% | 3\% | 5\% | 0\% | * | - | 0\% | 20\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Other | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | * | - | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

District Name: MONTGOMERY ISD

## Texas Academic Performance Report

Total Students: 769
Campus Name: KEENAN EL
Campus Number: 170903107

|  | State | District | Campus | African <br> American | Hispanic | White | American $\qquad$ | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | Special $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Econ } \\ \text { Disadv } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | (Current) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Attendance Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 95.4\% | 95.2\% | 96.2\% | 96.8\% | 96.8\% | 96.1\% | * | * | - | 96.1\% | 96.8\% | 94.9\% | 96.9\% |
| 2016-17 | 95.7\% | 95.3\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Annual Dropout Rate (Gr 7-8) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 0.4\% | 0.3\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Annual Dropout Rate (Gr 9-12) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 1.9\% | 0.7\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 1.9\% | 0.6\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 4-Year Longitudinal Rate (Gr 9-12) Class of 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Graduated | 90.0\% | 97.5\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Received TxCHSE | 0.4\% | 0.5\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Continued HS | 3.8\% | 0.5\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Dropped Out | 5.7\% | 1.6\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates and TxCHSE | 90.4\% | 98.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates, TxCHSE, and Continuers | 94.3\% | 98.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Class of 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Graduated | 89.7\% | 93.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Received TxCHSE | 0.4\% | 1.7\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Continued HS | 4.0\% | 1.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Dropped Out | 5.9\% | 3.3\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates and TxCHSE | 90.1\% | 95.5\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates, TxCHSE, and Continuers | 94.1\% | 96.7\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 5-Year Extended Longitudinal Rate (Gr 9-12) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Graduated | 92.0\% | 94.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Received TxCHSE | 0.6\% | 1.9\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Continued HS | 1.1\% | 0.3\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Dropped Out | 6.3\% | 2.9\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates and TxCHSE | 92.6\% | 96.7\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates, TxCHSE, and Continuers | 93.7\% | 97.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Class of 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Graduated | 91.6\% | 96.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Received TxCHSE | 0.7\% | 2.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Continued HS | 1.2\% | 0.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Dropped Out | 6.6\% | 1.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates and TxCHSE | 92.2\% | 98.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates, TxCHSE, and Continuers | 93.4\% | 98.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |


| 6-Year Extended Longitudinal Rate (Gr 9-12) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Class of 2016 |  |  |
| Graduated | $92.1 \%$ | $96.2 \%$ |

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

|  | State | District | Campus | African <br> American | Hispanic | White | American $\qquad$ | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | Special $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Econ } \\ \text { Disadv } \end{array}$ | (Current) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Received TxCHSE | 0.8\% | 2.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Continued HS | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Dropped Out | 6.6\% | 1.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates and TxCHSE | 92.9\% | 98.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates, TxCHSE, and Continuers | 93.4\% | 98.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Class of 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Graduated | 91.8\% | 93.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Received TxCHSE | 1.0\% | 3.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Continued HS | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Dropped Out | 6.7\% | 3.9\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates and TxCHSE | 92.8\% | 96.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates, TxCHSE, and Continuers | 93.3\% | 96.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |


| 4-Year Federal Graduation Rate Withou | (Gr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class of 2018 90.0\% | 96.9\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Class of 2017 89.7\% | 92.5\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| RHSP/DAP Graduates (Longitudinal Rate) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Class of 2018 68.5\% | * | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Class of 2017 88.5\% | 83.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| FHSP-E Graduates (Longitudinal Rate) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Class of 2018 5.0\% | 10.7\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Class of 2017 6.0\% | 33.3\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| FHSP-DLA Graduates (Longitudinal Rate) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Class of 2018 82.0\% | 83.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Class of 2017 60.8\% | 66.7\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| RHSP/DAP/FHSP-E/FHSP-DLA Graduates | itudinal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Class of 2018 86.8\% | 94.3\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Class of 2017 85.9\% | 84.3\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| RHSP/DAP Graduates (Annual Rate) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\text { 2017-18 } \quad 37.7 \%$ | 50.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 87.2\% | 82.6\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| FHSP-E Graduates (Annual Rate) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 4.9\% | 11.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 7.2\% | 20.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| FHSP-DLA Graduates (Annual Rate) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 81.5\% | 83.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 56.5\% | 79.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| RHSP/DAP/FHSP-E/FHSP-DLA Graduates | al Rate) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 85.1\% | 93.9\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 84.0\% | 83.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

|  | Campus Count | Campus Percent | District Count | State Count |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Graduates (2017-18 Annual Graduates) |  |  |  |  |
| Total Graduates | - | - | 627 | 347,893 |
| By Ethnicity: |  |  |  |  |
| African American | - | - | 22 | 43,502 |
| Hispanic | - | - | 81 | 173,272 |
| White | - | - | 499 | 107,052 |
| American Indian | - | - | 5 | 1,226 |
| Asian | - | - | 10 | 15,589 |
| Pacific Islander | - | - | 1 | 528 |
| Two or More Races | - | - | 9 | 6,724 |
| By Graduation Type: |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum H.S. Program | - | - | 3 | 5,855 |
| Recommended H.S. Program/Distinguished Achievement Program |  | - | 3 | 3,538 |
| Foundation H.S. Program (No Endorsement) | - | - | 37 | 49,432 |
| Foundation H.S. Program (Endorsement) |  | - | 68 | 16,542 |
| Foundation H.S. Program (DLA) | - | - | 516 | 272,526 |
| Special Education Graduates | - | - | 41 | 25,962 |
| Economically Disadvantaged Graduates | - | - | 92 | 166,956 |
| LEP Graduates | - | - | 4 | 21,359 |
| At-Risk Graduates | - | - | 171 | 144,805 |



| College Ready Graduates *** <br> College Ready (Annual Graduates) <br> 2017-18 | $50.0 \%$ | $59.3 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| TSI Criteria Graduates (Annual Graduates) <br> English Language Arts <br> 2017-18 |  |  |
| Mathematics <br> 2017-18 | $58.2 \%$ | $71.6 \%$ |
| Both Subjects <br> 2017-18 | $46.0 \%$ | $58.7 \%$ |
|  | $42.1 \%$ | $57.7 \%$ |

Dual Course Credits (Annual Graduates)
Any Subject
2017-18
$2016-17$

AP/IB Met Criteria in Any Subject (Annual Graduates) Any Subject

| $2017-18$ | $20.4 \%$ | $16.1 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2016-17$ | $20.1 \%$ | $13.9 \%$ |


| Associate's Degree |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Associate's Degree (Annual Graduates) |  |
| $2017-18$ | $1.4 \%$ |
| $2016-17$ | $0.8 \%$ |

OnRampsCourse Credits (Annual Graduates) 2017-18
1.0\%
0.0\%

| Career/Military Ready Graduates |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Career or Military Ready (Annual Graduates) |  |  |
| $2017-18$ | $28.7 \%$ | $48.3 \%$ |
| $2016-17$ | $13.2 \%$ | $11.3 \%$ |


| Approved Industry-Based Certification (Annual Graduates |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2017-18$ | $4.8 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ |
| $2016-17$ | $2.7 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |


| Graduate with Completed IEP and Workforce | Readiness (Annual Graduates) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2017-18$ | $1.7 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | - |
| $2016-17$ | $1.0 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | - |


| CTE Coherent Sequence Coursework Aligned with Industry-Based Certifications (Annual Graduates) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2017-18$ | $38.7 \%$ | $84.2 \%$ | - | - |
| $2016-17$ | $17.3 \%$ | $19.0 \%$ | - | - |

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 

## Texas Academic Performance Report <br> 2018-19 Campus College, Career, and Military Readiness (CCMR)

Total Students: 769
GOMERY ISD
Campus Name: KEENAN EL
Campus Number: 170903107


# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 

Texas Academic Performance Report 2018-19 Campus CCMR-Related Indicators

Total Students: 769 Grade Span: EE - 05 School Type: Elementary

|  | State | District | Campus | African American | Hispanic | White | American $\qquad$ | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | Special $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Econ } \\ \text { Disadv } \end{array}$ | (Current) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TSIA Results (Graduates >= Criterion) (Annual Graduates) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reading |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 32.1\% | 50.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 23.4\% | 32.3\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 23.7\% | 38.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 19.8\% | 27.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Both Subjects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 18.1\% | 36.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 12.9\% | 22.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| CTE Coherent Sequence (Annual Graduates) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 58.4\% | 86.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 50.5\% | 83.9\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Completed and Received Credit for College Prep Courses (Annual Graduates)

| English Language Arts |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2017-18$ | $2.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| $2016-17$ | $0.8 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Mathematics |  |  |
| $2017-18$ | $3.9 \%$ | $9.9 \%$ |
| $2016-17$ | $1.4 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ |
| Both Subjects |  |  |
| $2017-18$ | $0.9 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| $2016-17$ | $0.2 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |


| AP/IB Results (Participation) (Grades 11-12) <br> All Subiects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 25.8\% | 22.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n/a } \\ & \text { n/a } \end{aligned}$ |
| 2017 | 26.2\% | 22.7\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |
| English Language Arts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 15.3\% | 9.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| 2017 | 15.9\% | 7.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 7.3\% | 4.6\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| 2017 | 7.2\% | 4.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Science |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 10.8\% | 10.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| 2017 | 10.9\% | 8.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Social Studies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 14.5\% | 16.3\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| 2017 | 15.0\% | 17.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |


| AP/IB Results (Examinees >= Criterion) (Grades 11-12) All Subjects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 | 50.7\% | 59.5\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| 2017 | 49.1\% | 47.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| English Language Arts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 42.5\% | 68.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| 2017 | 41.3\% | 59.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 52.8\% | 77.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

## Texas Academic Performance Report

 2018-19 Campus CCMR-Related IndicatorsTotal Students: 769 Grade Span: EE-05 School Type: Elementary

|  | State | District | Campus | African American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | Special Ed | Econ Disadv | (Current) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 51.3\% | 71.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Science |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 38.0\% | 59.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| 2017 | 38.3\% | 45.6\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Social Studies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 44.6\% | 47.3\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| 2017 | 41.4\% | 36.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| SAT/ACT Results (Annual Graduates) ***Tested |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 74.6\% | 68.7\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| 2016-17 | 73.5\% | 65.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| At/Above Criterion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 37.9\% | 61.5\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Average SAT Score (Annual Graduates) ***All Subjects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 1036 | 1131 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| English Language Arts and Writing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 521 | 569 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 515 | 561 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Average ACT Score (Annual Graduates) *** All Subjects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 20.6 | 24.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| English Language Arts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 20.3 | 24.1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 20.6 | 23.6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 20.9 | 23.6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | n/a | - | n/a |

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

|  | State | District | Campus | African <br> American | Hispanic | White | American $\qquad$ | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | Special $\qquad$ | Econ <br> Disadv | $\begin{array}{r} \text { EL } \\ \text { (Current) } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Advanced Dual-Credit Course Completion (Grades 9-12) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Any Subject |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 43.4\% | 39.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 37.1\% | 29.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| English Language Arts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 17.3\% | 17.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 16.8\% | 5.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 20.7\% | 19.7\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 19.5\% | 16.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Science |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 21.2\% | 16.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 5.7\% | 2.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Social Studies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 22.8\% | 23.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2016-17 | 21.8\% | 21.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates Enrolled in Texas Institution of Higher Education (TX IHE) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2016-17 | 54.6\% | 59.0\% | ) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2015-16 | 54.7\% | 60.5\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Graduates in TX IHE Completing One Year Without Enrollment in a Developmental Education Course |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2016-17 | 59.2\% | 73.6\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2015-16 | 55.7\% | 74.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 

District Name: MONTGOMERY ISD
Texas Academic Performance Report
Campus Name: KEENAN EL
2018-19 Campus Student Information
Campus Number: 170903107

Total Students: 769
Grade Span: EE - 05 School Type: Elementary

| Student Information | ---------------- Campus --------------- |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | Percent | District | State |
| Total Students | 769 | 100.0\% | 8,837 | 5,416,400 |
| Students by Grade: |  |  |  |  |
| Early Childhood Education | 27 | 3.5\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% |
| Pre-Kindergarten | 32 | 4.2\% | 1.9\% | 4.4\% |
| Kindergarten | 103 | 13.4\% | 6.2\% | 6.9\% |
| Grade 1 | 117 | 15.2\% | 7.3\% | 7.1\% |
| Grade 2 | 113 | 14.7\% | 7.1\% | 7.2\% |
| Grade 3 | 118 | 15.3\% | 6.7\% | 7.3\% |
| Grade 4 | 134 | 17.4\% | 7.2\% | 7.6\% |
| Grade 5 | 125 | 16.3\% | 7.6\% | 7.7\% |
| Grade 6 | 0 | 0.0\% | 8.4\% | 7.7\% |
| Grade 7 | 0 | 0.0\% | 8.2\% | 7.5\% |
| Grade 8 | 0 | 0.0\% | 8.7\% | 7.5\% |
| Grade 9 | 0 | 0.0\% | 8.4\% | 8.1\% |
| Grade 10 | 0 | 0.0\% | 7.9\% | 7.4\% |
| Grade 11 | 0 | 0.0\% | 7.4\% | 6.9\% |
| Grade 12 | 0 | 0.0\% | 6.6\% | 6.5\% |
| Ethnic Distribution: |  |  |  |  |
| African American | 8 | 1.0\% | 2.7\% | 12.6\% |
| Hispanic | 87 | 11.3\% | 15.4\% | 52.6\% |
| White | 643 | 83.6\% | 77.3\% | 27.4\% |
| American Indian | 2 | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 0.4\% |
| Asian | 0 | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 4.5\% |
| Pacific Islander | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% |
| Two or More Races | 29 | 3.8\% | 3.0\% | 2.4\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 161 | 20.9\% | 25.3\% | 60.6\% |
| Non-Educationally Disadvantaged | 608 | 79.1\% | 74.7\% | 39.4\% |
| Section 504 Students | 63 | 8.2\% | 10.3\% | 6.5\% |
| English Learners (EL) | 7 | 0.9\% | 2.3\% | 19.5\% |
| Students w/ Disciplinary Placements (2017-18) | 0 | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.4\% |
| Students w/ Dyslexia | 43 | 5.6\% | 4.4\% | 3.6\% |
| At-Risk | 145 | 18.9\% | 30.4\% | 50.1\% |
| Students with Disabilities by Type of Primary Disability: |  |  |  |  |
| Total Students with Disabilities | 69 |  |  |  |
| By Type of Primary Disability |  |  |  |  |
| Students with Intellectual Disabilities | ** | ** | 37.5\% | 42.4\% |
| Students with Physical Disabilities | 26 | 37.7\% | 24.5\% | 21.9\% |
| Students with Autism | 11 | 15.9\% | 13.9\% | 13.7\% |
| Students with Behavioral Disabilities | 18 | 26.1\% | 22.8\% | 20.6\% |
| Students with Non-Categorical Early Childhood | * | * | 1.2\% | 1.4\% |
| Mobility (2017-18): |  |  |  |  |
| Total Mobile Students | 56 | 8.9\% | 10.8\% | 15.4\% |

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

District Name: MONTGOMERY ISD Texas Academic Performance Report 2018-19 Campus Student Information

Total Students: 769 Grade Span: EE-05 School Type: Elementary

| Student Information | ---------------- Campus ---------------- |  | District | State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | Percent |  |  |
| By Ethnicity: |  |  |  |  |
| African American | 1 | 0.2\% |  |  |
| Hispanic | 11 | 1.8\% |  |  |
| White | 38 | 6.1\% |  |  |
| American Indian | 0 | 0.0\% |  |  |
| Asian | 0 | 0.0\% |  |  |
| Pacific Islander | 0 | 0.0\% |  |  |
| Two or More Races | 6 | 1.0\% |  |  |


| Student Information | --------Non-Special Education Rates------- |  |  | -------Special Education Rates- |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Campus | District | State | Campus | District | State |
| Retention Rates by Grade: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kindergarten | 2.0\% | 1.7\% | 1.7\% | 50.0\% | 14.6\% | 6.2\% |
| Grade 1 | 2.2\% | 3.3\% | 3.1\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 5.5\% |
| Grade 2 | 1.9\% | 1.9\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 2.3\% |
| Grade 3 | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% |
| Grade 4 | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% |
| Grade 5 | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% |
| Grade 6 | - | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.5\% |
| Grade 7 | - | 0.1\% | 0.6\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.6\% |
| Grade 8 | - | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.7\% |
| Grade 9 | - | 3.1\% | 7.2\% | - | 6.7\% | 12.7\% |
| Class Size Information | Campus |  |  | District |  | State |

Class Size Averages by Grade and Subject
(Derived from teacher responsibility records):

| Elementary: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kindergarten | 17.2 | 17.3 | 18.9 |
| Grade 1 | 19.5 | 19.0 | 18.8 |
| Grade 2 | 18.8 | 19.3 | 18.7 |
| Grade 3 | 19.7 | 17.8 | 18.9 |
| Grade 4 | 19.1 | 17.9 | 19.2 |
| Grade 5 | 20.8 | 22.9 | 21.2 |
| Grade 6 | - | 23.5 | 20.4 |
| Secondary: |  |  |  |
| English/Language Arts | - | 18.6 | 16.6 |
| Foreign Languages | - | 18.9 | 18.9 |
| Mathematics | - | 21.9 | 17.8 |
| Science | - | 21.3 | 18.9 |
| Social Studies | - | 21.4 | 19.3 |

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 

Total Students: 769
Grade Span: EE - 05 School Type: Elementary

| Staff Information | Count/Average | Percent | District | State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Staff | 70.0 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Professional Staff: | 57.0 | 81.4\% | 66.8\% | 64.1\% |
| Teachers | 49.0 | 70.0\% | 55.4\% | 49.8\% |
| Professional Support | 6.0 | 8.6\% | 7.9\% | 10.1\% |
| Campus Administration (School Leadership) | 2.0 | 2.9\% | 2.6\% | 3.0\% |
| Educational Aides: | 13.0 | 18.6\% | 8.2\% | 10.3\% |
| Librarians \& Counselors (Headcount): |  |  |  |  |
| Librarians |  |  |  |  |
| Full-time | 1.0 | n/a | 4.0 | 4,414.0 |
| Part-time | 0.0 | n/a | 2.0 | 572.0 |
| Counselors |  |  |  |  |
| Full-time | 1.0 | n/a | 20.0 | 12,433.0 |
| Part-time | 0.0 | n/a | 0.0 | 1,097.0 |
| Total Minority Staff: | 3.0 | 4.3\% | 11.9\% | 50.4\% |
| Teachers by Ethnicity and Sex: |  |  |  |  |
| African American | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 10.6\% |
| Hispanic | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 6.0\% | 27.7\% |
| White | 49.0 | 100.0\% | 92.0\% | 58.4\% |
| American Indian | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% |
| Asian | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 1.7\% |
| Pacific Islander | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% |
| Two or More Races | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 1.1\% |
| Males | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 17.3\% | 23.8\% |
| Females | 49.0 | 100.0\% | 82.7\% | 76.2\% |
| Teachers by Highest Degree Held: |  |  |  |  |
| No Degree | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 1.4\% |
| Bachelors | 39.0 | 79.6\% | 74.1\% | 73.6\% |
| Masters | 10.0 | 20.4\% | 23.9\% | 24.3\% |
| Doctorate | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.7\% |
| Teachers by Years of Experience: |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning Teachers | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 4.0\% | 7.0\% |
| 1-5 Years Experience | 9.0 | 18.4\% | 17.3\% | 28.9\% |
| 6-10 Years Experience | 11.0 | 22.4\% | 18.8\% | 19.0\% |
| 11-20 Years Experience | 21.0 | 42.9\% | 37.8\% | 29.3\% |
| Over 20 Years Experience | 8.0 | 16.3\% | 22.1\% | 15.7\% |
| Number of Students per Teacher | 15.7 | n/a | 15.1 | 15.1 |

Total Students: 769
2018-19 Campus Staff Information

| Staff Information | Campus | District | State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Experience of Campus Leadership: |  |  |  |
| Average Years Experience of Principals | 7.0 | 6.3 | 6.3 |
| Average Years Experience of Principals with District | 7.0 | 4.1 | 5.4 |
| Average Years Experience of Assistant Principals | 11.0 | 7.1 | 5.3 |
| Average Years Experience of Assistant Principals with District | 11.0 | 5.3 | 4.7 |
| Average Years Experience of Teachers: | 12.9 | 13.6 | 11.1 |
| Average Years Experience of Teachers with District: | 5.4 | 6.6 | 7.2 |
| Average Teacher Salary by Years of Experience (regular duties only): |  |  |  |
| Beginning Teachers |  | \$45,948 | \$47,218 |
| 1 -5 Years Experience | \$51,003 | \$51,962 | \$50,408 |
| 6-10 Years Experience | \$54,449 | \$54,468 | \$52,786 |
| 11-20 Years Experience | \$56,732 | \$57,483 | \$56,041 |
| Over 20 Years Experience | \$62,035 | \$63,962 | \$62,039 |
| Average Actual Salaries (regular duties only): |  |  |  |
| Teachers | \$56,033 | \$56,934 | \$54,122 |
| Professional Support | \$57,301 | \$64,178 | \$64,069 |
| Campus Administration (School Leadership) | \$83,129 | \$83,903 | \$78,947 |
| Instructional Staff Percent: | n/a | 67.8\% | 64.5\% |
| Contracted Instructional Staff (not incl. above): | 0.5 | 6.0 | 6,043.6 |

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

District Name: MONTGOMERY ISD

## Texas Academic Performance Report

Total Students: 769
Campus Name: KEENAN EL
2018-19 Campus Staff Information

| Program Information | ---------------- Campus ---------------- |  | District | State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | Percent |  |  |
| Student Enrollment by Program: |  |  |  |  |
| Bilingual/ESL Education | 7 | 0.9\% | 3.2\% | 19.7\% |
| Career \& Technical Education | 0 | 0.0\% | 28.9\% | 26.3\% |
| Gifted \& Talented Education | 24 | 3.1\% | 7.1\% | 8.1\% |
| Special Education | 69 | 9.0\% | 7.4\% | 9.6\% |
| Teachers by Program (population served): |  |  |  |  |
| Bilingual/ESL Education | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 6.4\% |
| Career \& Technical Education | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 5.7\% | 4.9\% |
| Compensatory Education | 5.0 | 10.2\% | 4.2\% | 2.7\% |
| Gifted \& Talented Education | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% |
| Regular Education | 40.0 | 81.6\% | 77.1\% | 71.4\% |
| Special Education | 4.0 | 8.2\% | 12.9\% | 9.1\% |
| Other | 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.6\% |

' $\wedge$ ' Indicates that rates for reading and mathematics are based on the cumulative results from the first and second administrations of STAAR.
'*' Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
'**' When only one student disability group is masked, then the second smallest student disability group is masked regardless of size.
${ }^{\prime * * * *}$ Due to changes in the evaluation of SAT/ACT results (for 2017-18 the best result was used, rather than the most recent), 2016-17 SAT/ACT results are not comparable and, where applicable, are not shown.
'-' Indicates there are no students in the group.
' $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ ' Indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.
'?' Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable or were reported outside a reasonable range.

Independent School District

MONTGOMERY I.S.D.
2018-2019
PEIMS Financial Standard Report

## 2017-2018 Actual Financial data

## Totals for Montgomery ISD (170903)

Total Enrolled Students in Membership: 8,730

|  | District |  |  |  |  |  | State |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | General Fund | \% | Per Student | All Funds | \% | Per Student | All Funds | \% | Per Student |
| Receipts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Revenue | 67,620,089 | 100.00\% | 7,746 | 95,225,587 | 100.00\% | 10,908 | 63,158,400,197 | 100.00\% | 11,729 |
| Local Tax | 55,658,512 | 82.31\% | 6,376 | 74,050,258 | 77.76\% | 8,482 | 29,898,897,099 | 47.34\% | 5,552 |
| Other Local and Intermediate | 2,350,842 | 3.48\% | 269 | 7,186,707 | 7.55\% | 823 | 3,062,782,060 | 4.85\% | 569 |
| State | 8,987,167 | 13.29\% | 1,029 | 9,765,916 | 10.26\% | 1,119 | 23,747,526,632 | 37.60\% | 4,410 |
| Federal | 623,568 | 0.92\% | 71 | 4,222,706 | 4.43\% | 484 | 6,449,194,406 | 10.21\% | 1,198 |
| Total Receipts | 70,018,545 | 100.00\% | 8,020 | 97,624,043 | 100.00\% | 11,183 | 78,621,000,420 | 100.00\% | 14,600 |
| Total Revenue | 67,620,089 | 96.57\% | 7,746 | 95,225,587 | 97.54\% | 10,908 | 63,158,400,197 | 100.00\% | 11,729 |
| Recapture | 2,398,456 | 3.43\% | 275 | 2,398,456 | 2.46\% | 275 | 2,068,522,423 | 2.63\% | 384 |
| Total Other Resources | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 13,394,077,800 | 17.04\% | 2,487 |
| Fund Balances (for ISDs) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Fund Balance** | 11,613,836 | 17.18\% | 1,330 | 28,230,889 | 29.65\% | 3,234 | 35,850,846,786 | 59.68\% | 7,045 |
| Nonspendable Fund Balance | 9,400 | 0.01\% | 1 | 9,400 | 0.01\% | 1 | 239,176,837 | 0.40\% | 47 |
| Restricted Fund Balance | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 16,263,607 | 17.08\% | 1,863 | 17,226,468,243 | 28.68\% | 3,385 |
| Committed Fund Balance | 4,000,000 | 5.92\% | 458 | 4,353,446 | 4.57\% | 499 | 3,318,730,683 | 5.52\% | 652 |
| Assigned Fund Balance | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 2,536,919,034 | 4.22\% | 499 |
| Unassigned Fund Balance | 7,604,436 | 11.25\% | 871 | 7,604,436 | 7.99\% | 871 | 12,529,551,989 | 20.86\% | 2,462 |
| Disbursements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BY OBJECT | 67,456,780 | 100.00\% | 7,727 | 164,041,091 | 100.00\% | 18,791 | 70,292,451,357 | 100.00\% | 13,054 |
| Payroll (Objects 6100) | 56,298,291 | 83.46\% | 6,449 | 58,908,500 | 35.91\% | 6,748 | 41,624,867,679 | 59.22\% | 7,730 |
| Other Operating (Objects 62006400) | 11,158,490 | 16.54\% | 1,278 | 26,934,552 | 16.42\% | 3,085 | 11,850,276,791 | 16.86\% | 2,201 |
| Debt Service (Objects 6500) | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 21,645,064 | 13.19\% | 2,479 | 7,697,906,295 | 10.95\% | 1,430 |
| Capital Outlay (Objects 6600) | -1 | 0.00\% | 0 | 56,552,975 | 34.47\% | 6,478 | 9,119,400,592 | 12.97\% | 1,694 |

BY FUNCTION (Objects 6100-6400 only)

| Debt Service (71) | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Facilities Acquisition \& | 6,861 | 1 |
| Construction (81) |  |  |

$11,669,05$

1,337
467,408,659

0

Total Operating Expenditures Instruction $(11,95)$ Instructional Res Media (12) Curriculum/Staff Develop (13) Instructional Leadership (21) School Leadership (23) Guidance Counseling Svcs (31) Social Work Services (32) Health Services (33) Transportation (34) Food (35) Extracurricular (36) General Administration $(41,92)$ Plant Maint/Operation (51) Security/Monitoring (52) Data Processing Services (53) Community Services (61)

## otal Disbursement

Total Expenditures
Recapture
Total Other Uses
Intergovernmental Charge

Program Expenditures
Operating Expenditures - Program
Regular
Gifted and Talented
Career and Technical
Students with Disabilities
Accelerated Education
Bilingual
Nondisc Alt Ed-AEP Basic Serv
Disc Alt Ed-DAEP Basic Serv

| $51,785,583$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| $34,826,936$ | $67.25 \%$ |
| $2,297,992$ | $4.44 \%$ |
| $2,713,872$ | $5.24 \%$ |
| $8,068,900$ | $15.58 \%$ |
| $1,397,822$ | $2.70 \%$ |
| 101,375 | $0.20 \%$ |
| 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| 220,043 | $0.42 \%$ |


| $54,390,574$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| $35,499,052$ | $65.27 \%$ |
| $2,298,963$ | $4.23 \%$ |
| $2,759,166$ | $5.07 \%$ |
| $9,368,449$ | $17.22 \%$ |
| $1,520,607$ | $2.80 \%$ |
| 108,985 | $0.20 \%$ |
| 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| 220,043 | $0.40 \%$ |

6,230
4,066
263
316
1,073
174
12
0

| $39,129,628,714$ | $100.00 \%$ | 7,267 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $23,408,623,199$ | $59.82 \%$ | 4,347 |
| $396,918,069$ | $1.01 \%$ | 74 |
| $1,595,080,075$ | $4.08 \%$ | 296 |
| $6,228,755,783$ | $15.92 \%$ | 1,157 |
| $1,729,817,631$ | $4.42 \%$ | 321 |
| $624,626,340$ | $1.60 \%$ | 116 |
| $156,186,644$ | $0.40 \%$ | 29 |
| $223,139,912$ | $0.57 \%$ | 41 |


| Disc Alt Ed-DAEP Supplemental | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 27,092,836 | 0.07\% | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T1 A Schoolwide-St Comp>=40\% | 161,448 | 0.31\% | 18 | 618,114 | 1.14\% | 71 | 2,061,367,635 | 5.27\% | 383 |
| Athletics/Related Activities | 1,105,596 | 2.13\% | 127 | 1,105,596 | 2.03\% | 127 | 1,059,340,400 | 2.71\% | 197 |
| High School Allotment | 235,119 | 0.45\% | 27 | 235,119 | 0.43\% | 27 | 568,417,706 | 1.45\% | 106 |
| Prekindergarten | 656,480 | 1.27\% | 75 | 656,480 | 1.21\% | 75 | 1,050,262,484 | 2.68\% | 195 |
|  |  |  |  | District |  |  |  | te |  |
| Instructional Expenditure Ratio |  |  |  | 64.8\% |  |  |  | 62.7\% |  |

## Tax Rates

2017 (current tax year) Tax Rates
Maintenance and Operations 1.0400
Interest and Sinking Funds
Total Tax Rate
$64.8 \%$

## 2016 Tax Year State Certified Property Values

## Property Value

Property Value per pupil
Property Value by category:
Business
Residential
Land
Oil and Gas
Other

| Amount | Percent |
| ---: | ---: |
| $5,287,722,689$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| 605,696 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
|  |  |
| $470,891,502$ | $7.98 \%$ |
| $5,172,601,607$ | $87.69 \%$ |
| $244,927,722$ | $4.15 \%$ |
| 547,990 | $0.01 \%$ |
| $10,030,516$ | $0.17 \%$ |


| Amount | Percent |
| ---: | ---: |
| $2,220,042,195,073$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| 436,998 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
|  |  |
| $892,180,729,305$ | $35.47 \%$ |
| $1,479,753,710,535$ | $58.82 \%$ |
| $65,281,339,904$ | $2.60 \%$ |
| $64,143,342,124$ | $2.55 \%$ |
| $14,174,456,770$ | $0.56 \%$ |

Unassigned Fund Balance percentage of total budgeted expenditures
2017-2018 School Districts' General
7,604,436
$12,575,271,982$

67,386,846
1.0933
0.2108
1.3041

Fund Unassigned Fund Balance***
2017-2018 School Districts' General
Fund Total Budgeted Expenditures
2017-2018 School Districts' Percent of
Total Budgeted Expenditures
$45,316,911,612$

[^0]TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 2017-2018 PEIMS Actual Financial Data by Campus

School Campus: Montgomery H S District: MONTGOMERY ISD Campus Number: 170903002

Total Membership: 2,648

|  | General Fund | \% | Per <br> Student | All <br> Funds | \% | Per <br> Student |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Expenditures by Object (Objects 6100-6600) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Expenditures | 17,827,394 | 100.00 | 6,732 | 18,791,276 | 100.00 | 7,096 |
| Operating-Payroll | 14,799,448 | 83.02 | 5,589 | 15,427,999 | 82.10 | 5,826 |
| Other Operating | 3,202,835 | 17.97 | 1,210 | 3,538,166 | 18.83 | 1,336 |
| Non-Operating(Equipt/Supplies) | -174,889 | -0.98 | -66 | -174,889 | -0.93 | -66 |
| Expenditures by Function (Objects 6100-6400 Only) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Operating Expenditures | 18,002,283 | 100.00 | 6,798 | 18,966,165 | 100.00 | 7,162 |
| Instruction (11,95) * | 12,783,305 | 71.01 | 4,828 | 13,043,576 | 68.77 | 4,926 |
| Instructional Res/Media (12) * | 86,803 | 0.48 | 33 | 86,803 | 0.46 | 33 |
| Curriculum/Staff Develop (13) * | 134,091 | 0.74 | 51 | 134,091 | 0.71 | 51 |
| Instructional Leadership (21)* | 179,244 | 1.00 | 68 | 179,244 | 0.95 | 68 |
| School Leadership (23) * | 1,038,615 | 5.77 | 392 | 1,038,615 | 5.48 | 392 |
| Guidance/Counseling Svcs (31)* | 876,942 | 4.87 | 331 | 881,021 | 4.65 | 333 |
| Social Work Services (32) * | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| Health Services (33) * | 164,307 | 0.91 | 62 | 164,307 | 0.87 | 62 |
| Food (35) ** | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 506,796 | 2.67 | 191 |
| Extracurricular (36) * | 1,043,144 | 5.79 | 394 | 1,235,880 | 6.52 | 467 |
| Plant Maint/Operation (51) *** | 1,695,832 | 9.42 | 640 | 1,695,832 | 8.94 | 640 |
| Security/Monitoring (52) *** | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| Data Processing Svcs (53)*** | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| Program expenditures by Program (Objects 6100-6400 only) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Operating Expenditures | 15,263,307 | 100.00 | 5,764 | 15,527,657 | 100.00 | 5,864 |
| Regular | 8,964,306 | 58.73 | 3,385 | 9,019,265 | 58.09 | 3,406 |
| Gifted \& Talented | 1,104,815 | 7.24 | 417 | 1,105,786 | 7.12 | 418 |
| Career \& Technical | 2,579,451 | 16.90 | 974 | 2,624,745 | 16.90 | 991 |
| Students with Disabilities | 1,937,802 | 12.70 | 732 | 2,093,587 | 13.48 | 791 |
| Accelerated Education | 375,390 | 2.46 | 142 | 382,731 | 2.46 | 145 |
| Bilingual | 4,628 | 0.03 | 2 | 4,628 | 0.03 | 2 |

Expenditures by Object (Objects 6100-6600)
*Please refer to sections 1.4.1 through 1.4.2.1 of Module 1 in the Financial Accountability System Resource Guide (FASRG) and Appendix A. 6 of the FAR
Appendices for information concerning requirements for accounting for expenditures by campus.
**Please note that, in many instances, expenditures under function codes $34-99$ are not directly attributable to a specific campus. It is recommended that district-level data
(http://tea.texas.gov/financialstandardreports/) be used for the analysis of costs reported by comparable school districts.

| School Campus: Montgomery H S <br> Campus Number: 170903002 Total Membership: 2,648 | District: MONTGOMERY ISD |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | General Fund | \% | Per Student | All <br> Funds | \% | Per Student |
| Nondisc Alted-AEP Basic Serv | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| Disc Alted-DAEP Basic Serv | 67,561 | 0.44 | 26 | 67,561 | 0.44 | 26 |
| Disc Alted-DAEP Supplemental | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| T1 A Schoolwide-St Comp >=40\% | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| Athletic Programming | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| High School Allotment | 229,354 | 1.50 | 87 | 229,354 | 1.48 | 87 |
| Prekindergarten | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |

*Please refer to sections 1.4.1 through 1.4.2.1 of Module 1 in the Financial Accountability System Resource Guide (FASRG) and Appendix A. 6 of the FAR Appendices for information concerning requirements for accounting for expenditures by campus.
**Please note that, in many instances, expenditures under function codes $34-99$ are not directly attributable to a specific campus. It is recommended that district-level data
(http://tea.texas.gov/financialstandardreports/) be used for the analysis of costs reported by comparable school districts.
Note: Some amounts may not total due to rounding

## TEXASEDUCATION AGENCY 2017-2018 PEIMS Actual Financial Data by Campus

## School Campus: Lake Creek H S District: MONTGOMERY ISD

Total Membership: 0
General
Fund
Per
Student
All
Funds
\%
Per Student
Expenditures by Object (Objects 6100-6600)

| Total Expenditures | 921,350 | 100.00 | 0 | 942,818 | 100.00 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Operating-Payroll | 758,672 | 82.34 | 0 | 776,693 | 82.38 | 0 |
| Other Operating | 162,678 | 17.66 | 0 | 166,125 | 17.62 | 0 |
| Non-Operating(Equipt/Supplies) | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| Expenditures by Function (Objects 6100-6400 Only) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Operating Expenditures | 921,350 | 100.00 | 0 | 942,818 | 100.00 | 0 |
| Instruction (11,95) * | 498,682 | 54.13 | 0 | 500,377 | 53.07 | 0 |
| Instructional Res/Media (12) * | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| Curriculum/Staff Develop (13) * | 11,369 | 1.23 | 0 | 11,369 | 1.21 | 0 |
| Instructional Leadership (21) * | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| School Leadership (23)* | 194,527 | 21.11 | 0 | 194,527 | 20.63 | 0 |
| Guidance/Counseling Svcs (31) * | 61,213 | 6.64 | 0 | 69,050 | 7.32 | 0 |
| Social Work Services (32) * | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| Health Services (33) * | 4,924 | 0.53 | 0 | 4,924 | 0.52 | 0 |
| Food (35) ** | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 8,489 | 0.90 | 0 |
| Extracurricular (36) * | 26,050 | 2.83 | 0 | 29,497 | 3.13 | 0 |
| Plant Maint/Operation (51) *** | 124,585 | 13.52 | 0 | 124,585 | 13.21 | 0 |
| Security/Monitoring (52) ${ }^{* * *}$ | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| Data Processing Svcs (53)*** | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| Program expenditures by Program (Objects 6100-6400 only) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Operating Expenditures | 523,836 | 100.00 | 0 | 533,368 | 100.00 | 0 |
| Regular | 312,816 | 59.72 | 0 | 312,816 | 58.65 | 0 |
| Gifted \& Talented | 29,890 | 5.71 | 0 | 29,890 | 5.60 | 0 |
| Career \& Technical | 111,045 | 21.20 | 0 | 111,045 | 20.82 | 0 |
| Students with Disabilities | 59,216 | 11.30 | 0 | 68,748 | 12.89 | 0 |
| Accelerated Education | 5,104 | 0.97 | 0 | 5,104 | 0.96 | 0 |
| Bilingual | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |

*Please refer to sections 1.4.1 through 1.4.2.1 of Module 1 in the Financial Accountability System Resource Guide (FASRG) and Appendix A. 6 of the FAR
Appendices for information concerning requirements for accounting for expenditures by campus.
$* *$ Please note that, in many instances, expenditures under function codes $34-99$ are not directly attributable to a specific campus. It is recommended that district-level data
(http://tea.texas.gov/financialstandardreports/) be used for the analysis of costs reported by comparable school districts.

*Please refer to sections 1.4.1 through 1.4.2.1 of Module 1 in the Financial Accountability System Resource Guide (FASRG) and Appendix A. 6 of the FAR Appendices for information concerning requirements for accounting for expenditures by campus.
**Please note that, in many instances, expenditures under function codes $34-99$ are not directly attributable to a specific campus. It is recommended that district-level data
(http://tea.texas.gov/financialstandardreports/) be used for the analysis of costs reported by comparable school districts.
Note: Some amounts may not total due to rounding.

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 2017-2018 PEIMS Actual Financial Data by Campus

## School Campus: Montgomery J H District: MONTGOMERY ISD

| General Fund | \% | Per <br> Student | All <br> Funds | \% | Per <br> Student |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6,666,954 | 100.00 | 5,838 | 7,080,017 | 100.00 | 6,200 |
| 5,838,186 | 87.57 | 5,112 | 6,170,240 | 87.15 | 5,403 |
| 793,652 | 11.90 | 695 | 874,661 | 12.35 | 766 |
| 35,116 | 0.53 | 31 | 35,116 | 0.50 | 31 |
| 6,631,838 | 100.00 | 5,807 | 7,044,901 | 100.00 | 6,169 |
| 4,974,763 | 75.01 | 4,356 | 5,017,617 | 71.22 | 4,394 |
| 98,157 | 1.48 | 86 | 98,157 | 1.39 | 86 |
| 26,782 | 0.40 | 23 | 26,782 | 0.38 | 23 |
| 79,247 | 1.19 | 69 | 79,247 | 1.12 | 69 |
| 456,140 | 6.88 | 399 | 456,140 | 6.47 | 399 |
| 214,115 | 3.23 | 187 | 300,290 | 4.26 | 263 |
| 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| 79,569 | 1.20 | 70 | 79,569 | 1.13 | 70 |
| 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 228,508 | 3.24 | 200 |
| 83,704 | 1.26 | 73 | 139,230 | 1.98 | 122 |
| 619,361 | 9.34 | 542 | 619,361 | 8.79 | 542 |
| 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| 5,928,773 | 100.00 | 5,192 | 6,057,802 | 100.00 | 5,305 |
| 4,248,265 | 71.66 | 3,720 | 4,268,428 | 70.46 | 3,738 |
| 518,563 | 8.75 | 454 | 518,563 | 8.56 | 454 |
| 9,542 | 0.16 | 8 | 9,542 | 0.16 | 8 |
| 748,577 | 12.63 | 655 | 854,332 | 14.10 | 748 |
| 323,783 | 5.46 | 284 | 326,683 | 5.39 | 286 |
| 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 211 | 0.00 | 0 |

Expenditures by Object (Objects 6100-6600)
Total Expenditures
Operating-Payroll
Other Operating
Non-Operating(Equipt/Supplies)
Expenditures by Function (Objects 6100-6400 Only)
Total Operating Expenditures
Instruction $(11,95)$ *
Instructional Res/Media (12) *
Curriculum/Staff Develop (13) *
Instructional Leadership (21) *
School Leadership (23) *
Guidance/Counseling Svcs (31) *
Social Work Services (32) *
Health Services (33) *
Food (35) **
Extracurricular (36) *
Plant Maint/Operation (51) ***
Security/Monitoring (52) ***
Data Processing Svcs (53)***
Program expenditures by Program (Objects 6100-6400 only)
Total Operating Expenditures
Regular
Gifted \& Talented
Career \& Technical
Students with Disabilities
Accelerated Education
Bilingual
0.00

## Per Student

4,394


23
*Please refer to sections 1.4 . 1 through 1.4.2.1 of Module 1 in the Financial Accountability System Resource Guide (FASRG) and Appendix A. 6 of the FAR
Appendices for information concerning requirements for accounting for expenditures by campus.
**Please note that, in many instances, expenditures under function codes $34-99$ are not directly attributable to a specific campus. It is recommended that district-level data
(http://tea.texas.gov/financialstandardreports/) be used for the analysis of costs reported by comparable school districts.

*Please refer to sections 1.4.1 through 1.4.2.1 of Module 1 in the Financial Accountability System Resource Guide (FASRG) and Appendix A. 6 of the FAR
Appendices for information concerning requirements for accounting for expenditures by campus.
**Please note that, in many instances, expenditures under function codes $34-99$ are not directly attributable to a specific campus. It is recommended that district-level data
(http://tea.texas.gov/financialstandardreports/) be used for the analysis of costs reported by comparable school districts.
Note: Some amounts may not total due to rounding.

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 2017-2018 PEIMS Actual Financial Data by Campus

## School Campus: Oak Hills J H District: MONTGOMERY ISD

Campus Number: 170903043 Total Membership: 1,02

## Expenditures by Object (Objects 6100-6600)

Total Expenditures
Operating-Payroll
Other Operating
Non-Operating(Equipt/Supplies)
Expenditures by Function (Objects 6100-6400 Only)
Total Operating Expenditures
Instruction $(11,95)$ *
Instructional Res/Media (12) *
Curriculum/Staff Develop (13) *
Instructional Leadership (21) *
School Leadership (23) *
Guidance/Counseling Svcs (31) *
Social Work Services (32) *
Health Services (33) *
Food (35) **
Extracurricular (36) *
Plant Maint/Operation (51) ***
Security/Monitoring (52) ***
Data Processing Svcs (53)***
Program expenditures by Program (Objects 6100-6400 only)
Total Operating Expenditures

Regular
Gifted \& Talented
Career \& Technical
Students with Disabilities
Accelerated Education
Bilingual

## General Fund

6,928,784

| $5,923,886$ | 85.50 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 970,797 | 14.01 |
| 34,101 | 0.49 |

Per
Student
$\underset{\text { Funds }}{\text { All }}$
\%

| $7,433,205$ | 100.00 | 7,280 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $6,377,566$ | 85.80 | 6,246 |
| $1,021,538$ | 13.74 | 1,001 |
| 34,101 | 0.46 | 33 |


| $7,399,104$ | 100.00 | 7,247 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $5,234,135$ | 70.74 | 5,126 |
| 108,870 | 1.47 | 107 |
| 26,380 | 0.36 | 26 |
| 88,613 | 1.20 | 87 |
| 475,549 | 6.43 | 466 |
| 341,284 | 4.61 | 334 |
| 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| 59,692 | 0.81 | 58 |
| 226,882 | 3.07 | 222 |
| 69,998 | 0.95 | 69 |
| 767,701 | 10.38 | 752 |
| 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| 0 | 0.00 | 0 |

Per Student

7,280
6,246
1,001
33
7,247
5,126
107
87
466
0.00

| 701 | 11.13 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 0.00 |

6,204
3,818
629
14
1,396
274
2
*Please refer to sections 1.4 . 1 through 1.4.2.1 of Module 1 in the Financial Accountability System Resource Guide (FASRG) and Appendix A. 6 of the FAR
Appendices for information concerning requirements for accounting for expenditures by campus.
**Please note that, in many instances, expenditures under function codes $34-99$ are not directly attributable to a specific campus. It is recommended that district-level data
(http://tea.texas.gov/financialstandardreports/) be used for the analysis of costs reported by comparable school districts.

## School Campus: Oak Hills J H District: MONTGOMERY ISD

Campus Number: 170903043 Total Membership: 1,02

|  | General Fund | \% | Per <br> Student | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { Funds } \end{gathered}$ | \% | Per <br> Student |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nondisc Alted-AEP Basic Serv | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| Disc Alted-DAEP Basic Serv | 72,439 | 1.19 | 71 | 72,439 | 1.14 | 71 |
| Disc Alted-DAEP Supplemental | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| T1 A Schoolwide-St Comp >=40\% | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| Athletic Programming | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| High School Allotment | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| Prekindergarten | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |

*Please refer to sections 1.4.1 through 1.4.2.1 of Module 1 in the Financial Accountability System Resource Guide (FASRG) and Appendix A. 6 of the FAR
Appendices for information concerning requirements for accounting for expenditures by campus
**Please note that, in many instances, expenditures under function codes $34-99$ are not directly attributable to a specific campus. It is recommended that district-level data
(http://tea.texas.gov/financialstandardreports/) be used for the analysis of costs reported by comparable school districts.
Note: Some amounts may not total due to rounding.

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 2017-2018 PEIMS Actual Financial Data by Campus

## School Campus: Montgomery EI District: MONTGOMERY ISD <br> Total Membership: 876

Campus Number: 170903103

## General

\%
Per
Student
$\underset{\text { Funds }}{\text { All }}$
\%
Per Student
Expenditures by Object (Objects 6100-6600)
Total Expenditures
Operating-Payroll
Other Operating
Non-Operating(Equipt/Supplies)
Expenditures by Function (Objects 6100-6400 Only)
Total Operating Expenditures
Instruction $(11,95)$ *
Instructional Res/Media (12)*
Curriculum/Staff Develop (13) *
Instructional Leadership (21) *
School Leadership (23) *

| $5,316,670$ | 100.00 | 6,069 | $5,764,148$ | 100.00 | 6,580 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $4,788,007$ | 90.06 | 5,466 | $5,088,488$ | 88.28 | 5,809 |
| 507,936 | 9.55 | 580 | 654,933 | 11.36 | 748 |
| 20,727 | 0.39 | 24 | 20,727 | 0.36 | 24 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $5,295,943$ | 100.00 | 6,046 | $5,743,421$ | 100.00 | 6,556 |
| $4,126,492$ | 77.92 | 4,711 | $4,382,501$ | 76.30 | 5,003 |
| 71,457 | 1.35 | 82 | 71,457 | 1.24 | 82 |
| 22,741 | 0.43 | 26 | 22,741 | 0.40 | 26 |
| 59,820 | 1.13 | 68 | 59,820 | 1.04 | 68 |
| 346,108 | 6.54 | 395 | 346,108 | 6.03 | 395 |
| 207,741 | 3.92 | 237 | 218,883 | 3.81 | 250 |
| 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| 85,676 | 1.62 | 98 | 85,676 | 1.49 | 98 |
| 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 136,546 | 2.38 | 156 |
| 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 43,781 | 0.76 | 50 |
| 375,908 | 7.10 | 429 | 375,908 | 6.55 | 429 |
| 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $, 920,035$ | 100.00 | 5,616 | $5,187,186$ | 100.00 | 5,921 |
| $3,961,536$ | 80.52 | 4,522 | $2,997,889$ | 77.07 | 4,564 |
| 2,086 | 0.04 | 2,086 | 0.04 | 2 |  |
| 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| 632,937 | 12.86 | 723 | 651,159 | 12.55 | 743 |
| 1,780 | 0.04 | 1,780 | 0.03 | 2 |  |
| 49,009 | 1.00 | 56 | 52,462 | 1.01 | 60 |

Social Work Services (32) *
Health Services (33) *
Food (35) **
Extracurricular (36) *
Plant Maint/Operation (51) ***
Security/Monitoring (52) ***
Data Processing Svcs (53)***
Program expenditures by Program (Objects 6100-6400 only)
Total Operating Expenditures
Regular
Gifted \& Talented
Career \& Technical
Students with Disabilities
Accelerated Education
49,009
1.00
*Please refer to sections 1.4.1 through 1.4.2.1 of Module 1 in the Financial Accountability System Resource Guide (FASRG) and Appendix A. 6 of the FAR
Appendices for information concerning requirements for accounting for expenditures by campus.
**Please note that, in many instances, expenditures under function codes $34-99$ are not directly attributable to a specific campus. It is recommended that district-level data
(http://tea.texas.gov/financialstandardreports/) be used for the analysis of costs reported by comparable school districts.

*Please refer to sections 1.4.1 through 1.4.2.1 of Module 1 in the Financial Accountability System Resource Guide (FASRG) and Appendix A. 6 of the FAR
Appendices for information concerning requirements for accounting for expenditures by campus.
**Please note that, in many instances, expenditures under function codes $34-99$ are not directly attributable to a specific campus. It is recommended that district-level data
(http://tea.texas.gov/financialstandardreports/) be used for the analysis of costs reported by comparable school districts.
Note: Some amounts may not total due to rounding.

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 2017-2018 PEIMS Actual Financial Data by Campus

School Campus: Stewart Creek El District: MONTGOMERY ISD

Campus Number: 170903104

Total Membership: 813

## General <br> Fund

## Per <br> Student

$\underset{\text { Funds }}{\text { All }}$
\%
Per
Student
Expenditures by Object (Objects 6100-6600)

Total Expenditures
4,879,075
Operating-Payroll
Other Operating

| $4,879,075$ | 100.00 |
| ---: | ---: |
| $4,387,712$ | 89.93 |
| 469,168 | 9.62 |
| 22,195 | 0.45 |


| 6,001 | $5,491,655$ | 100.00 | 6,75 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 5,397 | $4,829,411$ | 87.94 | 5,94 |
| 577 | 640,049 | 11.65 | 78 |

Non-Operating(Equipt/Supplies)
Expenditures by Function (Objects 6100-6400 Only)
Total Operating Expenditures
Instruction $(11,95)$ *
Instructional Res/Media (12) *
Curriculum/Staff Develop (13) *
Instructional Leadership (21) *
School Leadership (23) *
Guidance/Counseling Svcs (31)*
Social Work Services (32) *

| $4,856,880$ | 100.00 |
| ---: | ---: |
| $3,788,698$ | 78.01 |
| 79,935 | 1.65 |
| 20,935 | 0.43 |
| 58,484 | 1.20 |
| 273,267 | 5.63 |
| 168,669 | 3.47 |
| 0 | 0.00 |
| 132,721 | 2.73 |
| 0 | 0.00 |
| 0 | 0.00 |
| 334,171 | 6.88 |
| 0 | 0.00 |
| 0 | 0.00 |


| 5,974 | $5,469,460$ | 100.00 | 6,728 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 4,660 | $4,171,170$ | 76.26 | 5,131 |
| 98 | 79,935 | 1.46 | 98 |
| 26 | 20,935 | 0.38 | 26 |
| 72 | 58,484 | 1.07 | 72 |
| 336 | 273,267 | 5.00 | 336 |
| 207 | 168,669 | 3.08 | 207 |
| 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| 163 | 132,721 | 2.43 | 163 |
| 0 | 151,236 | 2.77 | 186 |
| 0 | 78,872 | 1.44 | 97 |
| 411 | 334,171 | 6.11 | 411 |
| 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |

Food (35) **
Extracurricular (36) *
Plant Maint/Operation (51) ***

0
0.00

0
Data Processing Svcs (53)***

| $4,522,709$ | 100.00 |
| ---: | ---: |
| $3,565,942$ | 78.85 |
| 156 | 0.00 |
| 0 | 0.00 |
| 594,163 | 13.14 |
| 43,201 | 0.96 |
| 38,329 | 0.85 |


| $4,905,181$ | 100.00 |
| ---: | ---: |
| $3,594,694$ | 73.28 |
| 156 | 0.00 |
| 0 | 0.00 |
| 625,232 | 12.75 |
| 114,789 | 2.34 |
| 41,849 | 0.85 |

Regular
5,563
4,386
0
0
731
53
47

6,755
5,940
787
27

6,728
5,131
98
26
72

207
0
163

97
411
0

Cat Tec....
Students with Disabilities
Accelerated Education
38,329

Bilingual
*Please refer to sections 1.4.1 through 1.4.2.1 of Module 1 in the Financial Accountability System Resource Guide (FASRG) and Appendix A. 6 of the FAR
Appendices for information concerning requirements for accounting for expenditures by campus.
**Please note that, in many instances, expenditures under function codes 34-99 are not directly attributable to a specific campus. It is recommended that district-level data
(http://tea.texas.gov/financialstandardreports/) be used for the analysis of costs reported by comparable school districts.


Note: Some amounts may not total due to rounding.

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 2017-2018 PEIMS Actual Financial Data by Campus

School Campus: Lone Star EI District: MONTGOMERY ISD Campus Number: 170903105 Total Membership: 719

| General Fund | \% | Per <br> Student | All Funds | \% | Per <br> Student |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4,607,956 | 100.00 | 6,409 | 4,940,865 | 100.00 | 6,872 |
| 4,050,456 | 87.90 | 5,633 | 4,247,060 | 85.96 | 5,907 |
| 533,454 | 11.58 | 742 | 669,759 | 13.56 | 932 |
| 24,046 | 0.52 | 33 | 24,046 | 0.49 | 33 |
| 4,583,910 | 100.00 | 6,375 | 4,916,819 | 100.00 | 6,838 |
| 3,504,455 | 76.45 | 4,874 | 3,579,252 | 72.80 | 4,978 |
| 84,680 | 1.85 | 118 | 84,680 | 1.72 | 118 |
| 20,157 | 0.44 | 28 | 20,157 | 0.41 | 28 |
| 62,091 | 1.35 | 86 | 62,091 | 1.26 | 86 |
| 312,142 | 6.81 | 434 | 312,142 | 6.35 | 434 |
| 111,474 | 2.43 | 155 | 129,359 | 2.63 | 180 |
| 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| 69,897 | 1.52 | 97 | 69,897 | 1.42 | 97 |
| 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 136,256 | 2.77 | 190 |
| 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 103,971 | 2.11 | 145 |
| 419,014 | 9.14 | 583 | 419,014 | 8.52 | 583 |
| 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| 4,164,896 | 100.00 | 5,793 | 4,257,578 | 100.00 | 5,922 |
| 3,265,687 | 78.41 | 4,542 | 3,294,421 | 77.38 | 4,582 |
| 14 | 0.00 | 0 | 14 | 0.00 | 0 |
| 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| 723,545 | 17.37 | 1,006 | 787,493 | 18.50 | 1,095 |
| 102,624 | 2.46 | 143 | 102,624 | 2.41 | 143 |
| 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |

Expenditures by Object (Objects 6100-6600)
Total Expenditures
Operating-Payroll
Other Operating
Non-Operating(Equipt/Supplies)
Expenditures by Function (Objects 6100-6400 Only)
Total Operating Expenditures
Instruction $(11,95)$ *
Instructional Res/Media (12) *
Curriculum/Staff Develop (13) *
Instructional Leadership (21) *
School Leadership (23) *
Guidance/Counseling Svcs (31) *
Social Work Services (32) *
Health Services (33) *
Food (35) **
Extracurricular (36) *
Plant Maint/Operation (51) ***
Security/Monitoring (52) ***
Data Processing Svcs (53)***
Program expenditures by Program (Objects 6100-6400 only)
Total Operating Expenditures
Regular
Gifted \& Talented
Career \& Technical
Students with Disabilities
Accelerated Education
0
*Please refer to sections 1.4 .1 through 1.4.2.1 of Module 1 in the Financial Accountability System Resource Guide (FASRG) and Appendix A. 6 of the FAR
Appendices for information concerning requirements for accounting for expenditures by campus.
**Please note that, in many instances, expenditures under function codes $34-99$ are not directly attributable to a specific campus. It is recommended that district-level data
(http://tea.texas.gov/financialstandardreports/) be used for the analysis of costs reported by comparable school districts.

## School Campus: Lone Star El District: MONTGOMERY ISD


*Please refer to sections 1.4.1 through 1.4.2.1 of Module 1 in the Financial Accountability System Resource Guide (FASRG) and Appendix A. 6 of the FAR Appendices for information concerning requirements for accounting for expenditures by campus
**Please note that, in many instances, expenditures under function codes $34-99$ are not directly attributable to a specific campus. It is recommended that district-level data
(http://tea.texas.gov/financialstandardreports/) be used for the analysis of costs reported by comparable school districts.
Note: Some amounts may not total due to rounding.

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 2017-2018 PEIMS Actual Financial Data by Campus

## School Campus: Madeley Ranch EI District: MONTGOMERY ISD

| General Fund | \% | Per <br> Student | All Funds | \% | Per <br> Student |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4,590,520 | 100.00 | 6,145 | 4,856,732 | 100.00 | 6,502 |
| 4,121,431 | 89.78 | 5,517 | 4,305,297 | 88.65 | 5,763 |
| 451,619 | 9.84 | 605 | 533,965 | 10.99 | 715 |
| 17,470 | 0.38 | 23 | 17,470 | 0.36 | 23 |
| 4,573,050 | 100.00 | 6,122 | 4,839,262 | 100.00 | 6,478 |
| 3,528,291 | 77.15 | 4,723 | 3,606,731 | 74.53 | 4,828 |
| 76,851 | 1.68 | 103 | 76,851 | 1.59 | 103 |
| 19,600 | 0.43 | 26 | 19,600 | 0.41 | 26 |
| 60,205 | 1.32 | 81 | 60,205 | 1.24 | 81 |
| 295,150 | 6.45 | 395 | 295,150 | 6.10 | 395 |
| 204,246 | 4.47 | 273 | 221,631 | 4.58 | 297 |
| 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| 65,630 | 1.44 | 88 | 65,630 | 1.36 | 88 |
| 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 134,076 | 2.77 | 179 |
| 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 36,311 | 0.75 | 49 |
| 323,077 | 7.06 | 432 | 323,077 | 6.68 | 432 |
| 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| 4,249,973 | 100.00 | 5,689 | 4,345,798 | 100.00 | 5,818 |
| 3,315,026 | 78.00 | 4,438 | 3,360,106 | 77.32 | 4,498 |
| 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| 714,957 | 16.82 | 957 | 765,702 | 17.62 | 1,025 |
| 144,512 | 3.40 | 193 | 144,512 | 3.33 | 193 |
| 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |

Expenditures by Object (Objects 6100-6600)
Total Expenditures
Operating-Payroll
Other Operating

Expenditures by Function (Objects 6100-6400 Only)
Total Operating Expenditures
Instruction $(11,95)$ *
Instructional Res/Media (12) *
Curriculum/Staff Develop (13) *
Instructional Leadership (21) *
School Leadership (23) *

5,818
4,498

0
1,025
193
0
*Please refer to sections 1.4.1 through 1.4.2.1 of Module 1 in the Financial Accountability System Resource Guide (FASRG) and Appendix A. 6 of the FAR
Appendices for information concerning requirements for accounting for expenditures by campus.
**Please note that, in many instances, expenditures under function codes $34-99$ are not directly attributable to a specific campus. It is recommended that district-level data
(http://tea.texas.gov/financialstandardreports/) be used for the analysis of costs reported by comparable school districts.

School Campus: Madeley Ranch EI District: MONTGOMERY ISD
Campus Number: 170903106 Total Membership: 747

|  | General Fund | \% | Per <br> Student | All Funds | \% | Per <br> Student |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nondisc Alted-AEP Basic Serv | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |  |
| Disc Alted-DAEP Basic Serv | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |  |
| Disc Alted-DAEP Supplemental | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |  |
| T1 A Schoolwide-St Comp >=40\% | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |  |
| Athletic Programming | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |  |
| High School Allotment | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |  |
| Prekindergarten | 75,478 | 1.78 | 101 | 75,478 | 1.74 |  |

*Please refer to sections 1.4.1 through 1.4.2.1 of Module 1 in the Financial Accountability System Resource Guide (FASRG) and Appendix A. 6 of the FAR
Appendices for information concerning requirements for accounting for expenditures by campus
**Please note that, in many instances, expenditures under function codes $34-99$ are not directly attributable to a specific campus. It is recommended that district-level data
(http://tea.texas.gov/financialstandardreports/) be used for the analysis of costs reported by comparable school districts.
Note: Some amounts may not total due to rounding

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 2017-2018 PEIMS Actual Financial Data by Campus

## School Campus: Keenan El District: MONTGOMERY ISD


*Please refer to sections 1.4.1 through 1.4.2.1 of Module 1 in the Financial Accountability System Resource Guide (FASRG) and Appendix A. 6 of the FAR
Appendices for information concerning requirements for accounting for expenditures by campus.
**Please note that, in many instances, expenditures under function codes $34-99$ are not directly attributable to a specific campus. It is recommended that district-level data
(http://tea.texas.gov/financialstandardreports/) be used for the analysis of costs reported by comparable school districts.

## School Campus: Keenan El District: MONTGOMERY ISD <br> Campus Number: 170903107 Total Membership: 758

|  | General Fund | \% | Per <br> Student | All Funds | \% | Per <br> Student |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nondisc Alted-AEP Basic Serv | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |  |
| Disc Alted-DAEP Basic Serv | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |  |
| Disc Alted-DAEP Supplemental | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |  |
| T1 A Schoolwide-St Comp >=40\% | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |  |
| Athletic Programming | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |  |
| High School Allotment | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |  |
| Prekindergarten | 115,819 | 2.63 | 153 | 115,819 | 2.60 |  |

*Please refer to sections 1.4.1 through 1.4.2.1 of Module 1 in the Financial Accountability System Resource Guide (FASRG) and Appendix A. 6 of the FAR
Appendices for information concerning requirements for accounting for expenditures by campus
**Please note that, in many instances, expenditures under function codes $34-99$ are not directly attributable to a specific campus. It is recommended that district-level data
(http://tea.texas.gov/financialstandardreports/) be used for the analysis of costs reported by comparable school districts.
Note: Some amounts may not total due to rounding.

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 2017-2018 PEIMS Actual Financial Data by Campus

## School Campus: Lincoln El District: MONTGOMERY ISD <br> Campus Number: 170903102 Total Membership: 0


*Please refer to sections 1.4 . 1 through 1.4.2.1 of Module 1 in the Financial Accountability System Resource Guide (FASRG) and Appendix A. 6 of the FAR
Appendices for information concerning requirements for accounting for expenditures by campus.
**Please note that, in many instances, expenditures under function codes $34-99$ are not directly attributable to a specific campus. It is recommended that district-level data
(http://tea.texas.gov/financialstandardreports/) be used for the analysis of costs reported by comparable school districts.

## School Campus: Lincoln El District: MONTGOMERY ISD <br> Campus Number: 170903102 <br> Total Membership: 0


*Please refer to sections 1.4.1 through 1.4.2.1 of Module 1 in the Financial Accountability System Resource Guide (FASRG) and Appendix A. 6 of the FAR Appendices for information concerning requirements for accounting for expenditures by campus
**Please note that, in many instances, expenditures under function codes $34-99$ are not directly attributable to a specific campus. It is recommended that district-level data
(http://tea.texas.gov/financialstandardreports/) be used for the analysis of costs reported by comparable school districts.
Note: Some amounts may not total due to rounding.
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## 2018-2019 Accreditation Statuses

The Texas Education Agency awards an accreditation status to each public school district and charter school. The accreditation status is based on the academic accountability rating and financial ratings from the Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas. A district or charter must be accredited by the state to operate as a public school.

The district accreditation statuses for 2018-2019 are listed below:

Show/Hide columns:
CDN | Name | ESC | District Type | 2014 FIRST Rating| 2014 Accountability Rating| 2015 FIRST Rating | 2015 Accountability Rating | 2016 FIRST Rating| 2016 Accountability Rating | 2017 FIRST Rating| 2017 Accountability Rating | 2018 FIRST Rating| 2018 Accountability Rating | $2018-2019$ Accreditation Status| Reason For Status | Notes

| Show 10 |  | $\checkmark$ | entries |  |  | Search: 170903 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CDN ${ }^{\text {F }}$ | Name | - | ESC ${ }^{-}$ | 2018 FIRST Rating | $2018$ <br> Accountability Rating | 2018-2019 <br> Accreditation Status |  | Reason For Status |  | Notes | - |
| 170903 | MONTGOMERY ISD |  | 6 | A - Superior | B | ACCREDITED |  |  |  |  |  |

स̛onteomeryIndependent School District

MONTGOMERY I.S.D.
2018-2019
Campus Performance Objectives

## 2018-2019 MISD Campus Performance Objectives

The Texas Education Code $\S 11.253$ requires that each campus improvement plan set objectives based on the Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR) and periodically measure progress toward the performance objectives. These objectives must be approved by the local board of trustees and must be included in the published TAPR.

The Campus Improvement Plans for all campuses can be found using the following hyperlinks:

Montgomery High School
Lake Creek High School
Montgomery Junior High School
Oak Hills Junior High School
Montgomery Elementary School
Stewart Creek Elementary School
Lone Star Elementary School
Madeley Ranch Elementary School
Keenan Elementary School
Lincoln Elementary School

# Montgomery Independent School District <br> Montgomery High School 

2019-2020 Campus Improvement Plan
Accountability Rating: A


## Mission Statement

Montgomery High School, with an unyielding commitment to excellence, will provide a premier academic program that recognizes the unique potential of each student and integrates the intellectual, social and physical aspects of learning. This program will empower each student to become an eager lifelong learner committed to academic excellence, integrity, responsible citizenship and service to others.

## Vision

Together we will focus on student learning, provide a safe and supportive learning environment, and build positive relationships within our school and school community.

## Core Beliefs

Montgomery High School believes that all students can learn.
Montgomery High School believes that every student can graduate.
Montgomery High School believes that all students can have a post graduation plan that includes going to college, getting a certification, entering the work force, or entering the service.
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Goal 4: MISD will establish procedures to allocate existing resources to areas of greatest need and actively pursue alternative sources of revenue. ..... 26
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## Comprehensive Needs Assessment

## Demographics

## Demographics Summary

Montgomery High School is a successful high school, with a total enrollment estimated enrollment of 1,561.

| Ethnicity | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| White | 1195 | $76.55 \%$ |
| Hispanic | 213 | $13.65 \%$ |
| African American | 90 | $5.76 \%$ |
| Asian | 30 | $1.92 \%$ |
| American Indian/Alaskan | 25 | $1.60 \%$ |
| Hawaiian Islander | 8 | $0.51 \%$ |
| Total Enrollment | 1775 | $100.00 \%$ |

The high school has 377 economically disadvantaged students which represents $24.15 \%$ of the population.
We have a growing At-Risk population of 573 students, $36.70 \%$ of the population. This is broken down into grade level groups with there being 137 at risk 9th graders, 164 at risk 10th graders, 140 at risk 11th graders, and 132 at risk 12th graders.

## Demographics Strengths

Our student body is very active and involved in sports, clubs, and service organizations. Students feel a strong sense of community within the school building. The student section at most athletic events is large and extremely spirited. Over the past 3 years the attendance at school sponsored dances has increased from 1000 students to 1600 students, and our Homecoming football game student section is almost overflowing. We are proud of the commitment our students have made to be a part of the culture of Montgomery High School.

## Problem Statements Identifying Demographics Needs

Problem Statement 1: Students have failing grades on their transcript, so they are behind in credits for graduation. Root Cause: We have a growing number of students who have not been successful in one or more classes due to disengagement and classroom disconnect.

## Student Academic Achievement

## Student Academic Achievement Summary

Montgomery High School received the highest rating of Met Standard and Received an overall 90 points for the 2018-2019 school year. This constitutes the A rating that were shooting to receive. The score is based on $70 \%$ from either Domain I or II whichever is greater and $30 \%$ from Domain III.

Domain I - Student Achievement - 40\% STAAR Scores, 40\% College, Career, Military Readiness, 20\% Graduation Rates - Total Score - 92
Domain II - School Progress - 79 This represents a C score and we would like to see improvement in this area
This is based on the growth of students from 8th grade Math to Algebra and English I to English II STAAR tests. This will always be the lower of the Domains so won't be counted in accountability. This issue is that all advanced math students get the growth measure in 8th grade, so the sample size for 9th grade math is smaller and harder to hit. We will continue to work on making sure students are learning and mastering content.

Domain III - Closing the Gaps - 86 - This represents a B Score
This domain is focused on helping all students succeed. This data indicates the improvement needed. One of our performance objectives targets this Domain. We are working to shift from a focus on teaching to a focus on learning.

## Student Academic Achievement Strengths

The majority of students at Montgomery High School perform well in their courses and on state assessments. Enrollment in Advanced Placement and Dual Credit classes continues to grow, leading to more students obtaining college credit while still in high school. In addition, through our CTE Programs, students are able to graduate with certifications that can lead straight to employment. Many of our students take the SAT/ACT and have a post-graduation plan in place.

## Problem Statements Identifying Student Academic Achievement Needs

Problem Statement 1: When scores are broken down into sub-populations, it becomes evident that we are struggling to reach our African American, Special Education, and Low Socio Economic populations. Root Cause: These populations do not always respond to traditional teaching methods.

## School Processes \& Programs

## School Processes \& Programs Summary

Montgomery High School serves our student population of 1800 students with the following staff:
1 Principal
4 Assistant Principals
1 Lead Counselor
3 Counselors
1 Nurse
1 Diagnostician
1504 Coordinator
1 College and Career Coordinator
110 Teachers
3 Classroom Aides

## 15 Administrative Aides

The MHS Leadership Team is made up of the Principals, Counselors and Department Chairs. The department chairs work to handle the administrative and instructional needs of the teachers. We meet as the Leadership Team to determine ways the principals and counselors can assist the department chairs with their teachers' needs.

Our teachers are broken into teams and have time to meet and plan built into their day. These meetings are scheduled through the department chair and each department has an administrative liaison who meets with those teams throughout the year for support.

## School Processes \& Programs Strengths

Our teacher teams work very well together. They meet to plan their lessons and to discuss student needs. The department chairs are innovative thinkers who work to find strategies that will help make the teachers' classroom time effective and efficient. The Leadership team is committed to supporting all teachers and students, so that Montgomery High School can continue its history of excellence.

## Problem Statements Identifying School Processes \& Programs Needs

Problem Statement 1: Student data is not always used to guide lesson plan development. Root Cause: Teachers don't always analyze the individual assessment data for their classes and alter instruction to match the assessment outcomes.

## Perceptions

## Perceptions Summary

The Home of the Bears, Montgomery High School, is a part of the culture of this town. With the addition of Lake Creek High School, the community now has two high schools and there are now Lions in the mix. It will be important for Montgomery High School to focus on building strong ties to our school community and to our feeder schools in order to keep the traditions of MHS intact.

## Perceptions Strengths

Montgomery High School has an active student body and parents support our groups by attending all school events and activities. We have an active PTO that supports our teachers and most teachers are happy to work at the high school. In a recent survey, $92 \%$ of teachers stated that they felt supported by their administration. We are working on improving communication with parents and teachers by using technology such as Remind and School Messenger. School Announcements will now be displayed continuously in the cafeteria on our new screens.

## Problem Statements Identifying Perceptions Needs

Problem Statement 1: Public perception of the high school is that we are not always student friendly because of our policies and procedures. Root Cause: Policies and procedures are necessary to keep things running smoothly.

## Priority Problem Statements

## Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

## Improvement Planning Data

- Campus and/or district planning and decision making committee(s) meeting data


## Accountability Data

- Student Achievement Domain
- Student Progress Domain
- Closing the Gaps Domain


## Student Data: Assessments

- STAAR End-of-Course current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS) results
- Advanced Placement (AP) and/or International Baccalaureate (IB) assessment data
- SAT and/or ACT assessment data
- Student failure and/or retention rates


## Student Data: Student Groups

- Race and ethnicity data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress between groups
- Economically disadvantaged / Non-economically disadvantaged performance and participation data
- Special education/non-special education population including discipline, progress and participation data
- Response to Intervention (RtI) student achievement data
- Dual-credit and/or college prep course completion data


## Student Data: Behavior and Other Indicators

- Completion rates and/or graduation rates data
- Annual dropout rate data
- Attendance data


## Employee Data

- Professional learning communities (PLC) data
- Campus department and/or faculty meeting discussions and data
- TTESS data


## Parent/Community Data

- Parent surveys and/or other feedback


## Goals

## Revised/Approved: September 17, 2019

## Goal 1: MISD will provide a challenging curriculum, assess individual student achievement and support efforts to ensure student success.

Performance Objective 1: $90 \%$ of all student groups will approach standard, $75 \%$ will meet standard, and $30 \%$ will master standard on STAAR EOC assessments.

Evaluation Data Source(s) 1: STAAR Scores
Accountability Tables
Summative Evaluation 1:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | Summative |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar | June |
| 1) Teachers will choose Essential Learning Standards for each subject each grading period to create a full Scope and Sequence. | Principals, Department <br> Chairs; Teachers | MAC (Montgomery Aligned Curriculum) documents |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Create and administer common assessments addressing the Essential Learning Standards through Eduphoria. | Principals, Department Chairs, Teachers | Common Assessments |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Utilize PLC time to analyze common assessment data and state assessment data to determine curriculum efficiency for all learners. | Principals, Department Chairs, Teachers | Data based decision making |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Review Accountability data, expectations, and strategies for success with entire staff during staff development. | Principals | Teachers work together as a school to earn an A on Accountability |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Vertically and horizontally align Advanced Courses to promote students reaching Masters Standard on STAAR EOC assessments. | Principals, Department Chairs, AP Teachers | $30 \%$ of tests earning Masters Level |  |  |  |  |


| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 6) Refine program that requires every student to write in every class every six weeks. | Principals, Department Chairs | Increased Writing Abilities |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Counselors will conduct individual student scheduling meetings to ensure students are in classes that meet their learning needs. | Counselors, Principals | Student Success in classes |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Monitor Failure Rate by Teacher, Subject, and Department each grading period; have Student Success meetings with teachers above $10 \%$ failure rate. | Principals | To measure effectiveness of chosen Essential Learning Standards. |  |  |  |  |
| 9) Offer exam exemptions in certain EOC tested subject areas for students who attain the meets and masters standard. | Principals, Department Chairs | Incentivize Students to Perform at their best level on the EOC test. |  |  |  |  |
| 10) Incorporate ACT/SAT preparation strategies in classrooms to help students reach the meets or masters standard. | Principals, Department Chairs | Increased Content Mastery |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Goal 1: MISD will provide a challenging curriculum, assess individual student achievement and support efforts to ensure student success.
Performance Objective 2: MHS will increase STAAR EOC scores by 5\% in the approaches and meets standard category across individual student populations with a strong focus on Special Education, Economically Disadvantaged, and African American students.

Evaluation Data Source(s) 2: STAAR scores
Accountability Tables
Summative Evaluation 2:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | Summative |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar | June |
| 1) Provide staff development and training to all staff on the use of Eduphoria to analyze student data with a focus on identifying students' demographic information. | Principals, TIMS | Data driven decision making |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Provide EOC Prep and Remediation for students who did not meet or approached standards during the previous year testing administration. | Teachers, Principals, Counselors | To show growth in student progress. |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Provide ESOL support for LEP students to increase performance in all courses and on state assessments. | Principals, Counselors, ESL Coordinator | Increase in TELPAS and STAAR scores |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Provide ESL training for teachers that teach English Learners. | ESL Coordinator | Increase in TELPAS and STAAR scores of identified students. |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Create a Bear Learning Lab that focuses on reading and math intervention for students who have been unable to master content in those areas. | Principals | Increase content mastery and classroom success. |  |  |  |  |
| 6) Formulate a comprehensive RtI initiative including a Student Success Committee to identify struggling students and address their individual learning needs. | Principals, Student Success Committee | Student success for all students. |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Provide PLC period to Special Education CoTeachers to allow their involvement in subject area planning to increase their ability to serve the students in their classes. | Principals, Department Chairs | Increase Special Education Achievement |  |  |  |  |


| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | Summative |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar | June |
| 8) Analyze individual student population data during Student Success meetings with teachers who have a higher than $10 \%$ failure rate to devise alternate strategies for student learning. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Goal 1: MISD will provide a challenging curriculum, assess individual student achievement and support efforts to ensure student success.
Performance Objective 3: MHS will increase the College, Career, Military Readiness (CCMR) score to 85 .
Evaluation Data Source(s) 3: Accountability Tables CCMR Table

Summative Evaluation 3:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | Summative |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar | June |
| 1) Counselors will hold individual student scheduling meetings to increase CCMR by identifying students who would benefit from Dual Credit, Advanced Placement, ROTC, Workforce Certifications, etc. | Principals, <br> Counselors, <br> Department Chairs, Teachers | Ensure all students have a CCMR point. |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Provide ACT Prep Classes to increase number of students taking ACT, and increase scores to $5 \%$ above state average. | College and Career Counselor, Prep Teachers, Principals, Counselors | SAT/ACT Reports |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Create spreadsheet to track CCMR data for students beginning with their 9th grade year; encourage post secondary preparedness in students that have not acquired CCMR point. | College and Career Counselor | All students earn CCMR point. |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Provide up-to-date information on careers, certifications, colleges and financial aid through the College and Career Center. | College and Career Counselor | Post Secondary Preparedness |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Continue to offer the TSI test on campus for students to qualify for dual credit classes and earn CCMR credits. | College and Career Counselor | All students earn CCMR point. |  |  |  |  |
| 6) Organize Curriculum Fair for 8th grade students to assist in their decision making about post secondary plans. | College and Career Counselor | Students make informed decisions about their future career and choose classes to support that goal. |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Organize Curriculum Fair for 8th grade students to assist in their decision making about post secondary plans. | College and Career Counselor | Students make informed decisions about their future career and choose classes to support that goal. |  |  |  |  |


| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 8) Coordinate Military Recruiter visits in the cafeteria throughout the school year and promote participation in the ROTC program. | College and Career Counselor | Target students interested in military service. |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Goal 1: MISD will provide a challenging curriculum, assess individual student achievement and support efforts to ensure student success.
Performance Objective 4: Continue implementation of Dropout and Completion rate improvement plan, in order to reduce the drop out rate to less than $1 \%$.

Evaluation Data Source(s) 4: Attendance Rate, Drop Out and Leaver Reports, Accountability Report
Summative Evaluation 4:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | Summative |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar | June |
| 1) Identify students who are At-Risk of dropping out and provide mentor teachers to monitor attendance, grades, and behavior. | Principals, Counselors, Mentor Teachers | Reduce the number of students who do not earn credit for courses |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Create Student Success team to monitor Failure Rate by Student and identify students who have failed multiple subjects each grading period to develop ways to help the student recover credit and be successful in future grading periods. | Principals, <br> Department <br> Chairs, <br> Counselors, 504 <br> Coordinator, <br> Diagnostician | Improved grades, improved attendance for at risk students |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Maintain 4 year graduation rate by providing additional credit recovery options through Compass Lab, Texas Tech High School, and Bear Learning Lab for students. | Principals, Compass Teacher, and Counselors | Course completion rate of students in Compass or Texas Tech, 4 year graduation rate, decrease in number of drop outs |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Monitor Leaver Report weekly; make contact to encourage students to return to school or obtain GED. File on students that are under age 19. | Principals, Registrar, MISD Officers | Reduction in Drop out Rate |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Truancy Prevention Plan: <br> Measure 1: Meeting with students after 5 absences. <br> Measure 2: Contacting parents by phone and letter, and holding Attendance Meetings with parents <br> Measure 3: Truancy Check by MISD Police after 8 absences. <br> Measure 4: File on students after 10 absences. | Principals, Attendance Clerks | Decrease habitual attendance problems that lead to dropping out. |  |  |  |  |


| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 6) Identify and serve students who qualify for services and supports under the McKinneyVento Act (homeless status). | Principal, Counselors, Registrar, Director of Special Programs | Inclusive environment for all students |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Teachers and students will participate in Kevin Atlas motivational program in August and we will implement his character videos throughout the school year at lunches. | Teachers, Counselors, Principals | Help build community and encourage students to commit to graduation. |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Counselors will create group counseling sessions addressing bullying, depression, anxiety, grief/loss, etc. and publicize the meeting dates and times to reach students needing assistance in these areas. | Counselors | Address concerns of students that lead to their disenchantment with school. |  |  |  |  |
| 9) Counselors will host new student breakfasts throughout the year and assign new student ambassadors to help those students acclimate to their new school. | Counselors | Connect students to the school . |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Goal 2: MISD will vigorously enforce policies and procedures that promote a safe and orderly environment.

Performance Objective 1: By thoroughly informing and training $100 \%$ of the staff and students on safety policies and procedures and by rigorously enforcing all safety policies and procedures $100 \%$ of the time, MISD will provide a safe and orderly learning environment

Evaluation Data Source(s) 1: Student/Parent Handbooks Pre K-5, Classroom Training, Parent Signature Page. Student/Parent Handbooks 6-12, Campus Training
\& Student/Parent Signature Pages, Staff Development Agendas \& Signature Pages
Summative Evaluation 1:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | Summative |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar | June |
| 1) Provide training for teachers on suicide prevention, conflict resolution and anti-bullying strategies. | Principals and Counselors | Provide support to our At-Risk students |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Update students, staff, and parents on student code of conduct. | Principals | Safe and Orderly Learning Environment |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Work with District Police and local law enforcement to enforce rules that ensure the safety of all students. | Principals | Safe and orderly learning environment |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Provide information to students on suicide prevention, conflict resolution, dating violence prevention, sexual abuse of children, and antibullying strategies. | Counselors | Create an inclusive, safe learning environment for all students. |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Review Discretionary DAEP Placements of all students to ensure that positive behavior supports are implemented. | Principals | Give students a chance to learn from mistakes rather than just receive consequences. |  |  |  |  |
| 6) Train employees on hazardous materials, blood-borne pathogens, sexual harassment, drug/alcohol abuse, and integrated pest management. | Principal, Nurse, HR | Teachers are prepared for emergency situations. |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Update staff on fire, disaster, lock-down, evacuation, and other emergency drills. | Principals | Successful drills throughout the year; prepared staff in the event of an emergency. |  |  |  |  |


| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 8) Limit outside access to the building using controlled access to lock outside doors during instruction; select doors will be open at designated times for student entry. Keep classroom doors locked at all times. | Principals | Limit access to building to provide safe learning environment for our students and staff |  |  |  |  |
| 9) MHS will work to implement a Digital Citizenship Curriculum. | Principals, counselors, teacher and student leaders. | Students will become more committed to an inclusive environment. |  |  |  |  |
| 10) Lock East Side parking lot gates and monitor cars that enter and exit the parking lots to maintain campus safety. | Principals and Parking Lot Attendant | Minimize traffic in and out of parking lot. |  |  |  |  |
| 11) MISD Police will train all staff in Active Shooter Drills during Staff Development, prior to the start of the school year. | Principals | Teachers will be trained on what to do in the event of an emergency |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Goal 3: MISD will improve the technology infrastructure and strategically plan for the deployment of 21st century technology for teacher and student use.

Performance Objective 1: MHS will use technology to inform students and parents of school events and activities.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 1: Updated websites, Parent Survey
Summative Evaluation 1:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Teachers will create and update websites bimonthly to provide students and parents with lessons, assignments, and useful resources. | Principals | Students and parents will be aware of what is happening on a daily basis in the classroom; students will be prepared for college format of LMS. |  |  |  |  |
| 2) MHS will utilize campus website, marquee in front of the school, SchoolMessenger and other forms of social media to inform community of upcoming events and acknowledge accomplishments of students and staff. | Campus Communication Specialist, Principals | Improve parent and community involvement |  |  |  |  |
| 3) MHS will utilize the TV screens in the cafeteria to communicate upcoming events and student accomplishments. | Campus <br> Communication <br> Specialist | Keep students informed. |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Goal 3: MISD will improve the technology infrastructure and strategically plan for the deployment of 21 st century technology for teacher and student use.
Performance Objective 2: MHS will use 21st Century Learning activities to enhance the learning environment.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 2: Teacher Observation and Walk through data
Summative Evaluation 2:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) TIMS will provide Tech Tuesday and after school training for integrating technology into the curriculum. | TIMS | Improve technology instruction in classrooms. |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Incorporate BYOD activities to increase student engagement. | Principals | Engaged learners |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Utilize Turnitin.com for writing assignments to reduce plagiarism. | Department Chairs | Program Usage |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Teachers will utilize Google Classroom and Office 365 to enhance instruction and gather student response data. | Principals | Engaged Learners and Immediate Student Feedback |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Goal 3: MISD will improve the technology infrastructure and strategically plan for the deployment of 21 st century technology for teacher and student use.
Performance Objective 3: MHS will use technology to enhance professional practices.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 3: Spreadsheets with Teacher data
Summative Evaluation 3:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Utilize TxEIS to provide reports on failure rates, attendance rates, demographics, At Risk, and etc. | Principals, Registrars, Counselors | Reports |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Utilize Eduphoria to analyze student data and monitor student performance on common assessments. | Principals, Department Chairs, Teachers | Data driven decisions to guide instruction |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Utilize Eduphoria and Office 365 to distribute IEP and 504 documentation. | Director of Sped, Sped DC, 504 Coordinators | Teacher signatures in Eduphoria |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Use Office 365 Forms to collect teacher responses, complete online parking, and etc. | Principals, <br> Assistant <br> Principals | Streamline processes |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Send out the Bear Necessities e-mail weekly to keep teachers informed of policies, procedures, and events. | Principal | Disseminate information concisely and timely. |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Goal 4: MISD will establish procedures to allocate existing resources to areas of greatest need and actively pursue alternative sources of revenue.

Performance Objective 1: MHS will raise the overall attendance rate by $1 \%$.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 1: Attendance Data
Summative Evaluation 1:


Goal 4: MISD will establish procedures to allocate existing resources to areas of greatest need and actively pursue alternative sources of revenue.
Performance Objective 2: MHS will seek monetary support from the community and clubs for campus initiatives to enhance the learning environment.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 2: Budget
Summative Evaluation 2:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | Summative |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar | June |
| 1) MHS will reach out to community partners like the Chamber of Commerce to garner financial support for campus initiatives. | Principals | Lessen cost of initiatives. |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Utilize class funds to support chosen philanthropic projects that benefit the school. | Principal, <br> Finance <br> Secretary | Ability to do projects we might not have otherwise been able to fund. |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Goal 5: MISD will monitor growth and plan for an orderly, systemic process to ensure quality programs and facilities.

Performance Objective 1: MHS will update facilities and classrooms to enhance the learning environment.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 1: Photos of classrooms and facilities.
Summative Evaluation 1:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) MHS will purchase new desks and tables for classrooms to create a more inviting and functional instructional area. | Principals | Better student engagement |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Add branding and logos throughout the common areas of the school to build student morale. | Principals | Make the school more relevant and inviting. |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Repurpose the East Campus Library to be a learning commons to meet student learning needs. | Principal | Give students a place to work. |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Goal 6: MISD will recruit and retain excellent personnel and continually provide quality staff development.

Performance Objective 1: MHS will plan activities throughout the year to foster teacher retention, development, and appreciation
Evaluation Data Source(s) 1:
Summative Evaluation 1:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Hold New Teacher Meetings throughout the year to orient new teachers, provide training, and answer questions. | Principals | Teachers will want to stay at MHS |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Provide Mentors and Buddies to all new teachers. | Principals | Teachers will feel supported at MHS throughout the year |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Hold teacher celebrations quarterly to foster team building <br> Burgers for Lunch <br> Cocoa Bar <br> Coke Floats | Principals and Counselors | Teacher Rapport |  |  |  |  |
| $100 \%=\text { Accomplished } \quad 0 \%=\text { Nontinue/Modify } \quad=\text { No Progress } \quad=\text { Discontinue }$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Goal 6: MISD will recruit and retain excellent personnel and continually provide quality staff development.
Performance Objective 2: MHS will recruit strong, highly qualified educators from across the state.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 2:
Summative Evaluation 2:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Attend job fairs/recruitment fairs with HR to attract HQ staff. | Principal | Quality Applicants |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Participate in Montgomery Job Fair with multiple representatives from our school to meet potential applicants. | Principals | Quality Applicants |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Coordinate with HR to ensure that candidates are highly qualified. | Principals | 100\% Highly Qualified staff |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Goal 7: MISD will establish a process that ensures open, honest, and frequent communication with the public.

Performance Objective 1: MHS will utilize SchoolMessenger, Twitter, Remind, and other resources to communicate with the public
Evaluation Data Source(s) 1:
Summative Evaluation 1:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | Summative <br> June |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Increase the use of School Messenger to communicate school events and information with the community and stakeholders. | School <br> Messenger <br> Coordinator | Improved perception of communication at MHS |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Promote positive communication between the school, home, and community through campus websites, the campus Marquee, Twitter, Remind, and other social media platforms. | Principal, Webmaster, Coaches and Sponsors | Website |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Coordinate school announcements with PTO to include all announcements in PTO Blast. | Receptionist, Principal's secretary | Email Blast |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Principal Newsletter will be sent quarterly. | Principal | Improved school to parent communication |  |  |  |  |
| $100 \%=\text { Accomplished } \quad 0 \%=\text { Continue/Modify } \quad=\text { No Progress } \quad=\text { Discontinue }$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Goal 8: MISD will encourage and promote a climate that fosters parental participation in the education of our children.

Performance Objective 1: MHS will support the Parent Teacher Organization and offer opportunities to educate and involve parents in the education of our students.

## Evaluation Data Source(s) 1:

Summative Evaluation 1:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Attend scheduled PTO meetings and give brief overview of what is happening on our campus; assist the PTO in finding speakers for their meetings. | Principal, Other staff guests as requested | Sign in sheets |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Encourage staff to join PTO. | Principal | Membership |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Hold annual Meet the Teacher to welcome parents to the school and communicate school expectations. | Principal | Sign in sheets |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Provide Fish Camp and Senior Summit Presentations before school starts to prepare students and parents for the year to come. | Principals, Counselors | Attendance |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Provide a variety of Parent Meetings on relevant topics, such as Transition to High School, Advanced Course Offerings, HB5, and etc. | Counselors and Principals | Attendance |  |  |  |  |
| 6) Invite parents to participate in individual student scheduling meetings. | Counselors | Parent involvement in the educational process. |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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## Comprehensive Needs Assessment

## Demographics

## Demographics Summary

Lake Creek High School is a first year high school, with a total enrollment of 910 students. The school has 9th, 10th and 11th graders. Lake Creek HS will be 9-12 in 2019-2020.

| Campus Profile Data |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| Ethnicity | Percent |
| White | $77.91 \%$ |
| Hispanic | $15.38 \%$ |
| African American | $1.65 \%$ |
| Asian | $1.76 \%$ |
| American Indian/Alaskan | $1.21 \%$ |
| Multi-Racial | $1.87 \%$ |
| Hawaiian/Pacific Islander | $.22 \%$ |
| Total Enrollment | 910 |
|  |  |

LCHS has 124 At Risk students, which is $13 \%$ of our total population and 210 Eco. Disadvantaged students, which is $23 \%$ of our total enrollment.

## Demographics Strengths

The majority of students at Lake Creek High School perform well in their courses and on state assessments. Enrollment in Advanced Placement and Dual Credit classes is growing continues to grow, leading to more students obtaining college credit while still in high school. Many of our students take the SAT/ACT and have a post-graduation plan in place. Lake Creek High School will be a SAT center in the Spring of '19.

## Student Academic Achievement

## Student Academic Achievement Summary

Students who transferred to LCHS from MHS earned scores to help the high school receive the rating of Met Standard for the 2017-2018 School Year.
Scores were well above the Target Scores in the all 4 Indexes that were measured. When all high school students are measured as a whole group, the scores were oustanding.

The 2017-2018 overall STAAR scores for high school students were:
Domain $1=$ Student Achievement $=90(\mathrm{~A})$
Domain 2= School Progress= 81 (B) for Academic Growth \& 73 (C) for Relative Performance
Domain 3= Closing the Gaps= 83 (B)
Overall Score: 88

## Student Academic Achievement Strengths

Our overall data of an 88 allows us to predict where our students are performing. Domain I of Student Achievement was the highest area for high school student scores, which was a 90 . We must build on this Domain to help us improve the other areas.

Our High School students perform well in their courses and on state assessments.
Enrollment in Advanced Placement and Dual Credit classes continues to grow, leading to more students obtaining college credit while still in high school. Many of our students take the SAT/ACT and have a post-graduation plan in place.

## Problem Statements Identifying Student Academic Achievement Needs

Problem Statement 1: We received an 83 in the Closing the Gaps Domain and need more students scoring in the "Masters" category. Root Cause: We must focus on better serving students from our subgroups including SPED, LEP, African American, Hispanic, and White student groups.

Problem Statement 2: We received a 73 in the Relative Performance Domain and need to increase this domain to receive a score of 85 or higher. Root

Cause: We must use our Low Socio student data to guide our instruction and assessment of this student group.

## School Processes \& Programs

## School Processes \& Programs Summary

- We will continue to provide the Buddy/Mentor program for new staff members.
- New staff will meet $\mathrm{w} /$ administration $\&$ counseling throughout the year.
- Staff celebrations support our efforts to provide a collegial and caring staff.
- Administrative Coaching Days supports our mission.


## Perceptions

## Perceptions Summary

LCHS focus will be on creating a campus culture and a customer service approach that best fits our clientele and their needs. Establishing a school family and creating our own LCHS traditions will be a priority area for our campus during the 2018-2019 school year. Stand w/ the Lions, Student Orientations, Back to School PTO Brunch and our day one Pep Rally are exciting cultural events taht got us off to a great start in our first year. Our staff is doing a book study w/ "The Present."

## Perceptions Strengths

LCHS has had a lot of community, District, and parent support throughout the building and opening of the new campus. We already have a strong parent presence and an active PTO Board that has done a fantastic job of helping us prepare for the new school year and welcome our students and staff to their new school home.

## Priority Problem Statements

## Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

## Improvement Planning Data

- District goals
- Campus Performance Objectives Summative Review from previous year
- Current and/or prior year(s) campus and/or district improvement plans
- Campus and/or district planning and decision making committee(s) meeting data


## Accountability Data

- Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR) data
- Accountability Distinction Designations


## Student Data: Assessments

- State and federally required assessment information (e.g. curriculum, eligibility, format, standards, accommodations, TEA information)
- STAAR End-of-Course current and longitudinal results, including all versions


## Student Data: Student Groups

- STEM/STEAM data


## Student Data: Behavior and Other Indicators

- Completion rates and/or graduation rates data
- Annual dropout rate data
- Attendance data
- Discipline records


## Employee Data

- Professional learning communities (PLC) data
- Campus department and/or faculty meeting discussions and data


## Goals

## Revised/Approved: September 17, 2019

## Goal 1: MISD will provide a challenging curriculum, assess individual student achievement and support efforts to ensure student success.

Performance Objective 1: $90 \%$ of all students combined over all subject areas will meet Level II performance standards within the state accountability system; with a minimum increase of $10 \%$ in Level III.

Evaluation Data Source(s) 1: Common Assessment Data; T-TESS Observations and Campus-implemented Walk-thrus; Student Grades; STAAR Scores and Accountability Index

## Summative Evaluation 1:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | Summative |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar | June |
| 1) Revise Scope and Sequence for each course taught at Lake Creek High School, based on student data. | Principal; AP w/ Curriculum Department Chairs; Teachers; Administrative Liaisons | Utilized Summer planning and Core PLCs; Updated Scope and Sequence documents in MAC; |  |  |  |  |
| Comprehensive Support Strategy <br> 2) Provide PLC Period for core teachers to align lesson plans with scope and sequence and create common assessments to measure student growth. | Principal, A Team, Instructional Technologist, Department Chairs, Teachers | PLC Agendas and sign in sheets, common assessments |  |  |  |  |


| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | Summative <br> June |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 3) Utilize common assessment data and state assessment data to analyze curriculum efficiency for all learners. | AP w/ Curriculum, Department Chairs, Instructional Technologist | Common Assessment Data Reports |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Review Accountability expectations and strategies for success with entire staff. | Principal, AP w/ Curriculum | State Accountability Data, Sign In Sheets |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Administrators will utilize coaching day, each week, to complete walk throughs, meet with Department Chairs, and attend PLC Meetings. | Principal, AP w/ Curriculum | Weekly Check List |  |  |  |  |
| 6) Vertically and horizontally align Advanced Courses to promote students reaching Advanced Level III on STAAR and Level 3, 4, and 5 on AP Exams. | AP w/ Curriculum, Department Chairs, Admin Liaisons | student data reports, scope and sequence |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Provide class for GT identified students to complete projects based on interest. | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathrm{AP} \text { w/ } \\ \text { Curriculum, GT } \\ \text { Teacher } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Projects |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Increase participation in Dual Credit Classes by increasing course offerings and streamlining registration and testing process. | DC Counselor, AP w/ Curriculum | Course Enrollment |  |  |  |  |
| 9) Increase participation in AP Classes by increasing course offerings, requiring students to take the AP Test, training staff, and rewarding students scoring 3,4 , or 5 on the test. | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{AP} \text { w/ } \\ & \text { Curriculum, AP } \\ & \text { Counselor } \end{aligned}$ | Course enrollment |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Goal 1: MISD will provide a challenging curriculum, assess individual student achievement and support efforts to ensure student success.
Performance Objective 2: 90\% economically disadvantages students and two lowest performing racial/ethnic groups from the prior year (African American and Hispanic) will meet the weighted performance (Level II and III)

Evaluation Data Source(s) 2: STAAR scores and benchmark assessments
Summative Evaluation 2:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| Comprehensive Support Strategy <br> 1) Provide staff development and training to all staff on the use of Eduphoria to analyze student data, including demographics. | Instructional Technologist, TIMS, AP w/ Curriculum, DC's | Student Data Reports |  |  |  |  |
| Comprehensive Support Strategy <br> 2) Provide Study Skills Classes to increase student success with all courses; students assigned to class will be identified by specific criteria. | CAP Team, 504 Coordinator, Department Chairs | Failure Rate Report |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Provide EOC Prep and Remediation Classes for students who did not achieve Level II during the previous year. | AP w/ Curriculum, Counselors, Administrative Liaisons | Student Data Reports |  |  |  |  |
| Comprehensive Support Strategy <br> 4) Provide ESOL classes for LEP students to increase performance in all courses and on state assessments. | AP w/ Curriculum, ESL Coordinator, LOTE DC | Failure Rate Reports, Student Data Reports |  |  |  |  |
| Comprehensive Support Strategy <br> 5) Provide writing intervention period to SPED students in ELA, who did not meet Level II performance on STAAR the previous year. | AP w/ Curriculum \& Lead Counselor | Student Schedules |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Goal 1: MISD will provide a challenging curriculum, assess individual student achievement and support efforts to ensure student success.
Performance Objective 3: $90 \%$ of all students including racial/ethnic groups will meet final Level II standard on one or more tests combined over all subject areas; thus meeting criteria for College and Career Readiness

Evaluation Data Source(s) 3: Common Assessments, STAAR data
Summative Evaluation 3:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | Summative |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar | June |
| 1) Track and increase College Readiness; defined in MISD as a score of $80 \%$ or higher on state assessments. | AP w/ <br> Curriculum, <br> College and <br> Career Counselor \& Aide, Counselors | STAAR Scores, SAT/ACT Scores |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Provide ACT Prep Classes to increase number of students taking ACT, and increase scores to $5 \%$ above state average. | College and Career <br> Counselor, Prep <br> Teachers, AP w/ Curriculum | SAT/ACT Reports |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Utilize Career Cruiser Program data to help students identify endorsement based on strengths. | College and Career Counselor \& Aide | Endorsements |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Provide up-to-date information on careers, certifications, colleges and financial aid through the College and Career Center. | College and Career Counselor \& Aide | College and Career Website Student Sign in Sheets |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Goal 1: MISD will provide a challenging curriculum, assess individual student achievement and support efforts to ensure student success.
Performance Objective 4: Continue implementation of Dropout and Completion rate improvement plan, in order to reduce the drop out rate to less than $1 \%$. (Currently 0.6 \% based on $16-17$ MHS data).

Evaluation Data Source(s) 4: Attendance Rate, Drop Out and Leaver Reports, 2016 Accountability Report

## Summative Evaluation 4:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | Summative |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar | June |
| Comprehensive Support Strategy <br> 1) Identify students who are At-Risk and provide mentor teachers to monitor attendance, grades, and behavior (RtI). | Principal, <br> Assistant <br> Principals, <br> Counselors, <br> Mentor Teachers | Failure Reports, Attendance Reports, Discipline Reports |  |  |  |  |
| Comprehensive Support Strategy <br> 2) Create Student Success team to monitor RtI interventions and student progress. | Principal, <br> Department <br> Chairs, <br> Counselors, Admin Liaisons, 504 Coordinators | Improved grades, improved attendance for at risk students |  |  |  |  |
| Comprehensive Support Strategy <br> 3) Lake Creek will have its first senior class in School Year 2019-2020. We will provide at-risk students with the opportunity to regain credit through additional credit recovery options through Compass Lab and Texas Tech Online High School. | AP w/ Curriculum, Compass Teacher and Counselors | Course completion rate of students in Compass or Texas Tech, 4 year graduation rate, decrease in number of leavers in junior class over SY 18-19 |  |  |  |  |
| Comprehensive Support Strategy <br> 4) Monitor Failure Rate by Teacher, Subject, and Department each grading period; have Student Success meetings with teachers above $10 \%$ failure rate. | Principal, A Team, Counselors | 6 Week Failure Reports, Student Academic Success Plans |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Monitor Leaver Report weekly; make contact to encourage students to return to school or obtain GED/ File on students that are under age 19. | AP w/ that assignment, Assistant Principals, MISD Police Officers, Registrar | Leaver Report, Reduction in Drop out Rate |  |  |  |  |


| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 6) CAP Team will participate in a Saturday Round Up (Sept. 12th) to recover drop outs. | Principal, <br> Assistant <br> Principals, <br> Counselors, <br> MISD Police, <br> Teacher <br> Volunteers | Leaver Report, Percentage of students reenrolled, Completion Rate |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Truancy Prevention Plan: <br> Measure 1: Meeting with students after 3 absences. <br> Measure 2: Contacting parents by phone and letter, and assigning Saturday School after 5 absences. <br> Measure 3: Welfare Check by MISD Police after 7 absences. <br> Measure 4: File on students after 10 absences to Court System, DISTRICT INITIATIVE | Assistant Principals, Attendance Clerk, Teachers | Increase attendance rate from $94.7 \%$ to $96.5 \%$. |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Daily calls will be made to absent students through School Messenger. | Assistant Principal, Attendance Clerks | Increase attendance rate from $94.7 \%$ to $96.5 \%$. |  |  |  |  |
| 9) Identify and serve students who qualify for services and supports under the McKinneyVento Act (homeless status). | Principal, <br> Counselors, <br> Registrar, <br> Director of Special Programs | Student residency questionnaires, fee \& reduced roster |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Goal 1: MISD will provide a challenging curriculum, assess individual student achievement and support efforts to ensure student success.
Performance Objective 5: Continue implementation and documentation of student accommodations in Special Education and under Section 504 to ensure that all our students have the opportunity to succeed.

Evaluation Data Source(s) 5:

## Summative Evaluation 5:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | Summative |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar | June |
| 1) Add additional trainings during staff development to assist teachers in implementing and documenting accommodations. Provide teachers will mentors to assist them when needed. | Principal, APs, 504 Coordinator, Diagnostician, Special Education teachers, Counselors | Increased student achievement |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Goal 2: MISD will vigorously enforce policies and procedures that promote a safe and orderly environment.

Performance Objective 1: By thoroughly informing and training $100 \%$ of the staff and students on safety policies and procedures and by rigorously enforcing all safety policies and procedures $100 \%$ of the time, MISD will provide a safe and orderly learning environment

Evaluation Data Source(s) 1: Student/Parent Handbooks Pre K-5, Classroom Training, Parent Signature Page. Student/Parent Handbooks 6-12, Campus Training
\& Student/Parent Signature Pages, Staff Development Agendas \& Signature Pages
Summative Evaluation 1:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Provide training for teachers on suicide prevention, conflict resolution, dating violence prevention, sexual abuse of children and anti-bullying strategies. TRAINING LED BY COUNSELORS DURING BACK TO SCHOOL IN-SERVICE | Principal, Assistant Principals, Counselors | Sign in Sheets |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Update students, staff, and parents on student code of conduct | Assistant Principals | Sign in Sheets from Class Meetings Signature page from parents |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Work with District Police and local law enforcement to enforce rules that ensure the safety of all students. | Assistant Principals | Sign In Sheets from meetings |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Provide information to students on suicide prevention, conflict resolution, dating violence prevention, sexual abuse of children, and antibullying strategies. | Counselors, Assistant Principals | Presentation dates |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Review Discretionary DAEP Placements of all students to ensure that positive behavior supports are implemented. | Assistant Principals \& Campus Behavior Coordinator | Sign in sheets from meetings |  |  |  |  |
| 6) Train employees on hazardous materials, blood-borne pathogens, sexual harassment, drug/alcohol abuse, and integrated pest management. | Principal, Nurse, HR | Eduphoria sign in sheet |  |  |  |  |


| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | Summative |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar | June |
| 7) Update staff on fire, disaster, lock-down, evacuation, and other emergency drills. | Designated Assistant Principal | Agenda |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Keep outside doors and classroom doors locked at all times. | Assistant Principal over Safety \& Security; All AP's | Monitor regularly |  |  |  |  |
| 9) Maintain Tardy Station to assign tardies and associated consequences. | Assistant Principals \& Admin. Assistants | Google Docs Spreadsheet |  |  |  |  |
| 10) Train staff on the trends involving teenage use of e-cigarette/ vaping devices and how to detect usage during the school day. | All staff | Reduced occurrence and referrals of vaping devices. |  |  |  |  |
| 11) Train staff and students on the before-school and after-school supervision expectations. | Principal, Assistant <br> Principals, <br> Coaches/Sponsors/Directors | Increased compliance |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Goal 3: MISD will improve the technology infrastructure and strategically plan for the deployment of 21st century technology for teacher and student use.

Performance Objective 1: LCHS will use websites to inform students and parents of school events and activities. Mobile Labs will be shared throughout departments along w/ our fixed lab spaces.

Evaluation Data Source(s) 1:
Summative Evaluation 1:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Teachers will create and update websites bimonthly to provide students and parents with lessons, assignments, and useful resources. | CAP Team, Instructional Technologist | Lesson Plans, Walk Throughs |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Lake Creek will utilize campus website to inform community of upcoming events and acknowledge accomplishments of students and staff. | Webmaster, Principal |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Goal 3: MISD will improve the technology infrastructure and strategically plan for the deployment of 21 st century technology for teacher and student use.
Performance Objective 2: LCHS will train teachers in the use of 21st Century Learning activities to enhance the learning environment.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 2:
Summative Evaluation 2:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | Summative |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar | June |
| 1) Utilize Instructional Technologist and TIMS to provide weekly trainings and coaching for integrating technology into the curriculum. | Instructional Technologist, TIMS, AP w/ Curriculum | Sign in sheets |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Utilize SMART Board and SMART notebook to increase student engagement. | A Team, Instructional Technologist, DC's \& TIMS | Walk Throughs |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Incorporate BYOD activities to increase student engagement. | A Team | Walk Throughs |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Utilize Turnitin.com for writing assignments to reduce plagiarism. | AP w/ <br> Curriculum, <br> Department Chairs | Program Usage |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Goal 3: MISD will improve the technology infrastructure and strategically plan for the deployment of 21 st century technology for teacher and student use.
Performance Objective 3: LCHS will use technology to enhance professional practices.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 3:
Summative Evaluation 3:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | Summative |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar | June |
| 1) Utilize TxEIS to provide reports on failure rates, attendance rates, demographics, At Risk, and etc. | Principals, <br> Registrars, <br> Counselors | Reports |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Utilize Eduphoria to analyze student data and monitor student performance on common assessments. | AP w/ Curriculum, TIMS, DC's \& Teachers | Data Reports/binders |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Utilize Eduphoria and Office 365 to distribute IEP and 504 documentation. | Director of SPED, SPED DC, 504 Coordinator \& Secretary | Teacher signatures in Eduphoria |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Use Office 365 Forms to collect teacher responses, complete online parking, and etc. | Principal, <br> Assistant <br> Principals, <br> Financial Clerk | Office 365 |  |  |  |  |
| $100 \%$ <br> = Accomplished |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Goal 3: MISD will improve the technology infrastructure and strategically plan for the deployment of 21 st century technology for teacher and student use.
Performance Objective 4: LCHS will develop and implement a campus-wide cell-phone use policy for classrooms that minimizes distractions and maximizes student achievement.

Evaluation Data Source(s) 4:
Summative Evaluation 4:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | Summative |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar | June |
| 1) Utilize information from the CIT Cell Phone Use sub-committee. Establish permanent cell phone locations for each classroom when cell phones are not in use. | Principal, Assistant Principals | Minimized distractions and loss of instruction. Reduced cell phone referrals. |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Goal 4: MISD will establish procedures to allocate existing resources to areas of greatest need and actively pursue alternative sources of revenue.

Performance Objective 1: MISD will establish procedures to monitor and assess financial responsibility.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 1:
Summative Evaluation 1:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | Summative |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar | June |
| 1) Create the campus budget based on campus budget allocations and review previous year expenditures to ensure effective use of budgeted monies. | Principal Principal Secretary Finance department | Budget allocations |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Goal 5: MISD will monitor growth and plan for an orderly, systemic process to ensure quality programs and facilities.

Performance Objective 1: LCHS will develop systems to orient students who are newly enrolled on our campus and provide the most recent academic assessment information to the core academic teachers.

Evaluation Data Source(s) 1:
Summative Evaluation 1:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | Summative |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar | June |
| 1) Lake Creek HS will hold new student breakfast, each grading period, to welcome new students, inform them of activities and clubs, and encourage involvement on our campus. | AP w/ STUCO, StuCo, Counselors | Sign in Sheets |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Provide teachers with detailed information on students enrolling, including grades, assessment information, demographics, and etc. | Registrars, Counselors | Information sheets |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Goal 5: MISD will monitor growth and plan for an orderly, systemic process to ensure quality programs and facilities.
Performance Objective 2: LCHS will establish a system of beautifying and maintaining the campus grounds.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 2:
Summative Evaluation 2:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) LCHS will use information gathered from the CIT Beautification sub-committee to foster community collaboration on way to beautify the campus and maintain an environment that is aesthetically pleasing. | Principal, CIT, Beautification sub-committee | Campus beautification |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Goal 6: MISD will recruit and retain excellent personnel and continually provide quality staff development.

Performance Objective 1: LCHS will plan activities throughout the year to foster teacher retention, development, and appreciation
Evaluation Data Source(s) 1:
Summative Evaluation 1:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Hold New Teacher Meetings throughout the year to orient new teachers, provide training, and answer questions. | AP w/ <br> Curriculum \& rotate specialists throughout the year | Sign in sheets retention of new teachers |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Provide Mentors and Buddies to all new teachers. | Principal, AP w/ Curriculum | Staff Assignments |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Hold teacher celebrations quarterly to foster team building: <br> 1.Burgers for Lunch <br> 2.Ice Cream Sundaes <br> 3.Cocoa Bar <br> 4.Coke Floats | Principal, Assistant Principals, Counselors | Teacher Rapport |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Goal 6: MISD will recruit and retain excellent personnel and continually provide quality staff development.
Performance Objective 2: LCHS will recruit strong, highly qualified educators from across the state.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 2:
Summative Evaluation 2:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | Summative |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar | June |
| 1) Attend job fairs/recruitment fairs with HR to attract HQ staff. | AP w/ Curriculum | Quality Applicants |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Participate in Montgomery Job Fair with multiple representatives from our school to meet potential applicants. | Principal, AP's, Counselors \& DC's | Quality Applicants |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Coordinate with HR to ensure that candidates are highly qualified. | AP w/ Curriculum | 100\% Highly Qualified staff |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Goal 7: MISD will establish a process that ensures open, honest, and frequent communication with the public.

Performance Objective 1: Lake Creek HS will utilize all resources to communicate with the public: Website, Marquee, Schoolmessenger, Twitter, Instagram, \& Facebook

## Evaluation Data Source(s) 1:

Summative Evaluation 1:
High Priority

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Increase the use of School Messenger to communicate school events and information with the community and stakeholders. | School <br> Messenger <br> Coordinator | Survey |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Promote positive communication between the school, home, and community through campus websites. | Principal, Webmaster, Coaches and Sponsors | Website |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Teachers will update calendars on websites weekly to inform students and parents of class activities and assignments. | CAP, <br> Instructional Technologist | Monitor spreadsheet |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Coordinate school announcements with PTO to include all announcements in PTO Blast. | Receptionist, Principal's secretary | Email Blast |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Goal 8: MISD will encourage and promote a climate that fosters parental participation in the education of our children.

Performance Objective 1: LCHS will support the Parent Teacher Organization and offer opportunities to educate and involve parents in the education of our students.

Evaluation Data Source(s) 1: Principal meetings w/ PTO President \& PTO Board. Attend all PTO meetings. Provide speakers w/ relevant topics.

## Summative Evaluation 1:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Attend all scheduled PTO meetings and give brief overview of what is happening on our campus. | Principal \& other staff upon request | Sign in sheets |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Encourage staff to join PTO. | Principal | Membership |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Hold annual Meet the Teacher to welcome parents to the school and communicate school expectations. | Principal | Sign in sheets |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Provide Fish Camp, Soph. Camp, Jr. Camp \& Schedule Pick up before school starts to prepare students and parents for the year to come. Vitally important every year, but especially in this first year! | Principals, Counselors | Attendance |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Provide a variety of Parent Meetings on relevant topics, such as Transition to High School, Advanced Course Offerings, HB5, and 1st year High Schools. | Counselors and Principals | Attendance |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Comprehensive Support Strategies

| Goal | Objective | Strategy | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1 | 1 | 2 | Provide PLC Period for core teachers to align lesson plans with scope and sequence and create common assessments to <br> measure student growth. |
| 1 | 2 | 1 | Provide staff development and training to all staff on the use of Eduphoria to analyze student data, including demographics. |
| 1 | 2 | 2 | Provide Study Skills Classes to increase student success with all courses; students assigned to class will be identified by <br> specific criteria. |
| 1 | 2 | 4 | Provide ESOL classes for LEP students to increase performance in all courses and on state assessments. |
| 1 | 2 | 5 | Provide writing intervention period to SPED students in ELA, who did not meet Level II performance on STAAR the previous <br> year. |
| 1 | 4 | 1 | Identify students who are At-Risk and provide mentor teachers to monitor attendance, grades, and behavior (RtI). |
| 1 | 4 | 2 | Create Student Success team to monitor RtI interventions and student progress. |
| 1 | 4 | 3 | Lake Creek will have its first senior class in School Year 2019-2020. We will provide at-risk students with the opportunity to <br> regain credit through additional credit recovery options through Compass Lab and Texas Tech Online High School. |
| 1 | 4 | 4 | Monitor Failure Rate by Teacher, Subject, and Department each grading period; have Student Success meetings with teachers <br> above 10\% failure rate. |

## State Compensatory

## Personnel for Lake Creek High School:

| Name | Position | Program | FTE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Daniella Stowers | Teacher | Special Topics |  |
| David James | Teacher | DAEP \& ISS | 1 |
| Freddie Maynard | Teacher | DAEP \& ISS | 1 |
| Ricky McDougald | Teacher | truancy \& ISS | 1 |

## Campus Improvement Team

| Committee Role | Name | Position |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Administrator | Phil Eaton | Principal |
| Non-classroom Professional | Kathy Miller | Assistant Principal |
| District-level Professional | Meredith Burg | Member |
| Administrator | Bill Tommaney | Assistant Principal |
| Business Representative | Butch Milks | Business Representative |
| Parent | Bryan Rennell | Parent |
| Parent | Angela Downs | Parent |
| Community Representative | Shane Plaissance | Community Representative |
| Non-classroom Professional | Jo Hawk | Counselor |
| Classroom Teacher | Sarah Boyles | Classroom Teacher |
| Classroom Teacher | Lindsay Duke | Classroom Teacher |
| Classroom Teacher | Noelle Lee | Classroom Teacher |
| Classroom Teacher | Alison Rice | Classroom Teacher |
| Classroom Teacher | Susan Poppell | Classroom Teacher |
| Classroom Teacher | Kelly Westmoreland | Classroom Teacher |
| Administrator | Chris Stowe | Assistant Principal |

## Montgomery Independent School District

Montgomery Junior High School
2019-2020 Campus Improvement Plan


## Mission Statement

The mission of Montgomery Junior High School is to encourage, educate, and empower students to become productive individuals, challenge them to achieve their personal bests, and prepare them to become future leaders.

## Vision

To provide a learning environment that fosters academic growth, citizenship, and character.
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## Comprehensive Needs Assessment

## Demographics

## Demographics Summary

Montgomery Junior High is a 12 year old campus, grades 6-8 in Montgomery ISD with an enrollment of 1151 students. The 3 gradelevels on our campus have a student enrollment of: 396-6th graders, 364-7th graders and 391-8th graders with 590 female and 561 male students.

MJH is composed of the following student population: MJH 6th, 7th, and 8th grade campus for 2018-2019 was $4.17 \%$ African American, $.70 \%$ Asian, $12.68 \%$ Hispanic, $2.26 \%$ Two or More Races, and $79.58 \%$ White.

Additionally, Montgomery Junior Highs demographic groups include 24.07\% Economically Disadvantaged (277), 36.32\% At-Risk (418), 9.64\% GT (111), $6 \%(70)$ of our students receive Special Education services, $.70 \%$ ESL (8), .78\% LEP (9). T

Current Staff at MJH: 3 Administrators, 70 teachers, 10 Instructional Aides, 13 Support Staff, 1 Librarian, 2 Maintenance, 1 Diagnostician, 1 Nurse, 1 housed custodian 9 cafeteria staff

## Demographics Strengths

We have many strengths at Montgomery JH , some of which are listed below:

1. Families continue to move into our area because of our schools and community.
2. The campus mentoring program supports and helps retain new teachers to the profession/school/district.
3. Special Education students are well-supported through co-teach and in-class support programs. These students have case managers who work closely with students, teachers, and parents to help meet the individual needs of each student.
4. The campus continues to provide high-quality and on-going professional development/trainings such as Lead4ward and Character Strong and give opportunities for teacher/teams collaboration of instruction and best practices during in-service days and throughout the year.
5. Students new to Montgomery JH are welcomed into the school. We hold a new student meeting with new students during schedule pickup to ensure the support continues. We have a new student breakfast each 6 weeks for any new students that have entered MJH.
6. We have a strong 504/Dyslexia/Special Education program. Meetings are held once a year to update and make accommodations/modifications to student learning needs.
7. RTI is monitored by our campus RTI teacher and principal each six weeks. Meetings are held with teachers of RTI students to review grades, behaviors, and TIERS.
8. Our PTO is very involved and wants to support all staff and students.

## Problem Statements Identifying Demographics Needs

Problem Statement 1: Parents of culturally diverse students are for the most part disengaged from MJH. Root Cause: Language barriers, work schedules prevents them from being involved. Lack of knowledge of tools MISD provides to assist their students with success.

## Student Academic Achievement

## Student Academic Achievement Summary

Below is the student achievement rates reflective in the three year trend comparing 2019, 2018, 2017 STAAR results for MJH:

| STAAR | 2019 |  |  | 2018 |  |  | 2017 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | APPR | Meets | Mast | APPR | Meets | Mast | APPR | Meets | Mast |
| 8th Reading | 86\% | 66\% | 35\% | 85\% | 52\% | 29\% | 82\% | 57\% | 29\% |
| 2nd Admin | 91\% |  |  | 90\% |  |  | 90\% |  |  |
| 8th Math | 96\% | 86\% | 49\% | 92\% | 74\% | 35\% | 90\% | 73\% | 31\% |
| 2nd Admin | 97\% |  |  | 93\% |  |  | 94\% |  |  |
| 8th Soc. St. | 85\% | 52\% | 31\% | 80\% | 47\% | 28\% | 72\% | \% 36\% | 22\% |
| 8th <br> Science | 88\% | 65\% | 35\% | 82\% | 60\% | 34\% | 83\% | 57\% | 19\% |
| 7th Reading | 83\% | 56\% | 35\% | 83\% | 58\% | 32\% | 80\% | \% 48\% | 26\% |
| 7th Math | 86\% | 55\% | 18\% | 86\% | 50\% | 21\% | 75\% | - 43\% | 12\% |
| 7th Writing | 79\% | 53\% | 21\% | 80\% | 55\% | 22\% | 76\% | \% 46\% | 16\% |
| 6th Reading | 74\% | 42\% | 18\% | 76\% | 46\% | 21\% | MIS | MIS | MIS |
| 6th Math | 90\% | 62\% | 34\% | 86\% | 55\% | 22\% | MIS | MIS | MIS |
| Alg. EOC | 100\% | 100\% | 90\% | 100\% | 97\% | 82\% | 100\% | \% 99\% | 86\% |

From our data analysis, we have identified the following areas as we will focus on and need improvement this coming school year.

- 6th grade reading decreased in percentage - staffing concern - team was dissolved/moved around
- 7th grade tested subject areas did not show much growth in STAAR levels
- We have appealed 60 writing papers on our 7th grade STAAR test because of concern with grading/rubric from TEA

Last year was the first year MJH used data analysis as a fundamental process and we will continue improving and showing growth in data analysis this year. At in-service we will have training sessions with new coaches on Eduphoria data reports and analysis information to drive our instruction in the classroom. Data monitoring and common assessments will be implemented again this year to analyze growth and progress each 6 weeks. Students will be targeted for intervention and acceleration. Our goal is to be at $90 \%$ or above in approach, $50 \%$ in meets and $30 \%$ or above in masters. Each 6 weeks administration will meet with grade level teachers to discuss individual students, academic progress, student behaviors, student needs.

MJH also supplemented 6-8 grade curriculum with Maneuvering the Middle math which we believe had a large part in student math academic progress. In addition, 8th grade social studies implemented a supplemental program from Lowman Consulting in all 8th grade history classes that we believe was a large part of our success on the 8th STAAR test.

## Student Academic Achievement Strengths

$100 \%$ of students passed the STAAR Algebra I End of Course test, $100 \%$ passed Meets level, and $90 \%$ passed Masters level.
8th grade SSI Math and Reading scores are $90 \%$ or greater approach level. Both increased in the meets and masters levels.
All students increased in the meets and masters level from last years STAAR scores.
7th grade: 20 students met the qualifications to participate in the Duke Talent Search program. From those students, they are offered the opportunity to take SAT/ACT. 6 students were state recognized.

8th grade: 82 students took the PSAT.

## Problem Statements Identifying Student Academic Achievement Needs

Problem Statement 1: STAAR data reveals that 6th grade reading decreased again from 2017 to 2018. Root Cause: Staffing concerns on that grade level team. Team was dissolved or relocated. New teaching staff will be concentrating on analyzing data from current STAAR results/information.

## School Processes \& Programs

## School Processes \& Programs Summary

The leadership Academy was implemented with 7th graders this past year and was a success. We will continue to grow this program and add 6th grade next year in order to continue building student leaders on our campus.

We are excited to utilize our new Instructional Coaches to assist all of our core staff members this year in reading, math, science and social studies.
Our campus will create a student tech training team. Those students will be trained in computer basics so they can assist in labs and classrooms as needed.
A student Library Advisory Board will be created this year to assist with programming and purchasing.
MJH teams will continue to be trained by Lead4ward at the Lead4ward conference. MJH will also use the assessment data from STAAR, LAT, TELPAS and Eduphoria to identify the instructional and curriculum areas in which we need improvement. Teachers will analyze and desegregate data from the STAAR results to remediate and teach students where there are gaps and areas of concerns in achievement.

Student progress will continue to be monitored every three/six weeks when progress reports and report cards are finalized. RTI teacher collaborates with teachers each 6 weeks on student progress.and In addition, the LPAC team will meet each six-week period to determine whether or not linguistic accommodations are helping our ELLs progress and if adjustments need to be made or if additional supports need to be implemented.

MJH Campus Administrators will actively seek out only the best and brightest to work with and nurture MJH students. MJH continues to hire highly qualified teachers in accordance with the district goal to remain $100 \%$ highly qualified. We hire the best "fit" for each and every opening. Hiring and retaining quality teachers on our campus is a critical element of excellence. Our goal is to recruit, retain and develop talented teachers who provide quality instruction for students and understand the importance of building trust and relationships with our students.

Staff members are kept informed of upcoming events in a timely manner through the principals weekly Bear Blast.
At MJH, great effort has been made to ensure that the master schedule of classes maximizes all available instructional time during each instructional day. Moreover, the scheduling of events and programs are strategically calendared in order to minimize disruption of classroom instruction. Even the layout of the building and the room assignments reflect the focus on grade level instruction to the extent practicable.

MJH offers tutorials before and after school for all classes and by arrangement with the teacher. Extracurricular activities also use time after school for rehearsals and practices. Additionally, a diverse array of clubs hold meetings before and after school as well for student fun and involvement.

## School Processes \& Programs Strengths

Collaborative teamwork and strong mentoring program
100\% Highly Qualified Staff
New teacher/Mentor meetings scheduled throughout the year with mentor administrator
Teachers working on their masters or who are looking at becoming an administrator are given opportunities to take part in many administrative leadership positions on the campus.

Team Leaders/Department Heads are leaders who are passionate, dedicated and competent in their subject area and willing to meet to solve issue and have discuss campus/classroom/instructional improvement.

Variety of technology used

## Problem Statements Identifying School Processes \& Programs Needs

Problem Statement 1: Curriculum/Scope and Sequence not online and accessible to parents for secondary Root Cause: Need for instructional coach/curriculum guidance/direction to implement and facilitate teams to achieve

## Perceptions

## Perceptions Summary

MJH strives to have a positive school climate. Campus training will focus on promoting a caring climate that emphasizes on building connections with our students to maximize learning.

Our student council is very involved in leading the participation of all school activities, holiday celebrations and school monthly programs.
All students, faculty and staff participate in safety drills monthly
Students are given a variety of clubs and activities to have fun and be involved in such as Destination Imagination, Science Club, Robotics Club, Student Council, FCA, TAFE Club, Thespian Troupe, Bleacher Creatures, Service Club, Book Club, Harry Potter Club, Anime Club, Sign Language, Cheerleading, Drill team, NJHS, Yearbook, UIL, Basketball, Volleyball, Tennis, Golf, Football, Soccer, Track, Cross Country.

At MJH, we believe it is important to affirm and celebrate our faculty throughout the year with gold cards, luncheons, outings, and annual celebration days such as counselors day, diagnostician day, nurses day, paraprofessional day, teacher appreciation week, secretary's day, and staff birthday announcements. Our weekly Bear Buzz video celebrations recognize our student participation/projects/activities/celebrations, etc. Our student clubs/athletics and after school activities are recognized through pep rallies, six week honor breaks, end of year awards, NJHS and verbal appreciation for hard work and effort. Individual students are celebrated as "student of the week" by each teacher and recognized on the Bear Buzz video each Friday. Staff are selected by students for "Staff of the Month."

## Perceptions Strengths

MJH offers a variety of extracurricular activities, clubs and events/performances for student participation and to develop positive connections with peers and school.

MJH has high expectations for staff and student success. Teachers provide an atmosphere that promotes a positive and caring climate to maximize learning. Forming healthy relationships with students is a goal we strive for each year.

Always celebrating and affirming teachers, staff, and students in a wide variety of ways each year.
We focus on top candidates when interviewing for positions. Most are all referrals because they want to be at MJH.

## Problem Statements Identifying Perceptions Needs

Problem Statement 1: Classroom discipline sent to the office that could be handled better by the teacher/staff Root Cause: Need for classroom discipline training/methods and positive relationships/connections with certain teachers

## Priority Problem Statements

## Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

## Improvement Planning Data

- District goals
- Campus Performance Objectives Summative Review from previous year
- Current and/or prior year(s) campus and/or district improvement plans
- Campus and/or district planning and decision making committee(s) meeting data
- State and federal planning requirements


## Accountability Data

- Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR) data
- Federal Report Card Data


## Student Data: Assessments

- State and federally required assessment information (e.g. curriculum, eligibility, format, standards, accommodations, TEA information)
- State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- STAAR End-of-Course current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- STAAR Released Test Questions
- Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS) results
- PSAT and/or ASPIRE
- Student Success Initiative (SSI) data for Grades 5 and 8
- SSI: Compass Learning accelerated reading assessment data for Grades 6-8 (TEA approved statewide license)
- Local diagnostic math assessment data
- Observation Survey results


## Student Data: Student Groups

- STEM/STEAM data
- Dyslexia Data


## Student Data: Behavior and Other Indicators

- Annual dropout rate data
- Attendance data
- Discipline records
- Violence and/or violence prevention records
- Tobacco, alcohol, and other drug-use data
- Student surveys and/or other feedback


## Employee Data

- Professional learning communities (PLC) data
- Staff surveys and/or other feedback
- Teacher/Student Ratio
- Campus department and/or faculty meeting discussions and data
- Professional development needs assessment data


## Parent/Community Data

- Parent surveys and/or other feedback


## Support Systems and Other Data

- Budgets/entitlements and expenditures data


## Goals

## Revised/Approved: September 17, 2019

## Goal 1: MISD will provide a challenging curriculum, assess individual student achievement and support efforts to ensure student success.

Performance Objective 1: $90 \%$ of all student groups will meet or exceed performance approach standards on STAAR, $60 \%$ on meets, and $35 \%$ on masters
Evaluation Data Source(s) 1: The measure of impact will be determined through the students' scores on the STAAR tests and EOC exams.
Summative Evaluation 1:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | Summative |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar | June |
| 1) Early identification for students needing targeted reading and math remediation/intervention using AWARE data, report card grades, RTI data, Release STAAR tests, previous grade academic info. | Remediation teacher <br> Math and <br> Reading <br> Teachers <br> Administration <br> RTI Coordinator <br> Counselor | Classroom unit assessments <br> Universal Screener 3xyear for Math/STAR <br> Eduphoria data <br> STAAR data/results <br> Report card grades |  |  |  |  |
| Comprehensive Support Strategy <br> TEA Priorities <br> Build a foundation of reading and math <br> 2) Continue the summer/in-service curriculum alignment with core teams to provide data on effectiveness through implementation and student results on common assessments/benchmarks. | ELA, Math, Science, History Team Leaders Remediation teachers Administration | Benchmark/common assessment results Lesson Plans Scope and Sequence Report card grades |  |  |  |  |


| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | Summative |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar | June |
| Comprehensive Support Strategy <br> Additional Targeted Support Strategy <br> TEA Priorities <br> Build a foundation of reading and math <br> 3) Focus on Eco Dis., ESL, SpEd., and At-Risk student sub group data from common assessments/STAAR to determine needs and offer ELA/Math remediation-tutorials before and after school for students that need additional assistance in subjects. | All Teachers Administration Counselors Remediation teacher | List of student with deficiencies STAAR results Common Assessments Universal Screeners Report Cards/RTI |  |  |  |  |
| Comprehensive Support Strategy <br> Additional Targeted Support Strategy <br> TEA Priorities <br> Build a foundation of reading and math <br> 4) 6-8 grade teachers from each core subject will attend the Lead4ward Professional Development to learn STAAR data and learning skills to motivate students to engage with content, review priority TEKS, leverage content strategies to engage learners, and empower students to analyze and take ownership in their writing. They will continue to focus on the Power Standards from Lead4ward. <br> 6, 7, 8 grade Social Studies teachers will be trained by Lowman Consulting on 8th STAAR categories/skills. <br> MISD will provide Professional development training on the new ELA adoption curriculum this school year for 6,7,8 ELA teachers. | All core Team leaders Administration | Increased STAAR scores and progress Writing grades increase Report cards grades |  |  |  |  |
| TEA Priorities <br> Build a foundation of reading and math <br> 5) A reading lab ELA specialist will begin using a research based small group pull out program for all ELA students in the remediation lab that are in TIER 2 of RTI, have failed STAAR the previous year, or are in the bottom $10 \%$ on the beginning of year ELA screener. | Reading Lab Specialist Principal ELA teachers | STAAR results Report card grades increased Common Assessment grade increased |  |  |  |  |


| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| TEA Priorities <br> Build a foundation of reading and math Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals <br> 6) New MISD ELA and Math Secondary Coaches will begin facilitating meetings to design and implementing a online scope and sequence for math, ELA, social studies and science with all core teams involved in creating throughout this school year. | Math and ELA Coach <br> Administration | Online MISD Secondary Scope and Sequence Common curriculum for all secondary campuses Aligned Curriculum |  |  |  |  |
| 7) $6,7,8$ grade ELA classes will continue to develop strong student writings through PEEL and BENT shop planner box (pre-writing strategies). | ELA Teachers | Common Writing Assessment STAAR Writing test |  |  |  |  |
| 8) This year, the MJH leadership team will have a book study on Achievement for All: Keys to Educating Middle Grades Students in Poverty by Ruby Payne, Ph D. We will discuss helping students in poverty, the developmental processes, identifying resources and interventions, and developing expertise in teachers and administrations with these particular students. | Principal Team leaders | Higher scores on STAAR <br> Higher scores on report cards Student attitude to achieve and perform Stronger student/teacher connections and relationships |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Goal 1: MISD will provide a challenging curriculum, assess individual student achievement and support efforts to ensure student success.
Performance Objective 2: $90 \%$ of all student will meet minimum of one Healthy Fitness Zone Standard as measured by the Fitness Gram assessment and monitored by the School Health Advisory Committee.

Evaluation Data Source(s) 2: Fitness Gram Results

## Summative Evaluation 2:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | Summative |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar | June |
| 1) To ensure a safe and enjoyable climate in PE for all students. | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Administrators } \\ \text { PE/Coach } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Observations Walk-Throughs |  |  |  |  |
| 2) To ensure that $50 \%$ of class time, students are engaged in Moderate to Vigorous Physical Activity (MVPA) and also integrate core curriculum content into physical education curriculum | Principal PE/Coach Asst. Principals | Observations Walk-Throughs |  |  |  |  |

## Goal 2: MISD will vigorously enforce policies and procedures that promote a safe and orderly environment.

Performance Objective 1: All students and staff will be provided a safe and orderly environment in which all can learn and work.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 1: The classroom environment will be safe and conducive to learning for all students.
Summative Evaluation 1:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Continue class meetings each year to discuss major school rules, procedures, Bulling, student conduct, and consequences. In addition, implementing a meeting mid year to reinforce and review student conduct, rules, procedures, expectations. | Principal Assistant Principals | Reduction in discipline referrals |  |  |  |  |
| 2) A counselor and campus officer will continue the Leadership Academy and add two groups consisting of 7th and 8th graders in 2019-2020 school year. | Counselors students Campus Officer | Discipline records <br> Counseling feedback <br> students making positive choices <br> Staff survey |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Student Council and Service Club will implement Anti Bully and Character strong activities and announcements throughout the school year. | Administration Student Council Sponsor Service Club Sponsor | Decrease in Bully reports Increase in positive student choices |  |  |  |  |
| 4) MISD police will train all staff on active shooter training this year during in-service and follow up on a training the second semester with all staff. | Administration MISD Chief of Police | Safe and secure school No intruders past vestibule or inside school Staff understanding of safety procedures |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Service Club, NJHS, and Student Council will fundraise this year for classroom safety resources: door window cover, Lock down magnetic door release, door stopper. | Administration | Make our campus more safe and secure. Prevent intruders from getting inside the classroom |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Goal 2: MISD will vigorously enforce policies and procedures that promote a safe and orderly environment.
Performance Objective 2: To increase student attendance to $95 \%$ or higher.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 2: Review student attendance and reports.
Summative Evaluation 2:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | Summative |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Continue to use MISD attendance procedure. Contact parents and visit with students regarding attendance after excessive absences each 6 weeks. | Assistant <br> Principals | Student attendance monitored regularly STAAR |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Students with perfect attendance each 6 weeks will be acknowledged on Bear Buzz, daily announcements and have their name in a drawing for a Chiller Bee gift coin. | Attendance Clerk Administration | Increase student attendance at end of year report |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Goal 2: MISD will vigorously enforce policies and procedures that promote a safe and orderly environment.
Performance Objective 3: Continue implementation of the Dropout Prevention Program.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 3: PEIMS Report data
Summative Evaluation 3:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Identify and serve students who qualify for services and supports under the McKinneyVento Act (homeless status). | Counselors, Registrar, Director of Special Programs | Student Residency Questionnaires, Free \& reduced roster |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Monitor attendance each 6 weeks, consulting with parent and student regarding attendance concerns. | Administration | Increase in attendance \% Decrease in drop out \% |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Goal 3: MISD will improve the technology infrastructure and strategically plan for the deployment of 21st century technology for teacher and student use.

Performance Objective 1: Use of technology to enhance professional practices.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 1: Active campus and teacher websites to improve communication with parents, students and community.
Summative Evaluation 1:


Goal 3: MISD will improve the technology infrastructure and strategically plan for the deployment of 21 st century technology for teacher and student use.
Performance Objective 2: Provide a quality technology program to maximize teaching and learning.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 2: Teachers will incorporate technology into their classroom.
Summative Evaluation 2:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Three computer labs, 4 laptop carts, and 3 student computers in each classroom are available to teachers for instructional purposes and learning. In addition, we have a reading and math computer lab for student remediation. | Administrators Teachers | Teacher feedback Administrator feedback TIM feedback |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Goal 4: MISD will establish procedures to allocate existing resources to areas of greatest need and actively pursue alternative sources of revenue.

Performance Objective 1: We will increase the quantity and quality of our technology resources available.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 1: Survey/Teacher Feedback
Summative Evaluation 1:


## Goal 5: MISD will monitor growth and plan for an orderly, systemic process to ensure quality programs and facilities.

Performance Objective 1: We will monitor growth and plan accordingly to ensure quality programs are in place and facilities accommodate our student population.

Evaluation Data Source(s) 1: PEIMS report/enrollment numbers
Summative Evaluation 1:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| TEA Priorities <br> Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals <br> 1) Monitor regularly short term and long term needs for student growth and facility capacity due to LOE on MJH campus this year. | Principal Registrar | Enrollment numbers PEIMS report |  |  |  |  |
| TEA Priorities <br> Connect high school to career and college <br> 2) We will continue to monitor the PLTW engineering pathway and Computer Science to review need for addition of more PLTW classes to prepare students for their high school years and future career plans. | Administrators | Teacher feedback Observations Walk-Throughs Student enrollment |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Monitor academic achievement of students to ensure that appropriate services, programs, and resources can be provided, especially to special pop groups (SPED, 504, RTI, ESL) | Administration Counselors Teachers | Failure reports Feedback from teachers |  |  |  |  |
| 4) We will monitor student attendance each 6 weeks and reward student with perfect attendance. They will be named on the Bear Buzz and daily announcements and be placed in a drawing for Chiller Bee gift coins each 6 weeks. | AP's <br> Attendance Clerk | High daily attendance rate Increase in student learning and grades |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Goal 6: MISD will recruit and retain excellent personnel and continually provide quality staff development.

Performance Objective 1: Maintain an effective line of communication with faculty using a variety of methods.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 1: Teacher feedback/survey.
Summative Evaluation 1:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| TEA Priorities <br> Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals <br> 1) Continue a weekly email staff blast and REMIND from the Principal of upcoming activities, events, and important dates and information to keep staff up to date and informed on a daily basis. | Principal | Informed staff Weekly Bear Blast |  |  |  |  |
| TEA Priorities <br> Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals <br> 2) Principal will meet one on one with each teachers throughout the year as well as attend team meetings weekly to analyze data, review needs, and communicate campus needs. Department Head meeting will take place once a six weeks to address any needs and update teams on campus wide activities/events. | Principal AP's | High standards/expectations Informed staff administrative attendance Organized/well run campus |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Goal 6: MISD will recruit and retain excellent personnel and continually provide quality staff development.
Performance Objective 2: 100\% of the teachers and instructional aides will be HQ .
Evaluation Data Source(s) 2: HQ report
Summative Evaluation 2:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Coordinate with the Curriculum Department to provide quality staff development to train staff on identified needs. (Discipline, etc.) | Principal Curriculum Director of Secondary Education | Development of appropriate staff development for identified needs. |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Effective and successful MISD Mentor and Buddy program on campus provided to assist any new or transferring teacher the support, information and encouragement needed to help be successful. | Principal <br> Assistant <br> Principals <br> Mentor Teacher | Teacher feedback <br> Meetings with all new teachers on a regular basis $100 \%$ quality staff |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Goal 7: MISD will establish a process that ensures open, honest, and frequent communication with the public.

Performance Objective 1: Communicate effectively with parents/stakeholders using a variety of methods.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 1: Maintain meaningful relationships with parents and community.
Summative Evaluation 1:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | Summative |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar | June |
| 1) Promote positive communication between the school, home, and community through the campus website, Campus Social Media, Monthly Parent letters, School Reach System, and the PTO email blast. | Principal Tech Media Assistant Principals PTO | Website feedback Parent survey |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Communicate with parents regarding student progress through the following: Phone calls, emails, teacher-parent conferences, progress reports, report cards, school messenger. | Administrators Counselors Teachers Team Leaders | Parent contact sheets |  |  |  |  |
| TEA Priorities <br> Connect high school to career and college <br> 3) MJH and MHS will host a Curriculum night to inform and educate upcoming 6th, 7th and 8 th grade parents about our campus, curriculum, extra curricular activities, and clubs. | Faculty and Staff Administration | Teacher and parent feedback Parent and PTO volunteers |  |  |  |  |
| TEA Priorities <br> Connect high school to career and college <br> 4) Assorted MJH Elective and Core classes invite parent professionals through out the school year to speak on behalf of their profession presenting information on specific professions and pathways to the students. | Administrators Teachers | Connecting student to pathway and careers of their interest |  |  |  |  |


| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| TEA Priorities <br> Connect high school to career and college <br> 5) MJH now provides life changing educational tours and opportunities for students and parents during the summer months with EF Educational tours to Washington D.C. and Europe. Each year is a new country to visit and experience. Students are able to get high school credit hours through these educational tours. | Principal <br> Teacher Tour <br> Sponsor | Global Citizens <br> High School credit Student education opportunities |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Goal 8: MISD will encourage and promote a climate that fosters parental participation in the education of our children.

Performance Objective 1: Increase involvement and participation throughout the school community by communicating with campus parents.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 1: Administration will analyze parent participation data and methods used to communicate and encourage our campus parents.

Summative Evaluation 1:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | Summative |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar | June |
| 1) An administrator/Counselor will attend all PTO meetings. We will recognize and encourage the efforts and support of PTO members through Volunteer Appreciation Week, thank you notes and having staff member at all PTO meetings. | Principal <br> Assistant <br> Principals <br> Counselors | Parent feedback |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Counselors have a "Meet and Greet" for all new students and parents during Schedule Pickup dates to encourage students and help parents become better informed of campus expectations and procedures. | 7th and 8th grade Counselors | Enrollment at Meet and Greet Positive parent and student communication |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Campus Social Media will be used to promote school events, student learning, celebrations, and activities at MJH. | Administration | Parent participation Parent positive feedback |  |  |  |  |
| TEA Priorities <br> Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals <br> 4) MJH Parents are encouraged to be involved, volunteer, and participate in 8th Grade Celebration, Incoming 6th Grade Pep Rally and Curriculum Fair, 6th and 7th grade Curriculum Fair, Meet the Teacher, Schedule Pickup, PTO, Booster Clubs, Athletics- concession stand, parent professional days, and club sponsored trips. | Administration | Parent/School Partnership <br> Student success -academically/Socially |  |  |  |  |



## Comprehensive Support Strategies

| Goal | Objective | Strategy | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1 | 1 | 2 | Continue the summer/in-service curriculum alignment with core teams to provide data on effectiveness through <br> implementation and student results on common assessments/benchmarks. |
| 1 | 1 | 3 | Focus on Eco Dis., ESL, SpEd., and At-Risk student sub group data from common assessments/STAAR to determine needs <br> and offer ELA/Math remediation-tutorials before and after school for students that need additional assistance in subjects. |
| 1 | 1 | 4 | 6-8 grade teachers from each core subject will attend the Lead4ward Professional Development to learn STAAR data and <br> learning skills to motivate students to engage with content, review priority TEKS, leverage content strategies to engage <br> learners, and empower students to analyze and take ownership in their writing. They will continue to focus on the Power <br> Standards from Lead4ward. 6, 7, 8 grade Social Studies teachers will be trained by Lowman Consulting on 8th STAAR <br> categories/skills. MISD will provide Professional development training on the new ELA adoption curriculum this school year <br> for 6,7,8 ELA teachers. |

## State Compensatory

## Personnel for Montgomery Junior High School:

| Name | Position | Program | FTE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Allison Mittag | Teacher | Fast-Forward |  |
| Breanne Moore | Teacher | Reading Lab | 1 |
| Brenda Beaven | Teacher | Math Lab | 1 |
| Carrie Dodson | Teacher | DAEP | 1 |
| Cheryl Hartner | Teacher | Math Lab | 1 |
| Jay Isaac | Teacher | Math Lab | 1 |
| Jean Lahue | Paraprofessional | Learning Lab/Mentor Liason | 1 |
| Jennifer Bever | Teacher | Math Lab | 1 |
| Kerri Johnson | Teacher | DAEP | 1 |
| Larry Buss | Teacher | DAEP | 1 |
| Melanie Whitehead | Teacher | Math Lab | 1 |
| Michelle Schaeffle | Teacher | DAEP | 1 |
| Phyllis Teasdale | Teacher | Reading Lab | 1 |
| Shannon Elliott | Teacher | DAEP | 1 |
| Stacy Greer | Paraprofessional | DAEP | 1 |
| Stephanie Broussard | Teacher | Math Lab | 1 |
| Stephanie Lindwall | Teacher | Teacher | 1 |
| Steven Meeker |  |  | 1 |


| Name | Position | Program | FTE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Teri Traylor | Teacher | RTI | 1 |

## Campus Advisory Committee

| Committee Role | Name | Position |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Administrator | Angie Chapman | Principal |
| Administrator | Scott See | Assitant Principal |
| Business Representative | Martha Ellis | 2019-2020 Member |
| Classroom Teacher | Melissa Gilsdorf | Sped Team |
| Classroom Teacher | Amanda Fancher | Elective teacher |
| Classroom Teacher | LeaAnn Marks | ELA teacher |
| Community Representative | Allison Yancey | 2019-2020 Community Member |
| District-level Professional | Stephanie Lowry | MISD Instructional Coach |
| Non-classroom Professional | Barbara Gagliano | Counselor |
| Paraprofessional | Jean Lahue | Math Lab Paraprofessional |
| Parent | Jennifer Ellis | parent |
| Administrator | Kevin Winfield | Assistant Principal |
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## Comprehensive Needs Assessment

## Demographics

## Demographics Summary

Demographic Summary
Oak Hills JH is a 2 year old campus with grades 6-8 in MISD with an enrollment of 1033 students.
17\% Hispanic, 1\% Asian, 2\% African American, 2\% multi-race, 77\% White, 1\% Indian or Hawaiian and less than 1\% American Indian.
We currently have 96 on staff at OHJH: 3 Administrators, 2 Counselors, 65 teachers, 11 Instructional Aides, 5 Support Staff, 1 Librarian, 2 Maintenance, 1 Diagnostician, 1 Nurse

## Demographics Strengths

We have many strengths at Oak Hills JH , some of which are listed below:

1. Enrollment has remained steady with not as much anticipated growth this last school year.
2. The attendance rate at OHJH remains strong. We have a $96 \%$ attendance rate and we continue to look for means of improving that.
3. Special Education students are well-supported through co-teach and in class support programs. These students have case managers who work closely with students, teachers, and parents to help meet the individual needs of each student. ARD meetings are held throughout the year to adjust IEPs as needed and anannual ARD is held each year to determine best programs for the following school year.
4. The campus continues to provide high-quality and on-going professional development/trainings and opportunities for teacher collaboration of instruction and best practices.
5. Students new to OHJH are welcomed into the school and are supported throughout the year. Counselors meet with the families of incoming students to share information about the school, pair new students with a student to assist new students through their first week of school, and hold a new student meeting with new students to ensure the support continues.
6. We recognize that there has been little change in our demographics, but that doesn't prevent staff from working to reach all of our students in the classroom.

## Problem Statements Identifying Demographics Needs

Problem Statement 1: Our Special Education population along with our number of students who have qualified for 504 continues to grow. Balancing classes that challenges all of our students and still provide the necessary support is a challenge, but will be a priority. Root Cause: Student identification in these areas is growing faster than the student population that enables us to easily support identified students.

## Student Academic Achievement

## Student Academic Achievement Summary

As our students continue to score well on state standardized test (campus rating of an A) we believe we are much more than just what our testing results show us. We strive to challenge our students in the classroom. We encourage more students to attempt our PAP classes and not only want to teach all of our students at a high level, but want to see them succeed. We saw gains in every tested subject except for 8th grade Science, 6th and 7th grade Math. Our "approaches" number has the tendencyto always be high and we will work to continue to improve on our "meets" and our "masters" numbers.

2019 Accountability Report Card
What it MIGHT look like for a Middle School based on PRELIMINARY DATA and the PROPOSED 2019 Accountability Manual

| Enter Name of Campus | Oak Hills Junior High |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Campus Type | Middle School |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Enter \% EcoDis - Fall 2018 Snapshot | 22.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Raw <br> Score | Scale Score | Letter Grade | Overall Grade <br> Components |  | Weig | Total |
| Domain I - Student Achievement | 63 | 91 | A |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Best Scale Score: |  |  |  |
| Domain II - School Progress <br> [Overall Scale Score is the BETTER of Part A or Part B] |  | 83 | B | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Domain I } \\ & \text { OR } \end{aligned}$ | 91 | 70\% | 63.7 |
| Part A - Academic Growth | 74 | 83 | B | Domain II-A <br> OR |  |  |  |
| Part B - Relative Performance* | 63 | 79 | C | Domain II-B |  |  |  |
| Domain III - Closing the Gaps | 93 | 93 | A | Domain III Scale Score | 93 | 30\% | 27.9 |
| Academic Achievement (30\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Academic Growth (50\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

2019 Accountability Report Card
EL Proficiency (10\%)
Student Success (10\%)

* To find the Scale Score for Relative Performance, click on the link below and enter the Raw Score and the \% EcoDis from Fall 2018 into the Scaled Score Conversion Overall Score 92 Tool
https://tea.texas.gov/2018scalingresources.aspx
Overall Letter Grade
A


## Student Academic Achievement Strengths

We continue to see an increase in our students that participate and qualify in the Duke Talent Search program.
$100 \%$ of students passed the STAAR Algebra I EOC test and only 2 of our students did not master the test.
Writing is an area where we would like to see more growth and we had a great start to that this year with an $11 \%$ increase in the number of students who mastered the 7th grade writing test.

## Problem Statements Identifying Student Academic Achievement Needs

Problem Statement 1: 6th and 7th grade Math scores fell off this year and is not an honest reflection of the work that our teachers and our students do in the classroom. Root Cause: We have to be prepared to make adjustments each year to the different students that walk into our classrooms and not get caught doing the same thing each year.

## School Processes \& Programs

## School Processes \& Programs Summary

- We will continue to provide the Buddy/Mentor program for new staff members.
- We will continue to send small groups of staff to Capturing Kids Hearts and will work to have our entire campus attend a training.
- Safety is a bigger part of our campus vision and we will work to send administrators to trainings during the school year.
- We will continue and increase the number of students in our Leadership Academy this school year.


## School Processes \& Programs Strengths

Through the programs mentioned above our staff are more prepared to challenge our students in the classroom, but most importantly be prepared to provide any resource and the necessary time to see them be successful. Campus safety and "see something say something" will continue to be a priority and communicated with staff and students. Bth counselors will conduct the Leadership Academy this year with each having a group in the fall and one in the spring.

## Problem Statements Identifying School Processes \& Programs Needs

Problem Statement 1: We want our students to understand respect for others as much as respect for themselves. Root Cause: Students are learning more about respect for others and themselves from what they see on social media rather than real life experiences at school with staff and other students.

## Perceptions

## Perceptions Summary

Oak Hills Junior High strives to provide a nurturing and safe learning community that promotes self-discipline and excellence. We are committed to strengthening positive and respectful interpersonal relationships while simultaneously creating a legacy of innovative and computational thinkers who will ultimately become engaged $21^{\text {st }}$ century citizens.

## Perceptions Strengths

OHJH has a strong parent involvement and has created a strong campus culture of high expectations with a student-centered environment. Parent participation in all campus events has been great, and feedback from parents supports that they are comfortable being at OHJH and with the overall experience their child has at OHJH as a learner.

## Priority Problem Statements

## Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

## Improvement Planning Data

- District goals
- Campus Performance Objectives Summative Review from previous year
- Current and/or prior year(s) campus and/or district improvement plans
- Campus and/or district planning and decision making committee(s) meeting data
- State and federal planning requirements


## Accountability Data

- Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR) data
- Federal Report Card Data


## Student Data: Assessments

- State and federally required assessment information (e.g. curriculum, eligibility, format, standards, accommodations, TEA information)
- State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- STAAR End-of-Course current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- STAAR Released Test Questions
- Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS) results
- Student Success Initiative (SSI) data for Grades 5 and 8


## Student Data: Student Groups

- STEM/STEAM data
- Dyslexia Data


## Student Data: Behavior and Other Indicators

- Annual dropout rate data
- Attendance data
- Discipline records
- Violence and/or violence prevention records
- Tobacco, alcohol, and other drug-use data


## Employee Data

- Professional learning communities (PLC) data
- Staff surveys and/or other feedback
- Teacher/Student Ratio
- Campus department and/or faculty meeting discussions and data
- Professional development needs assessment data


## Parent/Community Data

- Parent surveys and/or other feedback
- Parent engagement rate


## Support Systems and Other Data

- Budgets/entitlements and expenditures data
- Other additional data


## Goals

## Revised/Approved: September 17, 2019

## Goal 1: MISD will provide a challenging curriculum, assess individual student achievement and support efforts to ensure student success.

Performance Objective 1: $90 \%$ of all student groups will achieve or master performance standards on STAAR.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 1: The measure of impact will be determined through the students' scores on the STAAR tests and EOC exams.
Summative Evaluation 1:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | Summative |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar | June |
| 1) Early identification for students needing targeted reading and math remediation/intervention using AWARE data, report card grades, RTI data, Release STAAR tests, previous grade academic info. | Remediation teacher <br> Math and Reading Team Leaders/Teachers Administration | Classroom unit assessments <br> B,M,E of year AR STAR screening Test Universal Screener 3xyear for Math <br> Eduphoria data <br> STAAR data <br> Report card <br> Lowman Consulting |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Implementation of common assessments in ELA, Math, SS and Science from TEKS, released STAAR tests, to target strengths, weakness, and disaggregate data to identify student needs. | ELA, Math, Science, History Teachers Remediation teachers Administration | Benchmark results disaggregated and reviewed Eduphoria data |  |  |  |  |


| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | Summative |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar | June |
| 3) Focus on ESL, Sped, Econ. Disadvantage, and at risk student data to determine needs and continue to offer additional tutorials before and after school to students that need additional assistance or are unsuccessful on the STAAR benchmark exams. | All Teachers Team <br> Leaders/Dept <br> Heads <br> Mentor tutors <br> Administration <br> Counselors | Master schedule List of student with deficiencies |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Implementation of successful accelerated reading/math labs | ELA/Math <br> Teachers <br> Remediation <br> Teacher <br> Administration | Data collection on progress Collaboration with teachers |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Goal 1: MISD will provide a challenging curriculum, assess individual student achievement and support efforts to ensure student success.
Performance Objective 2: $80 \%$ of all students will meet minimum of one Healthy Fitness Zone Standard as measured by the Fitness Gram assessment and monitored by the School Health Advisory Committee.

Evaluation Data Source(s) 2: Fitness Gram Results

## Summative Evaluation 2:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | Summative |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar | June |
| 1) To ensure a safe and enjoyable climate in PE for all students. | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Administrators } \\ \text { PE/Coach } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Observations Walk-Throughs |  |  |  |  |
| 2) To ensure that $50 \%$ of class time, students are engaged in Moderate to Vigorous Physical Activity (MVPA) and also integrate core curriculum content into physical education curriculum | Principal PE/Coach Asst. Principals | Observations Walk-Throughs |  |  |  |  |

Goal 1: MISD will provide a challenging curriculum, assess individual student achievement and support efforts to ensure student success.
Performance Objective 3: OHJH special education students will meet system safeguards on the reading indicator
Evaluation Data Source(s) 3: Texas Education Agency 2019 System Safeguards Report

## Summative Evaluation 3:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | Summative |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar | June |
| Comprehensive Support Strategy <br> 1) English teachers and co-teachers will identify At Risk readers through vertical alignment meeting between 6th, 7 th and 8 th grade teachers. | English teachers Co-teachers English Dept. Head Special Ed. Dept. Head | PLC/Vertical Alignment meetings STAAR test Semester Exams Report cards |  |  |  |  |
| Comprehensive Support Strategy <br> 2) English teachers and ELA Sped teachers will utilize and integrate nonfiction reading each six weeks to implement reading strategies. | English teachers Special ed. ELA teachers | Writing journals Lesson plans Reading Logs Common Assessments |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Goal 1: MISD will provide a challenging curriculum, assess individual student achievement and support efforts to ensure student success.
Performance Objective 4: OHJH special education students will meet system safeguards on the writing indicator
Evaluation Data Source(s) 4: Texas Education Agency 2019 System Safeguards Report
Summative Evaluation 4:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| Comprehensive Support Strategy <br> 1) English and co-teachers will identify At Risk writers through team meetings and PLC's with $6,7,8$ grade. | English teachers Co-teachers English team leader Special Ed. team leader | District assessments STAAR test Semester exams Staff Development meetings |  |  |  |  |
| Comprehensive Support Strategy <br> 2) The 6th-8th grade ELA and special ed teams will meet each 6 weeks using AWARE to determine weakness and strengths in delivery of TEKS and align curriculum and review curriculum needs in each grade level. | English teacher Co teachers Team Leaders | ELA STAAR tests <br> Unit assessment <br> Warm up test <br> Report cards |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Goal 1: MISD will provide a challenging curriculum, assess individual student achievement and support efforts to ensure student success.
Performance Objective 5: OHJH special education students will meet system safeguards on the science indicator
Evaluation Data Source(s) 5: Texas Education Agency 2019 System Safeguards Report
Summative Evaluation 5:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| Comprehensive Support Strategy <br> 1) The 6th-8th grade science teams will meet each 6 weeks using AWARE to determine weakness and strengths in delivery of TEKS and align curriculum and review curriculum needs in each grade level. | Science teachers Co teachers Dept. Head | 8th STAAR test Unit assessment Warm up test Report cards |  |  |  |  |
| Comprehensive Support Strategy <br> 2) Science teachers will differentiate for different learning styles throughout daily lessons by using tactile, audio, visual and kinesthetic learning. | Science teacher Co-teacher | Common assessments Report card grades Semester exams |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Goal 1: MISD will provide a challenging curriculum, assess individual student achievement and support efforts to ensure student success.
Performance Objective 6: OHJH special education students will meet system safeguards on the math indicator
Evaluation Data Source(s) 6: Texas Education Agency 2019 System Safeguards Report
Summative Evaluation 6:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| Comprehensive Support Strategy <br> 1) Special Education staff will participate in meetings with reg. ed math teachers to identify, monitor, and assess student learning. | Special Ed teachers <br> Math teachers <br> Special Ed Team <br> Leader <br> Case Manager | STAAR Assessment Report card grade ARD Meeting |  |  |  |  |
| Comprehensive Support Strategy <br> 2) Increase the usage of visual representation and small group in the math classroom. | Math teachers Sped Teachers Case Manager | STAAR Assessment Report card ARD Meeting |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Goal 1: MISD will provide a challenging curriculum, assess individual student achievement and support efforts to ensure student success.
Performance Objective 7: OHJH special education students will meet system safeguards on the social studies indicator
Evaluation Data Source(s) 7: Texas Education Agency 2018 System Safeguards Report

## Summative Evaluation 7:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | Summative |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar | June |
| Comprehensive Support Strategy <br> 1) The 6th-8th grade Social Studies teachers will introduce 8th grade TEKS through daily warm-ups. | 7th grade S.S. teacher Co-teacher | 8th grade STAAR test <br> Unit assessment <br> Warm up test <br> Lowman Consulting |  |  |  |  |
| Comprehensive Support Strategy <br> 2) The 6th-8th grade Social Studies team will meet each 6 weeks using AWARE to determine weakness and strengths in delivery of TEKS and align curriculum and review curriculum needs in each grade level. | 6, 7, 8th grade teachers Co-teachers SS Dept. Head | 8th grade STAAR test Warm Up test Unit assessment |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Goal 2: MISD will vigorously enforce policies and procedures that promote a safe and orderly environment.

Performance Objective 1: All students and staff will be provided a safe and orderly environment in which all can learn and work.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 1: Teacher/Student survey at semester and end of year.
Summative Evaluation 1:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Implementation of class meetings each year to discuss major school rules, procedures and consequences. | Principal Assistant Principals | Reduction in discipline referrals |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Continued implementation of Capturing Kids Heart program to focus of connections with students. | Principal <br> Assistant <br> Principals <br> All Teachers | Teachers will interact in a positive manner with students on campus. Hallway behavior will improve and discipline referrals decrease. |  |  |  |  |
| 3) The counselors will promote healthy choices, character education, dating violence awareness, and non-violent resolution through trainings, student meetings, announcements throughout the year addressing areas of concern on campus. | Counselors teachers | Discipline records Counseling feedback |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Monitor behavior and offer rewards/incentives to students with good behavior (discipline team will define good behavior). | Principal <br> Assistant <br> Principal <br> Counselor | Student participation and reward |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 461 Campus Activity - 750.00 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Counselors will work with district police to create and promote a Leadership Academy for 7 th grade students. | Principal, <br> Assistant <br> Principals, <br> Counselors, <br> District Police | Student recognition on campus and with Keenan Elementary students and staff |  |  |  |  |
| 6) Continue to implement and research safety procedures specifically geared towards bullying. Specifically, more lock down drills along with "chaos" drills. We will stress "see something, say something" to our students and staff. | Principal | safer school environment |  |  |  |  |


| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | Summative |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar | June |
| 7) Send staff members to Texas School Safety Conference. | Principal | New ideas and more resources to provide a safe learning environment for campus. |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 255 Title II A - 1500.00 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Goal 2: MISD will vigorously enforce policies and procedures that promote a safe and orderly environment.
Performance Objective 2: To increase student attendance to $95 \%$ or higher.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 2: Review student attendance and reports.
Summative Evaluation 2:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | Summative |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar | June |
| 1) Contact parents and visit with students regarding attendance after excessive absences. | Assistant Principals | Student attendance monitored regularly STAAR |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Student and parent will meet with the Montgomery County attendance representative with the assistant principal to discuss attendance concerns and begin interventions. | Assistant Principal | Attendance monitored by AP every 3 weeks End of year attendance report |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Weekly awards for perfect attendance will be granted through a drawing for gift cards, each Friday to each lunch throughout the school year. | Principal <br> Assistant <br> Principal | Student Attendance Reports |  |  |  |  |
| 4) End of the year - perfect attendance drawing (Big Prize) 1st Semester and End of Year | Assistant <br> Principals <br> Attendance Clerk | Recognize the students who make the effort to be here each day. |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 461 Campus Activity - 500.00 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Goal 2: MISD will vigorously enforce policies and procedures that promote a safe and orderly environment.
Performance Objective 3: Continue implementation of the Dropout Prevention Program.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 3: PEIMS Report data
Summative Evaluation 3:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | Summative |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar | June |
| 1) Utilize consistent procedures to identify, intervene and monitor the progress of At-Risk students. | Counselors Principal | observations At-risk reports |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Identify and serve students who qualify for services and supports under the McKinneyVento Act (homeless status). | Principal, <br> Counselors, <br> Registrar, <br> Director of <br> Special Programs | Student Residency Questionnaire, Free \& Reduced Roster |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Goal 2: MISD will vigorously enforce policies and procedures that promote a safe and orderly environment.
Performance Objective 4: Enforce the Code of Conduct by implementing consistent consequences.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 4: Quarterly Discipline Reports
Summative Evaluation 4:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | Summative |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Schedule regular discipline team meetings to review campus concerns, establish campus expectations, and discuss the discipline ladder to ensure behavior is improving and changes are made as needed. | Principal Assistant Principal | Meeting Agendas Action Plan from meetings |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Utilize district DAEP program for severe or persistent behavior problems. | Assistant Principal | DAEP reports |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Implement campus "Big 3" | staff | School wide expectations for students and staff that stress 3 behaviors we would like to see. |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Goal 3: MISD will improve the technology infrastructure and strategically plan for the deployment of 21st century technology for teacher and student use.

Performance Objective 1: Use of technology to enhance professional practices.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 1: Active campus and teacher websites to improve communication with parents, students and community.
Summative Evaluation 1:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) All teachers will continue to design and develop a teacher website to be updated for parent and student use. | Principal Teachers | Active teacher web page |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Staff development and weekly technology trainings provided for instructional technology may include: Smart Boards, Tablets, pod casting, web page, social media, phone apps. and other topics upon staff request. | Administration TIM | Web pages Classroom observations |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Goal 3: MISD will improve the technology infrastructure and strategically plan for the deployment of 21 st century technology for teacher and student use.
Performance Objective 2: Provide a quality technology program to maximize teaching and learning.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 2: Walk-throughs
Summative Evaluation 2:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Meet with Grade level teams to discuss additional technology resources needed for teachers and students. | Principal | Library reports/inventory Observations |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Teachers will schedule use of the three computer labs and three laptop carts to maximize student use of instructional technology in their classrooms. | Administrators Teachers | Teacher feedback Administrator feedback TIM feedback |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Provide classrooms with additional student laptops. Currently have 3 in a room. Work with one department at a time to increase that number to 5 per class in one department. | Principal | Easier access to classroom resources and activities. |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 461 Campus Activity - 10000.00 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Goal 4: MISD will establish procedures to allocate existing resources to areas of greatest need and actively pursue alternative sources of revenue.

Performance Objective 1: We will increase the quantity and quality of our technology resources available.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 1: Survey/Teacher Feedback
Summative Evaluation 1:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Survey all grade level subject area teachers to find out technology needs that will provide daily support and enrichment for student learning. | Principal Classroom teachers | Survey results Teacher feedback |  |  |  |  |
| 2) We will continue to decrease/revise the number of forms for parents and ensure the forms are available online via district and campus website. | Principal <br> District <br> Webmaster <br> Campus webmaster | Online forms available Parent complaints/concerns |  |  |  |  |
| 3) We will meet with all teams to review copy policy and budget demands regarding new copy machines and cost. | Administration Team Leaders | Copy budget decreases |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Departmental budget meetings will be held throughout the year to discuss needs and prioritize use of funds. | Administration Financial Clerk | Review of monthly budget |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Goal 4: MISD will establish procedures to allocate existing resources to areas of greatest need and actively pursue alternative sources of revenue.
Performance Objective 2: OHJH will continue to strengthen our connection with the MACC and see how and where our local businesses can be more involved in some of expenses.

Evaluation Data Source(s) 2: spending and revenue reports from clubs and programs (ex PLTW)
Summative Evaluation 2:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Principal will meet with Department Chairs and Club Sponsors to discuss how we are reaching out and involving our business community partners in programs | Principal <br> Department Chairs | More community involvement with our programs |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Goal 5: MISD will monitor growth and plan for an orderly, systemic process to ensure quality programs and facilities.

Performance Objective 1: We will monitor growth and plan accordingly to ensure quality programs are in place and facilities accommodate our student population.

Evaluation Data Source(s) 1: PEIMS report/enrollment numbers
Summative Evaluation 1:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Regulary monitor short term and long term needs for OHJH due to the continual student growth and facility capacity. | Principal | Enrollment numbers PEIMS report |  |  |  |  |
| 2) We will continue to monitor the STEM, Fine Arts, electives and extracurricular classes to prepare students for their high school years and future career plans. | Administrators | Teacher feedback Observations Walk-Throughs Student enrollment |  |  |  |  |
| 3) OHJH will review and plan the master schedule to accommodate growth patterns and classroom sizes. | Administration Counselor | Master schedule Student course selection |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Monitor academic achievement of students to ensure that appropriate services, programs, and resources can be provided, especially to special pop groups (SPED, 504, RTI, ESL) | Administration Counselors Teachers | Failure reports Feedback from teachers |  |  |  |  |
| $100 \%=\text { Accomplished } \quad 0 \%=\text { =ontinue/Modify } \quad=\text { No Progress } \quad=\text { Discontinue }$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Goal 6: MISD will recruit and retain excellent personnel and continually provide quality staff development.

Performance Objective 1: Maintain an effective line of communication with faculty using a variety of methods.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 1: Teacher feedback/survey.
Summative Evaluation 1:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) The Principal will send out a weekly email blast and REMIND 101 message of upcoming activities, events and important dates and information to keep staff informed. | Principal | Informed staff From Mr. Williams |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Administrators attend faculty and department head meetings and PLCs to analyze data, review needs, and communicate campus needs. | Principal AP's | Agenda <br> Informed staff <br> administrative attendance |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Administration maintains "open door policy" and is visible on campus | Faculty | Family Atmosphere |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Goal 6: MISD will recruit and retain excellent personnel and continually provide quality staff development.
Performance Objective 2: 100\% of the teachers and instructional aides will be HQ .
Evaluation Data Source(s) 2: HQ report
Summative Evaluation 2:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Teachers will maintain current certifications and PD hours. | Administration | 100\% HQ staff |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Coordinate with the Curriculum Department to provide quality staff development training on identified needs. <br> - child abuse <br> - mental health <br> - technology <br> - discipline <br> - curriculum <br> - safety | Principal <br> Curriculum <br> Director of <br> Secondary <br> Education <br> T.I.M.S. <br> Chief Runnels <br> Counselors | Continued improvement within our identified needs |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Continue to offer the Mentor and Buddy program for any new or transferring teachers to provide support and encourage stability of staff. | Principal <br> Assistant <br> Principals <br> Teachers | Teacher feedback <br> Meetings with all new teachers on a regular basis |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Goal 7: MISD will establish a process that ensures open, honest, and frequent communication with the public.

Performance Objective 1: Communicate effectively with parents/stakeholders using a variety of methods.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 1: Maintain meaningful relationships with parents and community. (SchoolMessenger)
Summative Evaluation 1:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Promote positive communication between the school, home and community through the campus website, school reach, parent letters, the PTO email blast, and social media posts. | Principal | Website feedback Parent survey |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Communicate with parents regarding student progress through the following: Phone calls, emails, teacher-parent conferences, progress reports, report cards, school messenger. | Administrators <br> Counselors <br> Teachers Team Leaders | Parent contact sheets Positive parent feedback |  |  |  |  |
| 3) OHJH will host a Curriculum night to inform and educate upcoming 6th, 7 th and 8 th grade parents about curriculum, extra curricular, and clubs. | Faculty and Staff Administration | Teacher and parent feedback Parent and PTO volunteers |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Goal 8: MISD will encourage and promote a climate that fosters parental participation in the education of our children.

Performance Objective 1: Increase involvement and participation throughout the school community by communicating with campus parents.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 1: Administration will analyze parent participation data and methods used to communicate and encourage our campus parents (parent survey).

Summative Evaluation 1:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | Summative <br> June |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Increase opportunity for parents to volunteer in our school such as: Book Fair volunteers, Fundraisers, Chaperone's, Veterans Day, Constitutional Convention, schedule pickup, concession stand during games, band and choir performances, coarse selection, school dances. | Administrators | PTO minutes Sign in sheets |  |  |  |  |
| 2) An administrator/Counselor will attend all PTO meetings. We will recognize and encourage the efforts and support of PTO members through Volunteer Appreciation Week, thank you notes and having staff member at all PTO meetings. | Principal <br> Assistant <br> Principals <br> Counselors | Parent feedback |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Counselors have a "Meet and Greet" for all new students and parents during Schedule Pickup dates to encourage students and help parents become better informed of campus expectations and procedures. | 7th and 8th grade Counselors | Enrollment at Meet and Greet Positive parent and student communication |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Campus Social Media will be used to promote school events, student learning, celebrations, and activities at OHJH. | Administration | Parent participation Parent positive feedback |  |  |  |  |
| $\qquad$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Comprehensive Support Strategies

| Goal | Objective | Strategy | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 3 | 1 | English teachers and co-teachers will identify At Risk readers through vertical alignment meeting between 6th, 7th and 8th <br> grade teachers. |
| 1 | 3 | 2 | English teachers and ELA Sped teachers will utilize and integrate nonfiction reading each six weeks to implement reading <br> strategies. |
| 1 | 4 | 1 | English and co-teachers will identify At Risk writers through team meetings and PLC's with 6, 7, 8 grade. |
| 1 | 4 | 2 | The 6th-8th grade ELA and special ed teams will meet each 6 weeks using AWARE to determine weakness and strengths in <br> delivery of TEKS and align curriculum and review curriculum needs in each grade level. |
| 1 | 5 | 1 | The 6th-8th grade science teams will meet each 6 weeks using AWARE to determine weakness and strengths in delivery of <br> TEKS and align curriculum and review curriculum needs in each grade level. |
| 1 | 5 | 2 | Science teachers will differentiate for different learning styles throughout daily lessons by using tactile, audio, visual and <br> kinesthetic learning. |
| 1 | 6 | 1 | Special Education staff will participate in meetings with reg. ed math teachers to identify, monitor, and assess student learning. |
| 1 | 6 | 2 | Increase the usage of visual representation and small group in the math classroom. |
| 1 | 7 | 1 | The 6th-8th grade Social Studies teachers will introduce 8th grade TEKS through daily warm-ups. |
| 1 | 7 | 2 | The 6th-8th grade Social Studies team will meet each 6 weeks using AWARE to determine weakness and strengths in delivery <br> of TEKS and align curriculum and review curriculum needs in each grade level. |

## State Compensatory

## Personnel for Oak Hills Junior High:

| Name | Position | Program | FTE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Boone Suttles | Teacher | DAEP |  |
| Brittany Clopton | Teacher | DAEP | 1 |
| Jackie Kollaja | Teacher | DAEP | 1 |
| Kim Hignett | Teacher | DAEP | 1 |
| Leeann Nispel | Teacher | DAEP | 1 |
| Melissa Nordstrom | Teacher | Reading Lab | 1 |
| Nicole Petillo | Teacher | DAEP | 1 |
| William Abercrombie | Teacher | DAEP | 1 |

## Campus Funding Summary



## Montgomery Independent School District

## Montgomery Elementary School <br> 2019-2020 Campus Improvement Plan

## Mission Statement

Beyond excellence in critical academic skills, it is Montgomery Elementary's mission to provide an outstanding education in a secure learning environment where every child is provided an opportunity to excel. Our charge is to collaboratively nurture each child's potential to be a producer of knowledge, a contributor of citizenship, and discoverer of possibilities.

## Value Statement

MES believes that every child can learn if given the appropriate avenues, resources, and tools. Each learner brings with them specific strengths, which should be the main platform from which to teach that child and from which that child should learn. Varied and differentiated learning opportunities are part of our responsibility as educators of children, and our main goal with each student is to create confident, independent problem solvers. High expectations and top quality instruction should be an essential part of every learning experience.

Motto:
Learning to live and loving to learn in a culture where diversity is embraced, adding value is an expectation, and today's learners become tomorrow's leaders.
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## Comprehensive Needs Assessment

## Demographics

## Demographics Summary

CNA team members: Carrie Fitzpatrick, Kristin Sissom, Kelly Lowe, and Jennifer Krikorian each facilitate a CAC comsemittee that plans for activities and evaluates campus needs. Each professional staff member actively participates in one of four core committees throughout the school year.

MES is made up of students whose ages range from 4 to 11 years old in our PreK program through 5 th grade. Our enrollment has grown to approximately to 430 students with 44 employees. During the $18-19$ school year, MES had $41 \%$ of enrolled students who qualified for free and/or reduced lunch. Our student demographics were as follows: Multi Racial-22, Hispanic-59, Indian- 1, Asian-1, White-321, and African American-21.

Current Staff Demographic Breakdown is shown in the following chart:

| Title | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 2 0 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| HOMEROOM TEACHERS | 23 |
| SPECIAL EDUCATION TEACHERS | 3 |
| SPEECH THERAPIST | 1 |
| DIAGNOSTICIAN | 1 |
| TITLE I TEACHERS | 1 |
| LIBRARIAN | 1 |
| ART TEACHER | 1 |
| MUSIC TEACHER | 1 |
| PE TEACHER | 2 |
| PARAPROFESSIONALS (SUPPORT STUDENTS IN SPECIAL ED) | 3 |
| INSTRUCTIONAL PARAPROFESSIONALS | 3 |
| PARAPROFESSIONALS (SECRETARY/RECEPTIONIST/REGISTRAR) | 4 |
| PRINCIPAL-1, ASSISTANT PRINCIPAL-1, COUNSELOR -1, NURSE-1 | 4 |
| INSTRUCTIONAL COACH | 1 |

MES has high participation at all campus events, both during the school day and after hours. We have found that when we provide food and offer our evening events later in the evening, we have more parents attend. Our PTO membership has increased greatly, and we have an active PTO Board that meets
each month.
The following activities/events are in place at MES: Family Activity/Curriculum Nights, Open House \& Parent Information Night, Book Fairs, Choir Concerts, the Wave Club, Watch DOGS, Pre-K \& Kindergarten Camp, Grade Level Music Performances, E-Tracks, and various PTO family nights.

MES has strong partnerships with community businesses to support events such as Angel Tree, Thanksgiving meals, Friday Backpack Clubs, and our back-to-school school supplies drive.

## Demographics Strengths

Attendance was a focus on our campus this year in an effort to address the accountability component that was implemented in the 18-19 school year. Attendance incentives were put into place, and grade levels and individual students were awarded for top attendance percentages.

We have a full-time Reading/Math interventionist as well as a full-time Instructional Coach. MES also employs a Spring tutor for Math and Reading support in STAAR testing grades.

We continued to expand our GT identification of MES students this year. The TPSP curriculum for gifted learners proved to be successful. Amazing student products were showcased at the end-of-year GT Expo, and we received positive feedback from both parents and students.

Teacher/student ratio remains 22:1 or lower in the majority of the classrooms PK - 4 .
Our teams are well-balanced with both experienced and new teachers; weekly team meeting agendas and attendance show strong collaboration and communication.

Implementation of a full-time Instructional Coach has been greatly received this year and has improved on use of student data as well as teacher collaboration and training needs.

Staff members at MES continue to seek certification in the areas of GT and ESL.

## Problem Statements Identifying Demographics Needs

Problem Statement 1: School and Teacher Communication was an area we received feedback regarding potential improvement when surveying our parents. Root Cause: MES predominantly utilized school-wide electronic communications throughout the school year. Several parents selected paper notifications and teacher notes as a preferred method of communication. To better meet the needs of our families, we plan to incorporate a better balance of paper and electronic notifications for school-wide events and communication.

## Student Academic Achievement

## Student Academic Achievement Summary

CNA team members: Carrie Fitzpatrick, Kristin Sissom, Kelly Lowe, and Jennifer Krikorian each facilitate a CAC comsemittee that plans for activities and evaluates campus needs. Each professional staff member actively participates in one of four core committees throughout the school year.

MES uses Common Formative Assessments, DRA, eSTAR/mSTAR, TPRI, QPS, Circle (pre-k) and local Universal Screeners to determine student progress and areas of need. Both the DRA and Math US are administered three times each year.

The MES teaching staff has vertically aligned themselves based on subject area to ensure that the TEKS are accurately flowing from one grade level to the next with no gaps or overflow. Each grade level team plans weekly to coordinate lessons based on the grade level TEKS and coordinated with the district expectations and the scope and sequence. This will allow for assessments to accurately evaluate the level of mastery and rigor of TEKS at each grade level. Numerous assessments will be utilized to determine our students' needs including DRA/Accelerated Math Screener, STAAR, and grade level Common Assessments. The outcome of these assessments will assist in identifying those students that are at-risk, allowing us to appropriately place each student in an enrichment or intervention that meets their specific needs. Our goal is to serve students of all populations based on their individual needs through various interventions such as: web-based programs, the general classroom, before/after school tutorials, and the Title I program. Individual evaluation will identify students who qualify for services such as Gifted and Talented, English as a Second Language and Dyslexia. Once identified, these students will receive interventions and enrichment to enhance their education. These students will be served in their homeroom class and through pull-out or push-in models. The English Language Proficiency Standards (ELPS) will be utilized in all classrooms with LEP students.

Writing continues to be our main focus for the 2019-2020 school year. The Instructional coach will support teachers in PK-5th grades to ensure daily writing and small group instruction so that teachers can provide immediate feedback and students can improve the writing process. The lowest reporting category for MES this year was the composition category with the majority of students earning 4 out of 8 possible points.

2018-2019 STAAR Results

| Grade | Test | Met Standard | Adv. Perf. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 | Reading | $95 \%$ | $45 \%$ |
| 3 | Math | $89 \%$ | $24 \%$ |
| 4 | Writing | $65 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| 4 | Reading | $80 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| 4 | Math | $79 \%$ | $33 \%$ |
| 5 | Reading | $96 \%$ | $45 \%$ |
| 5 | Math | $100 \%$ | $61 \%$ |
| 5 | Science | $88 \%$ | $25 \%$ |

## Student Academic Achievement Strengths

MES interventionists in Reading, Math, \& Dyslexia all work hard to see students consistently and to maximize the time they spend with them each week without pulling them from class time unless necessary; students who received intervention this year, as a whole, showed progress and/or were successful on the STAAR test as well as their end-of-year Universal Screener results.

Individual growth was seen among students in all academic areas.

## Problem Statements Identifying Student Academic Achievement Needs

Problem Statement 1: STAAR Writing scores were low for our 4th Grade students. Root Cause: The majority of students passing scored in the "Approaches" category. Of our 68 testers, $65 \%$ scored at the "approaches" grade level, $29 \%$ scored at the "meets" grade level, and only $3 \%$ reached "masters" grade level standard.

Problem Statement 2: 2019 STAAR results show that a majority of students are not performing at rigorous levels as measured by the state assessment. Root Cause: Students have a foundation in academics and do well in recall, but higher levels of thinking and multi-step problems prove difficult.

Problem Statement 3: STAAR writing scores showed only $65 \%$ of our 4th grade students met the "Approaches Grade Level" standard, $29 \%$ at the "Meets Grade Level" standard, and 3\% at the "Masters Grade Level" state standard.. Root Cause: Students are not writing consistently and are lacking basic writing skills when they enter 4th grade. Staff development regarding specific writing instruction \& the Writer's Workshop model, guidance in planning aligned with MAC \& the implementation of writing daily with consistency and fidelity will be a focus PreK - 5th grades.

## School Processes \& Programs

## School Processes \& Programs Summary

CNA team members: Carrie Fitzpatrick, Kristin Sissom, Kelly Lowe, and Jennifer Krikorian each facilitate a CAC committee that plans for activities and evaluates campus needs. Each professional staff member actively participates in one of four core committees throughout the school year.

The culture and climate of Montgomery Elementary is one based on the belief that every student counts and every student can learn. The staff is welcoming and positive and student safety and individual success is the priority at MES. Parent and student participation at campus events and in campus clubs and organizations is strong, and parents feel comfortable coming to the school with concerns or questions. Use of the School Messenger system ensures that all parents know what is happening at MES, and the PTO has grown in membership and participation. Students and teachers feel safe at MES, and the schoolwide use of Conscious Discipline and our character education programs are consistently promoted and well-received.

MES recognizes individual student accomplishments and attendance. Students are also celebrated at the an end-of-year awards program, and MES has implemented a Student of the Month recognition program, where each homeroom teacher nominates a student for exhibiting good character in the classroom.

The MES theme this year is "Difference Makers." This theme is based on our growth mindset, the dynamics that will come with a new staff and the book "Mindset," which focuses on the power of positivie thinking and retraining the way we think about and approach situations. Each teacher and staff member will participate in a book study of the book that will begin prior to the start of school and will personalize this theme \& philosophy in their classrooms.

Our schoolwide approach is to be respectful and responsible, and we use positive behavior supports in every situation possible. MES is a Title I campus that serves approximately 430 students with both ESL and GT programs. Additionally, approximately over $40 \%$ of our students are eligible for free or reduced meals. MES sends backpacks full of food each Friday to approximately 30 families and provides Thanksgiving meals, Christmas gifts, and clothes vouchers for more students each year with the help of community partners.

Continued incorporation of an Instructional Coach provides Language Arts and Math support to teachers in the classrooms as well as through needed staff development, opportunities to collaborate about student data, curriculum components, and best instructional practices.

The continued PLC approach will be incorporated to plan for and track student learning to ensure success among all.
All teachers and staff at MES are Highly Qualified and new teachers are all assigned a mentor teacher and participate in New Teacher Orientation at both the District \& Campus levels.

Teaching staff is made up of teachers who have 1-20 years of experience and almost $25 \%$ of MES teachers have been in MISD for up to 10 years. Turnover rates remain low.

MES teachers are provided with multiple team planning opportunities throughout the school year, offered staff development opportunities in a variety of
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formats, and are able to participate in vertical alignment curriculum planning workshops throughout the year.

## School Processes \& Programs Strengths

Training \& implementation of Conscious Discipline techniques showed positive results through classroom \& campus rewards for positive behavior and strong character. Presentations were scheduled from outside resources concerning good character and strong friendship, and campus participation in schoolwide events increased. Parents are consistently involved in disciplinary concerns, and the campus Core Team \& RTI Committee was vigilant in data collection and documentation of students with major behaviors that could not be addressed through campus discipline procedures. Additionally, MES had no incidents of Bullying during the 2018-2019 school year.

Attendance incentives offered showed an increase in student attendance $\&$ positive feedback from parents regarding incentives.
The consistent implementation of Morning Meetings has improved classroom discipline and culture as well as campus culture.
MES provides cultural awareness and creative learning opportunities through our Schoolwide Enrichment Model.
An end-of-year parent survey showed participation and positive feedback from the majority participating parents regarding their child's safety at MES, and indicated that most feel welcome to the campus and supported by our staff.

MES provides varied parent involvement activities each year with high attendance \& positive feedback. We provide food for over 30 families through our Friday Backpack Club by coordinating with our Montgomery Food Bank. MES assists families with school supplies in August by working with local businesses and churches, and we continue to serve over 50 families through our Angel Tree Christmas project. We have also implemented The Wave Club \& Student Council, which are Community Service Clubs for our 4th \& 5th graders; these clubs focus on good character and a "pay it forward" philosophies and complete various campus \& community services throughout the year. MES continues to receive a large amount of support from both our parents and our Community Businesses in regards to our new E-tracks program, receiving many monetary donations and free supplies/materials.

MES continues to receive positive feedback regarding our schoolwide Conscious Discipline and the Watch DOGS program. MES implemented a Parenting Partners curriculum and training to help educate our parents on school topics and strengthen the relationship between home and school.

- MAC planning/PLC days for team collaboration, curriculum \& resource planning
- purchase of new, updated, research-based resources
- implementation and utilization of Eduphoria to better use student data to drive instruction
- weekly team planning/meeting
- universal screeners \& progress monitoring done periodically throughout the school year to assess student progress and/or areas of need
- RTI meetings set each six weeks to discuss individual students \& devise a plan for students in need
- implementation of Schoolwide Enrichment Model to offer unique learning opportunities each week
- implementation of TPSP in Kindergarten-5th grades
- parent surveys reflect positive feedback regarding strong student learning, quality teachers, and effective assessments
- continuation of an Instructional Coach to support teachers in ELA, Math, \& Technology instruction
- BOY trainings regarding District-wide resources help teachers better understand the program and increased the fidelity of the program in the classrooms
- Use of district-purchased item bank to ensure quality assessments correctly aligned with the Montgomery Aligned Curriculum
- Forethought lesson planning/collaboration
- Vertical team meetings
- Utilization of our Library/Media Specialist to support instructional technology in the classroom
- Science \& Social Studies text is used to support literacy development across content areas


## Perceptions

## Perceptions Summary

CNA team members: Carrie Fitzpatrick, Kristin Sissom, Kelly Lowe, and Jennifer Krikorian each facilitate a CAC comsemittee that plans for activities and evaluates campus needs. Each professional staff member actively participates in one of four core committees throughout the school year.

The MES theme this year is "Difference Makers" based on our study of the book Mindset which focuses on the power of positive thinking. Each teacher and staff member will participate in a book study of the book that will begin prior to the start of school and will personalize this theme \& philosophy in their classrooms.

Our schoolwide approach is to be respectful and responsible, and we use positive behavior supports in every situation possible. MES is a Title I campus that serves approximately 430 students with both ESL and GT programs. Additionally, approximately over $40 \%$ of our students are eligible for free or reduced meals. MES sends backpacks full of food each Friday to approximately 30 families and provides Thanksgiving meals, Christmas gifts, and clothes vouchers for more students each year with the help of community partners.

Continued incorporation of an Instructional Coach provides Language Arts and Math support to teachers in the classrooms as well as through needed staff development, opportunities to collaborate about student data, curriculum components, and best instructional practices.

The continued PLC philosophy will be supported by our campus this year, and teachers will actively participate in collaborative data meetings to ensure success of all.

Grade level and/or subject area teams meet weekly to plan, discuss resource needs, problem solve, \& collaborate. This collaboration encourages time to work within our MISD curriculum and have a better understanding of grade level/subject area TEKS.

Surveys showed that students, staff, and parents feel safe and welcome at MES and that campus procedures and policies are well-communicated. We get feedback from our regular substitutes often about the environment being friendly \& collaborative. MES works under a team approach and a studentcentered philosophy. Respect is valued and evident between staff members, staff \& parents, and staff and students. Preserving instructional time is a priority. Our interventionists provide support outside of instructional time and work closely with the teachers to ensure optimal time for all. The instructional day begins at $8: 15$, but teachers are available as early as 7:45 for AM tutorials and stay until 4:30 for PM tutorials if needed. Spring tutors are hired to assist with students who are struggling in Math or Reading for grades 3, 4, and 5 and for students that show content mastery and would benefit from receiving additional enrichment.

Community support is widespread at MES, as we have large donations from area churches, Realtors, and local businesses for school supplies, our annual Angel Tree Christmas event, and food for our weekly backpack program.

Parent surveys conducted at the end of the year show that parents are pleased with the school and the services their children receive.

## Perceptions Strengths

Grade level and/or subject area teams meet weekly to plan, discuss resource needs, problem solve, \& collaborate. This collaboration encourages time to work within our MISD curriculum and have a better understanding of grade level/subject area TEKS.

Surveys showed that students, staff, and parents feel safe and welcome at MES and that campus procedures and policies are well-communicated. We get feedback from our regular substitutes often about the environment being friendly \& collaborative. MES works under a team approach and a studentcentered philosophy. Respect is valued and evident between staff members, staff \& parents, and staff and students. Preserving instructional time is a priority. Our interventionists provide support outside of instructional time and work closely with the teachers to ensure optimal time for all. The instructional day begins at 8:15, but teachers are available as early as 7:45 for AM tutorials and stay until 4:30 for PM tutorials if needed. Spring tutors are hired to assist with students who are struggling in Math or Reading for grades 3, 4, and 5.

Community support is widespread at MES, as we have large donations from area churches, Realtors, and local businesses for school supplies, our annual Angel Tree Christmas event, and food for our weekly backpack program.

Parent surveys conducted at the end of the year show that parents are pleased with the school and the services their children receive.

## Priority Problem Statements

## Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

## Improvement Planning Data

- Campus Performance Objectives Summative Review from previous year
- Current and/or prior year(s) campus and/or district improvement plans
- Campus and/or district planning and decision making committee(s) meeting data
- State and federal planning requirements


## Accountability Data

- Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR) data


## Student Data: Assessments

- State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- Running Records results


## Student Data: Behavior and Other Indicators

- Attendance data
- Discipline records


## Employee Data

- Professional learning communities (PLC) data
- Staff surveys and/or other feedback
- Campus department and/or faculty meeting discussions and data
- Professional development needs assessment data
- Evaluation(s) of professional development implementation and impact


## Parent/Community Data

- Parent surveys and/or other feedback


## Support Systems and Other Data

- Study of best practices


## Goals

## Revised/Approved: September 17, 2019

## Goal 1: MISD will provide a challenging curriculum, assess individual student achievement and support efforts to ensure student success.

Performance Objective 1: $90 \%$ of all student groups will meet or exceed performance standards on the STAAR test.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 1: Students will meet grade-level expectations and performance standards based on Universal Screeners, local assessments, and STAAR results.

Summative Evaluation 1:

| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Teachers will analyze Universal Screener data \& STAAR results to identify students needing targeted Reading and/or Math intervention using the following criterion: <br> * Scoring in the 10th percentile or below on any measure of the Universal Screener <br> * Students showing little or no improvement from BOY to MOY Universal Screener administration *Students that failed a portion of the STAAR test | 2.6 | Campus <br> Administrators <br> Classroom <br> Teachers <br> Instructional <br> Coach <br> Title I <br> Interventionist <br> Counselor | RTI Meeting Data STAAR \& Universal Screener Data Bimonthly PLC Data Meetings |  |  |  |  |
| skills based on Common Assessments, Benchmarks, and/or lack of exposure to TEKS at previous school | Funding Sources: 211 Title I-0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | Summative <br> June |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 2) Plan for assisting preschool children in the transition from early childhood programs through the Camp Kindergarten program \& parent orientation. | 2.6, 3.1, 3.2 | Registrar Campus Administrators Classroom Teachers Instructional Coach Title I Interventionist Counselor | Camp Kindergarten Flyer Agendas Parent Information Night Flyer Sign-in Sheets Registration Numbers |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00, 211 Title I - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Students that meet one of the following criteria will be considered for placement in Title I Programs: <br> * Reading/Math: scored in the 10th percentile or below on Universal Screener measures <br> * SAT recommendation through RTI <br> * 60 or below on STAAR <br> * Retention <br> * Failure of STAAR <br> * 9 weeks failure | 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 | Campus Administrators Classroom Teachers Instructional Coach Title I Interventionist Counselor | Universal Screener results, STAAR results, Report card grades, Teacher feedback |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 211 Title I-0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) MES will encourage parents to participate in the Title I program by: <br> * Attending one parent conference <br> * Attending parent/Student Family Night(s) <br> * Completing the Parent, Student and School Compact \& EOY Survey | 2.4, 2.6, 3.2 | Campus Administrators Classroom Teachers Instructional Coach Title I Interventionist Counselor | Parent Night Flyer \& Agenda, Parent surveys, Title I Compacts |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 211 Title I-0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Each student in grades K-5 will utilize a writing folder for daily implementation of the Lucy Calkins Writers Workshop program \& MAC guidelines. Folders will be monitored by Principal \& Instructional Coach on a 7-9 week basis to insure utilization of established writing | 2.4 | Campus Administrators Classroom Teachers Instructional Coach | Student writing samples \& Classroom observations |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{3}{*}{Strategy Description} \& \multirow{3}{*}{ELEMENTS} \& \multirow{3}{*}{Monitor} \& \multirow{3}{*}{Strategy's Expected Result/Impact} \& \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Reviews} \\
\hline \& \& \& \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Formative} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{|c|}
\hline Summative \\
\hline June
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline \& \& \& \& Nov \& Jan \& Mar \& \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
6) Health Performance: \\
\(80 \%\) of all students will meet a minimum of one Healthy Fitness Zone standard, as measured by the Fitness Gram assessment and monitored by the School Health Advisory Committee (SHAC). Strategies to include: \\
* To ensure that \(50 \%\) of class time, students are engaged in Moderate to Vigorous Physical \\
Activity (MVPA) \\
* To integrate core curriculum content into physical education curriculum. \\
* To develop quality physical education programs that are developmentally \& sequentially appropriate. \\
* To ensure a safe \& enjoyable climate for all students. \\
* To provide state approved Coordinated School health components into the curriculum. \\
* To ensure that physical activity is not used as punishment. \\
* To ensure that student/teacher ratios meet the state standards.
\end{tabular} \& 2.6 \& Campus Administrators Classroom Teachers MES Coach
\[
\text { es: } 199 \text { General Fu }
\] \& Fitness Gram Report

d - 0.00 \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline 7) Classroom teachers will provide GT services to identified GT students through the use of Texas Performance Standards Project and MAC. \& 2.5 \& Campus Administrators Classroom Teachers Instructional Coach Counselor \& Lesson plans, Individual student projects, student portfolios \& \& \& \& <br>

\hline | 8) Writing across all grade-levels will be an area of focus through: |
| :--- |
| * intensive study of and implementation of MAC for teachers |
| *PLC lesson planning, review of best practices, \& review of student writing samples *regularly scheduled teacher-designed assessments as checkpoints for student progress on Writing TEKS | \& 2.4 \& | Campus |
| :--- |
| Administrators |
| Classroom |
| Teachers |
| Instructional |
| Coach | \& Lesson plans, student writing samples, student progress, PLC meeting agendas \& sign-in sheets \& \& \& \& <br>

\hline
\end{tabular}

| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | Summative <br> June |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 9) Implement strategies from Schoolwide Enrichment Model (SEM), providing a wide array of learning opportunities for all students. | 2.5, 2.6 | Campus SEM <br> Liaison <br> Campus <br> Administrators <br> Classroom <br> Teachers <br> Counselor | SEM schedule, student surveys, showcases, parent \& student feedback |  |  |  |  |
| 10) READING CURRICULUM, INSTRUCTION \& STAFF DEVELOPMENT <br> *Maintain focus on teaching in genres for an indepth understanding and implementation of teaching fiction and nonfiction text bridging reading with writing <br> *Emphasize higher level questioning within each component of Reading Instruction: Reading Aloud and Thinking Together, Shared/Strategic Reading, Guided Reading, and Independent Reading in whole group, flexible groups, and with individual students <br> *Provide leadership, training, and followthrough on the implementation of the Reading Structure, and emphasize higher level questioning within each component of Reading: Reading Aloud and Thinking Together, Shared/Strategic Reading, Guided Reading, and Independent Reading in whole group, flexible groups, and with individual students <br> *Address rigor in the differentiated literacy classroom in grades PK-5 for vertical alignments of Tier I best practices <br> *Re-examine effectiveness and depth of Guided Reading in the K-5 classroom through an indepth examination of classroom practices and focus on the critical role of texts and the expert teaching in the process of reading <br> *Provide staff development for all language arts | 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 | Campus Administrators Classroom Teachers Instructional Coach Title I Interventionist | Universal Screener Data STAAR Data <br> RtI Data <br> Report Card Grades |  |  |  |  |

*Provide staff development for all language arts teachers in identifying individual academic needs of all student populations and using Differentiated Instruction to develop literacy strategies to modify for identified gifted students and/or close learning gaps, such as vocabulary strategies using graphic organizers,


| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | Summative <br> June |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 11) WRITING CURRICULUM, INSTRUCTION \& STAFF DEVELOPMENT <br> *Continue to focus on daily writing in every PK-5 classroom in both fiction and nonfiction address the vertical alignment of Writing Workshop Model supported by Benchmark for fidelity and integration of higher level thinking practices. The Units of Study in Writing by Lucy Calkins for grades K- 5 will still be used as a preferred supplement. <br> *Explicitly teach grammar and conventions within the context of the Writers' Workshop model <br> *Continued modeling and utilization of Mentor Sentences | 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 | Campus Administrators Classroom Teachers Instructional Coach | STAAR Data Report Card Grades |  |  |  |  |
| *Utilization of the TEA writing rubric to score writing samples and to provide feedback in preparing students for understanding the expected level of writing required on State assessments <br> *Participation in refining practices outlined via a Region VI Writing pilot <br> *Implementation of "Writing Buddies" to build vertical alignment, accountability, and a schoolwide community of writers | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00, 211 Title I-0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | Summative <br> June |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 12) MATH CURRICULUM, INSTRUCTION \& STAFF DEVELOPMENT <br> *Provide leadership, training, and followthrough on the implementation of Instructional Best Practices for Math <br> *Provide staff development for all math teachers in identifying individual academic needs of all student populations and using Differentiated Instruction to develop math strategies to modify for identified gifted math students and/or close learning gaps, such as vocabulary strategies using graphic organizers, math centers for anchor activities, and use of technology to improve skills, fact fluency, and problem solving strategies <br> *Develop and implement common formative assessments to assist in monitoring achievement, including matching rigor of the questions to the requirement of the TEKS, using data to write and review common assessment items, and focusing on the benefits of teacher | 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 | Campus Administrators Classroom Teachers Instructional Coach Title I Interventionist | Universal Screener Data STAAR Data RtI Data Report Card Grades |  |  |  |  |
| *Emphasis on small group math instruction such as in guided math, tracking individual progress through documentation using anecdotal notes and implementation of effective intervention/enrichment strategies <br> *The expectation and encouragement of all students and all student groups to construct multiple representations of learning in math including the use of manipulative models <br> *Provide strategies and staff development in the integration of the Mathematics Process Standards in daily math instruction for grades K-5 | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00, 211 Title I-0.00, 199 General Fund SCE - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | Summative <br> June |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 13) SOCIAL STUDIES CURRICULUM, INSTRUCTION \& STAFF DEVELOPMENT <br> *Focus on engagement through student centered instructional strategies <br> *Integrate content literacy strategies PK-5 <br> *Emphasize the roles and responsibilities of global citizenship by recognizing the interconnectedness between global issues and the actions and decisions of ordinary citizens *Ensure that teachers plan and implement social studies lessons based on the district scope and sequence outlined in MAC \& adopted curricular materials | 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 | Campus Administrators Classroom Teachers Instructional Coach | 8th Grade STAAR Data Report Card Grades |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00, 211 Title I-0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14) SCIENCE CURRICULUM, INSTRUCTION \& STAFF DEVELOPMENT <br> *Ensure that teachers plan and implement TEKS aligned science lessons using the 5-E lesson model (engage, explore, explain, elaborate, and evaluate) in alignment with the district scope \& sequence as outlined in MAC *Improve vocabulary building in Science through the Science Word of the Week (WOW), Science word walls, and the use of Marzano's framework for learning vocabulary words *Implement collaboratively developed science common assessments with TEKS aligned questions that assess at a high level of cognitive complexity <br> *Hands-on opportunities for learning/exploring will be provided via labs K- 5 | 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 | Campus Administrators Classroom Teachers Instructional Coach | STAAR Data <br> Report Card Grades |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00, 211 Title I- 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15) An emphasis on small group reading and math instruction, monitoring individual progress through documentation using anecdotal notes, and implementation of effective intervention/enrichments strategies will be incorporated. | 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 | Campus Administrators Classroom Teachers Instructional Coach | Improved Student Performance Report Card Grades Universal Screener Data STAAR Data (3rd-5th) |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00, 211 Title I-0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Goal 1: MISD will provide a challenging curriculum, assess individual student achievement and support efforts to ensure student success.
Performance Objective 2: 80\% of all student groups will meet or exceed college readiness standards on the STAAR test.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 2: STAAR results and local assessment data will show student performance.
Summative Evaluation 2:

| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Utilize the MISD MAC during weekly planning to ensure TEKS alignment, exemplary lessons, and timeline considerations. | 2.5, 2.6 | Campus Administrators Classroom Teachers Instructional Coach | Classroom observations <br> Campus \& District training/planning sign-in sheets <br> Lesson Plans |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Ensure the identification and engagement of GT students. | 2.5, 2.6 | Campus Administrators Classroom Teachers Counselor | Classroom observations GT Rosters TPSP Products Lesson Plans |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Teachers will use Eduphoria to run reports and disaggregate data for Universal Screeners, Common Assessments \& STAAR results. | 2.6 | Campus <br> Administrators <br> Classroom <br> Teachers <br> Instructional <br> Coach | Eduphoria reports, team meeting notes, student scores |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Teachers and administrators will participate in Professional Learning Communities throughout the year to ensure both professional development and data review in order to maintain the academic rigor and progress of students during instruction. | 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 | Campus Administrators Classroom Teachers Instructional Coach | PLC Agendas \& Sign-in sheets, Student success on assessments, teacher feedback, Classroom observations |  |  |  |  |


| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | Summative <br> June |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 5) Maintain a rigorous curriculum that meets diverse student needs. | 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 | Campus Administrators Classroom Teachers Instructional Coach Title I Interventionist | Use of Literacy Library resources as per teacher checkout system, student progress in reading comprehension, teacher feedback, purchase orders, and inventory list |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 211 Title I-0.00, 199 General Fund - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6) MES will implement the use of an Instructional Coach to help support classroom teachers in Reading, Math, and Technology to enhance student learning and instructional delivery. | 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 | Campus Administrators Classroom Teachers Instructional Coach | IC meetings \& feedback, classroom teacher feedback, classroom observations, PD agendas \& sign-up sheets, student progress |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Interventions and classroom differentiation will be provided through online programs including: Imagine Learing, iStation, Sumdog, Flocabulary, Fast Forward, and SciLearn to support Math, ELA, and Science TEKS. | 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 | Campus Administrators Classroom Teachers Instructional Coach Title I Interventionist Counselor | Program reports, student progress, teacher feedback |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Increase the percentage of students that "Meet" or "Master" expectations on STAAR tests. | 2.4 | Campus Administrators Classroom Teachers Instructional Coach Title I interventionist | Common assessment results Title I rosters STAAR results |  |  |  |  |
| 9) Establish a vertical alignment of Tier I best practices. | 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 | Campus Administrators Classroom Teachers Instructional Coach | Individualized Student Data Universal Screener Data RtI Data \& Progress Monitoring Report Card Grades STAAR Data (3rd-5th) |  |  |  |  |


| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  | Summative |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan Mar | June |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Goal 1: MISD will provide a challenging curriculum, assess individual student achievement and support efforts to ensure student success.
Performance Objective 3: Continue implementation of the Dropout Prevention Program
Evaluation Data Source(s) 3: Monitor and meet the needs of all students who are At-Risk
Summative Evaluation 3:

| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Provide intensive, systematic tutoring for identified at-risk students during the day and/or before or after school. | 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 | Campus <br> Administrators <br> Classroom <br> Teachers <br> Instructional <br> Coach <br> Title I <br> Interventionist <br> RtI Team | Individualized Student Data Universal Screener Data <br> RtI Data \& Progress Monitoring <br> Report Card Grades <br> Tutorial logs (3rd-5th) <br> STAAR Data (3rd-5th) |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00, 211 Title I-0.00, 199 General Fund SCE - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Ensure that regular student attendance is an area of focus at MES by: <br> *Tracking student attendance <br> *Meeting with teachers, parents and students to address individual situations <br> *Providing monthly incentives | 2.5, 2.6, 3.1 | Campus Administrators Classroom Teachers Counselor Registrar | Attendance Reports Teacher Documentation Plan For Attendance Incentives |  |  |  |  |
| attendance for student success. | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00, 211 Title I-0.00, 461 Campus Activity - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Identify and serve students who qualify for services and supports under the McKinneyVento Act (homeless status). | 2.5, 2.6 | Campus <br> Administrators <br> Classroom <br> Teachers <br> Counselor <br> Registrar <br> Director of <br> Special Programs | Student residency questionnaires, free \& reduced roster |  |  |  |  |


| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 4) RTI committee members will abide by District Retention policy when making placement decisions for struggling students. | 2.6 | Campus <br> Administrators <br> Classroom <br> Teachers <br> Instructional <br> Coach <br> Title I <br> Interventionist <br> Counselor | RTI meeting notes, Eduphoria data, student placement sheets |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Provide mentors to targeted at-risk students. | 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 | Campus Administrators <br> Classroom <br> Teachers <br> Instructional <br> Coach <br> School Counselor | Individualized Student Data Attendance Records Report Card Grades |  |  |  |  |
| 6) Students and staff will participate in Goal setting. | 2.4, 2.6 | Campus Administrators <br> Classroom <br> Teachers <br> Instructional <br> Coach <br> School Counselor | Individualized Student Data Universal Screener Data <br> RtI Data \& Progress Monitoring <br> Report Card Grades <br> STAAR Data (3rd-5th) <br> T-TESS <br> Goal Setting Sheets |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Provide motivational activities/programs to promote success and improvement among all students. | 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 3.2 | Campus Administrators <br> Classroom <br> Teachers <br> School Counselor | Improved Student Performance <br> Improved Attendance <br> Decreased Behavior \& Counseling Referrals |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00, 211 Title I - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 8) Provide staff development regarding Special Education services and work with the ARD Committee to determine the most appropriate tests for students with disabilities. *Ensure collaboration between General Education teachers and Special Education teachers to plan and prepare a comprehensive present levels of academic achievement and functional performance (PLAAFP) statement which corresponds to student data <br> *Ensure collaboration between General Education teachers and Special Education teachers to plan and implement individualized goals and objectives that are aligned to enrolled grade level standards, contain the four critical components, and describes the specially designed instruction necessary as a result of the student's disability related needs, develop and implement Functional Behavioral Assessments (FBAs) and Behavior Intervention Plans (BIPs), and follow the Least Restrictive Environment analysis in determining the level of support students with disabilities need in order to access the general education curriculum. | 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 <br>  <br>  <br>  <br> Funding Source | Campus <br> Administrators <br> Classroom <br> Teachers <br> s: 199 General Fun | Individualized Student Data Attendance Records Report Card Grades Universal Screener Data STAAR Data (3rd-5th) |  |  |  |  |
| 9) Provide intensive, systematic, research-based reading instruction to identified dyslexic at-risk students. | 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 | Campus Administrators Classroom Teachers Dyslexia Teacher | Individualized Student Data Attendance Records Report Card Grades Universal Screener Data STAAR Data (3rd-5th) |  |  |  |  |
| 10) Provide RtI through Tier 1 research-based best practices and Tier II and III interventions to facilitate academic improvement for identified students. | 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 | Campus <br> Administrators <br> Classroom <br> Teachers <br> Instructional <br> Coach <br> School Counselor <br> Title I <br> Interventionist | Improved Student Performance <br> Improved Attendance <br> Decreased Behavior \& Counseling Referrals <br> Report Card Grades <br> Universal Screener Data <br> STAAR Data (3rd-5th) |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00, 211 Title I-0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 11) Review, revise, and implement daily attendance procedures and monthly incentives to meet $98 \%$ average attendance. | 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 | Campus <br> Administrators <br> Classroom <br> Teachers <br> School Counselor | ```Improved Student Performance Improved Attendance Decreased Behavior \& Counseling Referrals Report Card Grades Universal Screener Data STAAR Data (3rd-5th)``` |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00, 461 Campus Activity - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Goal 2: MISD will vigorously enforce policies and procedures that promote a safe and orderly environment.

Performance Objective 1: To provide a safe and orderly school environment conducive to learning for all students and staff.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 1: Disciplinary referrals will decrease by 10\%.
Summative Evaluation 1:

| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Implement MISD Character Ed. Program for teachers to use in the classrooms and parents to implement at home. | 2.5, 2.6, 3.1 | Campus Administrators Classroom Teachers Counselor | Decrease in \# of discipline referrals, teacher feedback, morning announcements character trait schedule, increase of positive behavior awards on "Character Wall" |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Teachers will implement Conscious Discipline strategies to enhance classroom environment \& improve student behavior. | 2.5, 2.6 | Campus <br> Administrators <br> Classroom <br> Teachers <br> Counselor | Decrease in \# of discipline referrals, classroom observations, teacher feedback |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Promote clubs and organizations in areas of interest to promote student involvement in school. | 2.5, 2.6 | Campus Administrators Classroom Teachers Counselor Club Sponsors | Student Surveys Club/Organization Communications Student Sign-up Sheets Club/Organization Participation |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 461 Campus Activity - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Students will participate in Classroom Morning Meetings each day as a campus-wide initiative to teach communication skills, problem solving, cooperation, mutual respect, empathy, and self-discipline. | 2.5, 2.6 | Campus Administrators Classroom Teachers Counselor | Morning Meeting Agendas/Plans, classroom observations, reduction in discipline referrals, teacher feedback |  |  |  |  |


| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | Summative <br> June |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 5) Conduct fire, lock-downs, evacuation, and emergency drills to ensure the effectiveness of Emergency Operations Plans at the campus level. <br> *All MES students and staff will participate in all required drills. *Professional development will be provided by the MISD police department in appropriate strategies during crisis drills *Practice of the drills will be continuous and varied throughout the school year. | 2.6 | MISD Police Department Campus Administrators MES Staff | Agenda with documented professional development schedule/calendar of all drills throughout the year observation |  |  |  |  |
| 6) MES will pursue the State School of Character award by completing the application and implementing components of the 9 School of Character Principles within all aspects of the school. | 2.5, 2.6 | Campus <br> Administrators <br> Classroom <br> Teachers <br> Counselor | Committee meeting sign-in sheets \& notes, Approval of Application |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Ensure the safety of students by requiring all visitors to sign in with a valid, government issued ID and wear visitor badges in the school | 3.1 | Campus Administrators MES Staff | Raptor Reports |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) MES will recognize students who exhibit strong character in the classrooms through the "Bodacious Bearcat" and "Bearcat PRIDE" programs. | 2.5, 2.6, 3.1 | Counselor Classroom teachers | Student nomination \& certificates Campus Activity Calendar |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00, 461 Campus Activity - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) Provide student training in conflict resolution, dating violence prevention as appropriate, and anti-bullying. | 2.5, 2.6 | Campus Administrators Counselor | Training agendas/flyers Campus Activity Calendar |  |  |  |  |
| 10) Involve parents and community members in activities to support a safe school environment | 2.5, 3.1, 3.2 | Campus <br> Administrators <br> Classroom <br> Teachers <br> School Counselor | Training agendas/flyers campus activity calendar |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00, 461 Campus Activity - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11) 11) Teachers will receive mandatory training updates annually (Bullying, CPS, Title I, T-TESS, Handbook, Safety, Health-related updates, etc.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan Mar |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Goal 3: MISD will improve the technology infrastructure and strategically plan for the deployment of 21st century technology for teacher and student use.

Performance Objective 1: Develop a quality technology program to maximize teaching and learning.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 1: 100\% of MES teachers will incorporate technology into their classroom instruction and delivery.
Summative Evaluation 1:

| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Teachers will schedule use of a mobile tech cart or sign up for computer lab use to increase student use of instructional technology. | 2.5 | Campus <br> Administrators <br> Classroom <br> Teachers <br> Instructional <br> Coach | Classroom observations,mobile tech cart/computer lab sign-up sheets |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Campus TIMS will schedule trainings to model and share instructional technology tools and resources. | 2.5 | TIMS <br> Campus <br> Administrators | Sign-in sheets, classroom observations, teacher websites |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) All teachers will maintain a webpage in order to provide regular communication with parents. |  | Campus <br> Administrators <br> Classroom <br> Teachers <br> TIMS | Teacher websites, EOY Parent survey results |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Goal 4: MISD will establish procedures to allocate existing resources to areas of greatest need and actively pursue alternative sources of revenue.

## Performance Objective 1:

MISD will establish procedures to monitor and assess financial responsibility.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 1:
Summative Evaluation 1:


## Goal 5: MISD will monitor growth and plan for an orderly, systemic process to ensure quality programs and facilities.

Performance Objective 1: Communication between school and district will support improvement of facilities and programs for future student growth.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 1: MES will be prepared for increased enrollment and staffing needs.
Summative Evaluation 1:


## Goal 6: MISD will recruit and retain excellent personnel and continually provide quality staff development.

Performance Objective 1: Collaborate and communicate with team members to ensure continuity and provide needed support.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 1: Staff retention will remain high and staff development will be ongoing.
Summative Evaluation 1:

| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Ensure the appropriate certification and highly qualified requirements for professional and paraprofessionals. | 2.4, 2.5 | Campus <br> Administrators <br> Director of HR <br> Director of <br> Special <br> Education | Master Schedule Review Action Requests Utilization of SBEC |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Provide each grade level/subject area team a half-day for planning \& training each nine weeks. | 2.5, 2.6 | Campus Administrators Classroom Teachers Instructional Coach | Team meeting agendas, sign-in sheets, team meeting notes |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Each grade level will have a minimum of one additional teacher who obtains GT \& ESL certification. | 2.6 | Campus <br> Administrators <br> Classroom <br> Teachers <br> Counselor | Teacher certification |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Principal and Instructional Coach will work closely together to support classroom teachers and provide needed PD opportunities to increase rigor in the classroom and student learning. | 2.5 | Campus Administrators Classroom Teachers Instructional Coach | Workshop registration, Classroom observations, Teacher feedback, Teacher Self-Report |  |  |  |  |


| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | Summative <br> June |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 5) HQ Objective: $100 \%$ of MISD teachers \& instructional aides will be Highly Qualified \& ensured to be HQ through the following strategies: <br> * Prior to being hired, certifications will be carefully examined for the position being filled. <br> * Instructional aides who do not meet the requirements for the classification of Highly Qualified will participate in the Paraprofessional Assessment of Knowledge \& Skills (PAKS) evaluation process to earn the classification of Highly Qualified. <br> In the case of losing a teacher after the first of the school year, the district will post the position \& communicate with certified teachers on the MISD list of substitutes for a possible replacement who is Highly Qualified. | 2.6 | Campus Administrators HR Director Certification Officer | SBEC review, PAKS document |  |  |  |  |
| 6) Improve qualifications of teachers by providing opportunities to attend workshops and trainings sessions. | 2.5, 2.6 | Campus Administrators Classroom Teachers Instructional Coach | Certificates of Completion for Attendance at Professional Development Sessions Classroom Observations Eduphoria T-TESS Documents |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Source | s: 199 General Fu | nd - 0.00, 211 Title I - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Classroom teachers will be provided training and support on the Texas Performance Standards Project to ensure differentiation for identified GT students in the classroom. | 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 | Campus Administrators Classroom Teachers Instructional Coach Counselor Director of Special Programs | Training sign-in sheets and agendas, teacher feedback, lesson plans, MAC resources |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Recruit and retain highly qualified staff by participating in the MISD applicant screening \& campus interview process. | 2.5, 2.6 | Campus <br> Administrators <br> Classroom <br> Teachers <br> Instructional <br> Coach | Highly Qualified Staff High-yield Instruction |  |  |  |  |



## Goal 7: MISD will establish a process that ensures open, honest, and frequent communication with the public.

Performance Objective 1: MES will use various communication tools in a timely manner to make sure that parents and community members are wellinformed.

Evaluation Data Source(s) 1: Parent and community involvement will be evident \& ongoing.

## Summative Evaluation 1:

| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Provide communications regarding campus initiatives, programs, meetings, and activities through a variety of media, including campus website, e- newsletters, email announcements, campus meetings, and other sources. | 2.5, 3.1, 3.2 | Campus <br> Administrators <br> Classroom <br> Teachers <br> Instructional <br> Coach <br> School Counselor <br> Title I <br> Interventionist | Parent/teacher Written communication Parent Surveys <br> Parent Involvement In Campus <br> Programs/Activities |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00, 211 Title I-0.00, 461 Campus Activity - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Campus and teacher websites will be implemented and updated regularly to offer timely communication regarding campus events and information. |  | Campus Administrators Classroom Teachers TIMS Campus Web Page Liaison | Website updates, Parent feedback \& involvement |  |  |  |  |
| 3) MES Campus Needs Assessment teams will administer end of year teacher, parent, and student surveys to determine campus areas of needed improvement \& focus. | 2.5, 2.6 | Campus Administrators Instructional Coach Counselor CAC members Classroom Teachers | Survey participation, CNA meeting notes, CAC meeting agendas and notes, Improvement in focus areas |  |  |  |  |


| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 4) Build campus partnerships with our business community to support the educational achievement of all students. | 2.4, 2.5 | Campus <br> Administrators <br> Classroom <br> Teachers <br> School Counselor | Increased Community Involvement Increased Parent Involvement Financial Support To Students |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Goal 8: MISD will encourage and promote a climate that fosters parental participation in the education of our children.

Performance Objective 1: MES will provide multiple opportunities for parents to be involved in their child's school.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 1: Active and increasing parental involvement will be evident.
Summative Evaluation 1:

| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Provide communications regarding campus initiatives, programs, meetings, and activities through a variety of media, including campus website, e- newsletters, email announcements, campus meetings, and other sources. | $\begin{gathered} 2.4,2.5,2.6, \\ 3.1,3.2 \end{gathered}$ | Campus <br> Administrators <br> Classroom <br> Teachers <br> Instructional <br> Coach <br> School Counselor <br> Title I <br> Interventionist | School Communications <br> Activity Calendar <br> Parent Sign-in Sheets/Attendance Agendas |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 211 Title I-0.00, 461 Campus Activity - 0.00, 199 General Fund - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Provide opportunities at school for parents to participate in academic and social events with students. | 2.4, 2.6, 3.1, 3.2 | Campus Administrators Classroom Teachers Instructional Coach School Counselor Title I Interventionist | School Communications <br> Activity Calendar <br> Parent Sign-in Sheets/Attendance Agendas |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00, 211 Title I-0.00, 461 Campus Activity - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) MES will actively recruit PTO members and volunteers to build a strong group of parental stakeholders. | 3.1, 3.2 | Campus Administrators PTO Executive Board | PTO membership numbers, PTO meeting agendas \& minutes, Volunteer Orientation invitation and sign-in sheets |  |  |  |  |


| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 4) Build the campus partnerships with our business community to support the educational achievement of all students | 3.2 | Campus Administrators <br> Classroom <br> Teachers School Counselor | Campus activity calendar |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## State Compensatory

## Personnel for Montgomery Elementary School:

| Name | Position | Program | FTE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Amy Edsall | Teacher | Special Education |  |
| Jennifer Krikorian | Teacher | Instructional Coach | 1 |
| Lori Byrnes | Teacher | Special Education | .5 |

## Title I Personnel

| Name | Position | Program | FTE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Chris Reuter | Title Reading \& Math |  |  |

## Campus Funding Summary

| 199 General Fund |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Goal | Objective | Strategy | Resources Needed | Account Code | Amount |
| 1 | 1 | 2 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 1 | 1 | 5 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 1 | 1 | 6 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 1 | 1 | 10 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 1 | 1 | 11 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 1 | 1 | 12 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 1 | 1 | 13 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 1 | 1 | 14 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 1 | 1 | 15 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 1 | 2 | 1 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 1 | 2 | 2 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 1 | 2 | 5 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 1 | 3 | 1 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 1 | 3 | 2 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 1 | 3 | 7 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 1 | 3 | 10 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 1 | 3 | 11 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 2 | 1 | 1 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 2 | 1 | 2 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 2 | 1 | 7 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 2 | 1 | 8 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| Montgomery Elementary SchoolGenerated by Plan4Learning.com $\quad 45$ of 48 |  |  |  | Campus \#170903 <br> September 12, 2019 9:35 am |  |


| 199 General Fund |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Goal | Objective | Strategy | Resources Needed | Account Code | Amount |
| 2 | 1 | 10 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 3 | 1 | 1 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 3 | 1 | 2 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 6 | 1 | 6 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 7 | 1 | 1 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 8 | 1 | 1 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 8 | 1 | 2 |  |  | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  | Sub-Total | \$0.00 |
| 199 General Fund SCE |  |  |  |  |  |
| Goal | Objective | Strategy | Resources Needed | Account Code | Amount |
| 1 | 1 | 10 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 1 | 1 | 12 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 1 | 3 | 1 |  |  | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  | Sub-Total | \$0.00 |
| 199 General Fund Special Ed |  |  |  |  |  |
| Goal | Objective | Strategy | Resources Needed | Account Code | Amount |
| 1 | 3 | 8 |  |  | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  | Sub-Total | \$0.00 |
| 211 Title I |  |  |  |  |  |
| Goal | Objective | Strategy | Resources Needed | Account Code | Amount |
| 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 1 | 1 | 2 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 1 | 1 | 3 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 1 | 1 | 4 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 1 | 1 | 10 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| Montgomery Elementary SchoolGenerated by Plan4Learning.com $\quad 46$ of 48 |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Campus \#170903 } \\ \text { September 12, } 20199: 35 \mathrm{am} \end{array}$ |  |


| 211 Title I |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Goal | Objective | Strategy | Resources Needed | Account Code | Amount |
| 1 | 1 | 11 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 1 | 1 | 12 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 1 | 1 | 13 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 1 | 1 | 14 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 1 | 1 | 15 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 1 | 2 | 5 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 1 | 3 | 1 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 1 | 3 | 2 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 1 | 3 | 7 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 1 | 3 | 10 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 6 | 1 | 6 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 7 | 1 | 1 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 8 | 1 | 1 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 8 | 1 | 2 |  |  | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  | Sub-Total | \$0.00 |
| 461 Campus Activity |  |  |  |  |  |
| Goal | Objective | Strategy | Resources Needed | Account Code | Amount |
| 1 | 3 | 2 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 1 | 3 | 11 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 2 | 1 | 3 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 2 | 1 | 8 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 2 | 1 | 10 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 7 | 1 | 1 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 8 | 1 | 1 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 8 | 1 | 2 |  |  | \$0.00 |


| 461 Campus Activity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Goal | Objective | Strategy | Resources Needed | Account Code |  |  |
| Amount |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Montgomery Independent School District <br> Stewart Creek Elementary School <br> 2019-2020 Campus Improvement Plan

## Mission Statement

Provide a safe, supportive and engaging environment in which students achieve academic success through intentional and purposeful learning.

Vision<br>Stewart Creek Elementary Trailblazers<br>Paving the Way for Future Leaders
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## Comprehensive Needs Assessment

## Demographics

## Demographics Summary

We are Stewart Creek Elementary, one of six elementary schools in Montgomery ISD. We are a school wide Title I campus serving approximately 800 Pre-K through fifth grade students. We have a staff of two administrators, one counselor, one school nurse, three office staff, fifty teachers and eleven instructional aides.

The demographic breakdown of our students based on the 2017-2018 Texas Academic Performance Report is as follows:

| Ethnicity | $\%$ of Enrollment |
| :--- | :--- |
| American Indian | $0.1 \%$ |
| Asian | $1.8 \%$ |
| African American | $2.6 \%$ |
| Hispanic/Latino | $23.7 \%$ |
| White | $67.9 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander | $0.2 \%$ |
| Two or More Races | $3.6 \%$ |
| Economically Disadvantaged | $41.0 \%$ |
| English Language Learners | $8.4 \%$ |

## Demographics Strengths

Stewart Creek Elementary does a great job of engaging with all groups of learners and their families. We have a Dual Language program that addresses the needs of our Hispanic/Latino (our 2nd largest ethnicity) learners, as well as enrich the acquisition of a second language for our English speaking learners.

Each year, our percentage of Economically Disadvantaged students continues to grow. At the end of the 2017-2018 school year, Stewart Creek had $41 \%$ of our students identified as Economically Disadvantaged, with that group growing to 47\% at
the end of the 2019-2019 school year (almost double the district percentage). Yet, our school performs just as well, in most areas, as those schools in the district with lower percentages.

We have created a family friendly atmosphere that makes all our students, staff and families feel comfortable visiting.

## Problem Statements Identifying Demographics Needs

Problem Statement 1: Our LEP students are under performing compared to all students. Root Cause: As compared to our other student groups, we are lacking in materials, support and specific guidelines/accountability for second language acquisition.

## Student Academic Achievement

## Student Academic Achievement Summary

The students of Stewart Creek Elementary are performing well when compared to district and state levels of achievement. Teachers and administrators use a variety of assessments to measure student growth, including district unit assessments, campus common assessments, universal screeners, DRA levels and state assessments such as, the State of Texas Assessment of Academic Readiness (S.T.A.A.R.) and the Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS). Below are are the results of S.T.A.A.R. performance in Grades 3, 4 and 5 in the areas of Reading, Writing, Math and Science over the past five academic school years.

| Assessment | Grade | Year | Approaches | Masters |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Reading | 3 | 2019 | $88 \%$ | $33 \%$ |
|  |  | 2018 | $91 \%$ | $21 \%$ |
|  |  | 2017 | $88 \%$ | $31 \%$ |
|  |  | 2016 | $81 \%$ | $30 \%$ |
|  | 4 | 2015 | $92 \%$ | $31 \%$ |
|  |  | 2019 | $84 \%$ | $22 \%$ |
|  | 2018 | $81 \%$ | $21 \%$ |  |
|  | 2017 | $82 \%$ | $33 \%$ |  |
|  |  | 2016 | $86 \%$ | $31 \%$ |
|  | 2015 | $88 \%$ | $30 \%$ |  |
|  |  | 2019 | $94 \%$ | $38 \%$ |
|  | 2018 | $92 \%$ | $35 \%$ |  |
|  | 3 | 2019 | $92 \%$ | $32 \%$ |
|  | 2018 | $91 \%$ | $32 \%$ |  |
|  |  | 2017 | $92 \%$ | $29 \%$ |
|  | 2016 | $89 \%$ | $25 \%$ |  |
|  |  | 2015 | $72 \%$ |  |
|  | 2019 | $94 \%$ | $37 \%$ |  |
|  |  | 2018 | $92 \%$ | $46 \%$ |
|  |  | 2017 | $86 \%$ | $36 \%$ |
|  | 2016 | $93 \%$ | $33 \%$ |  |
|  |  | 2015 | $69 \%$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| Assessment | Grade | Year | Approaches | Masters |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 5 | 2019 | $97 \%$ | $43 \%$ |
|  |  | 2018 | $92 \%$ | $35 \%$ |
| Writing | 4 | 2019 | $70 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
|  |  | 2018 | $71 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
|  |  | 2017 | $73 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
|  |  | 2016 | $85 \%$ | $23 \%$ |
|  |  | 2015 | $83 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| Science | 5 | 2019 | $80 \%$ | $23 \%$ |
|  |  | 2018 | $84 \%$ | $18 \%$ |

## Student Academic Achievement Strengths

The staff of Stewart Creek Elementary create a learning environment for students that is engaging and differentiated. They are accommodating, flexible and purposeful in their instruction. They do a great job with small group instruction and identifying individual student needs. The interventionists on campus work with students in all grades and are very effective in their instruction, filling in academic gaps and identifying possible learning difficulties.

## Problem Statements Identifying Student Academic Achievement Needs

Problem Statement 1: Writing performance on the S.T.A.A.R. test is below campus expectations. Root Cause: There has been a lack of consistent writing instruction from one grade level to the next.

Problem Statement 2: Science performance on the S.T.A.A.R. test is below campus expectations. Root Cause: There is a lack of hands on, in-depth instruction in science.

## School Processes \& Programs

## School Processes \& Programs Summary

Stewart Creek Elementary has a number of programs to serve our students. Programs such as Dual Language, ESL, Special Education, Dyslexia, Gifted and Talented and Pre-K are to better serve the needs of our students. In addition, we are improving each year on Genius Hour as a way for our students to pursue topics of personal interest. We have a strong physical education department, along with music, art and library to round out their education.

Monthly meetings are scheduled with administration, the counselor, interventionists and teachers to address needs of students and to formulate a response to address the needs. Our teachers follow the district scope and sequence (MAC) closely and work as team to plan instruction. When planning instruction and interventions, programs such as Raz Kids, Imagine Learning and more are utilized.

## School Processes \& Programs Strengths

The strength of our processes and programs come from excellent communication. The staff works together to make decisions about master schedule, school procedures and student expectations to ensure consistency for our students and parents. Grade level teams collaborate to plan daily instruction, addressing the needs of students (through small group instruction and Response to Intervention).

Additionally, our intervention staff and programs have been very successful. We have been able to identify students with the greatest academic needs and serve them in a variety of ways. This has allowed us to assist students in filling academic gaps and show great progress, as well as pinpoint possible learning disabilities.

## Perceptions

## Perceptions Summary

Stewart Creek Elementary is a great place to attend school. We have an amazing staff that parents trust and believe in what we are doing instructionally for our students. Our goal is for every lesson to be purposeful and and intentional so our students are getting the most out of their instructional day. We vary instruction by utilizing technology, providing hands on activities to increase student engagement and differentiate instruction for our learners.

## Perceptions Strengths

SCE has consistent, high expectations for our students both academically and for behavior. We are able to meet these expectations due to a great group of educators that communicate and collaborate with one another frequently and provide support for all members of the staff. Through this collaboration we are able to provide relevant and engaging learning activities for our students.

Our greatest strength is making the families of our school feel welcome each time they step through our doors, as well as creating a safe environment where our students are happy and want to come to school.

## Problem Statements Identifying Perceptions Needs

Problem Statement 1: The parents of our students need to be better informed of grade level academic expectations. Root Cause: Many of our parents do not attend orientation night, which is the time we inform all of expectations.

## Priority Problem Statements

## Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

## Improvement Planning Data

- District goals
- Campus Performance Objectives Summative Review from previous year
- Current and/or prior year(s) campus and/or district improvement plans
- State and federal planning requirements


## Accountability Data

- Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR) data


## Student Data: Assessments

- State and federally required assessment information (e.g. curriculum, eligibility, format, standards, accommodations, TEA information)
- State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS) results
- Texas Primary Reading Inventory (TPRI), Tejas LEE, or other alternate early reading assessment results
- Local diagnostic math assessment data
- Running Records results


## Student Data: Behavior and Other Indicators

- Attendance data
- Mobility rate, including longitudinal data
- Discipline records
- Student surveys and/or other feedback


## Employee Data

- Professional learning communities (PLC) data
- Staff surveys and/or other feedback


## Parent/Community Data

- Parent surveys and/or other feedback
- Parent engagement rate


## Support Systems and Other Data

- Processes and procedures for teaching and learning, including program implementation
- Communications data


## Goals

## Revised/Approved: September 17, 2019

## Goal 1: MISD will provide a challenging curriculum, assess individual student achievement and support efforts to ensure student success.

Performance Objective 1: Improve student achievement in reading utilizing MAC and a balanced literacy approach.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 1: $90 \%$ of SCE students in 3rd, 4th and 5th grade will meet the Approaches level on on S.T.A.A.R.
Summative Evaluation 1:

| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Analyze assessment results to determine student academic needs and to identify appropriate interventions through monthly SST meetings and PLCs. |  | Administration, Teachers, Interventionists and Instructional Coach. | Increase of student performance on state testing and fewer students in the district bottom $10 \%$ for reading. |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Provide appropriate differentiated reading instruction for all students, utilizing campus and district interventions, Razz Kids and campus literacy library. |  | Administration, Teachers, Interventionist and Instructional Coach. | Increase of students performance on state testing and fewer students in the district bottom $10 \%$ for reading. |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 211 Title I-6000.00, 199 General Fund - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Utilize Scholastic News and Time for Kids to provide students different forms of non-fiction texts. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 211 Title I-3000.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Provide additional academic assistance to students in reading. |  | Classroom teachers and interventionist. | Along with classroom teachers, an interventionist will provide assistance to Tier 2 and Tier 3 students. |  |  |  |  |


| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  | Summative |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan Mar | June |
|  | = Accomplished | $=$ Con |  |  |  |  |

Goal 1: MISD will provide a challenging curriculum, assess individual student achievement and support efforts to ensure student success.
Performance Objective 2: Incorporate daily problem solving into daily instruction to improve student academic success in mathematics.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 2: 90\% of SCE students in 3rd, 4th and 5th grade will meet the Approaches level on S.T.A.A.R.
Summative Evaluation 2:

| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Utilize the district problem solving model in a balanced math approach. |  | Administration, Teachers, Interventionists and Instructional Coach. | Increase of student performance on state testing and fewer students in the district bottom $10 \%$ for math. |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Provide professional development in the implementation of instructional practices for a balanced math approach to instruction. |  | Administration and Instructional Coach. | Increase of student performance on state testing and fewer students in the district bottom $10 \%$ for math |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Provide additional academic assistance to students in math. |  | Classroom teachers and interventionists. | Along with classroom teachers, an interventionist will provide assistance to Tier 2 and Tier 3 students. |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 211 Title I-3900.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Goal 1: MISD will provide a challenging curriculum, assess individual student achievement and support efforts to ensure student success.
Performance Objective 3: Improve student achievement in writing utilizing MAC and a balanced literacy approach.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 3: SCE 4th grade students will improve no less than $5 \%$ on the S.T.A.A.R. writing assessment and all other grade levels will show improvement on classroom writing assessments.

Summative Evaluation 3:

| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Utilize M.A.C. and Writer's Workshop in daily instruction. |  | Administration, Teachers, Interventionists and Instructional Coach. | Increase of student performance on state testing and classroom writing assessments. |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Provide professional development in the implementation of instructional practices for Writer's Workshop, including mentor sentences for grammar and conventions. |  | Administration and Instructional Coach. | Increase of student performance on state testing and classroom writing assessments. |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Goal 1: MISD will provide a challenging curriculum, assess individual student achievement and support efforts to ensure student success.
Performance Objective 4: Students identified as Gifted and Talented will utilize the Texas Performance Standards Project to select a topic for independent study.

Evaluation Data Source(s) 4: Students identified as Gifted and Talented will present independent study projects for teachers, classmates and guests.

Summative Evaluation 4:

| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Provide professional development in the implementation and management of Texas Performance Standards Project. |  | Administration, Teachers and Instructional Coach. | Presentation of projects with increased depth and knowledge. |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Encourage student participation in the District GT Showcase. |  | Administration, Teachers and Instructional Coach | Presentation of projects with increased depth and knowledge. |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Goal 1: MISD will provide a challenging curriculum, assess individual student achievement and support efforts to ensure student success.
Performance Objective 5: Improve ELL achievement in reading, writing and math.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 5: SCE ELLs will improve no less than 2\% on campus and state reading, writing and math assessments (S.T.A.A.R/, TELPAS, common assessments and End of Year DRA levels).

Summative Evaluation 5:

| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Provide professional development in the implementation of best instructional practices for ELLs. |  | Administration and Instructional Coach. | Increase of ELL performance on state testing and fewer students in the district bottom $10 \%$ for reading, writing and math. |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 255 Title II A - 0.00, 263 Title III - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Implementation of best instructional practices for ELLs in daily classroom instruction. |  | Administration, Bilingual and ESL teachers and Instructional Coach. | Increase of ELL performance on state testing and fewer students in the district bottom $10 \%$ for reading, writing and math. |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Goal 1: MISD will provide a challenging curriculum, assess individual student achievement and support efforts to ensure student success.
Performance Objective 6: Stewart Creek will improve the school's attendance rate to $97.0 \%$.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 6: SCE will increase the attendance rate 1.05\%.
Summative Evaluation 6:

| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | Summative <br> June |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Provide incentives and recognition to students for Perfect Attendance through 9 Weeks Awards and End of Year Awards. |  | Administration, Counselor, Registrar and Teachers. | Increased daily attendance. |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 461 Campus Activity - 1000.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Communicate with parents about the importance of school attendance and the effects of excessive absenteeism. MISD will be utilized to help enforce state compulsory attendance laws. |  | Administration, Counselor, Teachers, Registrar and MISD Police. | Increased daily attendance. |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Identify and serve students who qualify for services and supports under the McKinneyVento Act. |  | Administration, Counselor, Registrar, Director of Special Programs |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Goal 1: MISD will provide a challenging curriculum, assess individual student achievement and support efforts to ensure student success.
Performance Objective 7: Stewart Creek will improve the implementation of Genius Hour to provide students with the opportunity to pursue personal interests.

Evaluation Data Source(s) 7: Time allotted in master schedule for Genius Hour.
Summative Evaluation 7:

| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Provide professional development for staff on how to implement and manage Genius Hour on a weekly basis. |  | Administration, Instructional Coach and Campus Innovation Committee. | Improved student engagement on personal interests and academic gains. |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund SCE - 1200.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Goal 1: MISD will provide a challenging curriculum, assess individual student achievement and support efforts to ensure student success.
Performance Objective 8: Stewart Creek students will be more involved with hands-on, in-depth learning in the area of Science.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 8: SCE 5th grade students will have at least $85 \%$ of all students meeting the Approaches level on S.T.A.A.R.
Summative Evaluation 8:

| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Implement Garden Days at Stewart Creek |  | Administration and classroom teachers. | Increased interest and learning through the garden. |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 461 Campus Activity - 12000.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Move and reorganize campus science lab. |  | Administration | Increased use of science lab by classroom teachers and more hands-on activities for students. |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Goal 2: MISD will vigorously enforce policies and procedures that promote a safe and orderly environment.

Performance Objective 1: Stewart Creek will provide opportunities for student and community participation regarding school and student safety.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 1: Activity calendars and sign in sheets of volunteers.
Summative Evaluation 1:

| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Integrate Conscious Discipline in daily routine and interaction. |  | Counselor and Teachers | Students will use strategies from Conscious Discipline to control, manage various situations. |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Provide opportunities for fathers and grandfathers of SCE students to become Watch Dogs. |  | Counselor | Increased school safety and community involvement. |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Integrate counseling activities that include Red Ribbon Week, bullying prevention. |  | Counselor and Teachers | Increased student awareness. |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund SCE - 500.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Goal 3: MISD will improve the technology infrastructure and strategically plan for the deployment of 21st century technology for teacher and student use.

Performance Objective 1: Utilize the use of technology by teachers and students to enhance daily instruction and provide differentiation.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 1: Increased use of technology and application to student learning and success.
Summative Evaluation 1:

| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Provide opportunities for students through to learn and apply various presentation tools. |  | Administration, Librarian, Instructional Aide, Instructional Coach and T.I.M. | Increased usage of a variety of presentation tools by students in classroom presentations. |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 211 Title I-7500.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Provide professional development through Tech Tuesdays to introduce technology applications that could be used in the classroom to enhance student learning. |  | Administration, Instructional Coach and T.I.M. | Increased student engagement and academic gains. |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund SCE - 1000.00, 211 Title I - 7000.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $100 \%=\text { Accomplished } \quad 0 \%=\text { Nontinue/Modify } \quad=\text { No Progress } \quad=\text { Discontinue }$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Goal 3: MISD will improve the technology infrastructure and strategically plan for the deployment of 21 st century technology for teacher and student use.
Performance Objective 2: Comprehensive teaching of research skills/tools taught and reinforced through Specials rotation.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 2:
Summative Evaluation 2:

| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | Summative |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Research skills and tools will be taught in the computer lab/library rotation during students specials time. |  | Librarian | Increased usage of research tools by students that carry over into Genius Hour and TPSP projects. |  |  |  |  |
|  | = Accomplished |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Goal 4: MISD will establish procedures to allocate existing resources to areas of greatest need and actively pursue alternative sources of revenue.

Performance Objective 1: MISD will establish procedures to monitor and assess financial responsibility.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 1:
Summative Evaluation 1:

| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | Summative <br> June |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Create the campus budget based on campu budget allocations and plan accordingly based on previous year expenditures. |  | Finance Principal | Campus budget reports |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Run monthly budget reports to monitor expenses and ensure budgets are balanced. |  | Principa Principal's Secretary Finance |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Goal 5: MISD will monitor growth and plan for an orderly, systemic process to ensure quality programs and facilities.

Performance Objective 1: MISD will conduct a comprehensive analysis of existing facility needs.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 1:
Summative Evaluation 1:

| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Conduct building walkthroughs with Campus Maintenance tech to evaluate building needs or concerns. |  | Principal <br> Maintenance <br> Assistant Superintendent | Building walkthroughs Work order documentation |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Goal 6: MISD will recruit and retain excellent personnel and continually provide quality staff development.

Performance Objective 1: MISD compensation, contracts, and benefit plans will be reviewed annually to consider comparability and competitiveness with surrounding area employment markets.

Evaluation Data Source(s) 1:
Summative Evaluation 1:

| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | Summative <br> June |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| TEA Priorities <br> Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals 1) Begin the hiring process and staff planning early in the Spring to ensure best hires and fill vacancies in a timely manner. |  | Principal HR department | Interview documentation ARF submission |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Goal 7: MISD will establish a process that ensure open, honest, and frequent communication with the public.

Performance Objective 1: SCE will communicate effectively with our community.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 1: Parents will be informed of all events and activities at Stewart Creek.
Summative Evaluation 1:

| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) All school activities taking place during the school day will be published at least a month in advance; allowing for parents to schedule around work commitments. |  | Administration and Teachers. | Increased parent attendance at school functions/activities taking place during the school day. |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Goal 8: MISD will encourage and promote a climate that fosters parental participation in the education of our children.

Performance Objective 1: SCE will promote and maintain a strong parent participation supporting the education of students.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 1: Parent participation will be an integral part of the daily education of SCE students.
Summative Evaluation 1:

| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Offer a "Settling in at SCE" for those new to Stewart Creek to facilitate the transition to our campus. |  | Administration, Registrar and Parent Volunteers | Increased participation in school activities from new families to our campus. |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Provide Conscious Discipline training to educate Pre-K and Kindergarten parents on strategies for student success in school. |  | Administration, Counselor and Instructional Coach. | Improved partnership between parents and school. |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Offer several evenings for parent/teacher conferences in the first 9 weeks of school. |  | Administration and Teachers | Parents will have a better understanding of academic and classroom expectations, in order to assist and monitor their child's progress. |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Invite and encourage parent participation in the district's Tile I Information Night. |  | Administration and counselor | A better understanding for parents of the Title I program and it's benefits. |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Invite and encourage parent participation in the district's Dyslexia Night. |  | Administration and counselor | Provide a better understanding for parents of students with dyslexia the struggles they face, as well as strategies being utilized at school. |  |  |  |  |
| 6) SCE will host an Open House in the Spring semester. Parents will be invited to participate in an interactive tour of the classroom and experience a typical day in the classroom. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) SCE will host a Career Day and invite parents to share with our students their jobs and experiences. |  | Counselor, Administration | Opportunities for parent participation in the education of SCE students. |  |  |  |  |


| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 8) SCE will promote and celebrate the Dual Language program through annual parentteacher conferences and a campus-wide Dual Language Family Night. | 2.4, 2.6 | Principal DL teachers Director of Special Programs | Parent feedback <br> Conference documentation <br> Flyers <br> Parent attendance |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 211 Title I- 0.00, 263 Title III - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $100 \%=\text { Accomplished } \quad 0 \%=\text { Continue/Modify } \quad=\text { No Progress } \quad=\text { Discontinue }$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## State Compensatory

## Personnel for Stewart Creek Elementary School:

| Name | Position | Program | FTE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Wanda Estes | Teacher | Special Education |  |

## 2019-2020 Needs Assessment Team

| Committee Role | Name | Position |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Parent | Jessica Grace | Parent |
| Parent | Amy Jones | Parent |
| Parent | Anna Calderon | Parent |
| Parent | Amber Harp | Parent |
| Administrator | Michele Salter | Principal |

## Campus Funding Summary

| 199 General Fund |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Goal | Objective | Strategy | Resources Needed | Account Code | Amount |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | Personnel |  | \$0.00 |
| 1 | 1 | 2 | LLI, Reading Horizons |  | \$0.00 |
| 1 | 2 | 1 | M.A.C. |  | \$0.00 |
| 1 | 2 | 2 |  |  | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  | Sub-Total | \$0.00 |
| 199 General Fund SCE |  |  |  |  |  |
| Goal | Objective | Strategy | Resources Needed | Account Code | Amount |
| 1 | 7 | 1 | Genius Hour Training |  | \$1,200.00 |
| 2 | 1 | 3 | Materials for Red Ribbon and Bullying |  | \$500.00 |
| 3 | 1 | 2 | Laptop computers and tablets |  | \$1,000.00 |
|  |  |  |  | Sub-Total | \$2,700.00 |
| 211 Title I |  |  |  |  |  |
| Goal | Objective | Strategy | Resources Needed | Account Code | Amount |
| 1 | 1 | 2 | Learning A-Z |  | \$4,000.00 |
| 1 | 1 | 2 | Literacy Library |  | \$2,000.00 |
| 1 | 1 | 2 | Imagine Learning |  | \$0.00 |
| 1 | 1 | 3 | Scholastic News and Time for Kids |  | \$3,000.00 |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | Tutors |  | \$3,900.00 |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | Laptops for student use |  | \$7,500.00 |
| 3 | 1 | 2 | Laptop computers and tablets |  | \$7,000.00 |
| 8 | 1 | 8 | Parent Involvement |  | \$0.00 |


| 211 Title I |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Goal | Objective | Strategy | Resources Needed | Account Code | Amount |
|  |  |  |  | Sub-Total | \$27,400.00 |
| 255 Title II A |  |  |  |  |  |
| Goal | Objective | Strategy | Resources Needed | Account Code | Amount |
| 1 | 5 | 1 | 7 Steps to a Language Rich Interactive Classroom |  | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  | Sub-Total | \$0.00 |
| 263 Title III |  |  |  |  |  |
| Goal | Objective | Strategy | Resources Needed | Account Code | Amount |
| 1 | 5 | 1 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 1 | 5 | 1 | Title 3 Symposium |  | \$0.00 |
| 8 | 1 | 8 | Parent Involvement |  | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  | Sub-Total | \$0.00 |
| 461 Campus Activity |  |  |  |  |  |
| Goal | Objective | Strategy | Resources Needed | Account Code | Amount |
| 1 | 6 | 1 | Awards for incentives |  | \$1,000.00 |
| 1 | 8 | 1 | Garden Curriculum - paid by SCE PTA |  | \$12,000.00 |
| $\square$ Sub-Total |  |  |  |  | \$13,000.00 |
|  |  |  |  | Grand Total | \$43,100.00 |

# Montgomery Independent School District <br> Lone Star Elementary School 

## 2019-2020 Campus Improvement Plan

Accountability Rating: A



## LeaderinMe

## Mission Statement

Lone Star Elementary will provide students with opportunities to excel academically,
demonstrate respect and appreciation for each other,
collaborate effectively for a greater purpose,
and pursue personal strengths.

## Vision

Learn each day. Empower others. Accept everyone. Develop your greatness.
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## Comprehensive Needs Assessment

## Revised/Approved: July 09, 2019

## Demographics

## Demographics Summary

Lone Star Elementary School is a PK-5th grade campus with an enrollment of 780 students. The specific demographics of the children include:
14\% Economically Disadvantaged
84\% White
12\% Hispanic
1.7\% African American
1.3\% Two or More Races

Our student population has steadily increased due to growth in surrounding areas and Limited Open Enrollment.

## Demographics Strengths

We are able to attract and retain qualified, experienced staff who are able to meet the needs of our children. The district has planned and allocated appropriately with staff and funding.

## Student Academic Achievement

Student Academic Achievement Summary

|  | May 2019 STAAR Mathematics, Grade 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Students | Raw <br> Score | Scale <br> Score | Percent <br> Score | Approaches | Meets | Masters | Date <br> Taken |
| Lone Star <br> Elementary School | 111 | 24 | 1527 | 75.63\% | 88.29\% | 58.56\% | 36.04\% | 05/01/19 |
| Economic Disadvantage | 17 | 20 | 1415 | 61.18\% | 70.59\% | 23.53\% | 11.76\% | 05/01/19 |
| Asian | 3 | 25 | 1552 | 77\% | 100\% | 66.67\% | 33.33\% | 05/01/19 |
| Black/African American | 2 | 15 | 1332 | 46.50\% | 50\% | 0\% | 0\% | 05/01/19 |
| Hispanic | 12 | 22 | 1480 | 68.83\% | 75\% | 33.33\% | 16.67\% | 05/01/19 |
| Two or More Races | 3 | 27 | 1594 | 85.67\% | 100\% | 66.67\% | 66.67\% | 05/01/19 |
| White | 91 | 25 | 1534 | 76.79\% | 90.11\% | 62.64\% | 38.46\% | 05/01/19 |
| Female | 61 | 24 | 1525 | 75.90\% | 90.16\% | 62.30\% | 32.79\% | 05/01/19 |
| Male | 50 | 24 | 1529 | 75.30\% | 86\% | 54\% | 40\% | 05/01/19 |
| LEP | 2 | 16 | 1341 | 48.50\% | 50\% | 0\% | 0\% | 05/01/19 |
| Special Ed Indicator | 3 | 13 | 1297 | 40.33\% | 33.33\% | 0\% | 0\% | 05/01/19 |

May 2019 STAAR Reading/ELA, Grade 3

| Total Students | Raw <br> Score | Scale <br> Score | Percent <br> Score | Approaches | Meets | Masters | Date <br> Taken |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 111 | 24 | 1527 | $75.63 \%$ | $88.29 \%$ | $58.56 \%$ | $36.04 \%$ | $05 / 01 / 19$ |  |
| 17 | 20 | 1415 | $61.18 \%$ | $70.59 \%$ | $23.53 \%$ | $11.76 \%$ | $05 / 01 / 19$ |  |
| 3 | 25 | 1552 | $77 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $66.67 \%$ | $33.33 \%$ | $05 / 01 / 19$ |  |
| 2 | 15 | 1332 | $46.50 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $05 / 01 / 19$ |  |
| 12 | 22 | 1480 | $68.83 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $33.33 \%$ | $16.67 \%$ | $05 / 01 / 19$ |  |
| 3 | 27 | 1594 | $85.67 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $66.67 \%$ | $66.67 \%$ | $05 / 01 / 19$ |  |
| 91 | 25 | 1534 | $76.79 \%$ | $90.11 \%$ | $62.64 \%$ | $38.46 \%$ | $05 / 01 / 19$ |  |
| 61 | 24 | 1525 | $75.90 \%$ | $90.16 \%$ | $62.30 \%$ | $32.79 \%$ | $05 / 01 / 19$ |  |
| 50 | 24 | 1529 | $75.30 \%$ | $86 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $05 / 01 / 19$ |  |
| 2 | 16 | 1341 | $48.50 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $05 / 01 / 19$ |  |
| 3 | 13 | 1297 | $40.33 \%$ | $33.33 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $05 / 01 / 19$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Students | May 2019 STAAR Reading/ELA, Grade 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Raw | Scale | Percent |  |  |  | Approaches | Meets | Masters | Date |
| :---: |
| 111 |
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| Female | 61 | 27 | 1541 | $80.03 \%$ | $96.72 \%$ | $70.49 \%$ | $45.90 \%$ | $05 / 01 / 19$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 50 | 25 | 1485 | $73.04 \%$ | $86 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $05 / 01 / 19$ |
| LEP | 2 | 24 | 1444 | $70.50 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $05 / 01 / 19$ |
| Special Ed Indicator | 2 | 22 | 1406 | $65 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $05 / 01 / 19$ |

May 2019 STAAR Mathematics, Grade 4

|  | Total Students | Raw <br> Score |  |  |  |  |  |  | Scale <br> Score | Percent <br> Score | Approaches Meets | Masters <br> Aate <br> Taken |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lone Star |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elementary School | 102 | 25 | 1631 | $72.89 \%$ | $90.20 \%$ | $66.67 \%$ | $38.24 \% 05 / 01 / 19$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Economic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disadvantage | 11 | 20 | 1532 | $59.45 \%$ | $72.73 \%$ | $45.45 \%$ | $9.09 \% 05 / 01 / 19$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Asian | 1 | 32 | 1822 | $94 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \% 05 / 01 / 19$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hispanic | 15 | 22 | 1560 | $64.07 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $46.67 \%$ | $20 \% 05 / 01 / 19$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Two or More Races | 5 | 23 | 1571 | $66.60 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $20 \% 05 / 01 / 19$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 81 | 25 | 1645 | $74.65 \%$ | $92.59 \%$ | $71.60 \%$ | $41.98 \% 05 / 01 / 19$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 46 | 23 | 1577 | $66.09 \%$ | $82.61 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $23.91 \% 05 / 01 / 19$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 56 | 27 | 1675 | $78.48 \%$ | $96.43 \%$ | $80.36 \%$ | $50 \% 05 / 01 / 19$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| LEP | 3 | 13 | 1393 | $37.33 \%$ | $33.33 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \% 05 / 01 / 19$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Special Ed Indicator | 8 | 15 | 1449 | $44.25 \%$ | $37.50 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $25 \% 05 / 01 / 19$ |  |  |  |  |  |

May 2019 STAAR Reading, Grade 4

|  | Total Students | Raw Score | Scale Score | Percent <br> Score | Approaches | Meets | Masters | Date <br> Taken |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lone Star Elementary School | 103 | 29 | 1627 | 80.10\% | 92.23\% | 79.61\% | 51.46\% | 05/01/19 |
| Economic Disadvantage | 12 | 24 | 1512 | 65.75\% | 75\% | 58.33\% | 8.33\% | 05/01/19 |
| Asian | 1 | 30 | 1619 | 83\% | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 05/01/19 |
| Hispanic | 16 | 26 | 1562 | 71.31\% | 81.25\% | 62.50\% | 25\% | 05/01/19 |
| Two or More Races | 5 | 29 | 1658 | 80\% | 80\% | 80\% | 40\% | 05/01/19 |
| White | 81 | 29 | 1638 | 81.80\% | 95.06\% | 82.72\% | 58.02\% | 05/01/19 |
| Female | 46 | 28 | 1611 | 77.67\% | 86.96\% | 76.09\% | 39.13\% | 05/01/19 |
| Male | 57 | 30 | 1640 | 82.05\% | 96.49\% | 82.46\% | 61.40\% | 05/01/19 |
| LEP | 3 | 18 | 1413 | 50\% | 33.33\% | 0\% | 0\% | 05/01/19 |
| Special Ed Indicator | 8 | 19 | 1459 | 51.88\% | 50\% | 12.50\% | 12.50\% | 05/01/19 |
| Lone Star Elementary School Generated by Plan4Learning | 6 of 41 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## May 2019 STAAR Writing, Grade 4

|  | Total Students | Raw <br> Score | Scale <br> Score | Percent <br> Score | Approaches Meets |  | Masters | Date <br> Taken |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lone Star | 102 | 23 | 4142 | $72.86 \%$ | $90.20 \%$ | $62.75 \%$ | $26.47 \%$ | $05 / 01 / 19$ |
| Elementary School |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Economic | 12 | 20 | 3777 | $62.92 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $16.67 \%$ | $05 / 01 / 19$ |
| Disadvantage | 1 | 28 | 4786 | $88 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $05 / 01 / 19$ |
| Asian | 16 | 21 | 3906 | $65.81 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $37.50 \%$ | $12.50 \%$ | $05 / 01 / 19$ |
| Hispanic | 5 | 25 | 4283 | $78 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $05 / 01 / 19$ |
| Two or More Races | 80 | 24 | 4173 | $73.76 \%$ | $92.50 \%$ | $65 \%$ | $28.75 \%$ | $05 / 01 / 19$ |
| White | 45 | 23 | 4144 | $72.53 \%$ | $86.67 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $33.33 \%$ | $05 / 01 / 19$ |
| Female | 57 | 23 | 4141 | $73.12 \%$ | $92.98 \%$ | $64.91 \%$ | $21.05 \%$ | $05 / 01 / 19$ |
| Male | 3 | 15 | 3312 | $48 \%$ | $33.33 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $05 / 01 / 19$ |
| LEP | 8 | 16 | 3430 | $49.25 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $12.50 \%$ | $05 / 01 / 19$ |

April 2019 STAAR Mathematics, Grade 5
$\begin{array}{lcccccc}\text { Total Students } & \text { Raw } & \text { Scale } & \text { Percent } & & \text { Date } \\ & \text { Score } & \text { Score } & \text { Score }\end{array}$ Approaches Meets Masters $\begin{gathered}\text { Taken }\end{gathered}$

| Lone Star <br> Elementary <br> School <br> Economic | 122 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Disadvantage |  |
| Asian |  |
| Black/African | 26 |
| American | 1 |
| Hispanic | 2 |
| Two or More | 15 |
| Races | 1 |
| White | 103 |
| Female | 61 |
| Male | 61 |
| LEP | 3 |

[^1]
## Special Ed

Indicator

9
$18 \quad 1513 \quad 51.33 \% \quad 66.67 \% \quad 11.11 \% \quad 0 \% \quad 04 / 01 / 19$

## May 2019 STAAR Mathematics, Grade 5

| Lone Star <br> Elementary <br> School | 11 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Economic |  |
| Disadvantage |  |
| Black/African | 5 |
| American | 1 |
| Hispanic | 2 |
| Two or More | 1 |
| Races | 7 |
| White | 4 |
| Female | 7 |
| Male | 1 |
| LEP | 2 |
| Special Ed |  |


|  | Total Students | Raw <br> Score | Scale <br> Score | Percent <br> Score | Approaches Meets MastersDate <br> Taken |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lone Star <br> Elementary <br> School | 123 | 28 | 4152 | $78.20 \%$ | $82.93 \%$ | $60.16 \%$ | $37.40 \%$ | $05 / 01 / 19$ |
| Economic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disadvantage <br> Asian | 26 | 33 | 3712 | $64.35 \%$ | $57.69 \%$ | $30.77 \%$ | $11.54 \%$ | $05 / 01 / 19$ |
| Back/African <br> American | 1 | 31 | 4239 | $86 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $05 / 01 / 19$ |
| Hispanic | 2 | 20 | 3453 | $54.50 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $05 / 01 / 19$ |


| Two or More | 2 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Races | 103 |
| White | 62 |
| Female | 61 |
| Male | 3 |
| LEP | 9 |
| Special Ed |  |

Total Students

| Lone Star |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Elementary |  |
| School | 16 |
| Economic |  |
| Disadvantage | 9 |
| Hispanic | 3 |
| White | 13 |
| Female | 4 |
| Male | 12 |
| Special Ed | 5 |
| Indicator |  |

Total Students

Lone Star Elementary School
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| 38 | 1941 | $98.50 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $04 / 01 / 19$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30 | 1633 | $78.47 \%$ | $87.38 \%$ | $68.93 \%$ | $46.60 \%$ | $04 / 01 / 19$ |
| 30 | 1643 | $80.08 \%$ | $93.55 \%$ | $67.74 \%$ | $43.55 \%$ | $04 / 01 / 19$ |
| 29 | 1622 | $76.21 \%$ | $80.33 \%$ | $68.85 \%$ | $47.54 \%$ | $04 / 01 / 19$ |
| 29 | 1619 | $77.33 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $66.67 \%$ | $33.33 \%$ | $04 / 01 / 19$ |
| 21 | 1471 | $54.67 \%$ | $33.33 \%$ | $33.33 \%$ | $11.11 \%$ | $04 / 01 / 19$ |

May 2019 STAAR Reading, Grade 5

| Raw <br> Score | Scale <br> Score | Percent <br> Score |  |  |  | Dpproaches Meets |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | 1452 | $52.44 \%$ | $56.25 \%$ | $12.50 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $05 / 01 / 19$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | 1481 | $57.56 \%$ | $77.78 \%$ | $22.22 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $05 / 01 / 19$ |
| 23 | 1491 | $59.33 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $05 / 01 / 19$ |
| 19 | 1443 | $50.85 \%$ | $46.15 \%$ | $15.38 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $05 / 01 / 19$ |
| 21 | 1457 | $53.75 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $05 / 01 / 19$ |
| 20 | 1450 | $52 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $16.67 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $05 / 01 / 19$ |
| 19 | 1438 | $50.20 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $05 / 01 / 19$ |

May 2019 STAAR Science, Grade 5

| Two or More | 2 | 35 | 4870 | $95.50 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $05 / 01 / 19$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Races | 104 | 29 | 4176 | $79.18 \%$ | $85.58 \%$ | $62.50 \%$ | $39.42 \%$ | $05 / 01 / 19$ |
| White | 60 | 28 | 4147 | $78.50 \%$ | $85 \%$ | $58.33 \%$ | $36.67 \%$ | $05 / 01 / 19$ |
| Female | 63 | 28 | 4157 | $77.90 \%$ | $80.95 \%$ | $61.90 \%$ | $38.10 \%$ | $05 / 01 / 19$ |
| Male | 3 | 25 | 3765 | $68.33 \%$ | $66.67 \%$ | $33.33 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $05 / 01 / 19$ |
| LEP | 21 | 3573 | $59 \%$ | $44.44 \%$ | $22.22 \%$ | $11.11 \%$ | $05 / 01 / 19$ |  |
| Special Ed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Student Academic Achievement Strengths

We continue to be proud of our students' achievement. Our 4th graders exceeded expectations on Writing STAAR due to a solid foundation by former grade level teachers and targeted instruction from their 4th grade teachers. Masters' Grade Level and Student Growth continues to grow under our teachers' diligent efforts.

## School Processes \& Programs

## School Processes \& Programs Summary

Lone Star Elementary is proud to be the first campus in MISD to be part of the Franklin Covey Organization's The Leader In Me. Along with many days of training and coaching for our staff, this has provided us with a solid framework to build our programs and student initiatives. The staff and students at our school are committed to the 7 Habits, based on Stephen Covey's Seven Habits of Highly Effective People:

1. Be Proactive;
2. Begin with the End in Mind;
3. Put First Things First;
4. Think Win-Win;
5. Seek First to Understand, Then to be Understood;
6. Synergize; and
7. Sharpen the Saw

All of our students have leadership opportunities in their classrooms as part of this initiative and many have campus roles as well. Each staff member has a specific role in the creation and implementation of our campus vision. Our school is organized with staff taking part in the following areas:

Student Learning; Staff Learning; Family Learning; Shared Leadership; Leadership Environment; Leadership Events; and Aligning Academics. We will continue to focus on the Aligning Academic piece, providing each student in our campus with a Leadership Notebook for personal accountability. Although the items and use of the notebook will differ by grade levels, each child has the following sections: 1. My Self (all about me; personal mission statement); 2. My Habits (the 7 habits information; personal WIGs - Wildly Important Goals); 3. My Data (where students will keep track of their progress on items such as reading levels, sight words, math facts, attendance, etc); 4. My Leadership (roles they are involved in or would like to try out at school or at home); and 5. My Celebrations (personal success, certificates or awards they receive).

Our staff also maintain a Leadership Notebook in order to model and understand the importance of this approach.

## School Processes \& Programs Strengths

The parents of Lone Star Elementary students have been very supportive for the start of our TLIM journey. We look forward to providing further resources and opportunities to share information and training with them. We believe that these skills and strengths set our students up for many successes in - and out of - the classroom!

## Perceptions

## Perceptions Summary

The Leader in Me is becoming not just what we do at Lone Star, it is part of who we ARE. Students help greet visitors and coordinated programs in our school. Tribe Day (vertical student enrichment) happens about 8 times per year, and helps create a collaborative spirit, an opportunity for multi-age learning, and student-teacher relationships across the school. Our goal is that students always know there is an adult to help them, but also realize that they have the capacity to make good decisions on their own. We are an inclusive, kind campus, where students want to have the opportunity to help our Life Skills students, our PreK learners, or to be a reading/math buddy to our kindergartners.

Our staff has fully supported The Leader in Me and support the beliefs and instructional opportunities in the classroom.
Parent feedback results from the 2018-19 school year, used for 19-20 planning, includes:

## 2018-19 Parent Survey Results

1. My child feels safe at school. YES (97\%) NO (3\%)
2. I have an opportunity to be involved to the level I desire. (Watch DOGS, PTA, classroom volunteer, fundraising/donations, at-home supporter) YES (97\%) NO (3\%)
3. The Leader In Me is effective for my child (my child is learning leadership skills, applying the 7 Habits, etc.) YES (94\%) NO (6\%)
4. I would like more TLIM at-home ideas and activities for my family. YES (59\%) NO (41\%)
5. I have opportunities to stay informed about campus events (newsletters, webpage, text reminders, etc.) YES (100\%) NO (0\%)
6. I have opportunities to stay informed about class events (webpage, text reminders, etc.) YES (94\%) NO (6\%)
7. If I have a concern or question, I am responded to in a timely manner (within 24 hour period). YES (85\%) NO (15\%)
8. TRIBE Goals: Students will work collaboratively and respectfully with all age groups. Students will be familiar with teachers of other grade levels. Common verbiage \& lessons will be used throughout the campus for The Leader in Me. Leadership skills will be learned and applied through both planned and natural opportunities. Teachers will cooperatively plan activities unique for their tribe. Relationships between staff/staff, students/students, and students/staff will strengthen. Positive culture will continue on non-tribe days! I think these goals are important for my child's school. YES (100\%) NO (0\%)
9. I think the Tribe Day is an effective way to meet the goals listed above. YES (97\%) NO (3\%)
10. Our mission for the children and the staff is that we L.E.A.D: Learn each day. Empower others. Accept everyone. Develop your greatness. How are we doing? 4.58/5 stars

## Perceptions Strengths

We are proud of the positive, child-centered reputation our campus has achieved. We continue to have newly enrolled families tell us that they selected their home in order to attend Lone Star. It is a responsibility that we take seriously and we go out of our way to make sure that each child and family is given the attention they need in order to be successful. Our staff is open with communication and visible on campus. As we grow and change, we all believe that it is critical that we maintain that focus and deep love for children.

## Problem Statements Identifying Perceptions Needs

Problem Statement 1: We will have several new staff members that will need training and understanding of the 7 Habits methodology Root Cause: Turnover and staff attrition will continue to make this a yearly need, so this is an opportunity to develop strong training systems.

## Priority Problem Statements

## Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

## Improvement Planning Data

- District goals
- Campus Performance Objectives Summative Review from previous year
- Current and/or prior year(s) campus and/or district improvement plans
- Campus and/or district planning and decision making committee(s) meeting data
- State and federal planning requirements


## Accountability Data

- Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR) data


## Student Data: Assessments

- State and federally required assessment information (e.g. curriculum, eligibility, format, standards, accommodations, TEA information)
- State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS) results
- Texas Primary Reading Inventory (TPRI), Tejas LEE, or other alternate early reading assessment results
- Student Success Initiative (SSI) data for Grades 5 and 8
- Local diagnostic math assessment data


## Student Data: Student Groups

- STEM/STEAM data
- Dyslexia Data


## Student Data: Behavior and Other Indicators

- Attendance data
- Mobility rate, including longitudinal data
- Discipline records
- Student surveys and/or other feedback


## Employee Data

- Professional learning communities (PLC) data
- Staff surveys and/or other feedback
- Campus leadership data
- Campus department and/or faculty meeting discussions and data


## Parent/Community Data

- Parent surveys and/or other feedback
- Parent engagement rate
- Community surveys and/or other feedback


## Goals

## Revised/Approved: September 17, 2019

## Goal 1: MISD will provide a challenging curriculum, assess individual student achievement and support efforts to ensure student success.

Performance Objective 1: $90 \%$ of all students combined over all subject areas will meet Level II performance standards within the state accountability system; with a minimum increase of $10 \%$ in Level III.

Evaluation Data Source(s) 1: Common Assessment Data; Classroom Observations; STAAR Scores and Accountability Index
Summative Evaluation 1:

| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Implement Montgomery Aligned Curriculum in all grade levels and subject to ensure that students receive an appropriate scope and sequence of the TEKS through the use of a balanced literacy program and a balanced math program. | 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 | Principal, AP, Coach, Teachers | Walkthroughs, evaluations, and lesson plans will show instructional alignment |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Teachers will analyze common assessment results, Universal Screener data, and STAAR information to identify students needing targeted Reading and/or Math intervention and meet with administration to discuss plans for success | 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 | Principal, AP, Coach, Reading Interventionist, Teachers | Student progress, growth, reading \& math scores will improve |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Implement Balanced Literacy and Balanced Math programs in PK-5 classrooms, address varied needs through small group with differentiated students, and provide teachers training on "The First 20 Days". | 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 | Principal, Reading Interventionist, Instructional Coach | Progress chart of reading levels |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund SCE - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 4) Implement Strategies from Schoolwide Enrichment Model, providing differentiation and purpose for all students through Tribe Days with vertical grouping. | 2.5 | Principal, Teachers | Tribe Showcases, Student surveys, parent feedback |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Writing across all grade levels will be an area of focus through review and implementation of MAC, PLC planning, teacher-developed assessments, and scheduled administrative review. | 2.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6) Students will track individual goals and progress in their Leadership Notebooks to show their success and growth. | 2.4 | Principal <br> Assistant <br> Principal <br> Counselor <br> Teachers | Discussion and sharing of notebooks will lead to celebrations and success, as evidenced through walkthroughs and student success on standard measures. |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Grade level goals will be publicly followed and updated on bulletin boards in the hallways. | 2.4 | Principal <br> Assistant <br> Principal <br> Teachers <br> Aligning <br> Academics Team |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Identify students needing targeted reading/math interventions in all grade levels K-5. |  | Principal <br> Assistant <br> Principal <br> Counselor <br> Instructional <br> Coach <br> Reading <br> Interventionist <br> Teachers | DRA, common assessments, STAAR will show need and growth |  |  |  |  |
| 9) Teachers will plan weekly to collaborate and implement research-based strategies and implement MAC according to the timeline. |  | Principal <br> Instructional <br> Coach <br> Teachers | Teachers will share ideas and develop plans through a PLC model |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Goal 1: MISD will provide a challenging curriculum, assess individual student achievement and support efforts to ensure student success.
Performance Objective 2: 90\% economically disadvantaged students and two lowest performing racial/ethnic groups from the prior year (African American and Hispanic) will meet the weighted performance (Level II and III)

Evaluation Data Source(s) 2: STAAR scores and benchmark assessments

## Summative Evaluation 2:

| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Offer morning intervention time in the computer lab for qualifying students in order to support the classroom instruction | 2.4, 2.6 | Principal, Counselor, Coach, Teachers, Interventionist | Improvement of student progress, attendance sheets, RTI meeting notes |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Make sure that all English Language Learners are appropriately placed in teachers' classrooms who maintain an English as a Second Language certification. | 2.4, 2.6 | Principal, Counselor, Teachers | Student growth will be evident as reported through TELPAS. |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Spring tutors will be hired to assist students in their academic grown for common and state assessments. Tutors will be highly qualified teachers. | 2.4, 2.6 | Principal, assistant principal, coach, interventionist | Increased progress, attendance sheets, teacher feedback |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Teachers will use Eduphoria to read data and plan for instruction based on Universal Screeners, local assessments, and STAAR. | 2.4 | Principal <br> Assistant <br> Principal <br> Counselor <br> Instructional <br> Coach <br> Reading <br> Interventionist | Improved student performance |  |  |  |  |
| 5) The Literacy Library will be used by all ELA teachers in order to provide authentic, on level literature for reading groups. | 2.4 | Principal Teachers | Reading levels will continue to show growth |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Goal 1: MISD will provide a challenging curriculum, assess individual student achievement and support efforts to ensure student success.
Performance Objective 3: $90 \%$ of all students including racial/ethnic groups will meet final Level II standard on one or more tests combined over all subject areas; thus meeting criteria for College and Career Readiness

Evaluation Data Source(s) 3: Common Assessments, STAAR data
Summative Evaluation 3:

| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Students will have the opportunity to compete with other schools in UIL academic events | 2.5 | Principal Teacher sponsors UIL Coordinator | Student participation rate and result of competition |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Gifted/Talented students will be grouped together and their classroom teachers will provide differentiated GT services to identified GT students through the use of the Texas Performance Standards Project, and students will have an opportunity to showcase their product. | 2.5 | Principal, Instructional Coach GT Coordinator | Project Showcase int he spring will allow students and families to celebrate their product. |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Teachers will participate in Professional Learning Communities on a regularly scheduled basis ( $3 \times$ per 9 weeks), with a PLC time built into the master schedule | 2.5 | Principal Assistant Principal Counselor Instructional Coach Teachers | Instruction will be aligned and student success will continue to improve. |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Instructional Coach will support classroom teachers in Treading, Math, Technology Classroom Management, and Instructional Planning to enhance student learning. | 2.5 | Principal <br> Instructional <br> Coach | Student discipline and academic success will improve |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Students receiving Special Education services will be placed in the least restrictive environment. |  | Principal <br> Assistant <br> Principal <br> Counselor <br> Diagnostician <br> Teachers | IEP goals and documentation Student progress |  |  |  |  |


| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 6) Students within the Dyslexia Intervention Program will receive services in a pull-out program to support classroom instruction. |  | Principal <br> Assistant <br> Principal <br> Counselor <br> Dyslexia <br> Specialist <br> Teachers | Student progress will continue |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Response to Intervention (RTI) will be implemented in each grade level in order to identify, monitor, and support struggling learners. |  | Principal <br> Assistant <br> Principal <br> Counselor <br> Instructional <br> Coach <br> Reading <br> Interventionist <br> Teachers |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Goal 1: MISD will provide a challenging curriculum, assess individual student achievement and support efforts to ensure student success.
Performance Objective 4: 80\% of all students will meet a minimum of one Healthy Fitness Zone standard, as measured by the Fitness Gram assessment and monitored by the School Health Advisory Committee (MVPA)

## Evaluation Data Source(s) 4:

## Summative Evaluation 4:



Goal 1: MISD will provide a challenging curriculum, assess individual student achievement and support efforts to ensure student success.
Performance Objective 5: Continue implementation of Dropout Prevention Program in order to reduce the dropout rate to less than $1 \%$.

## Evaluation Data Source(s) 5:

Summative Evaluation 5:

| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Ensure that student attendance is an area of focus by providing monthly incentives, individual student goal setting, and weekly reminders of the importance of coming to school. | 2.4 | Principal <br> Assistant <br> Principal <br> Counselor <br> Registrar <br> Teachers | Attendance rate will improve |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Scheduled meetings to track students who may be at-risk of failure or experiencing other difficulties, in order to streamline and document the support and approaches. | 2.6 | Counselor <br> Assistant <br> Principal <br> Reading <br> Interventionist <br> Instructional <br> Coach | Student success will improve |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Provide staff development and direction to ensure collaboration between general education and special education teachers so that student identification and support are coordinated. | 2.6 | Principal <br> Assistant <br> Principal <br> Counselor <br> Diagnostician <br> Special Ed <br> Teachers <br> Teachers | Communication and collaboration will increase student performance |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Work on special ed master schedule to provide students of special education support from within the department as a whole, not just individual programs. | 2.6 | Assistant <br> Principal <br> Special Ed <br> Teachers | Student academic support will be provided with greater flexibility and success |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Identify and serve students who qualify for services and support under the McKinneyVento Act for homeless status | 2.6 | Principal, Counselor, Registrar, Director of Special Programs | Student residency questionnaires, free/reduced roster |  |  |  |  |



## Goal 2: MISD will vigorously enforce policies and procedures that promote a safe and orderly environment.

Performance Objective 1: By thoroughly informing and training $100 \%$ of the staff and students on safety policies and procedures and by rigorously enforcing all safety policies and procedures $100 \%$ of the time, MISD will provide a safe and orderly learning environment .

Evaluation Data Source(s) 1: Student/Parent Handbooks Pre K-5, Classroom Training, Parent Signature Page. Student/Parent Handbooks 6-12, Campus Training \& Student/Parent Signature Pages, Staff Development Agendas \& Signature Pages

Summative Evaluation 1:

| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Develop leadership skills, proactive behaviors, and student roles/"jobs" through the implementation of The Leader in Me process | 2.5, 2.6 | Principal, AP, Counselor, Teachers | Decrease in discipline reports, increase in academic achievement |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Staff and students will participate in required crisis drills, fire drills, evacuation drills, and disaster drills. Professional development will be provided by the MISD police department and practiced/reinforced throughout the school year. |  | MISD Police Department, Administration, Classroom Teachers | Agenda with documentation; calendar of all drills |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Provide CPR/AED First Aid Training to all team leaders and staff that supervise students off campus | 2.5 | Nurse | Increase in staff awareness and ability; sign in sheets |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Combine Red Ribbon Week with Good Choices and Be a Buddy, Not a Bully activities to focus on leadership and opportunity for all students to participate |  | Principal, Counselor | Decrease in discipline referrals |  |  |  |  |
| 5) All teachers and employees will complete certification through the Texas Behavior Support Initiative | 2.6 | Principal Assistant Principal Staff |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6) Students will participate in Tribe/vertical team days, where classes will work together across grade level for enrichment, problem solving, and co-curricular activities. | 2.5 | Principal Teachers | Behavior and academic success will improve |  |  |  |  |


| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 7) Teachers will implement Conscious Discipline techniques and strategies to help students learn to self-regulate and problem solve. |  | Principal <br> Assistant <br> Principal <br> Counselor <br> Teachers | Classroom observations and discipline report data will support the decrease of behavior concerns. |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Continue to use V-Soft visitor tracking programs to ensure that guests are not a threat |  | Principal Office Staff Teachers | Staff will be aware that all visitors must have an identification sticker and guests will meet background check requirements. |  |  |  |  |
| 9) Provide mandated training on safety, hazardous materials, blood-borne pathogens, sexual harassment, drug/alcohol abuse, and integrated pest management training to all employees at the start of the school year. Keep training available to employees so they can refer throughout the year. |  | Principal <br> Counselor <br> Nurse | Staff will understand safety requirements and expectations. |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Goal 3: MISD will improve the technology infrastructure and strategically plan for the deployment of 21st century technology for teacher and student use.

Performance Objective 1: MISD will develop a quality technology program to maximize teaching and learning in $100 \%$ of the K-12 classrooms.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 1:
Summative Evaluation 1:


Goal 3: MISD will improve the technology infrastructure and strategically plan for the deployment of 21 st century technology for teacher and student use.
Performance Objective 2: MISD will use technology to enhance instructional practices and advance the technological proficiency in $100 \%$ of the instructional departments and schools.

## Evaluation Data Source(s) 2:

## Summative Evaluation 2:

| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) All teachers will create and maintain staff webpages in order to communicate expectations and information |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Campus TIMS will schedule training per nine-weeks to model and share instructional technology tools and resources. |  | Principal TIMS | Classroom observations will show increased use of valuable technology |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Goal 4: MISD will establish procedures to allocate existing resources to areas of greatest need and actively pursue alternative sources of revenue.

Performance Objective 1: MISD will establish procedures to monitor and assess financial responsibility with $100 \%$ accuracy.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 1:
Summative Evaluation 1:

| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Create campus budget with attention to cutting unnecessary expenses. Gather information from teachers and staff to support critical needs. |  | Principal Secretary Teachers | Budget will show good stewardship of funds |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Review operating expenses and accounts each quarter in order to alert staff to upcoming changes or opportunities. |  | Principal Secretary | Progress throughout the school year with minimal changes needed. |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Goal 4: MISD will establish procedures to allocate existing resources to areas of greatest need and actively pursue alternative sources of revenue.
Performance Objective 2: MISD will provide a long and short-term capital asset plan
Evaluation Data Source(s) 2:
Summative Evaluation 2:

| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Work with staff to develop long term goals for the campus, such as cafeteria tables, outside furniture, replacement of recess equipment |  | Principal <br> Assistant <br> Principal <br> Secretary <br> Maintenance <br> Teachers | Replacement of large items will occur in a rotation so that it is feasible, financially. |  |  |  |  |
| $100 \%$ =Accomplished $\quad 0 \%=$ Nontinue/Modify $\quad=$ Discontinue $\quad=$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Goal 5: MISD will monitor growth and plan for an orderly, systemic process to ensure quality programs and facilities.

Performance Objective 1: MISD will conduct a comprehensive analysis of existing facility needs.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 1:
Summative Evaluation 1:

| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Conduct building walkthroughs throughout the year with the Campus Maintenance Personnel to evaluate building structures, paint, floors, plumbing concerns, electrical needs in order to repair and receive assistance. |  | Principal <br> Assistant <br> Principal <br> Maintenance | The building will operate in excellent working condition. |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Goal 6: MISD will recruit and retain excellent personnel and continually provide quality staff development.

Performance Objective 1: MISD compensation, contracts and benefit plans will be reviewed annually to consider comparability and competitiveness with surrounding area employment markets.

Evaluation Data Source(s) 1:
Summative Evaluation 1:

| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | Summative <br> June |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Begin the hiring process and planning before the beginning of the recruiting/hiring season to ensure competitiveness with the surrounding districts. |  | HR department <br> Principal <br> Assistant <br> Principal |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Goal 6: MISD will recruit and retain excellent personnel and continually provide quality staff development.
Performance Objective 2: During the 2019-2020 year, MISD will provide all essential positions necessary to accommodate growth for $100 \%$ of the student population.

Evaluation Data Source(s) 2: Growth Project Study

## Summative Evaluation 2:

| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Use the Frontline employee tracking system to identify and interview highly qualified candidates for openings at the campus. |  | Principal Assistant Principal | Strength of instructional staff will be supported by assessment data |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Use of the Frontline Applicant system will allow administrators to identify and interview highly qualified candidates for campus openings. |  | Principal <br> Assistant <br> Principal | Strength of teachers will be supported by classroom data. |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Goal 6: MISD will recruit and retain excellent personnel and continually provide quality staff development.
Performance Objective 3: 100\% of new teachers will successfully complete MISD's Mentoring Programs.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 3: Letter of Invitation, Training Agenda, Signature Pages and Participant Evaluation Forms
Summative Evaluation 3:

| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | Summative |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Mentoring programs will be provided at the district and campus levels for teachers in their first year of teaching, with a second year offered if necessary. Buddies will also be provided for experienced teachers who are new to the district. |  | Principal Teachers | Participants will be successful within the classroom and on campus. |  |  |  |  |
| $100 \%$ | = Accomplished |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Goal 6: MISD will recruit and retain excellent personnel and continually provide quality staff development.
Performance Objective 4: MISD will provide multiple opportunities each month throughout the school year to recognize and develop leadership skills among employees.

Evaluation Data Source(s) 4: District Announcement of Banquet Honorees, District E-Blast and Postings to Community Connections,
Calendar of District Events
Summative Evaluation 4:

| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| TEA Priorities <br> Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals <br> 1) Campus will participate in the MISD "Teacher of the Year" and "Spirit of Montgomery" program, recognition, and banquet through the nomination process. |  | Principal <br> Assistant <br> Principal <br> Teachers | Staff will provide input on honorees. |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Administrators will provide information on continuing education opportunities to develop leadership capacity for MISD. |  | Principal | District opportunities and announcements will be shared with staff and mentoring will be provided. |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Staff will recognize each other at monthly faculty meetings for 4 pillars: <br> Learn each day. <br> Empower others. <br> Accept everyone. <br> Develop your greatness. |  | Principal Staff | Culture of professional growth will continue. |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Goal 6: MISD will recruit and retain excellent personnel and continually provide quality staff development.
Performance Objective 5: 100\% of MISD teachers and instructional aides will be considered Effective Teachers according state certifications and district criteria.

Evaluation Data Source(s) 5:

## Summative Evaluation 5:



## Goal 7: MISD will establish a process that ensure open, honest, and frequent communication with the public.

Performance Objective 1: MISD will provide its stakeholders effective external communication on a weekly basis throughout the school year ( 36 weeks).
Evaluation Data Source(s) 1:
Summative Evaluation 1:

| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Promote positive communication between the school, home, and community through the campus website, School Messenger communication system, classroom communication, and parent conferences. |  | Principal <br> Assistant <br> Principal <br> Teachers | Parent survey will support the communication is successful. |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Keep staff informed through LSE Staff Site, a website with weekly blog and updated events, procedures, and information. |  | Principal | Staff will be up to date and informed. |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Request feedback from parents at the end of the year to assess areas of improvement and recognition. |  | Principal | Use the collected information to guide plans for the school year. |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Facilitate communication and involvement opportunities with OHJH and LCHS, sharing information and dates with parents. |  | Principal | Families will be connected to secondary schools in our feeder pattern. |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Goal 8: MISD will encourage and promote a climate that fosters parental participation in the education of our children.

Performance Objective 1: MISD will provide opportunities for parent involvement at $100 \%$ of the campuses and at the district level.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 1:
Summative Evaluation 1:

| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Offer community driven programs, including but not limited to Meet the Teacher, Open House, Watch DOGS, Tribe Showcase, Book Fair, Lunch with Loved Ones, Leadership Day, and parent reading volunteers | 3.2 | Principal, AP, Counselor, Teachers | Sign in sheets, attendance |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Recruit members for LSE PTA and provide opportunities for parents to assist at the campus. | 3.2 | Principal, Counselor | Sign in sheets, attendance |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Hold an evening "Kindergarten Round Up" in the spring with staff present to answer questions so that incoming kindergartners \& families can tour the school. | 3.2 | Principal Teachers | Attendance will help foster parent connections and communication |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Partner with Montgomery Chamber of Commerce to increase volunteerism and coordinate back to school opportunities. |  | Principal <br> Assistant <br> Principal <br> Secretary | Volunteer opportunities will increase |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## State Compensatory

## Personnel for Lone Star Elementary School:

| Name | Position | Program | FTE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Kelly Petty | Teacher | Special Education |  |
| Melissa Kercheval | Teacher | Reading Intervention | 1 |
| Melissa Logeman | Teacher | Special Education | 1 |
| Stephanie Lowery | Teacher | Instructional Coach | 1 |

## Campus Funding Summary



## Montgomery Independent School District

## Madeley Ranch Elementary School <br> 2019-2020 Campus Improvement Plan

## Mission Statement

Our goal at Madeley Ranch is to provide a safe and loving environment that celebrates each student's individual strengths while providing a solid academic foundation during their formative elementary years.

Make an Impact. Reach Every Student. Empower Minds.

## Value Statement

All students deserve the opportunity to discover and cultivate their interests and passions through innovative learning experiences that inspire them to add value to their community and world.

## Table of Contents

Comprehensive Needs Assessment ..... 4
Demographics ..... 4
Student Academic Achievement ..... 7
School Processes \& Programs ..... 9
Perceptions ..... 11
Priority Problem Statements ..... 12
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation ..... 13
Goals ..... 14
Goal 1: MISD will provide a challenging curriculum, assess individual student achievement and support efforts to ensure student success ..... 14
Goal 2: MISD will vigorously enforce policies and procedures that promote a safe and orderly environment. ..... 24
Goal 3: MISD will improve the technology infrastructure and strategically plan for the deployment of 21st century technology for teacher and student use. ..... 27
Goal 4: MISD will establish procedures to allocate existing resources to areas of greatest need and actively pursue alternative sources of revenue. ..... 31
Goal 5: MISD will monitor growth and plan for an orderly, systemic process to ensure quality programs and facilities. ..... 33
Goal 6: MISD will recruit and retain excellent personnel and continually provide quality staff development. ..... 34
Goal 7: MISD will establish a process that ensure open, honest, and frequent communication with the public. ..... 39
Goal 8: MISD will encourage and promote a climate that fosters parental participation in the education of our children ..... 41
State Compensatory ..... 43
Personnel for Madeley Ranch Elementary School: ..... 43
Campus Advisory Committee ..... 44
Campus Funding Summary ..... 45

## Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Revised/Approved: July 25, 2019

## Demographics

## Demographics Summary

Madeley Ranch Elementary School is a campus comprised of students in Pre Kindergarten - 5th grade. MRE has a total enrollment of 736, with students ranging from Prek thru 5th grade. The following data outlines a breakdown of Madeley Ranch's demographics.

## Enrollment Numbers indicate:

The enrollment numbers are indicating a stable and moderate growth.
Breakdown by ethnicity, gender, or other category

| Student Enrollment | $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Hispanic/Latino | $13.39 \%$ |
| American Indian/Alaska | $.009 \%$ |
| Asian | $0 \%$ |
| Black/African American | $1.3 \%$ |
| Hawaiian or Other Pacific | $0 \%$ |
| White | $81.3 \%$ |
| Two or More Races | $3.6 \%$ |
| Male | $53.95 \%$ |
| Female | $46.04 \%$ |

Number of students in Special Programs broken down by ethnicity, gender, or category
Program 2013/2014 2014/2015 2015/2016 2016/2017 2017/2018
ESL $1.07 \% \quad .97 \% \quad .87 \%$. $85 \%$.007\% (7)

| Program | $2013 / 2014$ | $2014 / 2015$ | $2015 / 2016$ | $2016 / 2017$ | $2017 / 2018$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| GT | $6.68 \%$ | $6.47 \%$ | $6.29 \%$ | $6.78 \%$ | $7.78 \%(64)$ |
| SpEd | $4.62 \%$ | $5.83 \%$ | $8.22 \%$ | $6.44 \%$ | $9 \%(74)$ |

## Data for Special Programs over time

The data looks stable. Our S.E. percentages have increased since adding the Academic \& Behavior Learning Environment Program to our campus.

## Migrant Student Information

We currently do not have any migrant student population.

Composition of Madeley Ranch Elementary Staff

| Title | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| HOMEROOM TEACHERS | 31 | 31 | 37 |
| IN-CLASS SUPPORT TEACHERS | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| SPECIAL ED. SELF CONTAINED TEACHER - | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| FUNCTIONAL ACADEMICS | .5 | .5 | 1 |
| PRE-SCHOOL PROGRAM Teacher | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| SPEECH THERAPIST | 1.5 days per week | 0 | 0 |
| ESL SPECIALIST | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| ABLE TEACHER SELF CONTAINED | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| MUSIC TEACHER | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| PE TEACHER | 6 | 7 | 6 |
| SP. ED. PARAPROFESSIONALS | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| PARAPROFESSIONALS | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| CLERICAL STAFF PARAPROFESSIONALS | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| LIBRARIAN | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| ADMINISTRATORS | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| COUNSELOR | .5 | .5 | .5 |
| LSSP |  | 1 |  |


| Title | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Campus Interventionist | 1 | 1 | .5 |

For the 2017/2018 school year, Madeley Ranch Elementary housed 3 programs: ABLE, and ESL, and G.T.
We continued to implement the School Wide Enrichment Model. One day a week, our students attended "E-Tracks" to experience an hour of enrichment of their choice or passion. At the end of each "E-Track" period, students were able to provide a product or service to the community in response to what they learned in their track. In addition, we implemented the House Concept across all grade levels and all classrooms. Students were grouped vertically rather than with students in their grade level, and provided opportunities to work across all grade levels through the house concept.

## Demographics Strengths

## Student Academic Achievement

## Student Academic Achievement Summary

The percentages of the 2019 STAAR Tests for third-fifth grades are as follows
Third Grade:
Reading - 84\% at Approaches, $48 \%$ Met, and $31 \%$ Mastered.
Math - 86\% Approaches, 58\% Met, and 30\% Mastered.
Fourth Grade:
Reading - 83\% Approaches, 58\% Met, and 32\% Mastered
Math - 81\% Approaches, 55\% Met, and 34\% Mastered
Writing - 71\% Approaches, 37\% Met, and 12\% Mastered
Fifth Grade:
Reading - 94\% Approaches (cumulative)
Math - 97\% Approaches (cumulative)
Science - 88\% Approaches, $61 \%$ Met, and 32\% Mastered
Madeley Ranch will continue to implement a School-wide Enrichment Model (SEM) for the 2018-2019 school year. This program develops a collaborative school culture while providing meaningful, high-level and potentially creative opportunities for students to develop their talents. We will offer an enrichment hour each Friday. MRE will also utilize specific programs in each academic area to increase student success. In reading we will continue using Reading Horizons. We will also continue our development of a Balanced Math Curriculum. This program will include Singapore Math, Kim Sutton, Target the TEKS, and Target the Question. Teachers at MRE will continue to implement the Lucy Calkins writing program for all grade levels as well as Gretchin Bernabei for fourth grade. We will also continue to make certain that all students are instructed using a Balanced Reading approach. We will have a campus wide focus on daily writing and narrowed focus on revision and editing as part of the writing process.

## Student Academic Achievement Strengths

Madeley Ranch saw tremendous growth across the board in all subjects areas on STAAR, with students making significant progress. In addition, retentions in grades K-5 are reduced. With the implementation of a sound RTI process and intervention program, students are showing progress and receiving academic help on a daily basis.

## Problem Statements Identifying Student Academic Achievement Needs

Problem Statement 1: Student performance on the 3rd Grade Reading STAAR decreased by 4\% Root Cause: Foundation in early years (K-2) and reading instruction in need of alignment and structure in line with District standards and scope.

## School Processes \& Programs

## School Processes \& Programs Summary

A positive school climate exists when each student feels valued, welcome, challenged, accepted and secure. MRE also strives to have a student centered learning environment and to reach the students through various means. The environment we strive for is one where students feel it is safe to take risks and have peers and adults who they trust. Continually improving the school climate for our students is a committee goal. Many programs and initiatives are in place and can be modified as necessary as we strive to make the culture and climate at MRE productive and encouraging for each of our students.

Listed below are some programs in place for both students and staff alike.

- NEAT - Never Ever Absent or Tardy - This attendance program encourages students to be present each day. Establishes sense of school family and lets them know they are missed when not in attendance.
- Conscious Discipline - Ongoing discipline support that helps facilitate students to feel a part of something with rituals and jobs, resolve problems, and to think and understand their behaviors.

Classroom Chants/Rituals - most classes have not only a school chant, but an individual routine of some sort that fosters a sense of belonging.

- E-tracks - Teachers and students will continue to have a voice in their preferred E-Track.
- Extra-Curricular Opportunities - Choir, Bible Club, UIL, DI, Safety Patrol in place. There is opportunity to expand our extra-curricular activities.

Teacher Specific Programs:

- Instructional Coach available for wide range of support
- Availability of Master Teacher program


## Personnel

The staff at MRE are all highly qualified. We do still need better guidelines, training, and check in time for new teachers and their mentors with the campus coach. We have a highly driven technology staff who focus on continually improving their skills to keep up with 21 st Century standards. The communication amongst each grade level is effective due to monthly staff meetings, committee meetings, and team leader meetings. The administrators also communicate on a daily basis through email and weekly reviews. We will continue to have a certified staff on enhancing instruction and providing support in the classroom.

## Intervention

Our RTI process has been streamlined and fully implemented, allowing for students to receive the important academic intervention they need, based on universal screeners. In addition, staff continues to be trained on the fidelity and importance of accurate assessmnt and data driven intervention and instruction. We continue to work on tools and educating parents and staff alike on the importance of intervention in order to accurately identify student needs.

## Communication

We have a strong Parent Teacher Organization that is very hands on with the school. They have two big fundraisers each year and contribute all money raised back to the school. The new goal for raising money is to help with technology and supplying all classrooms with IPADS or tablets.

School to Home communication continues to be greatly improved. We currently use the following as tools for communication with parents: Emails, Smore Newsletters, School Messenger for school wide emails and texts, Tuesday Folders, Social Media, Phone Conferences, Scheduled teacher parent conferences, etc.

Lastly, our Watch Dog program, run by school counselor has been a great success. It has encouraged many dads to become more involved in their child's education. We should continue to support this cause in our school and encourage more participation.

## School Processes \& Programs Strengths

There is a focus to expand instructional strategies to allow for more student facilitated learning. Teacher collaboration is strong. There is a comfort level amongst teachers to share and seek ideas. Our campus is purposeful in viewing children as a "whole child," combining both academic and emotional wellbeing.

Students are offered numerous actvities outside of the school day to build relationships and create a sense of belonging. UIL, Safety Patrol, Bible Club, DI.

## Problem Statements Identifying School Processes \& Programs Needs

Problem Statement 1: Process for identification of struggling students with possible learning disablities or academic difficulties continues to be a work in progress. Root Cause: RTI process has been implemented, but data driven instruction not effectively taking place.

## Perceptions

## Perceptions Summary

Teachers at Madeley Ranch are given a voice in decision making. Each grade level team has a team leader, who will attend meetings once or twice per month. These meetings will address needs, concerns, and calendar events. Team leaders will then take the information shared back to their teams.

Conscious Discipline has been fully implemented, and while there are still some teachers trying to find a balance with it, it conitnues to be a great first step in helping a student workt through their frustrations and behavioral concerns. Teachers have constant support from the adminstrative team in deciding next steps, best practice and working with individual students.

The overall perception of Madeley Ranch according to parent, student and staff survey is positive in some respects and negative in others. . Students like being involved in the various activities the campus offers such as E-Tracks, Career Week, N.E.A.T. Club which is an attendance incentive, and Field Day. Students expressed an extreme dislike of the House Concept, as did parents and staff, stating that it took away from instructional time and limited the social aspects of learning. Teachers epxressed concern about the amount of instruction time lost for "House Time," and parents expressed concern about the interactions between students of all grade levels at lunch, recess and specials.

Safety drills are conducted several times throughout the school year to ensure that our students know what to do in the event of an emergency.

The Instructional Coach is a vital part of our campus. Teachers are able to reach out to her when they have a question about curriculum, assessment, resources, etc.

## Perceptions Strengths

Teacher voice, natural consequences, opportunities for students to be involved and recognition for effort and attendance.

## Problem Statements Identifying Perceptions Needs

Problem Statement 1: Parent survey revealed a lack of feedback to parents on how their student is showing progress on a social/emotional level. Root Cause: Report cards report on academics \& attendance alone.

## Priority Problem Statements

## Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

## Improvement Planning Data

- District goals
- Campus Performance Objectives Summative Review from previous year
- Current and/or prior year(s) campus and/or district improvement plans
- Campus and/or district planning and decision making committee(s) meeting data
- State and federal planning requirements


## Accountability Data

- Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR) data
- Federal Report Card Data


## Student Data: Assessments

- State and federally required assessment information (e.g. curriculum, eligibility, format, standards, accommodations, TEA information)
- State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- STAAR Released Test Questions


## Goals

## Revised/Approved: September 17, 2019

## Goal 1: MISD will provide a challenging curriculum, assess individual student achievement and support efforts to ensure student success.

Performance Objective 1: $90 \%$ of all student groups will meet Expected or Accelerated Performance standards on all Statewide tests.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 1: The measure of impact will be determined through Unit Common Assessments, Benchmark Assessments, BOY, MOY, EOY, and end of the year STAAR results.

Summative Evaluation 1:

| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Early identification for students needing targeted reading/math interventions in all grade levels. |  | Administration, Counselor, Grade Level Teachers, State Comp Ed Teacher | DRA, <br> Kindergarten Pre Assessment, STAAR Data, Eduphoria Data, Common Assessments, Universal Screeners |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund SCE - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Teachers will evaluate the STAAR item analysis from previous STAAR tests to identify areas in need of intervention. <br> $70 \%$ of all students will meet or exceed progress on Index 2 in Reading and Math STAAR and EOY Universal Screen results, with $35 \%$ scoring at the Mastered Level in Reading and $35 \%$ in Math and Science. |  | Principal, Asst. <br> Principal, Instructional Coach, and MRE MRE Staff | Common Assessments, MOY Benchmarks, and 2017 STAAR Results. |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00, 461 Campus Activity - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 3) The SEM2 cohorts will continue to implement SEM strategies in core instruction and train teachers on campus throughout the year on depth and complexity with self directed learning. |  | Administrators, Instructional Coach, and SEM2 teachers. | Benchmark Data, 2017 STAAR Observations of increased student engagement. <br> Observations of increased student self directed learning. <br> Increase of Level 3 products at the end of each SEM 9 week period. |  |  |  |  |
| 4) To expand the MISD Gifted and Talented Plan, the Destination Imagination (DI) teams $\mathrm{K}-5$ will compete in area competitions. |  | Principal, Asst. Principal, and DI Coach | Competition Scores and Awards |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Continue the implementation of the District's Gifted and Talented 5 Year Plan that will provide a variety of services to meet the needs of GT students K-12 and will address all areas of the Texas State Plan for the Education of Gifted and Talented students, including |  | Administrators, Counselor, and the Director of Special Programs | MISD GT Program will meet the exemplary standards of the state plan. |  |  |  |  |
| curriculum and instruction, and family and community. | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 500.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6) Provide more staff development on Project Based Learning and STEM to increase capacity. |  | Principal <br> Assistant <br> Principal <br> Transformational <br> Team <br> Director of <br>  <br> Instruction <br> PBL teachers <br> STEM teachers | Increase of percentage of teachers using STEM and Product Base Learning in the classroom. |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 1000.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Implement consistent writing across all curriculum subject areas. Four writing samples will be collected throughout the year for students to see growth and provide feedback. |  | Administrators <br> Instructional <br> Coach <br> Teachers | STAAR writing scores, Student craft of writing |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Build 30 minute block into Master Schedule for teachers to work with small groups, provide enrichment, hands on opportunities. |  | Administrators Teachers | Master Schedule Student Progress |  |  |  |  |



Goal 1: MISD will provide a challenging curriculum, assess individual student achievement and support efforts to ensure student success.
Performance Objective 2: $90 \%$ economically disadvantaged students and two lowest performing racial/ethnic groups from the prior year will meet Expected or Accelerated Growth on 2019-2020 STAAR.

Evaluation Data Source(s) 2: STAAR scores and BOY, MOY, and EOY Assessments

## Summative Evaluation 2:

| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Utilize TxEIS, PEIMS, Eduphoria, and other forms of data to track the participation rate, performance, and instructional setting of students taking the state assessment. |  | Administrator, Instructional Coach, and MRE Staff | MOY, EOY Assessments, Benchmark Data, 2017 STAAR Data, Report Card Data, and Classroom Observation |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Utilize Student Success Team to identify, intervene, and monitor the progress of "AtRisk" students and students identified for Title 1 Targeted Assistance having met 2 of the 3 criteria: low socio-economic, failed or passed STAAR, DRA score less than or equal to 38 . |  | Principal, Asst. <br> Principal, <br> Counselor, SST <br> Team, <br> Interventionist, MRE Staff | Student Success Team Data by Grading Periods. |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Track and provide services to at-risk students, students with special needs and their families through a Campus Interventionist. |  | Administrators, Instructional Coach, Campus Interventionist, MRE Staff | Program Data including Homeless, General Ed, Homebound, Foster, Migrant and Section 504. Intervention Program Data |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Provide a wide variety of and access to multiple computerized instruction intervention programs based on individual student needs. |  | Administrators, Campus Interventionist, and MRE Staff | Individual computerized student progress report. |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Provide two tutors to work part time to tutor students who are at risk of failing and at risk of passing the STAAR Tests. |  | Administrators, Instructional Coach, Tutors, Teachers | MOY and EOY Assessments Benchmark Results STAAR Tests results |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund SCE - 12000.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Madeley Ranch Elementary School

| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  | Summative |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan Mar | June |
|  | = Accomplished | $=$ Con |  |  |  |  |

Goal 1: MISD will provide a challenging curriculum, assess individual student achievement and support efforts to ensure student success.
Performance Objective 3: $90 \%$ of all students including racial/ethnic groups will meet standard criteria for College Career \& Readiness
Evaluation Data Source(s) 3: Common Assessments, STAAR data
Summative Evaluation 3:

| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Identify students in need of intervention through Universal Screeners and/or data from previous year's performance. Monitor through RTI or SST procedures to provide appropriate intervention. |  | RTI Committee Teachers Instructional Coach Administrators | STAAR Data Student performance |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Continued implementation of Schoolwide Enrichment Model, providing each student with an opportunity to explore an interest and produce a product once per semester. |  | Administrators <br> Teachers <br> Parent <br> Volunteers <br> SEM Coordinator | Student participation |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Offer tutorials in all subjects to help struggling learners and provide intervention. |  | Administrators <br> Instructional <br> Coach <br> Teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tutorial Sign In Sheets } \\ & \text { Student Grades } \\ & \text { Teacher Feedback } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Goal 1: MISD will provide a challenging curriculum, assess individual student achievement and support efforts to ensure student success.
Performance Objective 4: $80 \%$ of all students will meet a minimum of one Healthy Fitness Zone standard, as measured by the Fitness Gram Assessment and monitored by the School Health Advisory Committee (SHAC).

Evaluation Data Source(s) 4: The measure of impact will be determined through the Fitness Gram Results.

## Summative Evaluation 4:

| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) To ensure that $50 \%$ of class time, students are engaged in moderate to vigorous physical activity (MVPA). Some of the P.E. instruction will take place during recess. Teachers will notate in their Lesson Plans the organized P.E. instruction that will take place during the week at recess. This instruction will be in addition to the P.E. instruction students receive by their P.E. teacher. |  | Administrators, P.E. Teachers, Classroom Teachers | Fitness Gram Results, Classroom Observations. Lesson Plan, Recess Observation Time |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 500.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Integrate core curriculum content into physical education curriculum. |  | P.E. Teacher, Principal, Asst. Principal | Classroom Observations, Lesson Plans. |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Develop quality Physical Education Lesson Plans that are developmentally and sequentially appropriate. |  | P.E. Teacher, Principal, Asst. Principal | Lesson Plans, TEKS |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Provide state approved Coordinated School and Health Components into curriculum. |  | P.E. Teacher, Principal, Asst. Principal | Classroom Observations, Lesson Plans |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Ensure that the student/teacher ratios meet the state standards as well as the required 135 minutes per week of physical education for every student. |  | P.E. Teacher, Principal, Asst. Principal, MRE Staff | Class Rosters, Master Schedule |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  | Summative |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan Mar | June |
|  | = Accomplished | $=$ Con |  |  |  |  |

Goal 1: MISD will provide a challenging curriculum, assess individual student achievement and support efforts to ensure student success.
Performance Objective 5: Continue implementation of the Dropout Prevention Program to reduce the dropout rate to less than $1 \%$.

## Evaluation Data Source(s) 5:

Summative Evaluation 5:

| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Utilize consistent procedures to identify, intervene and monitor the progress of At-Risk students and implement procedures and strategies within best practices for meeting the needs of these students. |  | MRE Staff, <br> Reading and <br> Math <br> Interventionist, Tutors, Principal, Asst. Principal | Student Performance on AIMS Web and DRA, PAPI, and Fluency Probe, Common Assessments, MOY Benchmark, Common Assessments, STAAR, and Report Card performance. |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund SCE - 12000.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Provide credit recovery through on-line courses offered in Summer School as well as summer enrichment program for students who need a "Jump Start" into the next grade level . |  | Principal, Asst. <br> Principal, <br> Counselor, MRE <br> Staff | Report Card Results. |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Continue to follow local procedures to monitor student retention. |  | Principal, Asst. <br> Principal, <br> Counselor, MRE <br> Staff | Retention rates, Report Card results |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Continue the implementation of the N.E.A.T. program to motivate students to not miss school and to arrive to school on time. |  | Julie McLendon, Kelly Lowe, and Teachers | End of the year percentage increase of absences and tardies. |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 461 Campus Activity - 2500.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Identify and serve students who qualify for services and supports under the McKinneyVento Act (homeless status). |  | Principal, Counselor, Registrar, Director of Special Programs | Student residency questionnaires, free \& reduced roster |  |  |  |  |


| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  | Summative |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan Mar | June |
|  | = Accomplished | $=$ Con |  |  |  |  |

## Goal 2: MISD will vigorously enforce policies and procedures that promote a safe and orderly environment.

Performance Objective 1: By thoroughly informing and training staff, parents and students on safety policies and procedures, and by rigorously enforcing all safety policies and procedures, MISD will provide a safe and orderly learning environment.

Evaluation Data Source(s) 1: Student/Parent Handbook Pre K-5, classroom Training, Parent Signature Page. Student/Parent Handbooks 6-12, Campus Training \& Student/Parent Signature Pages, Staff Development Agendas \& Signature Pages.

Summative Evaluation 1:

| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Incorporate character education through Counselor's Corner, daily live announcements, guidance lessons, Check and Connect, Conscious Discipline, Watch Dogs, Cowboy Charlie and Lady Charlotte, the campus dogs, and campus assemblies. |  | MRE Staff, Counselor, and Principal, and Asst. Principal | Decrease in the number of discipline referrals. Positive teacher and parent feedback. Parent/Teacher Survey |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 461 Campus Activity - 2600.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) $100 \%$ of all students will participate in Red Ribbon Week activities to promote drug awareness. |  | MRE Staff, Counselor, Principal and Asst. Principal | Increased drug awareness by conducting a Drug Awareness Week on campus with different activities implemented each day. Also, reminders on weekly announcements, and through guidance counseling throughout the year. |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 400.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Continue the implementation of Conscious Discipline school-wide to promote good conduct, character traits, and citizenship. |  | Principal, Asst. <br> Principal, <br> Counselor, <br> Classroom Teachers, Specials Teachers | Classroom Observation, Lower incidence of discipline referrals. |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 300.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Continued practice of fire drills, shelter in place, and lock down drills to ensure students are prepared for emergency situations. |  | Principal, Asst. Principal, MRE Staff, and MISD Police | Drill Reports and MISD Police Feedback |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 5) Implement Violence Prevention Intervention through Guidance Counseling lessons and in General Education Classes. |  | Principal, Asst. <br> Principal, Counselor, MRE Staff, and MISD Police | Low Incidence of Discipline |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund SCE - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6) Update students, parents, faculty and staff annually on the MISD Code of Conduct. |  | Principal, Assistant Superintendent of Administrative Services. | Student/Parent Handbook Pre K - 5, Student/Parent Signature page, Staff Development Agenda. |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Provide training for teachers and staff on suicide prevention, conflict resolution, dating violence, sexual abuse of children and antibullying strategies. |  | Principal, Asst. <br> Principal, Counselor | Annual Employee Review/Update Training. |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Continue to conduct safety, hazardous materials, blood-borne pathogens, sexual harassment, drug/alcohol abuse, and integrated pet management training to employees. |  | Principal, Asst. <br> Principal, Director of School Security, Executive Director of HR/Communications. | Annual Employee Review/Update Training and Signature Pages. |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) Continue to train appropriate staff on CPR and the use of AED. |  | Lead Nurse, Principal and Asst. Principal | Training Completion Certificates, Campus \& District List. |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10) Train and implement Behavior Crisis Management Teams for the campus through utilization of the Texas Behavior Support Initiative (TBSI) and CPI Crisis Prevention Intervention. |  | Principal, Asst. Principal, Special Education Staff | Certificates of Completion. |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11) Offer grade-level assemblies for grades 3-5 regarding student code of conduct, academic responsibilities and bullying/safety issues. |  | Administrators Counselor | Decrease in referrals Teacher feedback Schedules |  |  |  |  |
| 12) V-Soft program for tracking visitors who enter the building. |  | Administrators Office Staff | Increased building security |  |  |  |  |
| 13) Continue Safety Patrol (5th graders) during arrival and dismissal times to help with transitions. |  | Administrators Sponsors | Smooth transitions throughout the day |  |  |  |  |


| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  | Summative |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan Mar | June |
|  | = Accomplishe | $=\text { Cont }$ |  |  |  |  |

## Goal 3: MISD will improve the technology infrastructure and strategically plan for the deployment of 21st century technology for teacher and student use.

Performance Objective 1: MISD will develop a quality technology program to maximize teaching and learning.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 1:
Summative Evaluation 1:

| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Utilize computer lab for student research |  | Administrators and teachers | Student research projects. |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Technically Wednesdays: in-house staff development with equipment and software that MRE currently owns or provides. |  | Administrators and TIM | Increased use of technology students |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Implement Library Media Program with various forms of technology using tablet's, IPads, Maker Spaces, Robotics, Legos, etc to engage readers through a different format. |  | Administrators and Librarian | Increased student engagement/reading skills Increased library circulation |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund Technology - 1000.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Staff development during teacher in-service in areas of flip video, Podcasts, Student Response clickers, \& Smart Boards, Animotos, Educational Apps, Website Training and Glogster. |  | Administrators and TIM | Increased student use of technology in the classroom |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00, 461 Campus Activity - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Goal 3: MISD will improve the technology infrastructure and strategically plan for the deployment of 21 st century technology for teacher and student use.
Performance Objective 2: MISD will use technology to enhance professional practices.

## Evaluation Data Source(s) 2:

Summative Evaluation 2:

| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) The Technology Steering Committee and District Director of Instructional Technology will schedule web-based training to expand teacher use of web pages. |  | Administrators and TIM | Active teacher webpages |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Continue to offer professional development to include the following: a. Technology integration into lesson design and delivery. b. Strategies to increase online collaboration and communication horizontally and vertically among our campus and across district. c. Strategies to promote the use of new technologies that support teaching and learning. d. Strategies to promote Bring Your Own Device. |  | Principal, Director of Instructional Technology, Executive Directors of Elementary Ed., Special Ed., TIM. | Professional Development Schedule, Signature Pages, Agendas, Participant Evaluation of Training. |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund Technology - 500.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Utilize trained teachers as technology integration mentors (TIMS) to help promote and encourage good technology practices through regularly scheduled training sessions. |  | Principal, Director of Instructional Technology, Executive Director of Elementary Education, TIM | Campus Training Announcements, Schedules, Signature Pages. |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 1000.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Incorporate the use of campus tablets into daily instruction in each classroom in at least one subject per week. |  | Administrators, Instructional Coach, and Teachers | Classroom Observation Student generated products Written into lesson plans weekly |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 461 Campus Activity - 50000.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  | Summative |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan Mar | June |
|  | = Accomplished | $=$ Con |  |  |  |  |

Goal 3: MISD will improve the technology infrastructure and strategically plan for the deployment of 21 st century technology for teacher and student use.
Performance Objective 3: Develop a technology program with infrastructure to support operations
Evaluation Data Source(s) 3: 100\% of the staff will know when and how to access the Technology Help-Desk and will be able to utilize the work-ticket process.

Summative Evaluation 3:

| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Schedule training with Technology Department Staff on the Helpdesk function and work-ticket process. |  | Administrator and TIM | Sign-In Sheets and Agenda |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Goal 4: MISD will establish procedures to allocate existing resources to areas of greatest need and actively pursue alternative sources of revenue.

Performance Objective 1: MISD will establish procedures to monitor and assess financial responsibility.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 1: Annual Audit Report/Madeley Budget/ Various Documents
Summative Evaluation 1:

| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Continue to annually review budgets with secretary. |  | Principal, Campus <br> Secretary, Chief Financial Officer | MRE Budget approved by MISD Board of Trustees. |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Continue to track campus allocations. |  | Principal, Campus Secretary, Chief Financial Officer | MRE Budget approved by MISD Board of Trustees. |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Track revenues and expenditures throughout the school year. |  | Principal, Campus Secretary, Chief Financial Officer | TxEIS Business System |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Annually review cost saving measures to determine effectiveness. |  | Principal, Campus <br> Secretary, Chief Financial Officer | Comparison Study of Expenditures. |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Continually research ways to cut costs and to generate revenue. |  | Principal, Campus Secretary, Chief Financial Officer | Revenue Budget |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |



## Goal 5: MISD will monitor growth and plan for an orderly, systemic process to ensure quality programs and facilities.

Performance Objective 1: MISD will conduct a comprehensive analysis of existing facility needs.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 1: Building walkthrough at the end of the year to evaluate progress.
Summative Evaluation 1:

| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Continue to conduct building walkthroughs throughout the year with the Campus Maintenance Personnel to evaluate building structures, paint, floors, plumbing concerns, electrical concerns, etc. to report any problems to the Asst. Superintendent. |  | Principal, Maintenance Personnel, Asst. Superintendent | Building Walkthroughs |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $100 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Goal 6: MISD will recruit and retain excellent personnel and continually provide quality staff development.

Performance Objective 1: MISD compensation, contracts and benefit plans will be reviewed annually to consider comparability and competitiveness with surrounding area employment markets.

Evaluation Data Source(s) 1:
Summative Evaluation 1:

| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Classroom teachers will be provided training and support on the Texas Performance Standards Project to ensure differentiation for identified GT students in the classroom. |  | Principal, <br> Director of <br> Special <br> Programs, <br> Instructional <br> Coach | Training sign-in sheets and agendas, teacher feedback, lesson plans, MAC resources |  |  |  |  |
| $\qquad$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Goal 6: MISD will recruit and retain excellent personnel and continually provide quality staff development.
Performance Objective 2: During the 2019-2020 year, MISD will provide all essential positions necessary to accommodate growth in student population.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 2:
Summative Evaluation 2:

| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Utilize regional service center data to assist in the identification of critical, certified shortage areas, viable and reliable ACP programs and certified personnel placements. |  | Executive Directors, HR/Communications, Education, Special <br> Ed., Principals | Region 6 Job App Web Bank, Region 6 ACP Program, District-wide ACP Announcements. |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Continue to participate in area and regional job fairs. |  | Executive Directors, HR/Communications, Education, Special Education, Principals | Job Fair Registration and Certificate of Attendance. |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Goal 6: MISD will recruit and retain excellent personnel and continually provide quality staff development.
Performance Objective 3: 100\% of new teachers will successfully complete MISD's Mentoring Programs.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 3: Letter of Invitation, Training Agenda, Signature Pages and Participant Evaluation Forms.
Summative Evaluation 3:

| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Mentoring Programs will be provided at the district and campus levels for teachers in their first year of teaching, with a second year offered if necessary. Buddies will also be provided for teachers who are new to the district, but not new to teaching. |  | Coordinator of <br> Special <br> Programs, <br> Assistant <br> Principal | Participant Evaluations reflect at least $95 \%$ <br> positive response to the usefulness of the <br> mentoring support. Letter of invitation, Training <br> Agenda, Signature Pages and Participant <br> Evaluations. |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Goal 6: MISD will recruit and retain excellent personnel and continually provide quality staff development.
Performance Objective 4: MISD will provide multiple opportunities to recognize and develop leadership skills among employees.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 4: District Announcement of Banquet Honorees, District E-Blast and Postings to Community Connections, Calendar of District Events.

Summative Evaluation 4:

| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Continue MISD programs and recognition banquet for "Teacher of the Year", and "Spirit of the Bear." |  | Executive Director of <br> HR/Communications | District Announcement of Honorees Banquet Invitations Banquet Program. |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Provide information on continuing education opportunities to develop leadership capacity for MISD. |  | Executive Director of HR/Communications, Principal | District Announcements, District E-Blast, Postings to "Community Connections." |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Establish a system to nominate and recognize outstanding efforts by MRE employees throughout the school year. |  | Administrators Teachers | Nominations <br> Awards |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Goal 6: MISD will recruit and retain excellent personnel and continually provide quality staff development.
Performance Objective 5: MISD will recruit and retain excellent personnel and continually provide quality staff development.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 5: 100\% of MISD teachers and instructional aides will be Highly Qualified.
Summative Evaluation 5:

| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Prior to being hired, certifications will be carefully examined for the positions being filled. |  | Executive <br> Director of HR, <br> Certification <br> Specialist | College Transcripts, SBEC Records |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Goal 7: MISD will establish a process that ensure open, honest, and frequent communication with the public.

Performance Objective 1: MISD/Madeley Ranch will establish a process that ensures open, honest, and frequent communication with the public.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 1: Maintain student-centered and meaningful relationships with parents, businesses, and community leaders.
Summative Evaluation 1:

| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Promote positive communication between the school, home, and community through the campus website, School Messenger (Email and phone system), Smore Newsletters, phone communication and parent conferences |  | Principal, <br> Assistant <br> Principal, <br> Counselor, <br> Teachers | Parent Response/Involvement <br> Parent Survey <br> Publication of website, Facebook and Newsletter. |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Continue to provide parent and staff survey at end of school year to identify strengths and weaknesses |  | Principal, CAC Committee | Data Collected for Campus Improvement Plan, Parent Survey |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Promote positive communication between the school, home, and community by partnering with parents, community members and business partners to implement SEM. |  | Principal, Asst. Principal, SEM Coordinator, and MRE Staff | Student Products, <br> Showcase, <br> Observation <br> Increase of Parent Participation of volunteers |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 461 Campus Activity - 2600.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Continue to utilize Social Media, including Facebook and Twitter accounts to provide real time communication to Stakeholders. |  | Principal, Counselor | Current postings |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Reach out to local high school clubs and students in need of service hours to volunteer and help with flag routes. |  | Administrators, PTO, MRE Staff, Parent Volunteers, Business Partners. | Flag Fundraiser volunteer base grows. |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 6) Create a resource for all new families coming to MRE to ensure that they understand our policies, procedures, programs, events, and campus culture. |  | Administrators, Librarian, MRE Staff, and PTO | Increase of parent participation at MRE. Parent End of the Year Survey |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Provide opportunities for parents to become involved at school (Donuts with Grownups, Fun Run, Meet the Teacher, Veteran's Day, Parent Info and Curriculum Night) |  | Administrators PTO MRE Staff | Parent involvement and response/feedback |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Continue Madeley Memo (newsletter from admin to staff) each week. |  | Administrators | Informed Staff |  |  |  |  |
| 9) Host Curriculum/Info night to inform/educate parents about grade level expectations. |  | Administrators Staff | Feedback |  |  |  |  |
| 10) Create a social skills/emotional needs evaluation tool to be shared with parents along with grade reporting. | 2.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Goal 8: MISD will encourage and promote a climate that fosters parental participation in the education of our children.

Performance Objective 1: MISD/Madeley Ranch will provide opportunities for parent involvement at the campus and district level.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 1: Parent Survey at the end of the school year.
Summative Evaluation 1:

| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Continue MISD Volunteer Programs for parents and community members. |  | Principal, Assistant Principal, Counselor, Teachers, Staff and P.T.O., Parents | Volunteer Membership Roster Event Calendar |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Further expand the Watch D.O.G.S. volunteer program for fathers, uncles, grandfathers, and male mentors in the community. |  | Principal, Counselor, Dads | Start-Up Meeting Agenda Signature Pages Visitation Calendar |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Continue P.T.O. activities that incorporate a connection between the families and school including family nights, entertainment events, and philanthropic opportunities. |  | Principal, parents | P.T.O. Rosters Event Calendar Webpage |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Continue/Create regularly scheduled parent sessions on programs and special events: Gifted and Talented Night, Dyslexia Night, College Fairs, Celebrate Language Night, and Parent Orientations. |  | District <br> Directors, Coordinators, Principal, Parents | Meeting Announcements Agendas Signature pages |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Publish Principal Weekly Newsletter, Updated Teacher Website, Utilize School Messenger Emails and Texts, Tuesday Folders, Parent Conferences, Report Card, Progress Report, Campus Web Page, Weekly email to parents. |  | Principal, Teachers, Parents | Campus Communication file, End of the Year Survey |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |



## State Compensatory

## Personnel for Madeley Ranch Elementary School:

| Name | Position | Program | FTE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Carol Jordan | Teacher | Special Education |  |
| Emily Larson | Teacher | Intervention | 1 |
| Jaimie McAllister | Teacher | Instructional Coach | 1 |
| Jeana Van Dyke | Teacher | Special Education | 1 |

## Campus Advisory Committee

| Committee Role | Name | Position |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Administrator | Shelby Smith | Principal |

## Campus Funding Summary

| 199 General Fund |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Goal | Objective | Strategy | Resources Needed | Account Code | Amount |
| 1 | 1 | 2 | Common Planning Period for vertical alignment for each "House." |  | \$0.00 |
| 1 | 1 | 4 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 1 | 1 | 5 |  |  | \$500.00 |
| 1 | 1 | 6 | tablets |  | \$1,000.00 |
| 1 | 2 | 1 | Eduphoria Program, Deeper Eduphoria Training, Assessment Data |  | \$0.00 |
| 1 | 2 | 2 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 1 | 2 | 3 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 1 | 2 | 4 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 1 | 4 | 1 |  |  | \$500.00 |
| 1 | 4 | 2 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 1 | 4 | 3 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 1 | 4 | 4 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 1 | 4 | 5 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 1 | 5 | 2 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 1 | 5 | 3 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 2 | 1 | 2 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 2 | 1 | 2 |  |  | \$400.00 |
| 2 | 1 | 3 |  |  | \$300.00 |
| 2 | 1 | 4 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 2 | 1 | 6 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 2 | 1 | 7 |  |  | \$0.00 |


| 199 General Fund |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Goal | Objective | Strategy | Resources Needed | Account Code | Amount |
| 2 | 1 | 8 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 2 | 1 | 9 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 2 | 1 | 10 | Training |  | \$0.00 |
| 3 | 1 | 1 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 3 | 1 | 2 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 3 | 1 | 4 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 3 | 2 | 1 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 3 | 2 | 3 | Stipend |  | \$1,000.00 |
| 3 | 3 | 1 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 4 | 1 | 1 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 4 | 1 | 2 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 4 | 1 | 3 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 4 | 1 | 4 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 4 | 1 | 5 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 5 | 1 | 1 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 6 | 2 | 1 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 6 | 2 | 2 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 6 | 3 | 1 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 6 | 4 | 1 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 6 | 4 | 2 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 6 | 5 | 1 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 7 | 1 | 1 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 7 | 1 | 2 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 7 | 1 | 4 |  |  | \$0.00 |


| 199 General Fund |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Goal | Objective | Strategy | Resources Needed | Account Code | Amount |
| 7 | 1 | 5 | Purchase of more flags, and recruitment of staff and volunteers for routes |  | \$0.00 |
| 7 | 1 | 6 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 8 | 1 | 1 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 8 | 1 | 2 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 8 | 1 | 4 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 8 | 1 | 5 |  |  | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  | Sub-Total | \$3,700.00 |
| 199 General Fund SCE |  |  |  |  |  |
| Goal | Objective | Strategy | Resources Needed | Account Code | Amount |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | Staff, manipulatives, and incentives |  | \$0.00 |
| 1 | 2 | 5 |  |  | \$12,000.00 |
| 1 | 5 | 1 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 1 | 5 | 1 |  |  | \$12,000.00 |
| 2 | 1 | 5 | Conscious Discipline |  | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  | Sub-Total | \$24,000.00 |
| 199 General Fund Technology |  |  |  |  |  |
| Goal | Objective | Strategy | Resources Needed | Account Code | Amount |
| 3 | 1 | 3 |  |  | \$1,000.00 |
| 3 | 2 | 2 |  |  | \$500.00 |
|  |  |  |  | Sub-Total | \$1,500.00 |
| 461 Campus Activity |  |  |  |  |  |
| Goal | Objective | Strategy | Resources Needed | Account Code | Amount |
| 1 | 1 | 2 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 1 | 5 | 4 |  |  | \$2,500.00 |



## Montgomery Independent School District

## Keenan Elementary School <br> 2019-2020 Campus Improvement Plan



## Mission Statement

"Welcome to the Keenan Kingdom...Be our guest!"<br>Twitter: Keenan Elementary<br>@keenanlions<br>Instagram: keenanelementary<br>Facebook: Keenan Elementary School

Vision<br>Keenan Elementary Lions<br>Developing Young Learners into Future Ready Leaders!

## Value Statement

Campus Mantra:
Lions growing in love, learning, and leadership...
Don'† stop until you're PROUD.
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## Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Demographics
Demographics Summary

Keenan Elementary School

Demographics: KES is comprised of students in EE-5th grade. KES had 800 students enrolled; 432 males and 368 females. There are 4 American Indian/Alaskan (.50\%), 0 Asian (.00\%), 8 Black (1.0\%), 92 Hispanic (11.50\%), 0 Hawaiian/Pacific (0\%), 32 Multiracial (4\%) and 664 White (83\%). The following table shows the number of students by ethnicity and gender that we presently have in each special program.

Early Education-31
Pre-Kindergarten -35
Kindergarten-108
$1^{\text {st }}$ grade-119
$2^{\text {nd }}$ grade-116
$3^{\text {rd }}$ grade-122
$4^{\text {th }}$ grade-137

| Program | Total for KES | Percentage |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Gifted and <br> Talented | 30 | $3.75 \%$ |
| Special Education | 103 | $12.88 \%$ |
| Free and <br> Reduced <br> Lunch (LSE) | 183 | $23 \%$ |
| LEP/ESL | 9 | $1.12 \%$ |

2019-2020 Composition of KES Staff

| Title | \# in that Position |
| :--- | :---: |
| Homeroom Teachers | 36 |
| Co-Teachers | 2 |
| Shared Speech Therapist | 1 |
| Reading/Math Intervention | 1 |
| ESL Teacher | 0 |
| Certified Music Teacher | 1 |


| Certified PE Teacher | 1 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Full time In-Class Support | 10 |
| Para | 0 |
| Full-Time Behavior Specialist | 1 |
| PE Para | 1 |
| Certified Art Teacher | 1 |
| Certified Librarian | 3 |
| Para (Sec/Recep/Registrar) | 4 |
| Prin/AP/Counselor/Nurse | 1 |
| Shared Diagnostician | 1 |
| Full time Dyslexia |  |

Demographics Strengths
Strengths: Our school is staffed with a mixture of teachers that have various levels of experience. Our class sizes are manageable with an average of a $22: 1$ ratio in grades $\mathrm{K}-5^{\text {th }}$ grade. We have ESL certified teachers and G/T certified teachers at all grade levels, which is a tremendous benefit to our students.

## Student Academic Achievement

Student Academic Achievement Summary
Student progress is monitored by administering benchmarks, common assessments, and unit tests. Common assessments are used to track student progress and STAAR released tests are used for benchmarks. The Montgomery Aligned Curriculum (MAC) continues to be utilized. By using Eduphoria, teachers are able to analyze test results and align instruction in order to meet student needs based on performance. After determining needs in accordance with the data, instructional strategies and activities are created. As teachers, we all strive to maximize student engagement and utilize numerous techniques, activities and technological opportunities. This year we utilized Imagine Math and Imagine Learning; computer based programs which monitors individual student progress in Reading, Language Arts and Math. As teams, we are given the opportunity each nine weeks to plan together in order to align our curriculum with assessments and also to ensure that we are enhancing higher level thinking by following district and state guidelines that fosters students meeting success. Parents of our students feel that homework and assessments are appropriate for students and that teachers make themselves readily available to assist on homework as needed.

## Keenan STAAR Scores

$3^{\text {rd }}$ Grade 2018-2019 2019-2020
Reading 87\% 89\%
Math 90\% 95\%
$4^{\text {th }}$ Grade
Reading 85\% 86\%
Writing 73\% 78\%
Math 86\% 87\%
$5^{\text {th }}$ Grade
Reading 91\% 94\%
Math 99\% 95\%
Science 92\% 85\%

## School Processes \& Programs

School Processes \& Programs Summary
All teachers and staff at KES are Highly Qualified and new teachers are all assigned a mentor teacher and participate in New Teacher Orientation at both the District \& Campus levels.

Teaching staff is made up of teachers who have 5-20+ years of experience. Turnover rates remain low.
KES teachers will be provided with multiple team planning days throughout the school year, offered staff development opportunities in a variety of formats, and will able to participate in vertical alignment curriculum planning workshops throughout the year.

Our teams are well-balanced with both experienced and new teachers; weekly team meeting will hopefully show strong collaboration and communication.

KES will continue with the implementation of an Instructional Coach. The instructional coach was well received throughout the district last year.

School Processes \& Programs Strengths

## Teacher Survey

The teachers surveyed addressed a variety of areas relating to our school climate. Teachers reported that there is a good 'vibe' at KES! Teachers feel the atmosphere is supportive from both their peers and administration. Teachers feel like relationships are built here at Keenan and believe that teachers care about each other and their students. Teachers also believe the school is safe and clean.

Of the teachers surveyed, a few concerns mentioned were:

- More faculty interaction, with the whole staff instead of just grade level meetings. This would promote a better cohesive atmosphere.
- Clear expectations needed across all grade levels for hallway behavior, lunch room procedures-etiquette, and dismissal procedures


## Parent Survey

The parent surveys addressed the areas of caring environment, problem solving, communication, student progress, overall satisfaction with Keenan. The parent response was overwhelmingly positive and denoted that Keenan is strong in the communication department. This includes both administration and staff. The areas of concern seemed to be child specific and not overall areas for concern. Keenan has created a culture of acceptance and nurture. There was positive feedback on how family centered our school is through Grandparent's Day, Muffins with Mom, Donuts with Dad, Watchdogs, etc. KES sets high expectations academically.

## Perceptions

## Perceptions Summary

## Welcome to the Keenan Kingdom, Be Our Guest

One of the core beliefs at Keenan Elementary is that students learn best in an environment where differences are valued and mistakes are seen as opportunities to learn and grow. WE focus on building relationships with our families, students and within our KES family. At Keenan Elementary, we are growing our students to be "Future Ready Lions." When we say "Future Ready," we are focusing on student growth, leadership skills, coding, MISD MAC curriculum, and eventually hope to add in Spanish through our Specials classes.

Kennan Elementary believes in M.A.G.I.C.
Model expected behavior
Accept responsibility
Give respect
Improve through goals
Cooperate
These five expectations will be a focus area for KES students. We will have traditions (rules) in place that students will follow and model.

Perceptions Strengths
Create the kind of climate in your school organization where personal growth is expected, recognized, and rewarded.
Author Unknown
School Context \& Organization: The school context and organization committee drafted a survey consisting of 9 questions with a 1-5 rating. (1 being not at all to 5 being very much so). The findings indicate:

* $89 \%$ of the staff at KES feel that they somewhat have a voice in policies, procedures, and schedules.
* $80 \%$ of KES staff felt that they somewhat had a voice in school issues and concerns.
* $81 \%$ of KES surveyed reported that they felt that our administration was receptive to questions, ideas, and concerns.
* $72 \%$ of the staff felt that committee and decision making bodies we currently have in place at KES allow for all groups are somewhat part of solutions to identified problems, however:
* $67 \%$ surveyed felt that they somewhat had adequate time devoted to students who are performing poorly.
* When asked if they felt that adequate time was given to tested subjects $43 \%$ were middle of the road.
* A strong $83 \%$ of the staff surveyed felt that the built in tutorial time was worthwhile.
* $83 \%$ surveyed somewhat felt that our master schedule protected classes from unnecessary interruptions.

A breakdown of the demands that staff felt overshadowed the focus on student achievement is as follows:
Behavior - 70\%
Testing-48 \%
Documentation-41\%
Paperwork-33\%
Grading-19\%
Phone, email, \& other - 35\%
General Comments from some of those surveyed:
A) Losing too much class time for programs \& pullouts
B) With so much testing it is hard to find time to do test corrections especially ones that need it don't have time in tutorials, so must find other time to get it done.
C) More consistency needed with behavior issues

## Priority Problem Statements

## Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

## Improvement Planning Data

- District goals
- Campus Performance Objectives Summative Review from previous year
- Current and/or prior year(s) campus and/or district improvement plans
- Campus and/or district planning and decision making committee(s) meeting data
- State and federal planning requirements


## Accountability Data

- Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR) data


## Student Data: Assessments

- State and federally required assessment information (e.g. curriculum, eligibility, format, standards, accommodations, TEA information)
- State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- STAAR Released Test Questions
- Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS) results
- SSI: Istation Indicators of Progress (ISIP) accelerated reading assessment data for Grades 3-5 (TEA approved statewide license)
- SSI: Think Through Math assessment data for Grades 3-8 and Algebra I (TEA approved statewide license)


## Employee Data

- Staff surveys and/or other feedback
- Campus department and/or faculty meeting discussions and data


## Parent/Community Data

- Parent surveys and/or other feedback
- Community surveys and/or other feedback


## Goals

## Revised/Approved: September 17, 2019

## Goal 1: MISD will provide a challenging curriculum, assess individual student achievement and support efforts to ensure student success.

Performance Objective 1: $90 \%$ of all students combined over all subject areas will meet Level II performance standards within the state accountability system; with a minimum increase of $10 \%$ in Level III.

Evaluation Data Source(s) 1: Common Assessment Data; Classroom Observations; STAAR Scores \& Accountability Index.
Summative Evaluation 1:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Early student identification for those students needing targeted reading/math interventions in all grade levels (K-5th). | Administrators Teachers State Comp Ed Teachers Interventionist | DRA <br> Kindergarten Pre-Assessment STAAR 2019 Data <br> Eduphoria Data Common Assessments BOY Benchmark |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |


| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | Summative |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar | June |
| Comprehensive Support Strategy <br> TEA Priorities <br> Build a foundation of reading and math <br> 2) Teachers in 4th \& 5th grade will evaluate the STAAR item analysis of the previous year STAAR Test, matching objectives to the TEKS in the specific content area to establish specific area to establish areas for comprehensive improvement (LEAD 4ward). <br> Review Commended \% in Reading \& Math <br> -Math to 40\% <br> -Reading to $40 \%$ <br> -Science to $40 \%$ | Administrators <br> Counselor <br> Teachers <br> Coach <br> Interventionist | STAAR Data Eduphoria Data |  |  |  |  |
| Comprehensive Support Strategy <br> 3) Implementation of a balanced literacy program using MAC addressing the varied needs through instruction utilizing Gretchen Barnaby, Comprehensive Tool Kit, Reading Horizons, Caesars English, Fluency, and literature groups. | Teachers Administrators Coach | Student Performance <br> Unit Tests <br> Teacher observations/walk- throughs <br> Teacher Feedback <br> PLC Meeting every Thursday |  |  |  |  |
| Implementation of a balanced math program using MAC addressing the varied needs through instruction utilizing " Target the Question for problem solving, Target the TEKS, Singapore Math for problem solving method, Big Brains and Think Through Math. | Funding Sources | : 199 General Fund - 500.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Comprehensive Support Strategy <br> 4) Use MAC (Montgomery Aligned Curriculum) in all subject areas and Plan with subject area teams. | Teachers Administrators Curriculum Department Coach | Lesson Plans Walk-Throughs Direct Observation Thursday PLC Planning |  |  |  |  |
| Comprehensive Support Strategy <br> 5) A 30 minute Enrichment time is built in the Master schedule to work with students in small groups. (P.R.I.D.E Time) | Administrators <br> Specials <br> Teachers <br> Paraprofessional Coach | Master Schedule <br> Auxiliary Staff Schedules <br> Student Progress <br> Teacher Feedback |  |  |  |  |


| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| Comprehensive Support Strategy <br> 6) Provide professional development opportunities in Writing and Editing, Science Curriculum, literature groups, Building Classroom relationships, and various intervention strategies. | Administrators TIM C\&I Coordinators Coach | Walk-Throughs <br> Lesson Plans <br> Team Meetings <br> Student Generated Products <br> PLC's |  |  |  |  |
| Curriculum Teams will meet each 9 weeks to |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Teachers have the opportunity to share ideas and compare common assessments and/or build new ones with the others. | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 5000.00 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) School-Wide Reading Recognition Program differentiated each nine-weeks through the library. | Administrators <br> Librarian <br> Reading <br> Teachers | Student Participation Teacher Participation |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 461 Campus Activity - 700.00 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Comprehensive Support Strategy <br> 8) Focus on Science vocabulary and being taught in each grade level. Use labs and hands on curriculum to build interest and problem solving with the students. | Classroom <br> Teachers <br> Administrators <br> Coach | Students need constant reminders of definitions and word meaning...spiraling throughout grade levels and throughout the year. |  |  |  |  |
| 9) Continue to implement more writing across all curriculum subject areas. <br> Teachers will create a portfolio for each student. 3 writing samples will be collected throughout the year. | Classroom Teachers Administrators Coach | STAAR writing scores Student skills |  |  |  |  |
| 10) Continue to build and add to our literacy library. | Librarian <br> Reading <br> Teachers <br> Principal <br> Coach | Novels and books available to use in the classrooms. |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 461 Campus Activity - 10000.00 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11) Team meetings are held weekly with content area teams to plan and work together on upcoming plans in MAC. | Team Leaders Principal Coach |  |  |  |  |  |


| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | Summative |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar | June |
| 12) Use the technology program Reading Horizons, Big Brains and Think Through Math for teacher and student use. Students will use to build on TEKS and skills. Teachers will use to form small group and individualized instruction through reading and math. | Administrators Teachers Coach | RTI |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 461 Campus Activity - 11000.00 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13) Continue "Team Huddles" at least once a month. These meetings will allow us to discuss upcoming events, celebrate one another, and share short professional development activities. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Comprehensive Support Strategy <br> 14) Students will WRITE everyday in their writing journals. Principal and teacher will take up once a week to respond and write back to students. | Principal Reading Teacher |  |  |  |  |  |
| Comprehensive Support Strategy <br> 15) Mentor sentences will be implemented in EVERY reading classroom from grades 2-5. Teachers will be trained during summer inservice. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16) Reading/Language Arts teachers will work very closely in planning and learning the new Benchmark adoption. | Admin. Coach | PLC's |  |  |  |  |
| 17) PLC Meetings will take place EVERY Thursday of the 2019-2020 school year. Data talks, kid talks, curriculum, etc. <br> Team norms and school mantra were created during last school year. | Instructional Coach Administrators PLC Team | PLC Meetings |  |  |  |  |
| TEA Priorities <br> Build a foundation of reading and math <br> 18) Train and implement a core team using Thinking Maps. | Instructional Coach Administrators |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19) Plan and implement vertical planning with grade levels. High focus on ELA/Reading teams. | Instructional Coach Administrators Teachers |  |  |  |  |  |


| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact |  | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | Summative |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar | June |
|  | Accomplishe |  | $0 \%$ = No Pro |  |  |  |  |

Goal 1: MISD will provide a challenging curriculum, assess individual student achievement and support efforts to ensure student success.
Performance Objective 2: 90\% economically disadvantages students and two lowest performing racial/ethnic groups from the prior year (African American and Hispanic) will meet the weighted performance (Level II and III)

Evaluation Data Source(s) 2: STAAR scores and benchmark Assessments

## Summative Evaluation 2:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | Summative |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar | June |
| Comprehensive Support Strategy <br> 1) ESL: Make sure ESL students are placed in certified ESL teacher classrooms. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ESL Teacher } \\ & \text { Teacher } \\ & \text { Administrator } \end{aligned}$ | Student Progress Classroom Performance Master Schedule |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Provide a wide variety of and access to multiple computerized instruction opportunities based on individual student needs. | Teachers Administrators Coach | Individualized computerized student progress report |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Teachers will utilize Eduphoria to progress monitor all students' performance on local and state assessments | Classroom Teachers Admin. Coach | Intervention Strategies and RTI documentation |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Goal 1: MISD will provide a challenging curriculum, assess individual student achievement and support efforts to ensure student success.
Performance Objective 3: $90 \%$ of all students including racial/ethnic groups will meet final Level II standard on one or more tests combined over all subject areas; thus meeting criteria for College and Career Readiness

Evaluation Data Source(s) 3: Common Assessments, STAAR data
Summative Evaluation 3:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | Summative <br> June |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| Comprehensive Support Strategy <br> 1) Identify students needing intervention by meeting at least 2 of the following criteria to receive math and reading services through intervention. We will look at DRA, Universal Screeners and STAAR results. | Administrators Teachers RTI Team Interventionist Coach |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 2000.00 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Comprehensive Support Strategy <br> 2) Continue to open the Learning Lab before school starts and increase In-Class Support opportunities in all subject areas to help struggling learners and provide intervention. | Administrators Special Ed. Teacher Classroom Teachers Auxiliary Staff Coach | Meeting Feedback Increased performance on common assessments \& STAAR |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Implementation of a School Wide <br> Enrichment Program to tap into every students gift. E-Time (enrichment time) and Clusters will be held once a week. <br> Every student will have an enrichment project each nine weeks and will produce a product at the end of the nine weeks. | Administrators Teachers Parent Volunteers Coach | Student participation |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 2000.00 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Comprehensive Support Strategy <br> 4) Students who did not perform satisfactory on the end of their 3rd and 4th grade assessment as well as those students retained will be identified and an individual improvement plan will be developed for each student. | Administrators Classroom Teachers RTI Committee Instructional Coach | Student Progress Plans Developed Report Cards |  |  |  |  |


| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| Comprehensive Support Strategy <br> 5) Instructional Coach will implement learning walks in each classroom. | Principal Instructional Coach Teachers |  |  |  |  |  |
| Comprehensive Support Strategy <br> 6) Continue the use of I-Learn web-based Math program, Big Brains, Think Through Math and Reading Horizons through Reading \& Math to | Principal <br> Instructional Coach <br> Teachers <br> C\&I | Student Progress |  |  |  |  |
| provide Tier 2 and 3 interventions for students who struggle in Reading and Math. | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund Technology - 5000.00 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Comprehensive Support Strategy <br> 7) Special Education students will be served in the least restrictive environment including regular education classroom, Co-Teach, Learning Lab, and Resource classrooms. | Administrators Diagnostician Special Ed. Teacher | ARD Documents Student Progress |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Dyslexia: Dyslexia Intervention Program will be provided through pull-out services to support identified dyslexic students. | Principal <br> Dyslexia Specialist <br> Teachers <br> C\&I | Student Progress |  |  |  |  |
| 9) All identified G/T and Advanced Academic students will receive differentiated instruction and placed in a homogeneous class. Each GT identified student Will complete and showcase project through TPSP (Texas Performance Standards Project). | Administrators Teachers GT Coordinator | Master Schedule Student Progress |  |  |  |  |
| Comprehensive Support Strategy <br> 10) Implementation of RTI (Response to Intervention) in each grade level in order to provide support for struggling students. We will meet as a team in the beginning, middle and end of year. | Administration <br> RTI <br> Coordinators/Committee <br> Teachers <br> Coach | Student Progress Meeting Notes/Agendas |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The third Thursday of each month (Third Thursday), students wear their favorite college shirt to school. Mrs. Wunderlich will highlight a specific college each week we wear our shirts. | Counselor Administration Teachers | Students wearing College shirts |  |  |  |  |


| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | Summative |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar | June |
| 12) Students will have the opportunity to compete with local schools in U.I.L. events. | Teacher sponsors Administration UIL coordinator | Number of students participated |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 461 Campus Activity - 500.00 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13) Offer Destination Imagination (DI). | DI Coaches Administration GT Coordinator | Number of students that participated and competition results. |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 461 Campus Activity - 1000.00 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14) Instructional Coach will work very close with each of our classroom teachers to set a TTESS goal. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15) Set up a partnership with local bank to create and implement financial literacy TEKS. (Savings for students). | Student Council Administrators 5th Math Teachers |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Goal 1: MISD will provide a challenging curriculum, assess individual student achievement and support efforts to ensure student success.
Performance Objective 4: 80\% of all students will meet a minimum of one Healthy Fitness Zone Standard, as measured by the Fitness Gram assessment and monitored by the School Health Advisory Committee (MVPA).

## Evaluation Data Source(s) 4:

Summative Evaluation 4:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | Summative |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar | June |
| 1) To ensure $10 \%$ of class time, students are engaged in Moderate to vigorous physical activity. (MVPA) | PE <br> Administration | Lesson Plans |  |  |  |  |
| 2) To integrate core curriculum content into physical education curriculum. | Administration PE | Walk-Through Observation Lesson Plans |  |  |  |  |
| 3) To develop quality physical education programs that are developmentally and sequentially appropriate. | Administration PE | Walk-Through Observation Lesson Plans |  |  |  |  |
| 4) To ensure a safe \& enjoyable climate for students. | PE <br> Administration | Lesson Plans Master Schedule Walk-Throughs |  |  |  |  |
| 5) To provide state approved Coordinated School health components into curriculum. | PE <br> Administration | PE <br> Administration |  |  |  |  |
| 6) To ensure that physical activity is not used as punishment. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Administration } \\ & \text { PE } \end{aligned}$ | Observations |  |  |  |  |
| 7) To ensure that student/teacher ratios meet the state standards. | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Administration } \\ \text { PE } \end{array}$ | Master Schedule |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Goal 1: MISD will provide a challenging curriculum, assess individual student achievement and support efforts to ensure student success.
Performance Objective 5: Continue Implementation of Dropout Prevention Program in order to reduce the dropout rate the less than 1\%

## Evaluation Data Source(s) 5:

Summative Evaluation 5:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\frac{\text { Summative }}{\text { June }}$ |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Utilize consistent procedures to identify, intervene and monitor the progress of At-Risk students PK-12. | Teachers | Observations Student Success |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Utilize procedures and strategies within best practices for meeting the needs of students in AT-Risk situations. | Classroom teacher | Student Success at the end of Year |  |  |  |  |
| 3) KES will follow local procedures to monitor student retention. | RTI Team Counselor | Retention Rates Report Card Results |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Provide credit recovery through summer school courses and Jump Start Program. | Counselor Administrator Teacher | Report card results |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Identify and serve students who qualify for services and supports under the McKinneyVento Act (homeless status). | Principal, <br> Counselor, Registrar, Director of Special Programs | Student residency questionnaires, free \& reduced roster |  |  |  |  |
| 6) Create Data Folders for each student at KES to refer to during RTI process. Each student folder will be "filled" and passed on to the next grade level each year. | RTI Team Administrators Coach | Meet the needs of each individual student. | $100 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 7) Each 6 weeks organize ATTENDANCE celebrations for students and/or grade levels that met goal of $98 \%$. | Assistant Principal Teacher | Improve attendance during the 2019-2020 school year. |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Goal 2: MISD will vigorously enforce policies and procedures that promote a safe and orderly environment.

Performance Objective 1: By thoroughly informing and training staff, parents and students on safety policies and procedures and by rigorously enforcing all safety policies and procedures, MISD will provide a safe and orderly learning environment .

Evaluation Data Source(s) 1: Student/Parent Handbooks Pre K-5, Classroom Training, Parent Signature Page. Student/Parent Handbooks 6-12, Campus Training \& Student/Parent Signature Pages, Staff Development Agendas \& Signature Pages

Summative Evaluation 1:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | Summative |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar | June |
| 1) Incorporate character education, conflict resolution, and anti-bullying through daily announcements, guidance lessons, MISD character Cub Program, and campus assemblies. | Administrators <br> Counselor <br>  <br> Specials <br> Teachers | Decrease in the number of discipline referrals. Positive teacher and parent feedback. Parent/Teacher Survey |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 461 Campus Activity - 500.00 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Offer Red Ribbon Week activities to promote drug awareness and anti-bullying. | Administrators Counselor Classroom teachers | Increased Drug Awareness |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 461 Campus Activity - 1000.00 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Implement Conscious Discipline school-wide to promote good conduct, character traits, and citizenship. | Administrators Counselor <br> Classroom <br> Teachers <br> Specials <br> Teachers <br> Auxiliary <br> Teachers | Classroom Observations Conduct Report |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 461 Campus Activity - 1000.00 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Offer grade-level assemblies for grades 3-5 regarding Student Code of Conduct, academic responsibilities, bullying \& safety issues. | Administrators Counselor Teachers | Number of discipline referrals Decrease in bullying incidents Teacher feedback Assembly schedules |  |  |  |  |


| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | Summative <br> June |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 5) Student "Shout Outs" at the end of the day for "good" behavior. (Golden Tickets) | Administrators Office Staff Teachers | Increasing amount of good behavior |  |  |  |  |
| 6) V-Soft program for tracking of all visitors in the building. | Administrators Office Staff | Increased building security |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Continue with our Student Safety Team (Student Council grade 5) during morning and afternoon transitions. Students will be in hallways, car rider lines and buses to help "patrol" these areas. | Administrators Student Council Sponsors | Improved student Behavior |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 461 Campus Activity - 200.00 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Practice fire drills, shelter in place, and lock down drills to ensure students are prepared for emergency situations. | MISD Police Teachers Administrators | Drill Reports MISD Police Feedback |  |  |  |  |
| 9) Provide CPI training and Behavioral training for a select team to better address students needs. | Administrators Jesse Hinkle-Special Education CPI Instructor | Behavior Intervention Plans Teacher success |  |  |  |  |
| 10) Implement the district wide Safety Plan to provide a safe environment campus wide. | Administrators Faculty and Staff School Resource Officers | CAC meeting notes Teacher \& parent feedback |  |  |  |  |
| 11) Conduct safety, hazardous materials, bloodborne pathogens, sexual harassment, drug/alcohol abuse, and integrated pet management training to employees. | Nurse Administrators | Annual Employee Review \& Training |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Train appropriate staff on CPR and the use of the AED | Nurse <br> Staff involved that need training | Training completion certificates |  |  |  |  |
| 13) All teachers and employees will complete certification through the TBSI (Texas Behavior Support Initiative). | Teachers <br> Administration <br> Staff | Completion Certificate |  |  |  |  |
| 14) Start the school year by building relationships with our students, families and each other. Continue as a focus area throughout the school year. |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | Summative |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar | June |
| 15) Traditions that Keenan students will follow... <br> Kennan Elementary believes in M.A.G.I.C. <br> Model expected behavior <br> Accept responsibility <br> Give respect <br> Improve through goals <br> Cooperate <br> 16) Implement in every classroom the <br> "Pawsitive" behavior cards--Schoolwide discipline program. <br> 17) Monthly home visits to celebrate students who have gone above and beyond to follow MAGIC at KES. <br> 18) During back to school Staff Development certified Dyslexia teachers will perform and train staff using simulation. <br> 19) Guidance lessons and small group guidance lessons will be implemented by school counselor on needs of children surveyed by teachers on campus they feel are needed. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Teachers Office Staff |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Administrator Team |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Understanding of dyslexia student in classroom. |  |  |  |  |
|  | Counselor | Behavior |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $=$ Discontinue |  |  |  |

## Goal 3: MISD will improve the technology infrastructure and strategically plan for the deployment of 21st century technology for teacher and student use.

Performance Objective 1: Develop a quality technology program to maximize teaching and learning.

## Evaluation Data Source(s) 1:

Summative Evaluation 1:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Utilize the laptop carts and computer lab in each classroom that are provided. Our schoolwide goal is to provide a cart per grade level. | Librarian <br> Principal <br> Teachers | Teacher feed back Student Products Use of lab |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Schedule and highlight presentations at team meetings so that teachers can present their student generated products to the staff. | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { PDAS walk- } \\ & \text { throughs } \\ & \text { (Administrators) } \end{aligned}$ | Teacher presentations at faculty meetings |  |  |  |  |
| 3) SMART Boards will be used in the classrooms to increase the use of technology as an instructional tool. | Principal TOPS <br> Teachers | Classroom Observation |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Continue to implement a TECH/Robotics Club with a team of students who learn and take on a technology leadership role to assist and support students \& teachers in the integration and use of technology in the school community. | Administrators Teacher willing to sponsor club | Teacher and Student feedback |  |  |  |  |
| 5) PBL (Project Based Learning) implemented in the classrooms. <br> Continue to grow the program this year by hopefully adding addition teachers with training. | PBL Teachers Curriculum Department Administration | Projects crested in classroom |  |  |  |  |
| 6) Implement and continue to train teachers and students with the use of Coding. Coding will be a school wide initiative. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Morning announcements will go LIVE every morning with 5th grade students helping run the tech equipment. (Facebook) | Librarian Principal Teachers |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Implement and check out to classroom teachers STEM tubs from the library. (Future Ready Leaders) | Librarian Teachers |  |  |  |  |  |


| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 9) Train and implement Google Classroom in a 4th and 5th grade classroom. Training during summer of 2019. | TIMS <br> Classroom Teachers Administrators | Assignments through Google Classroom |  |  |  |  |
| 10) Implement student driven announcements. | Librarian |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Goal 3: MISD will improve the technology infrastructure and strategically plan for the deployment of 21 st century technology for teacher and student use.
Performance Objective 2: Use of technology to enhance professional practices.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 2:
Summative Evaluation 2:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Each teacher will create a classroom website that can be updated and includes a calendar, homework \& class information. | Principal Teachers TOPS | Active teacher webpages |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Staff development during teacher in-service in areas of writing, Mentor Sentences, Benchmark Adoption, student engagement, and small group instruction. | Administrators <br> Librarian <br> Coach | Increased teacher use of technology in classrooms |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Provide weekly parent communication through school email blasts. SeeSaw will be school wide and used by every classroom teacher. | Principal <br> Assistant <br> Principal <br> TOPS <br> Secretary | Parent survey feedback |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Utilize the TxEIS computer student software system to: a. Promote a paperless system for campus attendance, grade reporting, and discipline. b. Provide for parent viewing and teacher grading with TxEIS. c. Train new staff on TxEIS with the use of campus technology integration specialist. | Teachers Technology Principals | TxEIS Report |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Encourage teachers and staff to use Remind 101 and School Messenger to communicate with parents on a weekly/daily basis. | Teachers Administrators |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6) EVERY Teacher will use and set up SeeSaw portfolio for each student. A minimal of 3 lessons/celebrations will be shared this school year. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Goal 4: MISD will establish procedures to allocate existing resources to areas of greatest need and actively pursue alternative sources of revenue.

Performance Objective 1: MISD will establish procedures to monitor and assess financial responsibility.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 1:
Summative Evaluation 1:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | Summative |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar | June |
| 1) Create the campus budget based on campus budget allocations. Make necessary changes from previous year by reviewing where money was spent. | Finance Principal |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Have campus secretary run monthly reports on current budget and sub budget to monitor expenses. | Principal Secretary |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Goal 5: MISD will monitor growth and plan for an orderly, systemic process to ensure quality programs and facilities.

Performance Objective 1: MISD will conduct a comprehensive analysis of existing facility needs.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 1:
Summative Evaluation 1:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | Summative |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar | June |
| 1) Conduct building walkthroughs throughout the year with the Campus Maintenance Personnel to evaluate building structures, paint, floors, plumbing concerns, electrical concerns, etc. to report any problems to the Asst. Superintendent. | Maintenance Principal Assistant Sup. | Building Walk-Throughs |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Goal 6: MISD will recruit and retain excellent personnel and continually provide quality staff development.

Performance Objective 1: MISD compensation, contracts and benefit plans will be reviewed annually to consider comparability and competitiveness with surrounding area employment markets.

Evaluation Data Source(s) 1: Comparison of MISD's Salary Comparison Sheet, Contracts, and benefit plans with surrounding school districts.
Summative Evaluation 1:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Begin the hiring process and planning before the beginning of the recruiting/hiring season to ensure competitiveness with the surrounding districts. | Administrators HR Department |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Classroom teachers will be provided training and support on the Texas Performance Standards Project to ensure differentiation for identified GT students in the classroom. | Principal, Director of Special Programs, Instructional Coach | Training sign-in sheet and agendas, teacher feedback, lesson plans, MAC resources |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Goal 6: MISD will recruit and retain excellent personnel and continually provide quality staff development.
Performance Objective 2: During the 2019-2020 year, MISD will provide all essential positions necessary to accommodate growth in student population.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 2:
Summative Evaluation 2:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Participate in district and area job fairs. | HR/Communications Principals | Applicants from Job Fair |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Utilize regional service center data to assist in the identification of critical, certified shortage areas, viable and reliable ACP programs and certified personnel placements. | Executive Directors HR/Communication Special Ed. Principal | Region VI Job App <br> Region VI ACP Program <br> District Wide ACP Announcements |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Goal 6: MISD will recruit and retain excellent personnel and continually provide quality staff development.
Performance Objective 3: 100\% of new teachers will successfully complete MISD's Mentoring Programs.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 3: Letter of Invitation, Training Agenda, Signature Pages, and Participant Evaluation Forms
Summative Evaluation 3:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | Summative |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Mentoring Programs will be provided at the district and campus levels for teachers in their first year of teaching, with a second year offered if necessary. Buddies will also be provided for teachers who are new to the district, but not new to teaching. | Teachers HR/Communications Administrators | Participant reflect positive feedback |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Create and implement our own KES mentor program with students and teachers. |  | Positive influence for students by a mentor teacher. |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Goal 6: MISD will recruit and retain excellent personnel and continually provide quality staff development.
Performance Objective 4: MISD will provide multiple opportunities to recognize and develop leadership skills among employees.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 4: District Announcement of Banquet Honorees, District E-Blast and Postings to Community Connections, Calendar of District Events

Summative Evaluation 4:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | Summative |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| TEA Priorities <br> Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals <br> 1) Participate in the MISD program and recognition banquet for "Teacher of the Year" and "Spirit of Montgomery" through the campus nomination process. | Teacher Honoree Administrator HR/Communications | Banquet recognition of honorees |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Provide information on continuing education opportunities to develop leadership capacity for MISD. | Executive Director of HR/Communications, Principal | District Announcements, District E-Blast, Postings to "Community Connections." |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Recognize a KES employee each month that is nominated by their peers as Employee of the Month. | Teachers Administration | Number of teachers nominated |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Goal 6: MISD will recruit and retain excellent personnel and continually provide quality staff development.
Performance Objective 5: $100 \%$ of MISD teachers and instructional aides will be Highly Qualified.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 5:
Summative Evaluation 5:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Prior to being hired, certifications will be carefully examined for the position being filled. | Principals HR/Communications Certification Specialist | Positions filled with Highly Qualified |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Instructional aides who do not meet the requirements for the classification of Highly Qualified will participate in the Paraprofessional Assessment of Knowledge and Skills (PAKS) evaluation process to earn the classification of Highly Qualified. | Principals HR/Communications Certification Specialist | Completed PAKS Classroom Observations |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Goal 7: MISD will establish a process that ensure open, honest, and frequent communication with the public.

Performance Objective 1: KES will provide its stakeholders effective two-way internal communication.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 1:
Summative Evaluation 1:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\frac{\text { Summative }}{\text { June }}$ |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Promote positive communication between the school, home, and community through the campus website, School Messenger (email and phone system), Newsletters, phone communication and parent conferences. | Administrators | Parent Response/Involvement Parent Survey |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Provide community driven programs such as Donuts with Dad, Muffins with MOM, Fun Run, Curriculum Night, Meet the Teacher, Library Book Exchange, Grandparents Day, Book Fair, Specials Showcase Night, Social Media updates, and Veteran's Day. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MIS Staff } \\ & \text { Administrators } \\ & \text { PTO } \end{aligned}$ | Parent Involvement \& Response |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 461 Campus Activity - 2000.00 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Build and recruit for KES' PTO to increase parent involvement and school awareness. | Principal PTO Board | PTO involvement |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Provide a weekly campus newsletter to the staff with weekly updates, teacher brags, etc. | Counselor | Informed Staff |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Continue with daily announcements that recognize character education, student recognition, birthdays, and daily/weekly events are showcased. | Administrators | Informed campus Positive Climate Student Recognition |  |  |  |  |
| 6) Continue to provide parent and staff survey at end of school year to identify strengths and weaknesses. | Administrators | Data collected for Campus Improvement Plan |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Hold Volunteer Breakfast to encourage parents to get involved in a variety of campus activities/committees. | Administration Volunteer Coordinator | Parent participation (sign-in sheets) |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 461 Campus Activity - 300.00 |  |  |  |  |  |


| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 8) Provide opportunities for community \& student connections by participating in such programs as: renaissance Art Contest, Adopt-APilot Program, George Bush Library Art \& Essay Contest, Republican Women Essay Contest, Artist of the Month, etc. | Administrators Club Sponsors | Contest winners Field Trip request Forms |  |  |  |  |
| 9) Host a Curriculum/Informational Night to inform/educate parents about grade level expectations. | Faculty \& Staff Administrators | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Teacher \& Parent Feedback } \\ & \text { PTO } \\ & \text { Parent Volunteers } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 461 Campus Activity - 500.00 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10) First grade teachers will meet with every parent to inform them on strengths and weaknesses of their child. Subs will be provided to teachers. | 1st grade Team Principal |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11) Conduct a 5 th grade parent meeting in January to prepare students and parents of junior high expectations. | 5th grade Teachers Principal |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Showcase our Specials Classes in the Spring with a "Specials Night" to show off all the great things that happen in these classes throughout the year. | Specials Teachers Admin. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13) Provide a first day of school breakfast social for parents to drop off their students and meet other lion families. | Administrators | Parent participation Event flyer |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Goal 8: MISD will encourage and promote a climate that fosters parental participation in the education of our children.

Performance Objective 1: KES will provide opportunities for parent involvement at the campus.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 1:
Summative Evaluation 1:

| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Formative |  |  | Summative |
|  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar | June |
| 1) Utilize MISD Volunteer Programs for parents and community members | Principal, Assistant Principal, Counselor, Teachers, Staff and P.T.O., Parents | Volunteer Membership Roster Event Calendar |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Implement the Watch D.O.G. volunteer program for fathers, uncles, grandfathers, and male mentors in the community. | Principal, Counselor, Dad | Start-Up Meeting Agenda Signature Pages Visitation Calendar |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Schedule P.T.O. activities that incorporate a connection between the families and school including family nights, entertainment events, and philanthropic opportunities. | Principal, parents | P.T.O. Rosters Event Calendar Webpage |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Create regularly scheduled parent sessions on programs and special events. (Technology awareness, girl drama, etc.) to be presented at monthly PTO Meetings. | Principal <br> District Program <br> Directors <br> Counselor | Meeting Announcements Agendas Signature pages |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Publish Monthly Newsletter, utilize School Messenger announcements, Parent Conferences, Report Card, Progress Report, Campus Web Page, Weekly email to parents. | Principal, Teachers, Parents | Campus Communication file, End of the Year Survey |  |  |  |  |
| 6) Include a teacher representative at each monthly PTO meeting. | Principal Teachers | PTO Meeting agenda \& sign-in |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Create monthly videos spotlighting things happening around the Keenan Kingdom! |  | Community seeing the awesome things happening around KES. |  |  |  |  |


| Strategy Description | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact |  | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | Summative |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar | June |
|  | Accomplishe |  | $0 \%$ = No Pro |  |  |  |  |

## Comprehensive Support Strategies

| Goal | Objective | Strategy | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | Early student identification for those students needing targeted reading/math interventions in all grade levels (K-5th). |
| 1 | 1 | 2 | Teachers in 4th \& 5th grade will evaluate the STAAR item analysis of the previous year STAAR Test, matching objectives to the TEKS in the specific content area to establish specific area to establish areas for comprehensive improvement (LEAD 4 ward). Review Commended $\%$ in Reading \& Math -Math to $40 \%$-Reading to $40 \%$-Science to $40 \%$ |
| 1 | 1 | 3 | Implementation of a balanced literacy program using MAC addressing the varied needs through instruction utilizing Gretchen Barnaby, Comprehensive Tool Kit, Reading Horizons, Caesars English, Fluency, and literature groups. Implementation of a balanced math program using MAC addressing the varied needs through instruction utilizing " Target the Question for problem solving, Target the TEKS, Singapore Math for problem solving method, Big Brains and Think Through Math. |
| 1 | 1 | 4 | Use MAC (Montgomery Aligned Curriculum) in all subject areas and Plan with subject area teams. |
| 1 | 1 | 5 | A 30 minute Enrichment time is built in the Master schedule to work with students in small groups. (P.R.I.D.E Time) |
| 1 | 1 | 6 | Provide professional development opportunities in Writing and Editing, Science Curriculum, literature groups, Building Classroom relationships, and various intervention strategies. Curriculum Teams will meet each 9 weeks to plan and work together for upcoming 9 weeks. Teachers have the opportunity to share ideas and compare common assessments and/or build new ones with the others. |
| 1 | 1 | 8 | Focus on Science vocabulary and being taught in each grade level. Use labs and hands on curriculum to build interest and problem solving with the students. |
| 1 | 1 | 14 | Students will WRITE everyday in their writing journals. Principal and teacher will take up once a week to respond and write back to students. |
| 1 | 1 | 15 | Mentor sentences will be implemented in EVERY reading classroom from grades 2-5. Teachers will be trained during summer inservice. |
| 1 | 2 | 1 | ESL: Make sure ESL students are placed in certified ESL teacher classrooms. |
| 1 | 3 | 1 | Identify students needing intervention by meeting at least 2 of the following criteria to receive math and reading services through intervention. We will look at DRA, Universal Screeners and STAAR results. |
| 1 | 3 | 2 | Continue to open the Learning Lab before school starts and increase In-Class Support opportunities in all subject areas to help struggling learners and provide intervention. |


| Goal | Objective | Strategy | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1 | 3 | 4 | Students who did not perform satisfactory on the end of their 3rd and 4th grade assessment as well as those students retained <br> will be identified and an individual improvement plan will be developed for each student. |
| 1 | 3 | 5 | Instructional Coach will implement learning walks in each classroom. |
| 1 | 3 | 6 | Continue the use of I-Learn web-based Math program, Big Brains, Think Through Math and Reading Horizons through <br> Reading \& Math to provide Tier 2 and 3 interventions for students who struggle in Reading and Math. |
| 1 | 3 | 7 | Special Education students will be served in the least restrictive environment including regular education classroom, Co-Teach, <br> Learning Lab, and Resource classrooms. |
| 1 | 3 | 10 | Implementation of RTI (Response to Intervention) in each grade level in order to provide support for struggling students. We <br> will meet as a team in the beginning, middle and end of year. |

## State Compensatory

## Personnel for Keenan Elementary School:

| Name | Position | Program | FTE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Amy Storer | Teacher | Instructional Coach |  |
| Angie Buss | Teacher | Special Education | 1 |
| Brandy Bowers | Teacher | Pull-out Reading Intervention | 1 |
| Connie Hurst | Para | Special Education | 1 |
| Tiffany Gross | Teacher | Special Education | 1 |

## Campus Funding Summary

| 199 General Fund |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Goal | Objective | Strategy | Resources Needed | Account Code | Amount |
| 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 1 | 1 | 3 | Teacher Materials |  | \$500.00 |
| 1 | 1 | 6 | Sub Budget |  | \$5,000.00 |
| 1 | 3 | 1 | Substitute Money |  | \$2,000.00 |
| 1 | 3 | 3 | Materials |  | \$2,000.00 |
|  |  |  |  | Sub-Total | \$9,500.00 |
| 199 General Fund Technology |  |  |  |  |  |
| Goal | Objective | Strategy | Resources Needed | Account Code | Amount |
| 1 | 3 | 6 | Computers |  | \$5,000.00 |
|  |  |  |  | Sub-Total | \$5,000.00 |
| 461 Campus Activity |  |  |  |  |  |
| Goal | Objective | Strategy | Resources Needed | Account Code | Amount |
| 1 | 1 | 7 | Prizes rewarded |  | \$700.00 |
| 1 | 1 | 10 | books |  | \$10,000.00 |
| 1 | 1 | 12 | lap tops, computers, ipads |  | \$11,000.00 |
| 1 | 3 | 12 | supplies |  | \$500.00 |
| 1 | 3 | 13 | supplies \& fees |  | \$1,000.00 |
| 2 | 1 | 1 | Supplies |  | \$500.00 |
| 2 | 1 | 2 | daily stickers, pencils, etc. |  | \$1,000.00 |
| 2 | 1 | 3 | Conscious Discipline supplies |  | \$1,000.00 |
| 2 | 1 | 7 | safety vests |  | \$200.00 |


| 461 Campus Activity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Goal | Objective | Strategy | Resources Needed | Account Code | Amount |  |  |
| 7 | 1 | 2 | supplies for each event |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 1 | 7 | breakfast | $\$ 2,000.00$ |  |  |  |
| 7 | 1 | 9 | pizza | $\$ 300.00$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\$ 500.00$ |

# Montgomery Independent School District 

## Lincoln Elementary School <br> 2019-2020 Campus Improvement Plan



## Mission Statement

The teachers and staff at LES are dedicated to providing a warm, safe environment for all students. We believe that education is a partnership between the school and parents, enabling students to reach their full potential in academic excellence and in becoming productive citizens.

## Value Statement

LES believes that every child can learn if given the appropriate avenues, resources, and tools. Each learner brings with them specific strengths, which should be the main platform from which to teach that child and from which that child should learn. Varied and differentiated learning opportunities are part of our responsibility as educators of children, and our main goal with each student is to create confident, independent problem solvers. High expectations and top quality instruction should be an essential part of every learning experience.
***The Lincoln Elementary School Campus Improvement Plan and the corresponding Comprehensive Needs Assessment was developed and revised on April 4, 2018. This Campus Improvement Plan will be made available in the language of the home, on both the campus website as well as in the front office upon the request of a hard copy.
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## Comprehensive Needs Assessment

## Demographics

## Demographics Summary

CNA team members include: Dyer, Kollaja, \& Peterson
LES is made up of students from Pre-K through 5th grade. Our enrollment is 508 students with 59 employees. LES has 187 students/ $40 \%$ who qualify for free and/or reduced lunch. Our student demographics are as follows: Hispanic-108, Indian- 3, White-280, and African American-20 and Two or more Races-17.

Staff Demographic Breakdown is shown in the following chart:

| Title | 2019 -2020 |
| :--- | :---: |
| K-5 HOMEROOM TEACHERS | 24 |
| PRE-K TEACHERS | 3 |
| SPEECH THERAPIST | 1 |
| TITLE I TEACHER | 1 |
| SELF CONTAINED SPECIAL ED. TEACHER | 2 |
| BILINGUAL TEACHERS | 9 |
| CERTIFIED MUSIC TEACHER | 1 |
| CERTIFIED PE TEACHER | 1 |
| CERTIFIED LIBRARIAN | 1 |
| PARAPROFESSIONALS (SUPPORT STUDENTS IN SPECIAL ED) | 3 |
| PARAPROFESSIONALS | 4 |
| BILINGUAL PARAPROFESSIONALS | 3 |
| PARAPROFESSIONALS | 3 |
| (SECRETARY/RECEPTIONIST/REGISTRAR) | 4 |
| PRINCIPAL-1, ASSISTANT PRINCIPAL-1, COUNSELOR -1, | 1 |
| NURSE-1 |  |
| INSTRUCTIONAL COACH |  |

## Demographics Strengths

We have a full-time Reading/Math interventionist as well as a full-time Instructional Coach and will be able to hire two Spring tutors for Math and Reading support; having this staff on campus every day allows us creative scheduling options and helps to maximize the amount of time students are provided support through both pull-out and push-in models.

Being able to use three full-time Bilingual Aides helps us support our ELLs and SLLs more effectively \& consistently.
Teacher/student ratio remains 22:1 or lower in each of our classrooms.

## Student Academic Achievement

## Student Academic Achievement Summary

CNA team members: Dyer, Johnson, Lynch, \& Paterno
LES uses District Unit Assessments, TPRI (Tejas Lee), DRA, QPS and the ESTAR and MSTAR Math Universal Screeners to determine student progress and areas of need. Both the DRA and Math US are administered three times each year.EOY results for LES students show the following: Out of 61 Kindergarten students, 7 of those fell below the cut-off in Reading or Math. Out of 67 first grade students, 15 of those fell below the cut-off in Reading or Math. Out of 71 second grade students, 12 of those fell below the cut-off in Reading or Math. Out of 85 third grade students, 16 of those fell below the cutoff in Reading or Math. Out of 93 fourth grade students, 14 of those fell below the cut-off in Reading or Math. Out of 1015 th grade students, 18 of those dell below the cut-off in Reading or Math. These students, as well as any others that the Student Assistance Team feels are in need of support, will be provided intervention through RTI and/or classroom intervention.

## The chart below shows how LES students performed on the 2018-19 STAAR tests.

## 2018-2019 STAAR Results

| Grade | Test | Met Standard | Adv. Perf. |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | Reading | $83 \%$ | $28 \%$ |
| 3 | Math | $90 \%$ | $32 \%$ |
| 4 | Writing | $73 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| 4 | Reading | $80 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| 4 | Math | $79 \%$ | $33 \%$ |
| 5 | Reading | $94 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| 5 | Math | $87 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| 5 | Science | $70 \%$ | $13 \%$ |

## Student Academic Achievement Strengths

LES interventionists in Reading, Math, \& Dyslexia all work hard to see students consistently and to maximize the time they spend with them each week and to pull them consistently; students who receive intervention showed progress and/or were successful on the STAAR test as well as their end-of-year Universal Screener results.

Improvements were noted in the following areas for STAAR:

* 3rd grade Math percentage of students meeting the standard ( $83 \%$ TO 90\%)
* 4th grade Writing percentage of students meeting the standard ( $68 \%$ TO 73\%)
* 4th grade Math percentage of students meeting Masters standard ( $24 \%$ TO $33 \%$ )
* 5th grade Reading percentage of students meeting the standard ( $90 \%$ TO 94\%)

On the progress measure component of STAAR:

* 48 out of 93 students made progress in 4th grade Reading (52\%) - PM1 (26) PM2 (22)
* 58 out of 92 students made progress in 4th grade Math (63\%) - PM1 (36) PM2 (22)
* 68 out of 96 students made progress in 5th grade Reading (71\%) - PM1 (38) PM2 (30)
* 57 out of 95 students made progress in 5th grade Math (60\%) - PM1 ) 42 PM2 (15)

EOY Universal Screener results show that $85 \%$ of students in Kindergarten, 2nd, 3rd, and 4th grades finished the year on or above grade level in Reading and/or Math.

## Problem Statements Identifying Student Academic Achievement Needs

Problem Statement 1: Even though the percentage of students who met the standard on the Writing STAAR test did increase from $68 \%$ to $73 \%$, Writing is still an area of concern. Only $10 \%$ of the students performed at a "Masters" level. Root Cause: There is not enough focus on daily writing and small group instruction in the area of writing.

Problem Statement 2: The percentage of students who met the standard on the Science STAAR test decreased from $78 \%$ to $70 \%$ with only $13 \%$ of students performing at the "Masters" level. Root Cause: This is the second year 5th grade has been a part of the elementary level, some teachers did not have the experience teaching the Science curriculum; also there has to be more of an intense focus on Science TEKS in the lower grade levels to ensure the foundation is strong when students enter 5th grade. There needs to be ample time for hands-on learning / science experiments.

Problem Statement 3: Less than $80 \%$ of 1st grade students performed at or above grade level on EOY screeners in Reading or Math. Root Cause: More focus needs to be on 1st grade readiness for Kindergarten students on their EOY Reading/Math screeners as well as more support during the Spring semester for 1st grade students from our Title I Interventionist.

Problem Statement 4: STAAR results showed that only $52 \%$ of 4th graders made progress from 3 rd grade in Reading. Root Cause: 3rd-5th grade teachers need more opportunity for vertical planning and a deeper understanding of the grade-level TEKS and resources. The data from Common Assessments needs to be reviewed more carefully so instruction can be planned accordingly.

Problem Statement 5: STAAR results showed that only $83 \%$ of 3 rd grade students and $80 \%$ of 4 th grade students MET the standard for testing on Reading. Root Cause: More focus needs to be placed on using common assessments more frequently to identify areas of need.

Problem Statement 6: STAAR results showed that only $79 \%$ of 4th grade students MET the standard for testing on Math. Root Cause: More focus needs to be placed on using common assessments more frequently to identify areas of need.

## School Processes \& Programs

## School Processes \& Programs Summary

CNA Committee Members: Dyer, Peterson, Norsworthy, Denn, Lewis
All teachers and staff at LES are Highly Qualified and new teachers are all assigned a mentor teacher and participate in New Teacher Orientation at both the District \& Campus levels.

The teaching staff at LES is a balanced group of teachers with varied years of experience. At the campus level, a lot of time is spent reviewing applications and holding interviews to be sure that the best teachers and staff are considered for our campus.

LES teachers are provided with multiple team planning days throughout the school year, offered staff development opportunities in a variety of formats, and are able to participate in vertical alignment curriculum planning workshops throughout the year.

Overall campus TTESS results show evidence of effective classroom instruction and strong classroom management with all scoring in the Proficient domain or higher.

The LES teaching staff has vertically aligned themselves based on subject area to ensure that the TEKS are accurately flowing from one grade level to the next with no gaps or overflow. Each grade level team plans weekly to coordinate lessons based on the grade level TEKS and coordinated with the district expectations and the scope and sequence. This will allow for assessments to accurately evaluate the level of mastery and rigor of TEKS at each grade level. Numerous assessments will be utilized to determine our students' needs including TPRI/DRA/ESTAR \& MSTAR Math Screener, STAAR, Benchmarks and grade level Unit Assessments. The outcome of these assessments will assist in identifying those students that are at-risk, allowing us to appropriately place each student in an enrichment or intervention that meets their specific needs. Our goal is to serve students of all populations based on their individual needs through various interventions such as: web-based programs, the general classroom, before/after school tutorials, and the Title I program. A testing process will identify students who qualify for services such as Gifted and Talented, English as a Second Language and Dyslexia. Once identified, these students will receive interventions and enrichment to enhance their education. These students will be served in their homeroom class and through pull out and push in models. The English Language Proficiency Standards (ELPS) will be utilized in all classrooms with LEP students.

EOY universal screeners show a need for more intensive reading instruction and intervention at the lower grade levels; state testing results show low performance on 3rd grade Reading and Math test, the 4th grade Writing test as well as the 5th grade Science test.

Our schoolwide approach is to be respectful and responsible, and we use positive behavior supports in every situation possible. LES is a Title campus that serves approximately 507 students with both Bilingual and Dual Language programs. Additionally, approximately over $40 \%$ of our students are eligible for free or reduced meals. LES sends backpacks full of food each Friday to approximately 20 families and provides Thanksgiving meals, Christmas gifts, and clothes vouchers for more students each year with the help of community partners.

Teachers are provided planning days throughout the year by the campus and district. Our Dual Language teachers participated in Dual Language PLCs throughout the course of the year.

Implementation of part-time Instructional Coach provided support teachers for in Reading, Math, Science, Social Studies and Technology in the classrooms as well as through needed staff development and opportunities to collaborate about student data, curriculum components, and best instructional practices.
The Specials schedule was revamped this past year to allow more classroom instructional time, which resulted in teacher conference periods being
shortened. For the upcoming 2019-2020 school year, the specials schedule will be revised again to allow for the campus to implement and build in "Flexible Intervention Time" for students for 30 minutes each day. This intervention time is called W.I.N Time (What I Need time). W.I.N time is determined by data taken from common assessments and other assessment measures.

This summer 9 members from our campus ( 3 admin, 1 instructional coach and 5 teachers) were able to attend the Solution Tree PLC Institute Conference in San Antonio. We are looking forward to implementing and using a PLC model to improve instruction which in turn will improve students achievement.

## School Processes \& Programs Strengths

Our WATCH Dog Program allows us to partner with our families in order to provide students with additional support through mentoring, tutoring, and social skills.

LES students participate in the MISD Leadership Academy with MISD Police to help build leadership skills, problem-solving skills, and making good choices.

Our Attendance focus initiative showed an increase in student attendance \& positive feedback from parents.
The implementation of Morning Meetings has improved classroom discipline and culture as well as campus culture.
LES provides cultural awareness and creative learning opportunities through the Dual Language program, our Schoolwide Enrichment Model and TPSP Curriculum with GT students.

Curriculum strengths include:

- MAC planning days, curriculum \& resource planning
- purchase of new, updated, research-based resources
- implementation of Eduphoria to better use student data to drive instruction
- weekly team planning/meeting
- universal screeners \& progress monitoring done periodically throughout the school year to assess student progress and/or areas of need
- RTI meetings set each four or nine weeks to discuss individual students \& devise a plan for students in need
- implementation of Schoolwide Enrichment Model to offer unique learning opportunities each semester
- implementation of Project Based Learning classrooms from 1st-5th grades
- parent surveys reflect positive feedback regarding strong student learning, top-notch teachers, and effective assessments
- Instructional Coach to support teachers in ELA, Math, \& Technology instruction
- BOY trainings in the administration of MAC resources helped teachers better understand the resources being used and increased the confidence of use in the classrooms
- Grade level and/or subject area teams meet weekly to plan, discuss resource needs, problem solve, \& collaborate; each grade level is also provided with curriculum planning days as well. This allows time to work within our MISD curriculum and have a better understanding of grade level/subject area TEKS.

Surveys showed that students, staff, and parents feel safe and welcome at LES and that campus procedures and policies are well-communicated. LES works under a team approach and a student-centered philosophy. Respect is valued and evident between staff members, staff \& parents, and staff and students. Preserving instructional time is a priority, and each grade level is allotted 300 minutes of instructional time each day, which is an increase from previous years due to a restructuring of our Specials schedule. Our interventionists provide support outside of instructional time and work closely with the teachers to ensure optimal time for all. The instructional day begins at $8: 15$, but teachers are available for tutorials before and after school if needed. Spring tutors are hired to assist with students who are struggling in Math or Reading for grades 3-5.

Community support is widespread at LES, as we have large donations from area churches, realtors, and local businesses for school supplies, our annual Angel Tree Christmas event, and food for our weekly backpack program.

There is a widespread willingness to incorporate technology in classroom lessons to enhance students learning experience; many teachers have attended technology trainings and are trying new things to include technology in their daily content delivery.

We have a campus TIM (Technology Integration Mentor) who help teachers troubleshoot technology issues; TIMS also get feedback from the teachers and provide training opportunities each month based on teacher input and/or District initiatives.

Online interventions usage report shows that programs are being consistently used by students.
After Christmas, our teachers and students began using RAZ Kids / Learning A-Z.This program took the place of A.R. There was a lot of positive feedback received from students, teachers and parents about the program. We will continue to use the program this upcoming year.

## Perceptions

## Perceptions Summary

## CNA Committee Members: Norsworthy, Castaneda, Watkins

The culture and climate of Lincoln Elementary School is one based on the belief that every student counts and every student can learn $\qquad$ ALL MEANS ALL. The staff is welcoming and positive, and student success is clearly the priority at LES. Parent and student participation and feedback this first year at Lincoln has been positive. There has been a high attendance and participation rate at all Lincoln Elementary School campus events. Use of the School Messenger system, weekly campus and classroom newsletters and social media ensures that all parents know what is happening at LES. We have a strong, active and supportive PTO Board. They work with the campus and parents to help support our school. The schoolwide use of Conscious Discipline and our Character Cubs character education programs will continue to be promoted and have been well-received by LES parents and students.

Students are celebrated at an end-of-year awards program for all students for academics, attendance, good character. Students are also celebrated on daily Morning Announcements for "Student of the Month" awards, caught having Good Character awards and extra-curricular celebrations. Students can also celebrate meeting their goals by coming to the front office to "ring the bell".

LES has formed a strong PTO Board that has met and set goals for the 2019-2020 school year. PTO communications will continue to include a website, eblast, social media posts, \& a monthly newsletter. The following activities/events are some that will be offered at LES to encourage parent and family involvement: Family Latino Literacy Project, Family Curriculum Night, Open House, Book Fairs, Fun Run, Choir Concerts, the Wave Club, Watch Dogs, Camp Pre-K and Kindergarten, Bilingual Family Night, K-1/Dual Language Orientation, Schoolwide Enrichment Model (SEM), various PTO family nights, and grade level assemblies, including a Veteran's Day Celebration.

LES has strong partnerships with community businesses to support events such as Angel Tree, Thanksgiving meals, Friday Backpack Clubs, and our back-to-school school supplies drive.

## Perceptions Strengths

Our WATCH Dog Program allows us to partner with our families in order to provide students with additional support through mentoring, tutoring, and social skills.

LES students participate in the MISD Leadership Academy with MISD Police to help build leadership skills, problem-solving skills, and making good choices.

Attendance incentives offered each month showed an increase in student attendance \& positive feedback from parents regarding incentives.

The implementation of Morning Meetings has improved classroom discipline and culture as well as campus culture.
LES provides cultural awareness and creative learning opportunities through the Dual Language program, our Schoolwide Enrichment Model and TPSP Curriculum with GT students.

A parent survey conducted showed that parents feel welcome at school and are encouraged to participate in school activities. Parents feel that there is communication between the school and home.

LES provides food for families in need through our Friday Backpack Club by coordinating with our Montgomery Food Bank.
LES assists families with school supplies in August by working with local businesses and churches as well serving many families through our Angel Tree Christmas project.

LES also offers involvement in The Wave Club, which is a Community Service Club for our 4th and 5th graders; the club focuses on good character and a "pay it forward" philosophy and completes various campus \& community services throughout the year.

LES receives a large amount of support from parents and through community partnerships to help all of our outreach activities and programs be successful.
LES offers our Bilingual parents the opportunity to participate in our Latino Family Literacy Night which teaches our Spanish-speaking parents how to best support their ELLs at home in the area of Reading. This is offered in the fall and the spring, and participation continues to grow.

## Priority Problem Statements

Problem Statement 1: Even though the percentage of students who met the standard on the Writing STAAR test did increase from $68 \%$ to $73 \%$, Writing is still an area of concern. Only $10 \%$ of the students performed at a "Masters" level.
Root Cause 1: There is not enough focus on daily writing and small group instruction in the area of writing.
Problem Statement 1 Areas: Student Academic Achievement

Problem Statement 2: The percentage of students who met the standard on the Science STAAR test decreased from $78 \%$ to $70 \%$ with only $13 \%$ of students performing at the "Masters" level.
Root Cause 2: This is the second year 5th grade has been a part of the elementary level, some teachers did not have the experience teaching the Science curriculum; also there has to be more of an intense focus on Science TEKS in the lower grade levels to ensure the foundation is strong when students enter 5th grade. There needs to be ample time for hands-on learning / science experiments.
Problem Statement 2 Areas: Student Academic Achievement

Problem Statement 3: Less than $80 \%$ of 1st grade students performed at or above grade level on EOY screeners in Reading or Math.
Root Cause 3: More focus needs to be on 1st grade readiness for Kindergarten students on their EOY Reading/Math screeners as well as more support during the Spring semester for 1st grade students from our Title I Interventionist.
Problem Statement 3 Areas: Student Academic Achievement

Problem Statement 4: STAAR results showed that only $52 \%$ of 4th graders made progress from 3rd grade in Reading.
Root Cause 4: 3rd-5th grade teachers need more opportunity for vertical planning and a deeper understanding of the grade-level TEKS and resources. The data from Common Assessments needs to be reviewed more carefully so instruction can be planned accordingly.
Problem Statement 4 Areas: Student Academic Achievement

## Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

## Improvement Planning Data

- District goals
- Campus Performance Objectives Summative Review from previous year
- Current and/or prior year(s) campus and/or district improvement plans
- Campus and/or district planning and decision making committee(s) meeting data
- State and federal planning requirements


## Student Data: Assessments

- State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS) results
- Texas Primary Reading Inventory (TPRI), Tejas LEE, or other alternate early reading assessment results
- Student Success Initiative (SSI) data for Grades 5 and 8
- Local diagnostic reading assessment data
- Local diagnostic math assessment data


## Student Data: Behavior and Other Indicators

- Attendance data
- Discipline records


## Employee Data

- Staff surveys and/or other feedback
- Campus department and/or faculty meeting discussions and data
- Professional development needs assessment data
- Evaluation(s) of professional development implementation and impact


## Parent/Community Data

- Parent surveys and/or other feedback


## Goals

## Revised/Approved: September 17, 2019

## Goal 1: MISD will provide a challenging curriculum, assess individual student achievement and support efforts to ensure student success.

Performance Objective 1: $85 \%$ of all student groups will meet or exceed performance standards on the STAAR test.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 1: Students will meet grade-level expectations and performance standards based on Universal Screeners, local assessments, and STAAR results.

Summative Evaluation 1:

| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Teachers will analyze Universal Screener data \& STAAR results to identify students needing targeted Reading and/or Math intervention using the following criterion: <br> * Scoring in the 10th percentile or below on any measure of the Universal Screener <br> * Students showing little or no improvement from BOY to MOY Universal Screener administration | 2.4, 2.6 | Principal, Counselor, Classroom teachers, Title I Interventionist | RTI meeting data, STAAR \& Universal Screener data |  |  |  |  |
| * 4th \& 5th grade students who failed a portion of the STAAR test <br> * Newly-enrolled students who show gaps in skills based on Common Assessments, Benchmarks, and/or lack of exposure to TEKS at previous school | Funding Sources: 211 Title I-0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | Summative <br> June |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 2) Plan for assisting preschool children in the transition from early childhood programs through the Cub Camp for the Pre-K and Kindergarten program, early registration, \& parent orientation. | 2.6 |  <br> Kindergarten team, <br> Principal, <br> Registrar | Camp Kindergarten flyer \& agenda, Parent orientation flyer, agenda, \& sign-in sheets, Registration numbers |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund SCE - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Students that meet one of the following criteria will be considered for placement in Title I Programs: <br> * Reading/Math: scored in the 10th percentile or below on Universal Screener measures <br> * SAT recommendation through RTI <br> *60 or below on released STAAR | 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 | Principal, Counselor, Title I Interventionist, Classroom teachers | Universal Screener results, STAAR results, Report card grades, Teacher feedback |  |  |  |  |
| * Failure of STAAR <br> * 9 weeks failure | Funding Sources: 211 Title I-0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) LES will encourage parents to participate in the Title I program by: <br> * Attending at least one parent conference <br> * Attending campus parent/Student Family <br> Night(s) \& District Title I Parent Info Night <br> * Completing the Parent, Student and School <br> Compact \& EOY Survey <br> * Participating in the annual review of the Title <br> I Parent Involvement Policy and Title I School <br> Compact | 2.6, 3.1, 3.2 | Principal, Counselor, Title I Interventionist, Schoolwide Planning Team | Parent Night Flyer \& Agenda, <br> Parent surveys, <br> Title I Compacts, <br> Parent meeting agendas \& sign-in sheets |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 211 Title I-0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Provide a quality Dual Language program for qualifying students in Pre-K through 5th grades following the Dual Language two-way Late Exit Model. | 2.4, 2.5 | Principal, Director of Special Programs, Instructional Coach, District ESL Coordinator | Class rosters Assessment data LPAC meeting minutes TELPAS data |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 263 Title III - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 9) Writing across all grade-levels will be an area of focus through: <br> * intensive study of and implementation of MAC for teachers <br> *PLC lesson planning, review of best practices, \& review of student writing samples *regularly scheduled teacher-designed assessments as checkpoints for student progress on Writing TEKS | 2.4, 2.5 | Principal, Classroom teachers, Instructional Coach | Lesson plans, student writing samples, student progress, PLC meeting agendas \& sign-in sheets |  |  |  |  |
| 10) Implement strategies from Schoolwide Enrichment Model (SEM), providing a wide array of learning opportunities for all students. |  | Principal, Campus SEM Liaison, Teachers and staff | SEM schedule, student surveys, showcases, parent \& student feedback |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The Student Success Team will monitor progress of all student sub-groups including: Eco Dis, Hispanic, African American, White, Special Ed. and LEP students through SST grade-level meetings, PLCs, and parent teacher conferences. | 2.4, 2.6 | Principal, Title I Interventionist, teachers, SST members | SST, PLC, \& Parent/teacher conferences meeting agendas \& sign-in sheets |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Increase the percentage of 1st grade students performing on or above grade level on EOY Universal Screeners to $80 \%$ or higher through K/1 vertical planning PLCs and Title I pull-out with a focus on the at-risk population. | 2.4, 2.6 | Principal, Instructional Coach, RTI Coordinator, Classroom teachers, Title I interventionist | Universal Screener results, Standards Based Report Cards, Title I rosters, RTI meeting notes, PLC agendas/sign-in sheets |  |  |  |  |
| 13) A scheduled 30 minute intervention/tutorial/enrichment time has been added to the master schedule grades $\mathrm{K}-5$ th. This time is called W.I.N. Time (What I Need Time). This time will be spent focusing on skills that need improvement based on data from common assessments and other resources. |  | Principal, Assistant Principal, Instructional Coach, Classroom Teachers | Universal Screener Results, STAAR results, report card grades |  |  |  |  |
| 14) Common assessments will be given more frequently and consistently to assess student mastery and identify target areas of direct instruction. |  | Administration, Instructional Coach, Classroom teachers, Title 1 Interventionist | STAAR scores, report cards, Universal screeners |  |  |  |  |
|  | Problem Statements: Student Academic Achievement 1, 2, 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  | Summative |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan Mar | June |
|  | = Accomplished |  |  |  |  |  |

## Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

## Student Academic Achievement

Problem Statement 1: Even though the percentage of students who met the standard on the Writing STAAR test did increase from $68 \%$ to $73 \%$, Writing is still an area of concern. Only $10 \%$ of the students performed at a "Masters" level. Root Cause 1: There is not enough focus on daily writing and small group instruction in the area of writing.
Problem Statement 2: The percentage of students who met the standard on the Science STAAR test decreased from $78 \%$ to $70 \%$ with only $13 \%$ of students performing at the "Masters" level. Root Cause 2: This is the second year 5th grade has been a part of the elementary level, some teachers did not have the experience teaching the Science curriculum; also there has to be more of an intense focus on Science TEKS in the lower grade levels to ensure the foundation is strong when students enter 5th grade. There needs to be ample time for hands-on learning / science experiments.
Problem Statement 4: STAAR results showed that only $52 \%$ of 4th graders made progress from 3rd grade in Reading. Root Cause 4: 3rd-5th grade teachers need more opportunity for vertical planning and a deeper understanding of the grade-level TEKS and resources. The data from Common Assessments needs to be reviewed more carefully so instruction can be planned accordingly.

Goal 1: MISD will provide a challenging curriculum, assess individual student achievement and support efforts to ensure student success.
Performance Objective 2: 80\% of all student groups will meet or exceed college readiness standards on the STAAR test.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 2: STAAR results and local assessment data will show student performance.
Summative Evaluation 2:

| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) LES teachers will utilize the MISD MAC during weekly planning to ensure TEKS Alignment, exemplary lessons, and timeline considerations. |  | Principal, Classroom teachers | Classroom observations, Campus \& District training sign-in sheets, Team planning notes |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Teachers will implement components of a Balanced Literacy and Math program as per MAC trainings to ensure quality instruction and |  | Principal, Classroom teachers | Classroom observations, Unit Assessment data, STAAR results, team planning notes, Campus \& District training sign-in sheets |  |  |  |  |
| application opportunities in every lesson. | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Teachers will use Eduphoria to run reports and disaggregate data for Universal Screeners, District Unit Assessments, Benchmark, \& STAAR results. | 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 | Principal, Classroom teachers Instructional Coach | Eduphoria reports, team meeting notes, student scores |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Teachers and administrators will participate in Professional Learning Communities throughout the year to ensure both professional development and data review in order to maintain the academic rigor and progress of students during instruction. |  | Principal, Title Interventionists, Classroom teachers | PLC Agendas \& Sign-in sheets, Student success on assessments, teacher feedback, Classroom observations |  |  |  |  |
| 5) LES teachers will use the Literacy Library as a resource to help implement the Balanced Literacy Model in their classrooms. | 2.4, 2.5 | Principal, Instructional Coach, Classroom teachers | Use of Literacy Library resources as per teacher checkout system, student progress in reading comprehension, teacher feedback, purchase orders, and inventory list |  |  |  |  |


| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 6) LES will implement the use of full time Instructional Coach to help support classroom teachers in Reading, Math, Science, Social Studies and Technology to enhance student learning and instructional delivery. | 2.5 | Principal, Instructional Coach, Director of Curriculum \& Instruction | IC meetings \& feedback, classroom teacher feedback, classroom observations, PD agendas \& sign-up sheets, student progress |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 211 Title I-0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Interventions and classroom differentiation will be provided through online programs including: Imagine Learning, iStation, Sumdog, Flocabulary, Fast Forward, and SciLearn to support Math, ELA, and Science TEKS. | 2.4, 2.6 | Principal, Classroom teachers, RTI Liaison, Instructional Coaches | Program reports, student progress, teacher feedback |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 255 Title II A - 12000.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Increase the percentage of students achieving "Met Standard" status on the STAAR Writing test to $85 \%$ through an intensive study of Writing TEKS, vertical alignment PLCs, and professional development on small-group Writing instruction. | 2.4, 2.5 | Principal, Classroom teachers, Instructional Coaches | Common assessment results, STAAR results, Benchmark results, PLC Agendas \& Sign-in sheets |  |  |  |  |
|  | Problem Statements: Student Academic Achievement 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) Increase the percentage of students achieving "Met Standard" status on the STAAR Science test to $85 \%$ through vertical alignment planning, TEKS study, and increasing Science instructional minutes in lower grade levels. | 2.4, 2.5 | Principal, Classroom teachers, Instructional Coach | Common Assessment results, Benchmark results, STAAR results, lesson plans |  |  |  |  |
|  | Problem Statements: Student Academic Achievement 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10) Increase the percentage of students making progress on STAAR on Reading and Math through intensive disaggregation of data to look at individual student performance, specifically the at-risk population. | 2.4, 2.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Problem Statements: Student Academic Achievement 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:

## Student Academic Achievement

Problem Statement 1: Even though the percentage of students who met the standard on the Writing STAAR test did increase from $68 \%$ to $73 \%$, Writing is still an area of concern. Only $10 \%$ of the students performed at a "Masters" level. Root Cause 1: There is not enough focus on daily writing and small group instruction in the area of writing.
Problem Statement 2: The percentage of students who met the standard on the Science STAAR test decreased from $78 \%$ to $70 \%$ with only $13 \%$ of students performing at the "Masters" level. Root Cause 2: This is the second year 5th grade has been a part of the elementary level, some teachers did not have the experience teaching the Science curriculum; also there has to be more of an intense focus on Science TEKS in the lower grade levels to ensure the foundation is strong when students enter 5th grade. There needs to be ample time for hands-on learning / science experiments.
Problem Statement 4: STAAR results showed that only $52 \%$ of 4th graders made progress from 3rd grade in Reading. Root Cause 4: 3rd-5th grade teachers need more opportunity for vertical planning and a deeper understanding of the grade-level TEKS and resources. The data from Common Assessments needs to be reviewed more carefully so instruction can be planned accordingly.

Goal 1: MISD will provide a challenging curriculum, assess individual student achievement and support efforts to ensure student success.
Performance Objective 3: Continue implementation of the Dropout Prevention Program in order to reduce the dropout rate to less than $1 \%$.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 3: Monitor and meet the needs of all students who are At-Risk
Summative Evaluation 3:

| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Offer AM and PM tutorials for students in 2nd-5th grades with classroom teachers, interventionists, and auxiliary staff to provide additional support for struggling students. | 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 | Principal, Assistant Principal, Counselor, Classroom teachers, Title interventionists, RTI team | Improvement of student progress, tutorial logs/sign-in sheets, RTI meeting notes, teacher \& parent feedback |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Spring tutors will be recruited and hired to provide intensive intervention in Math and Reading for 3rd, 4th, and 5th graders who are in need of support based on continued low assessment scores. | 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 | Principal, Classroom teachers, Title I interventionist, Instructional Coach | Tutor schedule, teacher feedback, student assessment progress |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 211 Title I-0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Ensure that regular student attendance is an area of focus at LES by: <br> *Tracking student attendance <br> *Meeting with teachers, parents and students to address individual situations <br> *Providing monthly incentives through Academic/Attendance pep rallies, and *Communicating with parents the importance of attendance for student success. | 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 | Principal, Assistant Principal, Registrar, Classroom teachers | Attendance reports, teacher feedback, monthly incentive documentation, newsletters |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Identify and serve students who qualify for services and supports under the McKinneyVento Act (homeless status). | 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 | Principal, Counselor, Registrar, Director of Special Programs | Student residency questionnaires, free \& reduced roster |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 211 Title I-0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 5) RTI committee members will abide by District Retention policy when making placement decisions for struggling students. |  | Principal, RTI Liaison, Classroom teachers, Counselor | RTI meeting notes, Eduphoria data, student placement sheets |  |  |  |  |
| 6) LES Counselor will provide group lessons for K - 5 th grade students on a rotating biweekly basis through A.C.E.S. time, which will focus on Academic, Career, Emotional, and Social Needs of the students. | 2.5 | Principal Counselor | Lesson plans <br> Teacher \& Parent Feedback Counselor calendar of lessons |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Goal 2: MISD will vigorously enforce policies and procedures that promote a safe and orderly environment.

Performance Objective 1: All students and staff will be provided a safe and orderly environment in which to learn and work.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 1: Disciplinary referrals will decrease by $10 \%$.
Summative Evaluation 1:

| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Implement MISD Character Ed. Program for teachers to use in the classrooms and parents to implement at home. |  | Principal, Counselor, Classroom teachers | Decrease in \# of discipline referrals, teacher feedback, morning announcements character trait schedule, increase of positive behavior awards on "Character Wall" |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) All staff will implement Conscious Discipline strategies to enhance classroom environment \& improve student behavior. | 2.5, 2.6 | Principal, <br> Assistant <br> Principal, <br> Counselor, <br> Teachers \& Staff | Decrease in \# of discipline referrals, classroom observations, teacher feedback |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 255 Title II A - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) LES will offer student club options to encourage more student participation in extracurricular activities. | 2.5 | Administration, Classroom teachers, Club Sponsors | Student surveys, Club flyers, Student sign-up sheets, Club participation |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 461 Campus Activity - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Students will participate in Classroom Morning Meetings to provide character education, celebrate accomplishments, and learn social skills. | 2.5, 2.6 | Principal, Assistant Principal, Counselor, Classroom teachers | Morning Meeting Agendas/Plans, classroom observations, reduction in discipline referrals, teacher feedback |  |  |  |  |


| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 5) All LES students and staff will participate in all required crisis drills, fire drills, evacuation drills, and disaster drills. Professional development will be provided by the MISD police department in appropriate strategies during crisis drills, and practice of the drills will be continuous and varied throughout the school year. |  | MISD Police Department, Administration, Classroom teachers | Agenda with documented professional development, schedule/calendar of all drills throughout the year, observation |  |  |  |  |
| 6) LES will provide support for and build relationships with students in need through the Watch Dog Program. | 2.5, 2.6 | Administration, Classroom teachers | Student nominations, Mentor Orientation sign-in \& agenda, student progress, parent and teacher feedback |  |  |  |  |
| 7) LES will recognize students who exhibit strong character in the classrooms through morning announcement celebrations. | 2.5 | Counselor, Classroom teachers | Student nomination \& certificates, Posting of SOM pictures on website and Bulletin Board |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Provide student training in conflict resolution, dating violence prevention as appropriate, and anti-bullying. |  | Principal, <br> Assistant <br> Principals, <br> Counselor | Training agendas/flyers, campus activity calendar |  |  |  |  |
| $100 \%=\text { Accomplished } \quad 0 \%=\text { Continue/Modify } \quad=\text { No Progress } \quad=\text { Discontinue }$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Goal 3: MISD will improve the technology infrastructure and strategically plan for the deployment of 21st century technology for teacher and student use.

Performance Objective 1: Develop a quality technology program to maximize teaching and learning.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 1: 100\% of LES teachers will incorporate technology into their classroom instruction and delivery.
Summative Evaluation 1:

| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | Summative |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar | June |
| 1) Teachers will schedule use of a mobile tech cart or sign up for computer lab use to increase student use of instructional technology. |  | Principal, Classroom teachers, TIMS | Classroom observations, mobile tech cart/computer lab sign-up sheets |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Campus TIMS and Instructional Coach will schedule trainings throughout the year to model and share instructional technology tools and resources based on teacher needs. |  | Principal, TIMS, <br> Instructional <br> Coach | Sign-in sheets, classroom observations, teacher websites |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 199 General Fund - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) All teachers will maintain a webpage in order to provide regular communication with parents. |  | Administration, Classroom teachers | Teacher websites, EOY Parent survey results |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Additional students laptops will be purchased for each classroom to enhance student learning. |  | Principal | Classroom observation; laptop usage report |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Students will have the opportunity to explore and learn in the new Maker Space lab. The lab will be facilitated by our Librarian. |  | Principal, <br> Librarian | Observations, student products |  |  |  |  |
| 6) Students will have the opportunity to explore the world of robotics by participating in Lincoln's first Robotics Club. |  | Principal, Librarian | observations, products |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Goal 4: MISD will establish procedures to allocate existing resources to areas of greatest need and actively pursue alternative sources of revenue.

Performance Objective 1: LES will follow budget guidelines to ensure appropriate use of campus allocated funds that will support student learning.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 1: The LES campus budget will be used to enhance student learning in both expenditures and revenue.
Summative Evaluation 1:


## Goal 5: MISD will monitor growth and plan for an orderly, systemic process to ensure quality programs and facilities.

Performance Objective 1: Communication between school and district will support improvement of facilities and programs for future student growth.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 1: LES will be prepared for increased enrollment and staffing needs.
Summative Evaluation 1:

| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Communication between Administration, CAC, PTO, and the MISD Maintenance with District Office Administration will occur regularly in order to address campus needs pertaining to growth. |  | Principal | Communications to District Office, enrollment data, CAC \& PTO Agendas, Effective use of current facilities |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Goal 6: MISD will recruit and retain excellent personnel and continually provide quality staff development.

Performance Objective 1: Collaborate and communicate with team members to ensure continuity and provide needed support.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 1: Staff retention will remain high and staff development will be ongoing.
Summative Evaluation 1:

| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | Summative <br> June |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Coordinate with HR before any reassignment of staff to ensure certification and funding requirements have been met. |  | Principal, Director of HR, Director of Curriculum \& Instruction, Director of Special Education | Master Schedule Review, Action Requests |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Provide each grade level/subject area team a full or half-day for planning \& training each nine weeks. |  | Principals, classroom teachers, team leaders, Curriculum \& Instruction Director | Team meeting agendas, sign-in sheets, team meeting notes |  |  |  |  |
| 3) LES will continue to ensure that additional teachers are obtaining GT \& ESL certification each year until $100 \%$ of the staff is certified in these areas. |  | Principal, Counselor, Classroom teachers | Teacher certification |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Principal and Instructional Coach will work closely together to support classroom teachers and provide needed PD opportunities to increase rigor in the classroom and student learning. |  | Principal, Team Leaders, Classroom Teachers | Workshop registration, Classroom observations, Teacher feedback, Teacher Self-Report |  |  |  |  |


| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | Summative <br> June |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 5) Highly Effective Objective: $100 \%$ of MISD teachers \& instructional aides will be Highly Effective/Highly Qualified through the following strategies: <br> * Prior to being hired, certifications will be carefully examined for the position being filled. <br> * Instructional aides who do not meet the requirements for the classification of Highly Qualified will participate in the Paraprofessional Assessment of Knowledge \& Skills (PAKS) evaluation process to earn the classification of Highly Qualified. <br> In the case of losing a teacher after the first of the school year, the district will post the position \& communicate with certified teachers on the MISD list of substitutes for a possible replacement who is Highly Effective. |  | Principal, Assistant Principal, HR Director, Certification Officer | SBEC review, PAKS document |  |  |  |  |
| 6) Administration will ensure communication between staff and allow opportunities for professional development in a teacher's area of need. |  | Administration, classroom teachers and staff | Team and faculty meeting agendas and sign-in sheets, PD certificates of completion, classroom observations, Teacher Self-Report documents and PDAS notes |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Classroom teachers will be provided training and support on the Texas Performance Standards Project to ensure differentiation for identified GT students in the classroom. |  | Principal, Director of Special Programs, Instructional Coach | Training sign-in sheets and agendas, teacher feedback, lesson plans, MAC resources |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Science teachers will participate Lead4ward Science training. |  | Principal, Instructional Coach | STAAR Scores, report cards |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Goal 7: MISD will establish a process that ensures open, honest, and frequent communication with the public.

Performance Objective 1: LES will use various communication tools in a timely manner to make sure that parents and community members are wellinformed.

Evaluation Data Source(s) 1: Parent and community involvement will be evident \& ongoing.

## Summative Evaluation 1:

| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | Summative <br> June |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) Promote positive communication in both English and Spanish between the school, home, and community through the campus website, Tuesday folders, Campus Newsletters, School Messenger, Remind 101, PTO Communications and Social Media. |  | Principal, Assistant Principal, Counselor, Teachers | Parent/teacher written communication, Parent Surveys \& feedback, Parent involvement in campus programs/activities |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Campus and teacher websites will be implemented and updated regularly to offer timely communication regarding campus events and information. |  | Campus Web Page Liaison, TIMS, Administration, Teachers | Website updates, Parent feedback \& involvement |  |  |  |  |
| 3) LES Campus Needs Assessment teams will administer end of year teacher, parent, and student surveys to determine campus areas of needed improvement \& focus. | 3.1 | Principal, CNA team members, Teachers and Staff, CAC members | Survey participation, CNA meeting notes, CAC meeting agendas and notes, Improvement in focus areas |  |  |  |  |
| $100 \%=\text { Accomplished } \quad 0 \%=\text { =ontinue/Modify } \quad=\text { No Progress } \quad=\text { Discontinue }$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Goal 8: MISD will encourage and promote a climate that fosters parental participation in the education of our children.

Performance Objective 1: LES will provide multiple opportunities for parents to be involved in their child's school.
Evaluation Data Source(s) 1: Active and increasing parental involvement will be evident.
Summative Evaluation 1:

| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 1) The Dual Language team will organize and offer a Dual Language Orientation for parents to establish expectations of the program and review program components. | 2.6, 3.2 | Principal, Director of Special Programs, Dual Language teachers | Dual Language Orientation flyers, parent sign-in sheets/attendance, orientation agenda |  |  |  |  |
| 2) LES will conduct a Family Curriculum Night event to enhance parent's understanding of our instructional program. | 3.2 | Principal, Title staff, Librarian, Classroom teachers | Parent sign-in sheets, parent and teacher feedback, program invitations and flyers |  |  |  |  |
| 3) LES will actively recruit PTO members and volunteers to build a strong group of parental stakeholders. | 3.2 | Principal, PTO Executive Board | PTO membership numbers, PTO meeting agendas \& minutes, Volunteer Orientation invitation and sign-in sheets |  |  |  |  |
| 4) LES will hold a Title I night to review math and reading strategies in a fun, engaging manner for all students and parents. | 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 3.2 | Principal, Classroom teachers, Title I staff | Sign-in sheets, Parent and teacher feedback |  |  |  |  |
| 5) LES Dual Language Spanish parents will be provided the opportunity twice a year to participate in the Latino Family Literacy Project in order to support Literacy in the home. | 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 3.2 | Principal, Dual Language teachers, Director of Special Programs, District ESL/DL Specialist | Parent sign-ins, teacher feedback, parent feedback, campus activity calendar |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 263 Title III - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Strategy Description | ELEMENTS | Monitor | Strategy's Expected Result/Impact | Reviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formative |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Summative } \\ \hline \text { June } \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Nov | Jan | Mar |  |
| 6) Teachers will be required to hold at least two parent conferences throughout the school year. The first conference will be held after the first nine weeks. The other conference will be held after the 3rd nine weeks |  | Principal, Classroom teacher | Conference notes, end of year parent survey |  |  |  |  |
| 7) LES will promote and celebrate the Dual Language program through annual parentteacher conferences and a campus-wide Dual Language Family Night. | 2.4, 2.6 | Principal DL Teachers Director of Special Programs | Parent feedback <br> Conference documentation <br> Flyers <br> Parent attendance |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding Sources: 211 Title I - 0.00, 263 Title III - 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## State Compensatory

## Personnel for Lincoln Elementary School:

| Name | Position | Program | FTE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Edna Arnsworth | Teacher | Special Education |  |
| Jennifer Krikorian | Teacher | Instructional Coach | 1 |
| Yvonne Oliver | Paraprofessional | Bilingual | .5 |

## Title I Personnel

| Name | Position | Program | FTE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Erika Ramirez | Dual Language Para | Dual Language | 1.0 |
| Heather Johnson | Title I Interventionist | Title I | 1 |
| Katya Portillo | Dual Language Para | Dual Language |  |

## 2019-2020 Needs Assessment Team

| Committee Role | Name | Position |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Administrator | Courtney Dyer | Principal |
| Classroom Teacher | Kristen Herzog | 5th grade teacher |
| Classroom Teacher | Maria Paterno | Dual Language teacher |
| Non-classroom Professional | Heather Johnson | Title I Interventionist |
| Classroom Teacher | Edna Arnsworth | Special Ed. Teacher |
| Classroom Teacher | Morgan White | 1st grade teacher |
| Classroom Teacher | Tim Rader | PE Teacher |
| Parent | Brooke Neagle | Parent |
| Community Representative | Joan Boswell | Community |
| Parent | Lauren Maddox | Parent |
| District-level Professional | Jada Mullins | Director of Special Programs |
| District-level Professional | Jennifer Dehart | Bilingual/ESL Specialist |
| Administrator | Deanna Peterson | Counselor |
| Administrator | Kate Norsworthy | Assistant Principal |
| Non-classroom Professional | Lorra Lynch | Instructional Coach |
| Paraprofessional | Dana Kuciemba | Principal's Secretary |
| Parent | Stephanie Palma | Dual Language Parent Rep |

## Campus Funding Summary

| 199 General Fund |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Goal | Objective | Strategy | Resources Needed | Account Code | Amount |
| 1 | 1 | 6 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 1 | 1 | 7 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 1 | 2 | 1 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 1 | 2 | 2 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 2 | 1 | 1 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 3 | 1 | 1 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 3 | 1 | 2 |  |  | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  | Sub-Total | \$0.00 |
| 199 General Fund SCE |  |  |  |  |  |
| Goal | Objective | Strategy | Resources Needed | Account Code | Amount |
| 1 | 1 | 2 |  |  | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  | Sub-Total | \$0.00 |
| 211 Title I |  |  |  |  |  |
| Goal | Objective | Strategy | Resources Needed | Account Code | Amount |
| 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 1 | 1 | 3 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 1 | 1 | 4 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 1 | 2 | 6 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 1 | 3 | 2 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 1 | 3 | 4 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 8 | 1 | 7 | Parent Involvement |  | \$0.00 |


| 211 Title I |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Goal | Objective | Strategy | Resources Needed | Account Code | Amount |
|  |  |  |  | Sub-Tot | \$0.00 |
| 255 Title II A |  |  |  |  |  |
| Goal | Objective | Strategy | Resources Needed | Account Code | Amount |
| 1 | 2 | 7 | Imagine Learning online intervention program |  | \$12,000.00 |
| 2 | 1 | 2 |  |  | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  | Sub-Total | \$12,000.00 |
| 263 Title III |  |  |  |  |  |
| Goal | Objective | Strategy | Resources Needed | Account Code | Amount |
| 1 | 1 | 5 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 8 | 1 | 5 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 8 | 1 | 7 | Parent Involvement |  | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  | Sub-Tot | \$0.00 |
| 461 Campus Activity |  |  |  |  |  |
| Goal | Objective | Strategy | Resources Needed | Account Code | Amount |
| 2 | 1 | 3 |  |  | \$0.00 |
| Sub-Total |  |  |  |  | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  | Grand Total | \$12,000.00 |
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Violent or Criminal Incidents Report

| Report on Violent or Criminal Incidents <br> Student Disciplinary Action Incident Counts by Reason Code 2018-19 School Year (To the Extented Permitted under FERPA) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reason Code | Description | MES | SCE | LSE | MRE | KES | LES |  |  |  |
|  |  | 170903103 | 170903104 | 170903105 | 170903106 | 170903107 | 170903102 |  |  |  |
| 11 | Used, exhibited, possessed firearm | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 12 | Used, exhibited possessed illegal knife | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 13 | Used, exhibited, possessed illegal club | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 14 | Used, exhibited, possessed prohibited weapon | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 16 | Arson | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 17 | Murder, capital murder, criminal attempt to commit murder/capital murder | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 18 | Indecency with a child | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 19 | Aggravated kidnapping | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 29 | Aggravated assault against school district employee/volunteer | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 30 | Aggravated assault against nonemployee/volunteer | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 31 | Sexual assault/aggravated sexual assault against school district employee/volunteer | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 32 | Sexual assault/aggravated sexual assault against non-employee/volunteer | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 36 | Felony controlled substance violation | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 37 | Felony alcohol violation | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 46 | Aggravated robbery | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 47 | Manslaughter | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 48 | Criminally negligent homicide | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 49 | Engages in deadly conduct | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 57 | Continuous Sexual Abuse of Young Child or Children | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
|  | Total Incidents | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Student Enrollment (Fall 2018 PEIMS Snapshot) |  | 384 | 780 | 769 | 736 | 770 | 495 |  |  |  |
|  | Incident Rate | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  |  |  |

The Reason Codes listed in this table are the PEIMS/TSDS Action Reason Codes applicable to mandatory expellable incidents that TEA uses in its methodology for identifying Persistently Dangerous Schools as required under the Unsafe School Choice Option (USCO) described in Section 8532 of ESSA.

| Report on Violent or Criminal Incidents Student Disciplinary Action Incident Counts by Reason Code 2018-19 School Year (To the Extented Permitted under FERPA) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reason Code | Description | MHS | LCHS | MJH | OHJH |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 170903002 | 170903004 | 170903042 | 170903043 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | Used, exhibited, possessed firearm | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | Used, exhibited possessed illegal knife | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | Used, exhibited, possessed illegal club | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | Used, exhibited, possessed prohibited weapon | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | Arson | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | Murder, capital murder, criminal attempt to commit murder/capital murder | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | Indecency with a child | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | Aggravated kidnapping | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 | Aggravated assault against school district employee/volunteer | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | Aggravated assault against nonemployee/volunteer | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 | Sexual assault/aggravated sexual assault against school district employee/volunteer | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 | Sexual assault/aggravated sexual assault against non-employee/volunteer | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36 | Felony controlled substance violation | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 37 | Felony alcohol violation | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 46 | Aggravated robbery | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 47 | Manslaughter | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 48 | Criminally negligent homicide | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 49 | Engages in deadly conduct | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 57 | Continuous Sexual Abuse of Young Child or Children | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total Incidents | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Student Enrollment (Fall 2018 PEIMS Snapshot) |  | 1,775 | 914 | 1,151 | 1,081 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Incident Rate |  | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |

The Reason Codes listed in this table are the PEIMS/TSDS Action Reason Codes applicable to mandatory expellable incidents that TEA uses in its methodology for identifying Persistently Dangerous Schools as required under the Unsafe School Choice Option (USCO) described in Section 8532 of ESSA.

[^2]
## Montgomery ISD

2018-2019 Report on Violent or Criminal Incidents by Campus

For information concerning school violence prevention and violence intervention policies and procedures that the district is using to protect students, please refer to District Goal 2: MISD will vigorously enforce policies and procedures that promote a safe and orderly environment contained in each of the Campus Improvement Plans for all campuses. The Campus Improvement Plans can be found using the following hyperlinks:

Montgomery High School
Lake Creek High School
Montgomery Junior High School
Oak Hills Junior High School
Montgomery Elementary School
Stewart Creek Elementary School
Lone Star Elementary School
Madeley Ranch Elementary School
Keenan Elementary School
Lincoln Elementary School

RONTGOMERY
Independent School District

## MONTGOMERY I.S.D.

2018-2019
Report of 2016-2017 Montgomery High School Graduates' Enrollment and Academic

Performance in Texas Public Higher Education in 2018

## Report of 2016-2017 High School Graduates' Enrollment and Academic Performance in Texas Public Higher Education in FY 2018

Texas statute requires every school district to include, with their performance report, information received under Texas Education Code §51.403(e). This information, provided to districts from the Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board (THECB), reports on student performance in postsecondary institutions during the first year enrolled after graduation from high school.

Student performance is measured by the Grade Point Average (GPA) earned by 2016-2017 high school graduates who attended public four-year and two-year higher education in FY 2018. The data is presented alphabetically for each county, school district and high school. The bookmarks can be used to select the first letter of a county. Then the user can scroll down to the desired county, school district and high school.

For each student, the grade points and college-level semester credit hours earned by a student in fall 2017, spring 2018, and summer 2018 are added together and averaged to determine the GPA. These GPAs are accumulated in a range of five categories from $<2.0$ to $>3.5$. If a GPA could not be calculated for some reason, that student is placed in the "Unknown" column. GPA data is only available for students attending public higher education institutions in Texas. If a high school has fewer than five students attending four-year or two-year public higher education institutions, the number of students is shown but no GPA breakout is given. If a student attended both a four-year and a two-year institution in FY 2018, the student's GPA is shown in the type of institution where the most semester credit hours were earned.

The number of students located at Texas independent institutions is presented. Also shown are "not trackable" graduates, those with non-standard ID numbers that cannot be used to match student identifiers at Texas higher education institutions. "Not found" graduates have standard ID numbers but were not located in FY 2018 at Texas higher education institutions. They might have enrolled in higher education outside of Texas.

No data is given for high schools with 25 or fewer graduates.
Because the statute calls for data on the first year enrolled after graduation, the level of the institution attended by students in this report may not match that given in THECB's high school to college report at http://www.txhighereddata.org/Interactive/HSCollLink. That report shows where students attended in the fall semester after their high school graduation year. This report attributes students to the level of institution where they earned the most semester credit hours during the whole academic year, not just the fall semester.

Please note: In May 2012 the 2006-2007, 2007-2008, and 2008-2009 versions of the Report of High School Graduates' Enrollment and Academic Performance in Texas Public Higher Education were updated to reflect a minor correction in how the GPA data are distributed across ranges.

Texas High School Graduates from FY2017
Enrolled in Texas Public or Independent Higher Education in FY 2018
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Glossary for the Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR)

## Comprehensive Glossary

## 2018-19 Texas Academic Performance Report

## Cover Page

2019 Accountability Rating: The overall rating earned by the district or campus for 2019.
2019 Special Education Determination Status (district TAPR only): This label represents an integrated determination status based on an evaluation of each district's Results Driven Accountability (RDA) indicators (formerly Performance Based Monitoring Analysis indicators) in the special education program area; the State Performance Plan (SPP) compliance indicators 9, 10, 11,12 , and 13; data integrity; uncorrected noncompliance; and audit findings. Districts receive one of four special education determination statuses:
Meets Requirements
Needs Assistance
Needs Intervention
Needs Substantial Intervention
For more information, see the special education intervention guidance and resources documents at the following link: https://tea.texas.gov/si/SPEDmonitoring/

Additional resources include the RDA Manual and the State Performance Plan at the following links: https://tea.texas.gov/academics/special-student-populations/review-and-support/results-driven-accountability-rda
https://tea.texas.gov/Reports_and_Data/Data_Submission/State_Performance_Plan/State_Perform ance_Plan_and_Annual_Performance_Report_and_Requirements/

2019 Armed Services Vocational Aptitude Battery (ASVAB) Test (Career Exploration) (district TAPR only): Senate Bill 1843 requires that each school year, each school district and openenrollment charter school provide students in grades 10-12 the opportunity to take the ASVAB and consult with a military recruiter. There are two types of ASVAB tests; only the ASVAB Career Exploration Program (CEP) was evaluated.
Not Given: The district completed the reporting requirement but did not offer the ASVAB CEP.
Alternate Test Given: The district completed the reporting requirement and did not offer the ASVAB CEP but did offer an alternate test.

## Performance

STAAR (State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness): A comprehensive testing program for public school students in grades 3-8 or high school courses with end-of-course (EOC) assessments. The STAAR program is designed to measure to what extent a student has learned, understood, and is able to apply the concepts and skills expected at each grade level or after completing each course for which an EOC assessment exists. Each STAAR assessment is linked directly to the Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills (TEKS). The TEKS are the state-mandated content standards that describe what a student should know and be able to do upon completion of a course. For more information on the TEKS, see the Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills website at http://tea.texas.gov/curriculum/teks/.
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Substitute Assessments. Certain, specific assessments that students may take in place of an EOC assessment. For more information, see the Texas Administrative Code, $\S 101.4002$, at http://ritter.tea.state.tx.us/rules/tac/chapter101/ch101dd.html.

Special Education. STAAR (with and without accommodations) and STAAR Alternate 2 results are included.

Spanish STAAR. All STAAR assessments in grades 3, 4, and 5 are available in both English and Spanish. The TAPR performance includes performance on the Spanish STAAR.
Rounding of STAAR results. STAAR performance shown on the TAPR is rounded to whole numbers. For example, $49.877 \%$ is rounded to $50 \% ; 49.4999 \%$ is rounded to $49 \%$; and $59.5 \%$ is rounded to $60 \%$.

Masking. STAAR performance rates are masked when necessary to comply with FERPA. For more information, see the Explanation of Masking at https://rptsvr1.tea.texas.gov/perfreport/account/2019/masking.html.

## STAAR Performance

The STAAR Performance section of the TAPR displays performance results by grade, subject and performance level for students in the accountability subset, which are students enrolled in the same district/campus on both the snapshot date (TSDS PEIMS October snapshot) and the testing date. The STAAR Performance-All Students section of the TAPR displays STAAR performance by grade, subject, and performance level and includes all students tested, regardless of whether they were in the accountability subset.

## STAAR:

Grade 3 - reading and mathematics
Grade 4 - reading, mathematics, and writing
Grade 5 - reading (first and second administration cumulative), mathematics (first and second administration cumulative), and science

Grade 6 - reading and mathematics
Grade 7 - reading, mathematics, and writing
Grade 8 - reading (first and second administration cumulative), mathematics (first and second administration cumulative), science, and social studies
End-of-Course (EOC):
English I
English II
Algebra I
Biology
U.S. History

STAAR Percentage at Approaches Grade Level or Above. The percentage of assessments that met or exceeded the Approaches Grade Level standard.
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STAAR Percentage at Meets Grade Level or Above. The percentage of assessments that met or exceeded the Meets Grade Level standard.

STAAR Percentage at Masters Grade Level. The percentage of assessments that met the Masters Grade Level standard.

STAAR Performance Rate by Enrolled Grade at Meets Grade Level or Above on Both Reading and Mathematics. The percentage of students who took both the reading and mathematics STAAR and met or exceeded the Meets Grade Level standard on both assessments (excluding EOC assessments).

STAAR Performance Rate by Enrolled Grade at Meets Grade Level or Above on Both Reading and Mathematics Including EOC. The percentage of students who took both the reading and mathematics STAAR or EOC and met or exceeded the Meets Grade Level standard on both assessments.

STAAR Performance Rate by Enrolled Grade at Meets Grade Level or Above on Reading Including EOC. The percentage of students who took the reading STAAR or the English I or II EOC and met or exceeded the Meets Grade Level standard.

STAAR Performance Rate by Enrolled Grade at Meets Grade Level or Above on Mathematics Including EOC. The percentage of students who took the mathematics STAAR or the Algebra I EOC and met or exceeded the Meets Grade Level standard.

## Progress (Academic Growth and STAAR Progress Measure)

School Progress Domain - Academic Growth Score. Growth score awarded in School Progress, Part A: Academic Growth for improving performance year over year as measured by STAAR progress measures and performance levels on STAAR.

STAAR Progress Measure Percent at Expected or Accelerated Growth. The percentage of assessments that met or exceeded the STAAR progress measure expectations. See Chapter 3 of the $\underline{2019}$ Accountability Manual for more information.

STAAR Progress Measure Percent at Accelerated Growth. The percentage of assessments that exceeded the STAAR progress measure expectations. See Chapter 3 of the $\underline{2019}$ Accountability Manual for more information.

Percent of Students Maintaining or Improving Compared to Prior Year Performance Level. The percentage of students that maintained or improved their STAAR performance levels this year in comparison with last year. Students are included in the performance level achieved in the prior year.

## Prior Year and SSI

Progress of Prior-Year Non-Proficient Students: The percentage of students in grades 4-8 who did not reach the satisfactory standard on STAAR (including STAAR Alternate 2) in the prior year but passed the corresponding assessment in the current year. For 2018-19, rates for ELA/reading and mathematics are calculated as follows:

## number of matched grades 4-8 students who did not reach the satisfactory standard in 2018 but passed in 2019

number of matched grades 4-8 students who did not reach the satisfactory standard in 2018
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For 2018-19, students in grades 4-8 included in these measures are those who

- took the spring 2018-19 STAAR (with or without accommodations) or STAAR Alternate 2 in ELA/reading and/or mathematics. This indicator does not include grade 3 assessment takers because that is the first STAAR assessment;
- are part of the 2018-19 accountability subset;
- can be matched to the spring 2017-18 STAAR administration-anywhere in the state-to find their prior year score for ELA/reading and/or mathematics; and
- did not reach the satisfactory standard on the 2017-18 STAAR administration of ELA/reading and/or mathematics.

Student Success Initiative (SSI): Grade-advancement requirements enacted by the 76 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Legislature in 1999 that require students to demonstrate proficiency on the reading and mathematics assessments in grades 5 and 8.

For 2019, the TAPR shows the following for each SSI grade:
(1) Students Meeting Approaches Grade Level Standard on First STAAR Administration: The percentage of students who met the Approaches Grade Level standard during the first administration. It is calculated as follows:

## number of students who met the Approaches Grade Level standard in the first administration

number of students who took the assessment in the first administration
(2) Students Requiring Accelerated Instruction: The percentage of students who did not pass the first administration of the STAAR. It is calculated as follows:
number of students who did not meet the standard in the first administration
number of students who took the assessment in the first administration
(3) STAAR Cumulative Met Standard: The cumulative (and unduplicated) percentage of students who took and passed the assessments in the first and second administrations combined. It is calculated as follows:
number of students who passed the assessment in either of the first two administrations
cumulative number of students who took the assessment in either of the first two administrations
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(4) STAAR Non-Proficient Students Promoted by a Grade Placement Committee (GPC): The percentage of students who did not reach the satisfactory standard on STAAR but were promoted to the next grade level by a grade placement committee. It is calculated as follows:
number of students who did not pass the assessment in the first, second, or third administrations but were promoted to the next grade level

## number of students who did not pass the assessment in the first, second, or

 third administrations(5) STAAR Met Standard (Non-Proficient in Previous Year) Promoted and Retained: The percentage of students who met standard this year but did not meet the satisfactory standard on STAAR in the previous year, disaggregated by promoted or retained.

Promoted to Grade 6 or 9: The percentage of students who passed the STAAR in 2019 who were promoted to grade 6 or 9 . Using grade 5 reading as an example, the calculation is as follows:

## number of students promoted by their GPC who passed grade 6 reading

 STAAR in 2019number of students who were promoted by their GPC and took grade 6 reading STAAR in 2019
Retained in Grade 5 or 8: The percentage of students who passed the STAAR in 2019 who were retained in grade 5 or 8 . Using grade 5 reading as an example, the calculation is as follows:
number of students retained who passed grade 5 reading STAAR in 2019
number of students retained and took grade 5 reading STAAR in 2019

## Bilingual Education/ESL

Bilingual Education (BE): Dual-language program that enables English learners to become competent in listening, speaking, reading, and writing in English through the development of literacy and academic skills in both the primary language and English. This category includes the following:

BE-Trans Early Exit. Bilingual program model that serves students of limited English proficiency. The transitional bilingual/early exit model transfers a student to English-only instruction between two and five years after the student enrolls in school.

BE-Trans Late Exit. Bilingual program model that serves students of limited English proficiency. The transitional bilingual/late exit model transfers a student to English-only instruction between six and seven years after the student enrolls in school.

BE-Dual Two-Way. Biliteracy program model designed to develop fluency and literacy in English and another language. The dual language immersion/two-way model integrates students of limited English proficiency with students proficient in English and transfers a student of limited English proficiency to English-only instruction between six and seven years after the student enrolls in school.
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BE-Dual One-Way. Biliteracy program model designed to develop fluency and literacy in English. The dual language immersion/one-way model serves only students of limited English proficiency and transfers a student to English-only instruction between six and seven years after the student enrolls in school.

English as a Second Language (ESL): An intensive program designed to develop proficiency in listening, speaking, reading, and writing in the English language. This category includes the following:

ESL Content. An English program that serves students of limited English proficiency. The English as a second language/content-based model provides a full-time teacher that gives supplementary instruction for all content areas.

ESL Pull-Out. An English program that serves students of limited English proficiency. The English as a second language/pull-out model provides a part-time teacher to give instruction in English language arts only. A student in an ESL Pull-Out program remains in mainstream instructional arrangements for all other content areas.

Limited English Proficient (LEP): The count and percentage of students whose primary language is other than English and who are in the process of acquiring English. The terms "English language learner," "English learner," and "Limited English Proficient" (LEP) are used interchangeably. This category includes:

LEP No Services. A student identified as limited English proficient who does not receive any bilingual education or English as a second language services.

LEP with Services. A student identified as limited English proficient who receives bilingual education services or English as a second language services.

School Progress Domain—Academic Growth Score: Points earned for results that either maintained performance or earned Expected/Accelerated on the STAAR progress measure. Only includes assessments eligible for a STAAR progress measure.
STAAR Progress Measure Percent at Expected or Accelerated Growth: The percentage of assessments that met or exceeded the STAAR progress measure expectations. See Chapter 3 of the 2019 Accountability Manual for more information.

## Participation

STAAR Participation
The percentage of students who were administered a STAAR assessment, STAAR Alternate 2, Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS), and/or TELPAS Alternate. The details on the participation categories are as follows:

Assessment Participant: 1) number of answer documents with a score code of S, 2) number of STAAR Alternate 2 testers with a score code of $\mathrm{N}, 3$ ) number of substitute assessments, 4) number of A or O reading answer documents with a scored TELPAS or TELPAS Alternate assessment, and 5) number of A or 0 mathematics answer documents with a scored TELPAS or TELPAS alternate assessment for year 1-5 asylee/refugees and students with interrupted formal education (SIFEs)

- Included in Accountability: scored answer documents used in determining the district or campus accountability rating, including substitute assessments with a score code of O
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- Not included in Accountability: answer documents counted as participants but not used in determining the district or campus accountability rating
- Mobile: answer documents were excluded because the students enrolled in the district or campus after the fall TSDS PEIMS submission dates (October 26, 2018, or October 27, 2017, for summer 2018 EOCs)
- Other Exclusions. The following answer documents were excluded from the rating determination:
* Answer documents for students who were tested only on the TELPAS/TELPAS Alternate or TELPAS/TELPAS Alternate plus STAAR assessments with score codes of A or 0 .
* Answer documents of students who are either an English learner who has been in school in the U.S. for one year or an unschooled asylee, unschooled refugee, or SIFE student who has been in school in the U.S. for less than six years.
* Answer documents of STAAR Alternate 2 testers with a score code of N.

Not Tested: answer documents with score codes A or 0

- Absent: answer documents with score code A
- Other: answer documents with score code 0 , except for substitute assessments.

The denominator for participation is the sum of these five categories: Included in Accountability, Mobile, Other Exclusions, and Not Tested (Absent and Other). STAAR Participation Rate is rounded to a whole number. For example, $94.49 \%$ is rounded to $94 \%$. Small values may show as zero: $0.4 \%$ is rounded to $0 \%$, and $0.6 \%$ is rounded to $1 \%$. (Data source: STAAR and TELPAS File)

## Attendance and Graduation

Attendance, Graduation, and Dropout Rates
Attendance Rate: The percentage of days that students were present in 2017-18 based on student attendance for the entire school year. Only students in grades 1-12 are included in the calculation. Attendance is calculated as follows:
total number of days that students in grades 1-12 were present in 2017-18
total number of days that students in grades 1-12 were in membership in 2017-18
This indicator was used in awarding distinction designations in 2019. For a detailed explanation of distinction designations, see Chapter 6 of the 2019 Accountability Manual. (Data source: TSDS PEIMS 42400)

Annual Dropout Rate: The percentage of students who drop out of school during a school year. Annual dropout rates are shown for districts and campuses that serve grades 7-8 and/or 9-12. State law prohibits including a student who meets any of the following criteria from campus and district annual dropout rate calculations:

- Is ordered by a court to attend a high school equivalency certificate program but has not earned a high school equivalency certificate
- Was previously reported to the state as a dropout
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- Was in attendance but not in membership for purposes of average daily attendance (i.e., students for whom school districts are not receiving state Foundation School Program [FSP] funds)
- Was initially enrolled in a school in the United States in any grade 7 through 12 as an unschooled refugee or asylee as defined by TEC §39.027(a-1)
- Attends a district exclusively as a function of having been detained at a county detention facility and is not otherwise a student of the district in which the facility is located or is being provided services by an open-enrollment charter school exclusively as the result of having been detained at the facility
- Is incarcerated in a state jail or federal penitentiary as an adult or as a person certified to stand trial as an adult
- Is a student in a Texas Juvenile Justice Department facility or residential treatment facility served by a Texas public school district
- Is at least 18 years of age as of September 1 and has satisfied the credit requirements for high school graduation; has not completed his or her individualized education program (IEP); and is enrolled and receiving IEP services

Annual Dropout Rate (Gr 7-8). This includes only grades 7 and 8. It is calculated as follows:

## number of dropouts in grades $\mathbf{7}$ and 8 during the 2017-18 school year

# number of students in grades 7 and 8 in attendance at any time during the 2017-18 school year 

Annual Dropout Rate (Gr 9-12). This includes grades 9 through 12. It is calculated as follows: number of dropouts in grades 9-12 during the 2017-18 school year

## number of students in grades 9-12 in attendance at any time during the 2017-18 school year

Both annual dropout rates appear on campus, district, region, and state TAPRs. The state and region annual dropout rates that are reported on district and campus TAPRs, however, are calculated without the exclusions required for campus and district calculations.

Note that with all annual dropout rate calculations, a cumulative count of students is used in the denominator. This method for calculating the dropout rate neutralizes the effect of mobility by including in the denominator every student ever reported in attendance at the district or campus throughout the school year, regardless of length of enrollment. For a more complete description of dropout rates and exclusions, see the Secondary School Completion and Dropouts in Texas Public Schools, 2017-18 reports, available on the TEA website at http://tea.texas.gov/acctres/dropcomp index.html.

For detailed information on data sources, see Appendix H in the 2019 Accountability Manual (Data source: TSDS PEIMS 40203, 40110, 42400, and 42500)

Longitudinal Rates: The status of a group (cohort) of students after four years in high school (4Year Longitudinal Rate), after five years in high school (5-Year Extended Longitudinal Rate), or after six years in high school (6-Year Extended Longitudinal Rate).
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For the 4-Year Longitudinal Rate, the cohort consists of students who first attended ninth grade in 2014-15. They are followed through their expected graduation with the Class of 2018.

For the 5-Year Extended Longitudinal Rate, the cohort consists of students who first attended ninth grade in 2013-14. They are followed for five years and included if they graduated within a year after their expected graduation with the Class of 2017.
For the 6-Year Extended Longitudinal Rate, the cohort consists of students who first attended ninth grade in 2012-13. They are followed for six years and included if they graduated within two years after their expected graduation with the Class of 2016.
Additional Information on Cohorts:
A student transfers into a campus, district, or state cohort when he or she moves into the cohort from another high school in Texas, from another district in Texas, or from out of state.

A student transfers out of a campus or district cohort when he or she moves to another public high school in Texas or moves to another district in Texas. Note that these students are transferred into the cohort of the high school or district to which they moved. There are also students who move out of state or out of the country and students who transfer to private schools or who are home-schooled. These types of transfer students cannot be tracked and are not included in longitudinal rate calculations.

A student does not change cohorts if he or she repeats or skips a grade. A student who begins with the 2014-15 ninth-grade cohort remains with that cohort. A student who started the ninth grade in 2014-15 but takes 5 years to graduate (i.e., graduates in May 2019) is still part of the 2018 cohort; he or she is not switched to the 2019 cohort. This student would be considered a continuing student and counted as part of the Continued HS number for the Class of 2018. This is also true for the five-year and six-year extended longitudinal cohorts.

There are four student outcomes used in computing each longitudinal rate:

## 4-Year Longitudinal Rate

(1) Graduated: The percentage who received their high school diploma in four years or fewer by August 31, 2018 for the 2018 cohort.
number of students from the cohort who received a high school diploma by
August 31, 2018
number of students in the 2018 cohort*
(2) Received TxCHSE: For the 2018 cohort, the percentage who received a Texas high school equivalency certificate by August 31, 2018. It is calculated as follows:
number of students from the cohort who received a TxCHSE by August 31, 2018 number of students in the 2018 cohort*

## Comprehensive Glossary

## 2018-19 Texas Academic Performance Report

(3) Continued High School: The percentage of the 2018 cohort still enrolled as students in the fall of the 2018-19 school year. It is calculated as follows:
number of students from the cohort who were enrolled in the fall of the 2018-19 school year
number of students in the 2018 cohort*
(4) Dropped Out: The percentage of the 2018 cohort who dropped out and did not return by the fall of the 2018-19 school year. It is calculated as follows:
number of students from the cohort who dropped out before fall of the 2018-19 school year
number of students in the 2018 cohort*
(5) Graduates \& TxCHSE: The percentage of graduates and TxCHSE recipients in the 2018 cohort. It is calculated as follows:
number of students from the 2018 cohort who received a high school diploma by
August 31, 2018 plus number of students from the cohort who received a TxCHSE by August 31, 2018
number of students in the 2018 cohort*
(6) Graduates, TxCHSE \& Continuers: The percentage of graduates, TxCHSE recipients, and continuers in the 2018 cohort. It is calculated as follows:
number of students from the cohort who received a high school diploma by August 31, 2018 plus
number of students from the cohort who received a TxCHSE by August 31, 2018 plus number of students from the cohort who were enrolled in the fall of the 2018-19 school year
number of students in the 2018 cohort*

## 5-Year Extended Longitudinal Rate

(1) Graduated: The percentage who received their high school diploma by August 31, 2018, for the 2017 cohort. It is calculated as follows:
number of students from the cohort who received a high school diploma by August 31, 2018
number of students in the 2017 cohort*

## Comprehensive Glossary <br> 2018-19 Texas Academic Performance Report

(2) Received TxCHSE: For the 2017 cohort, the percentage who received a TxCHSE certificate by August 31, 2018. It is calculated as follows:
number of students from the cohort who received a TxCHSE by August 31, 2018
number of students in the 2017 cohort*
(3) Continued High School: The percentage of the 2017 cohort still enrolled as students in the fall of the 2018-19 school year. It is calculated as follows:
number of students from the cohort who were enrolled in the fall of the 2018-19 school year

## number of students in the 2017 cohort*

(4) Dropped Out: The percentage of the 2017 cohort who dropped out and did not return by the fall of the 2018-19 school year. It is calculated as follows:
number of students from the cohort who dropped out before fall of the 2018-19 school year
number of students in the 2017 cohort*
(5) Graduates \& TxCHSE: The percentage of graduates and TxCHSE recipients in the 2017 cohort. It is calculated as follows:
number of students from the cohort who received a high school diploma by August 31, 2018 plus
number of students from the cohort who received a TxCHSE by August 31, 2018
number of students in the 2017 cohort*
(6) Graduates, TxCHSE \& Continuers: The percentage of graduates, TxCHSE recipients, and continuers in the 2017 cohort. It is calculated as follows:
number of students from the cohort who received a high school diploma by August 31, 2018 plus
number of students from the cohort who received a TxCHSE by August 31, 2018 plus
number of students from the cohort who were enrolled in the fall of the 2018-19 school year
number of students in the 2017 cohort*
6-year Extended Longitudinal Rate
(1) Graduated: The percentage who received their high school diploma by August 31, 2018, for the 2016 cohort. It is calculated as follows:

## Comprehensive Glossary <br> 2018-19 Texas Academic Performance Report

number of students from the cohort who received a high school diploma by August 31, 2018
number of students in the 2016 cohort*
(2) Received TxCHSE: For the 2016 cohort, the percentage who received a TxCHSE certificate by August 31, 2018. It is calculated as follows:
number of students from the cohort who received a TxCHSE by August 31, 2018 number of students in the 2016 cohort*
(3) Continued High School: The percentage of the 2016 cohort still enrolled as students in the fall of the 2018-19 school year. It is calculated as follows:
number of students from the cohort who were enrolled in the fall of the 2018-19 school year number of students in the 2016 cohort*
(4) Dropped Out: The percentage of the 2016 cohort who dropped out and did not return by the fall of the 2018-19 school year. It is calculated as follows:
number of students from the cohort who dropped out before fall of the 2018-19 school year number of students in the 2016 cohort*
(5) Graduates \& TxCHSE. The percentage of graduates and TxCHSE recipients in the 2016 cohort. It is calculated as follows:
number of students from the cohort who received a high school diploma by August 31, 2018 plus
number of students from the cohort who received a TxCHSE by August 31, 2018
number of students in the 2016 cohort*
(6) Graduates, TxCHSE \& Continuers. The percentage of graduates, TxCHSE recipients, and continuers in the 2016 cohort. It is calculated as follows:
number of students from the cohort who received a high school diploma by August 31, 2018 plus
number of students from the cohort who received a TxCHSE by August 31, 2018 plus number of students from the cohort who were enrolled in the fall of the 2018-19 school year number of students in the 2016 cohort*

* The cohort in the denominator of the formulas shown above includes those students who graduated, continued in school, received a TxCHSE, or dropped out. It does not include data
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errors or leavers with the leaver reason codes $03,16,24,60,66,78,81,82,83,85,86,87$, 88, 89 or 90 . See Annual Dropout Rate for a list of all the exclusions mandated by state statute for districts and campuses.

The graduation, continuation, TxCHSE recipient, and dropout rates sum to $100 \%$ (some totals may not equal exactly $100 \%$ due to rounding). Students served through special education who graduate with an individualized education program (IEP) are included as graduates.

Additional Information about Federal Graduation Rates
In addition to the detailed breakdown of the four-, five- and six-year longitudinal rates, the district and campus TAPRs show federal graduation rates for the following:
(1) 4-Year Federal Graduation Rate. Cohort of students who first attended ninth grade in 201415. They are followed through their expected graduation with the Class of 2018. It is calculated as follows:
number of students from the cohort who received a high school diploma by August 31, 2018
number of students in the 2018 cohort **
(2) 5-Year Extended Federal Graduation Rate. Cohort of students who first attended ninth grade in 2013-14. They are followed for five years to see if they graduated within a year after their expected graduation with the Class of 2017. It is calculated as follows:
number of students from the cohort who received a high school diploma by August 31, 2018

## number of students in the 2017 cohort**

(3) 6-Year Extended Federal Graduation Rate. Cohort of students who first attended ninth grade in 2012-13. They are followed for six years to see if they graduated within two years after their expected graduation with the Class of 2016. It is calculated as follows:
number of students from the cohort who received a high school diploma by August 31, 2018 number of students in the 2016 cohort**
** The cohort in the denominator above includes those students who graduated, continued in school, received a TxCHSE, or dropped out. It does not include data errors or leavers with leaver reason codes $03,16,24,60,66,78,81,82,83,85,86,87$, or 90 . Students with leaver codes 88 and 89 are included in the federal rates.

A student in a Texas Juvenile Justice Department facility or residential treatment facility served by a Texas public school district is excluded from district and campus graduation rates calculated for federal accountability purposes. Students served by special education who graduate with an individualized education program (IEP) are included as graduates.

For further information on these rates, see the report Secondary School Completion and Dropouts in Texas Public Schools, 2017-18. (Data source: TSDS PEIMS 40203 and Texas Certificate of High School Equivalency Information File)
Graduation Program: The percentage of students who graduated under one of the following programs:
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RHSP/DAP Graduates (Longitudinal Rate) (Class of 2018) The percentage of graduates who, after four years, satisfied the course requirements for the Recommended High School Program or Distinguished Achievement Program.
number of graduates in the Class of 2018 who complete a 4-year RHSP or DAP
number of graduates in the Class of 2018 with reported graduation plans (excludes graduates with FHSP degree plans)

FHSP-E Graduates (Longitudinal Rate) (Class of 2018) The percentage of graduates who, after four years, satisfied the course requirements for the Foundation High School Program with an endorsement.
number of graduates in the Class of 2018 who complete a 4-year FHSP-E
number of graduates in the Class of 2018 with reported FHSP graduation plans

FHSP-DLA Graduates (Longitudinal Rate) (Class of 2018) The percentage of graduates who, after four years, satisfied the course requirements for the Foundation High School Program at the distinguished level of achievement.
number of graduates in the Class of 2018 who complete a 4-year FHSP-DLA
number of graduates in the Class of 2018 with reported FHSP graduation plans

RHSP/DAP/FHSP-E/FHSP-DLA Graduates (Longitudinal Rate) (Class of 2018) The percentage of graduates who, after four years, satisfied the course requirements for the Recommended High School Program, Distinguished Achievement Program, or the Foundation High School Program with an endorsement or at the distinguished level of achievement.
number of graduates from the Class of 2018 who complete a 4-year RHSP or DAP or FHSP-E or FHSP-DLA
number of graduates in the Class of 2018 with reported graduation plans

RHSP/DAP Graduates (Annual Rate) (2017-18) The percentage of graduates in 2018 who satisfied the course requirements for the Recommended High School Program or Distinguished Achievement Program.
number of graduates in SY 2017-18 reported with graduation codes for RHSP or DAP
number of graduates in SY 2017-18 with reported graduation plans (excludes graduates with FHSP degree plans)

FHSP-E Graduates (Annual Rate) (2017-18) The percentage of graduates in 2018 who satisfied the course requirements for the Foundation High School Program with an endorsement.
number of graduates in SY 2017-18 who earn an FHSP-E

## Comprehensive Glossary <br> 2018-19 Texas Academic Performance Report

number of graduates in SY 2017-18 with reported FHSP graduation plans

FHSP-DLA Graduates (Annual Rate) (2017-18) The percentage of graduates in 2018 who satisfied the course requirements for the Foundation High School Program at the distinguished level of achievement.
number of graduates in SY 2017-18 who earn an FHSP-DLA
number of graduates in school year (SY) 2017-18 with reported FHSP graduation plans

RHSP/DAP/FHSP-E/FHSP-DLA Graduates (Annual Rate) (2017-18) The percentage of graduates in 2018 who satisfied the course requirements for the Recommended High School Program, Distinguished Achievement Program, or at the Foundation High School Program with an endorsement or the distinguished level of achievement.

## number of graduates in SY 2017-18 reported with graduation codes for RHSP or DAP or FHSP-E or FHSP-DLA

## number of graduates in SY 2017-18 with reported graduation plans

RHSP graduates have graduation type codes of $19,22,25,28$, or 31 ; DAP graduates have graduation type codes of $20,23,26,29$, or 32 ; FHSP graduates are students with graduation type codes of 34 , $54,55,56$, or 57 . FHSP graduates with code type 35 are ineligible for endorsements and are excluded. See the Texas Education Data Standards for more information. Results are shown for the Class of 2017 and the Class of 2018. (Data source: TSDS PEIMS 40203)

For additional information about graduation programs please see
https://tea.texas.gov/Academics/Graduation Information/State Graduation Requirements.

## Graduation Profile

Annual Graduates: The count and percentage of students who graduate at some time during the school year. It includes summer graduates and is reported by districts in the fall of the following school year. It includes all students in grade 12 who graduated, as well as graduates from other grades. Students served by special education who graduate are included in the totals. Counts of students graduating under the following graduation types in 2017-18 are also shown:

- Minimum High School Program (MHSP)
- Recommended High School Program (RHSP)
- Distinguished Achievement Program (DAP)
- Foundation High School Program (FHSP)
(Data source: TSDS PEIMS 40203)
Special Education: The population of students served by special education programs. (Data source: TSDS PEIMS 41163)
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Economically Disadvantaged: The count and percentage of students eligible for free or reducedprice lunch or eligible for other public assistance. (Data source: TSDS PEIMS 40100 and STAAR)

## number of students eligible for free or reduced-price lunch or other public assistance

## total number of students

Limited English Proficient (LEP): The count and percentage of students whose primary language is other than English and who are in the process of acquiring English. The terms "English learner" and "Limited English Proficient" (LEP) are used interchangeably. (Data source: TSDS PEIMS 40110)
At-Risk: The count and percentage of students identified as being at risk of dropping out of school as defined by TEC $\$ 29.081$ (d) and (d-1). (Data source: TSDS PEIMS 40100)
number of students in the 2017-18 school year considered as at risk
total number of students

## Postsecondary Readiness <br> College, Career, or Military Readiness (CCMR)

Annual graduates demonstrate college, career, or military readiness in any one of the following ways:

## College Readiness

1) Texas Success Initiative (TSI) Criteria: A graduate meeting the TSI college readiness standards in both ELA/reading and mathematics; specifically, meeting the college-ready criteria on the TSI assessment, SAT, ACT, or by successfully completing and earning credit for a college prep course as defined in TEC §28.014, in both ELA and mathematics. (Data source: TSDS PEIMS 43415, THECB, College Board, and ACT, Inc.)
2) Earn Dual Course Credits: A graduate completing nine or more hours of postsecondary credit in any subject or three or more hours of ELA or math. (Data source: TSDS PEIMS 43415)
3) Meet Criteria on Advanced Placement (AP)/International Baccalaureate (IB) Examination: A graduate meeting the criterion score on an AP or IB examination in any subject area. Criterion score is 3 or more for AP and 4 or more for IB. (Data source: College Board or IB)
4) Earn an Associate's Degree: A graduate earning an associate's degree while in high school. (Data source: TSDS PEIMS 40100/49010)
5) OnRamps Course Credits: A graduate completing an OnRamps course and receiving at least three hours of university or college credit in any subject area. (Data source: OnRamps program)
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Career/Military Readiness
6) Earn an Industry-Based Certification: A graduate earning an industry-based certification under 19 TAC §74.1003. (Data source: TSDS PEIMS 48011)
7) Graduate with Completed IEP and Workforce Readiness: A graduate receiving a graduation type code of $04,05,54$, or 55 which indicates the student has completed his/her IEP and has either demonstrated self-employment with self-help skills to maintain employment or has demonstrated mastery of specific employability and selfhelp skills that do not require public school services. (Data source: TSDS PEIMS 40203)
8) CTE Coherent Sequence Coursework Aligned with Industry-Based Certifications: A CTE coherent sequence graduate who has completed and received credit for at least one CTE course aligned with an industry-based certification. This indicator awards one-half point only for graduates who have met no other CCMR indicator. These graduates receive one-half point credit for coursework completed toward an industry-based certification. The list of CTE courses aligned with industry-based certifications is provided in Chapter 2 of the 2019 Accountability Manual. (Data source: TSDS PEIMS 43415 and 40110 [summer])
9) Enlist in the Armed Forces: A graduate enlisting in the U.S. Army, Navy, Air Force, Coast Guard, or Marines. (Data source: TSDS PEIMS 40203)
10) Current Special Education Students with Advanced Degree Plans: A graduate who graduates under an advanced degree plan and is identified as a current special education student (Data source: TSDS PEIMS 40203 and 40110)
11) Graduate with Level I or Level II Certificate: A graduate earning a Level I or Level II certificate in any workforce education area. (Data source: THECB)

## College, Career, or Military Ready Graduates

College, Career, or Military Ready (Student Achievement): The percentage of annual graduates who demonstrated college, career, or military readiness by meeting at least one of the eleven criteria described in College, Career, or Military Readiness.

Only College Ready: The percentage of annual graduates who demonstrated only college readiness by meeting college ready criteria $1,2,3,4$, or 5 but did not meet any of the career and military ready criteria 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, or 11 described in College, Career, or Military Readiness.

Only Career/Military Ready: The percentage of annual graduates who demonstrated only career or military readiness by meeting career or military ready criteria $6,7,8,9,10$, or 11 but did not meet any of the college ready criteria $1,2,3,4$, and 5 described in College, Career, or Military Readiness.

College Ready and Career/Military Ready: The percentage of annual graduates who demonstrated college and career/military readiness by meeting college ready criteria $1,2,3,4$, or 5 and career or military ready criteria $6,7,8,9,10$, or 11 described in College, Career, or Military Readiness.

## College Ready Graduates

College Ready: The percentage of annual graduates who demonstrated college readiness by meeting criteria 1, 2, 3, 4, or 5 described in College, Career, or Military Readiness. This percentage includes graduates who may have met career or military ready criteria $6,7,8,9,10$, or 11. (Data source: TSDS PEIMS 43415, THECB, College Board, ACT, IB, and TSDS PEIMS 49010)
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TSI Criteria Graduates: The percentage of annual graduates who met or exceeded the collegeready criteria on the Texas Success Initiative Assessment (TSIA), the SAT, ACT, or by successfully completing and earning credit for a college prep course as defined in TEC §28.014, in both ELA and mathematics. The criteria for each are as follows:

| TSI Criteria |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TSIA |  | SAT* |  | ACT |  | College Prep Course |
| $\begin{gathered} >=351 \text { on } \\ \text { Reading } \end{gathered}$ | or | >=480 on the <br> Evidence-Based Reading and Writing (EBRW) | or | $>=19 \text { on }$ <br> English and $>=23$ <br> Composite | or | Complete and earn credit for ELA college prep course |
| $>=350 \text { on }$ <br> Mathematics | or | $>=530 \text { on }$ <br> Mathematics | or | $>=19 \mathrm{on}$ <br> Mathematics <br> and $>=23$ <br> Composite | or | Complete and earn credit for mathematics college prep course |

* For the small percentage of students who took the SAT examination prior to March 2016, their scores were converted to corresponding scores on the redesigned SAT using College Board's concordance tables.

The percentages are calculated as follows:

## English Language Arts.

number of graduates who met or exceeded the college-ready criteria on the TSIA, SAT, ACT, or by successfully completing and earning credit for a college prep course in ELA in 2017-18
number of 2017-18 annual graduates

## Mathematics.

number of graduates who met or exceeded the college-ready criteria on the TSIA, SAT, ACT, or by successfully completing and earning credit for a college prep course in mathematics in 2017-18
number of 2017-18 annual graduates

## Both Subjects.

number of graduates who met or exceeded the college-ready criteria on the TSIA, SAT, ACT, or by successfully completing and earning credit for a college prep course in both ELA and mathematics in 2017-18
number of 2017-18 annual graduates

## Either Subject.

number of graduates who met or exceeded the college-ready criteria on the TSIA, SAT, ACT, or by successfully completing and earning credit for a college prep course in ELA or mathematics in 2017-18
number of 2017-18 annual graduates
Dual Course Credits: The percentage of annual graduates who completed and earned credit for nine or more hours of dual credit in any subject or three or more hours in ELA or mathematics (Data source: TSDS PEIMS 43415)

# Comprehensive Glossary 

## 2018-19 Texas Academic Performance Report

number of 2017-18 annual graduates who completed and earned credit for nine or more hours of dual credit in any subject or three or more hours in ELA or mathematics
number of 2017-18 annual graduates

AP/IB Criteria Met in Any Subject: The percentage of annual graduates who earned a 3 or more on an AP examination or a 4 or more on an IB examination. (Data source: College Board and IB)
number of 2017-18 annual graduates who earned a 3 or more on an AP examination or a 4 or more on an IB examination
number of 2017-18 annual graduates
Associate's Degree: The percentage of annual graduates who earned an associate's degree before graduation. (Data source: TSDS PEIMS 40100)
number of 2017-18 annual graduates who earned an associate's degree before graduation
number of 2017-18 annual graduates
Associate's Degree but not Career/Military Ready: The percentage of annual graduates who met associate's degree criteria 4, but did not meet career or military ready criteria $6,7,8,9,10$, or 11 described in College, Career, or Military Readiness.
Associate's Degree and Career/Military Ready: The percentage of annual graduates who met associate's degree criteria 4 and career or military ready criteria $6,7,8,9,10$, or 11 described in College, Career, or Military Readiness.

OnRamps Course Credits: The percentage of annual graduates who completed an OnRamps course and qualified for three hours of university or college credit (Data source: OnRamps program)
number of 2017-18 annual graduates who completed an OnRamps course and qualified for three hours of college credit before graduation
number of 2017-18 annual graduates

## Career/Military Ready Graduates

Career or Military Ready Graduates: The percentage of annual graduates who demonstrated career or military readiness by meeting criteria $6,7,8,9,10$, or 11 described in College, Career, or Military Readiness. This percentage includes graduates who may have met college ready criteria 1, 2, 3, 4, or 5 .

Approved Industry-Based Certification: The percentage of annual graduates who earned an approved industry-based certification. For additional information, see Chapter 2 of the $\underline{2019}$ Accountability Manual. (Data source: TSDS PEIMS 48011)
number of 2017-18 annual graduates who earned an approved industry-based certification
number of 2017-18 annual graduates
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Graduate with Completed IEP and Workforce Readiness: The percentage of annual graduates who received a graduation type code of $04,05,54$, or 55 . For additional information, see Chapter 2 of the 2019 Accountability Manual. (Data source: TSDS PEIMS 40203)
number of 2017-18 annual graduates who received a graduation type code of 04, 05, 54, or 55
number of 2017-18 annual graduates
CTE Coherent Sequence Coursework Aligned with Industry-Based Certifications: The percentage of annual graduates who were enrolled in a coherent sequence of CTE courses and completed and earned credit for coursework aligned with approved industry-based certifications. This indicator is different from the accountability College, Career, or Military Readiness (CCMR) indicator; all graduates are included regardless of whether they met other CCMR indicators. (Data source: TSDS PEIMS 43415 and 40110)
number of 2017-18 annual graduates who were enrolled in a coherent sequence of CTE courses and completed and earned credit for coursework aligned with approved industry-based certifications
number of 2017-18 annual graduates
U.S. Armed Forces Enlistment: The percentage of annual graduates who were reported as intending to enlist in or enlisting in the U.S. Armed Forces. (Data source: TSDS PEIMS 40203)
number of 2017-18 annual graduates who were reported as intending to enlist in or enlisting in the U.S. Armed Forces
number of 2017-18 annual graduates
Current Special Education Students with Advanced Degree Plans: The percentage of annual graduates under an advanced degree plan and identified as a current special education student (Data source: TSDS PEIMS 40203 and 40110)
number of 2017-18 annual graduates who graduated under an advanced degree plan and were identified as a current special education student
number of 2017-18 annual graduates
Graduate with Level I or Level II Certificate: The percentage of annual graduates who earned a Level I or Level II certificate (Data source: THECB)
number of 2017-18 annual graduates who earned a Level I or Level II certificate
number of 2017-18 annual graduates
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Texas Success Initiative Assessment (TSIA): Participation (Annual Graduates): The percentage of annual graduates who took the College Board’s TSIA at any point since June 2011. (Data source: THECB)
number of 2017-18 annual graduates who took the TSIA
number of 2017-18 annual graduates
TSIA Average Score (Annual Graduates): The average score of annual graduates on the TSIA. The maximum score for reading is 390 , and the maximum score for mathematics is 390 .

## Reading

sum of total reading scores of all annual graduates who took the TSIA
number of annual graduates who took the reading portion of the TSIA

## Mathematics

sum of total mathematics scores of all annual graduates who took the TSIA
number of annual graduates who took the mathematics portion of the TSIA
TSIA Results (Graduates >= Criterion) (Annual Graduates): The percentage of annual graduates who met the TSI criteria on the TSIA (Data source: THECB and TSDS PEIMS 40203)

Percentages are calculated and shown for reading and mathematics together and separately.
number of 2017-18 annual graduates who met the TSI criteria on the TSIA
number of 2017-18 annual graduates
CTE Coherent Sequence (Annual Graduates): The percentage of annual graduates enrolled in a coherent sequence of career and technical education (CTE) courses as part of a four-year plan of study. (Data source: TSDS PEIMS 40100, 40203, and 42400)
number of 2017-18 annual graduates who were enrolled in a CTE-coherent sequence of courses as part of a fouryear plan of study to take two or more CTE courses for three or more credits
number of 2017-18 annual graduates
Completed and Received Credit for College Prep Courses (Annual Graduates): The percentage of annual graduates who completed and earned credit for a college prep course as defined in TEC §28.014 in either ELA or mathematics or both. (Data source: TSDS PEIMS 43415)

## English Language Arts.

number of 2017-18 annual graduates who completed and earned credit for a college prep course as defined in TEC §28.014 in ELA
number of 2017-18 annual graduates
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## Mathematics.

number of 2017-18 annual graduates who completed and earned credit for a college prep course as defined in TEC §28.014 in mathematics
number of 2017-18 annual graduates
Both Subjects.
number of 2017-18 annual graduates who completed and earned credit for a college prep course as defined in TEC §28.014 in ELA and mathematics
number of 2017-18 annual graduates
AP/IB Course Completion (Annual Graduates): The percentage of annual graduates who completed and earned credit for at least one Advanced Placement (AP) course or International Baccalaureate (IB) course in the 2014-15 to 2017-18 school years. (Data source:TSDS PIEMS 43415)
number of 2017-18 annual graduates who completed and earned credit for at least one AP or IB course in the 2014-15 to 2017-18 school years
number of 2017-18 annual graduates
AP/IB Results (Participation) (Grades 11-12): The percentage of students in grades 11 and 12 who took the College Board's Advanced Placement (AP) examinations or the International Baccalaureate's (IB) Diploma Program examinations. (Data source: College Board and IB)

## All Subjects

Number of students in grades $11 \& 12$ in the 2017-18 school year who took at least one AP or IB examination
total students enrolled in grades 11 \& 12

## English Language Arts

number of students in grades $11 \& 12$ in the 2017-18 school year who took at least one AP or IB examination in ELA
total students enrolled in grades 11 \& 12

## Mathematics

number of students in grade 11 \& 12 in the 2017-18 school year who took at least one AP or IB examination in mathematics
total students enrolled in grades 11 \& 12

## Science

number of students in grade $11 \& 12$ in the 2017-18 school year who took at least one AP or IB examination in science
total students enrolled in grades 11 \& 12
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Number of students in grade 11 \& 12 in the 2017-18 school year who took at least one AP or IB examination in social studies
total students enrolled in grades 11 \& 12
These indicators were used in determining the 2019 distinction designations for campuses and districts. For a detailed explanation of distinction designations, see Chapter 6 of the 2019 Accountability Manual. (Data source: College Board, IB, and TSDS PEIMS 40110)
AP/IB Results (Examinees >= Criterion) (Grades 11-12): The percentage of students with at least one AP or IB examination in grades 11 and 12 at or above the criterion score. High school students may take one or more of these examinations, ideally upon completion of AP or IB courses, and may receive advanced placement or credit, or both, upon entering college. Generally, colleges will award credit or advanced placement for scores of 3,4 , or 5 on AP examinations and scores of 4, 5,6 , or 7 on IB examinations. Requirements vary by college and by subject tested. (Data source: College Board and IB)

## All Subjects

number of 11th and 12th graders in 2017-18 with at least one AP or IB score at or above criterion
number of 11th and 12th graders with at least one AP or IB examination
English Language Arts
number of 11th and 12th graders in 2017-18 with at least one AP or IB score at or above criterion in ELA
number of 11th and 12th graders with at least one AP or IB examination in ELA

## Mathematics

number of 11th and 12th graders in 2017-18 with at least one AP or IB score at or above criterion in mathematics
number of 11th and 12th graders with at least one AP or IB examination in mathematics

## Science

number of 11th and 12th graders in 2017-18 with at least one AP or IB score at or above criterion in science
number of 11th and 12th graders with at least one AP or IB examination in science

## Social Studies

number of 11th and 12th graders in 2017-18 with at least one AP or IB score at or above criterion in social studies
number of 11th and 12th graders with at least one AP or IB examination in social studies

These indicators were used in determining the 2019 distinction designations for campuses. For a detailed explanation of distinction designations, see Chapter 6 of the 2019 Accountability Manual. (Data source: The College Board, The International Baccalaureate Organization, and TSDS PEIMS 40110)

AP/IB Results ( $\mathbf{1 1}^{\text {th }} \boldsymbol{\&} \mathbf{1 2}^{\text {th }}$ Graders $>=$ Criterion): The percentage of students enrolled in grades 11 and 12 with at least one AP or IB score at or above the criterion score. This denominator includes students enrolled in grades 11 and 12 who did not take AP or IB examination. High school
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students may take one or more of these examinations, ideally upon completion of AP or IB courses, and may receive advanced placement or credit, or both, upon entering college. Generally, colleges will award credit or advanced placement for scores of 3,4 , or 5 on AP examinations and scores of 4 , 5,6 , or 7 on IB examinations. Requirements vary by college and by subject tested. (Data source: College Board and IB)

## All Subjects

number of $11^{\text {th }}$ and $12^{\text {th }}$ graders in 2017-18 with at least one AP or IB score at or above criterion

## total students enrolled in $11^{\text {th }}$ and $12^{\text {th }}$ grades

SAT/ACT Results (Annual Graduates): Participation and performance of annual graduates from all Texas public schools on the College Board's SAT and ACT, Inc.'s ACT assessment. ACT and SAT scores are based on each student's highest section scores across all exams taken, and the SAT total and ACT composite scores are calculated using the highest section scores. For the class of 2017 and previous years, the scores were based on each student's most recent examination. Because of the change to using highest score, only the class of 2018 is shown.
(1) Tested: The percentage of graduates who took either college admissions assessment:

> number of 2017-18 graduates who took either the SAT or the ACT
number of 2017-18 graduates reported
(2) At/Above Criterion: The percentage of examinees who scored at or above the criterion score of 480 on the SAT evidence-based reading and writing or 19 on ACT English section and 23 composite and 530 on SAT mathematics or 19 on ACT Mathematics section and 23 on the ACT composite:
number of 2017-18 graduating examinees who scored at or above the criterion score on either the SAT or the ACT
number of 2017-18 graduating examinees taking either the SAT or the ACT
(3) At/Above Criterion for All Graduates: The percentage of graduates who scored at or above the criterion score of 480 on the SAT evidence-based reading and writing or 19 on ACT English section and 23 composite and 530 on SAT mathematics or 19 on ACT Mathematics section and 23 on the ACT composite:

## number of 2017-18 graduating examinees who scored at or above the

 criterion score on either the SAT or the ACTnumber of 2017-18 graduates reported
Note: For the small percentage of students who took the SAT examination prior to March 2016, their scores were converted to corresponding scores on the redesigned SAT using College Board's concordance tables. (Data source: College Board and TSDS PEIMS 40203)
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Average SAT Score (Annual Graduates): Performance of annual graduates from all Texas public schools on the College Board's SAT assessment. If a student takes the SAT more than once, the best result by subject area is selected, and the SAT total is calculated as the sum of the highest section scores.
(1) Average SAT Score (All Subjects): The average score for the SAT evidence-based reading and writing and mathematics combined. The maximum score is 1600.
sum of SAT total scores (evidence-based reading and writing + mathematics) of all 2017-18 graduates who took the SAT
number of 2017-18 graduates who took the SAT
(2) Average SAT Score (English Language Arts and Writing): The average score for the SAT evidence-based reading and writing. The maximum score is 800 .
sum of SAT evidence-based reading and writing scores of all 2017-18 graduates who took the SAT
number of 2017-18 graduates who took the SAT
(3) Average SAT Score (Mathematics): The average score for the SAT mathematics. The maximum score is 800 .

> sum of SAT mathematics scores of all 2017-18 graduates who took the SAT
number of 2017-18 graduates who took the SAT
Note: For the small percentage of students who took the SAT examination prior to March 2016, their scores were converted to corresponding scores on the redesigned SAT using College Board's concordance tables. (Data source: College Board and TSDS PEIMS 40203)
Average ACT Score (Annual Graduates): Performance of annual graduates from all Texas public schools on the ACT Inc.'s ACT assessment. If a student takes the ACT more than once, the best result by subject area is selected, and the ACT composite scores is calculated as the average of the highest section scores.
(1) Average ACT Score (All Subjects): The average score for the ACT composite. The maximum score is 36 .
sum of ACT composite scores of all 2017-18 graduates who took the ACT
number of 2017-18 graduates who took the ACT
(2) Average ACT Score (English Language Arts): The average score for the ACT English and Reading combined. The maximum score is 36.
sum of ACT English and Reading combined scores of all 2017-18 graduates who took the ACT
number of 2017-18 graduates who took the ACT
(3) Average ACT Score (Mathematics): The average score for the mathematics ACT. The maximum score is 36 .
sum of ACT mathematics scores of all 2017-18 graduates who took the ACT
number of 2017-18 graduates who took the ACT
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(4) Average ACT Score (Science): The average score for the science ACT. The maximum score is 36.
sum of ACT science scores of all 2017-18 graduates who took the ACT
number of 2017-18 graduaates who took the ACT

OnRamps Course Credits: The percentage of annual graduates who completed an OnRamps course and earned three hours of college credit (Data source: OnRamps program)
number of 2017-18 annual graduates who completed an OnRamps course and earned three hours of college credit before graduation
number of 2017-18 annual graduates
Current Special Education Students with Advanced Degree Plans: The percentage of annual graduates who graduated under an advanced degree plan and were identified as a current special education student (Data source: TSDS PEIMS 40203 and 40110)
number of 2017-18 annual graduates who graduated under an advanced degree plan and were identified as a current special education student
number of 2017-18 annual graduates

## Other Postsecondary Indicators

Advanced/Dual-Credit Course Completion (Grades 11-12): The percentage of students who completed and received credit for at least one advanced or dual-credit course. Decisions about awarding high school credit for college courses are described in Texas Administrative Code §74.25.

Appendix B lists all courses identified as advanced courses. Courses for which a student can earn dual credit are not listed because they vary from campus to campus.

Course completion information is reported by districts through the Texas Student Data System/Public Education Information Management System (TSDS PEIMS) after the close of the school year. For example, the values, expressed as percentages for grades 11-12, are calculated as follows: (Data source: TSDS PEIMS 43415)

Any Subject
number of students in grades 11-12 in 2017-18 who received credit for at least one advanced/dual-credit course
number of students in grades 11-12 who received credit for at least one course in 2017-18

## English Language Arts

number of students in grades 11-12 in 2017-18 who received credit for at least one ELA advanced/dual-credit course
number of students in grades 11-12 who received credit for at least one ELA course in 2017-18

## Mathematics

number of students in grades 11-12 in 2017-18 who received credit for at least one mathematics advanced/dual-credit course
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number of students in grades 11-12 who received credit for at least one mathematics course in 2017-18

## Science

number of students in grades 11-12 in 2017-18 who received credit for at least one science advanced/dual-credit course
number of students in grades 11-12 who received credit for at least one science course in 2017-18

## Social Studies

number of students in grades 11-12 in 2017-18 who received credit for at least one social studies advanced/dual-credit course
number of students in grades 11-12 who received credit for at least one social studies course in 2017-18

This indicator was used in awarding distinction designations to high schools in 2019. For a detailed explanation of distinction designations, see Chapter 6 of the 2019 Accountability Manual. (Data source: TSDS PEIMS 43415)

Advanced/Dual-Credit Course Completion (Grades 9-12): The percentage of students who completed and received credit for at least one advanced or dual-credit course. Decisions about awarding high school credit for college courses are described in Texas Administrative Code §74.25.

Appendix B lists all courses identified as advanced courses. Courses for which a student can earn dual credit are not listed because they vary from campus to campus.

Course completion information is reported by districts through the Texas Student Data System/Public Education Information Management System (TSDS PEIMS) after the close of the school year. For example, the values, expressed as percentages for grades $9-12$, are calculated as follows: (Data source: TSDS PEIMS 43415)

Any Subject
number of students in grades 9-12 in 2017-18 who received credit for at least one advanced/dual-credit course
number of students in grades 9-12 who received credit for at least one course in 2017-18

## English Language Arts

number of students in grades 9-12 in 2017-18 who received credit for at least
one ELA advanced/dual-credit course
number of students in grades 9-12 who received credit for at least one ELA course in 2017-18

## Mathematics

number of students in grades 9-12 in 2017-18 who received credit for at least one mathematics advanced/dual-credit course
number of students in grades 9-12 who received credit for at least one mathematics course in 2017-18

## Science
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number of students in grades 9-12 in 2017-18 who received credit for at least one science advanced/dual-credit course
number of students in grades 9-12 who received credit for at least one science course in 2017-18

## Social Studies

number of students in grades 9-12 in 2017-18 who received credit for at least one social studies advanced/dual-credit course
number of students in grades 9-12 who received credit for at least one social studies course in 2017-18

This indicator w used in awarding distinction designations to high schools in 2019. For a detailed explanation of distinction designations, see Chapter 6 of the 2019 Accountability Manual. (Data source: TSDS PEIMS 43415)

Graduates Enrolled in Texas Institution of Higher Education (TX IHE): The percentage of students who enrolled and began instruction at an institution of higher education in Texas for the school year following high school graduation.
number of graduates during the 2016-17 school year who attended a public or independent college or university in Texas in the 2017-18 academic year
number of graduates during the 2016-17 school year
Students who enrolled in out-of-state colleges or universities or any non-public career school are not included. Students who attend public community colleges in Texas are included.
(Data source: THECB)
Graduates in TX IHE Completing One Year Without Enrollment in a Developmental Education Course: The percentage of students who enrolled and began instruction at an institution of higher education in Texas for the school year following high school graduation and did not require a developmental education course.
number of graduates during the 2016-17 school year who enrolled in a public college or university in Texas for the school year following the year they graduated and
met the Texas Success Initiative requirement in all subject areas (reading, writing, and mathematics)
number of graduates during the 2016-17 school year who enrolled in a public college or university in Texas for the school year following the year they graduated

Students who attended Texas public two- or four-year institutions of higher education are included. Students who enrolled in independent colleges or universities in Texas, out-of-state colleges or universities, or any non-public career school are not included.

Additional reports showing students enrolled in Texas public colleges and universities are available on the Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board (THECB) site at http://www.txhighereddata.org/generatelinks.cfm?Section=HS2Col.

For more information on the data used in this indicator, contact the Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board at (512) 427-6153. (Data source: THECB)
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## Profile

## Student Information

Total Students: The total number of public school students who were reported in membership on October 26, 2018, at any grade from early childhood education through grade 12. Membership differs from enrollment as it does not include those students who are served in the district for less than two hours per day. For example, the count of Total Students excludes students who attend a non-public school but receive some services, such as speech therapy-for less than two hours per day-from their local school district. (Data source: TSDS PEIMS 40110)

Students by Grade: The count of students in each grade divided by the total number of students. (Data source: TSDS PEIMS 40110)

Ethnic Distribution: The number and percentage of students and staff who are identified as belonging to one of the following groups: African American, Hispanic, white, American Indian, Asian, Pacific Islander, and two or more races. (Data source: TSDS PEIMS 40100, 30040, 30050, 30090)

Economically Disadvantaged: The count and percentage of students eligible for free or reducedprice lunch or eligible for other public assistance.

## number of students eligible for free or reduced-price lunch or other public assistance

## total number of students

(Data source: TSDS PEIMS 40100 and TEA Student Assessment Division)
Non-Educationally Disadvantaged: Those students not eligible to participate in free or reducedprice lunch or to receive any other public assistance. This is the complementary count and percentage to Economically Disadvantaged.

Section 504 Students: The count and percentage of students identified as receiving section 504 services.

English Learners (ELs): The count and percentage of students whose primary language is other than English and who are in the process of acquiring English. The terms "English Learner" and "Limited English Proficient" (LEP) are used interchangeably.
Inclusion and exclusion of EL performance varies by indicator:

- EL performance of students who are in year one in U.S. schools is excluded from all STAAR indicators. Exclusion of other EL performance varies, depending on the indicator. For detailed information on the inclusion and exclusion of EL performance, see the 2019 Accountability Manual.
- EL performance is included in all other non-STAAR indicators, regardless of years in U.S. schools.

In the Profile section of the reports, the percentage of ELs is calculated by dividing the number of ELs by the total number of students in the district or campus. Not all students identified as ELs receive bilingual or English as a second language instruction. (Data source: TELPAS file)
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Students with Disciplinary Placements: The count and percentage of students placed in alternative education programs under Chapter 37 of the Texas Education Code (Discipline; Law and Order). Districts report the disciplinary actions taken toward students who are removed from the classroom for at least one day. Although students can have multiple removals throughout the year, this measure counts students only once and includes only those whose removal results in a placement in a disciplinary alternative education program or juvenile justice alternative education program. It is calculated as follows:

## number of students with one or more disciplinary placements

## number of students who were in attendance at any time during the school year

For 2018-19, the following 19 disciplinary action codes are included as disciplinary placements: 02 , $03,04,07,08,10,12,13,14,15,51,52,53,54,55,57,59,60$, and 61 . (Data source: TSDS PEIMS 44425)

Students with Dyslexia: The count and percentage of students identified with Dyslexia.
At-Risk: The count and percentage of students identified as being at risk of dropping out of school as defined by TEC $\$ 29.081(\mathrm{~d})$ and (d-1).
number of students in the 2018-19 school year considered as at risk

## total number of students

(Data source: TSDS PEIMS 40110)
Students with Disabilities by Type of Primary Disability: The count of students disaggregated by primary disability. The TAPR uses five categories of primary disability: Students with Intellectual Disabilities, Students with Physical Disabilities, Students with Autism, Students with Behavioral Disabilities, and Students with Non-Categorical Early Childhood. Additional information is provided below.

Students with Intellectual Disabilities (TSDS PEIMS disability codes $06,08,12,13$ )

- 06—Intellectual Disability (ID)
- 08—Learning Disability (LD)
- 12—Developmental Delay (DD)
- 13-Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI)

Students with Physical Disabilities (TSDS PEIMS disability codes 01, 03, 04, 05, 09)

- 01—Orthopedic Impairment (OI)
- 03-Auditory Impairment (AI)
- 04—Visual Impairment (VI)
- 05—Deaf-Blind (DB)
- 09—Speech Impairment

Students with Autism (TSDS PEIMS disability code 10)
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- 10—Autism (AU)

Students with Behavioral Disabilities (TSDS PEIMS disability codes 02 and 07)

- 02—Other Health Impairment (OHI)
- 07-Emotional Disturbance (ED)

Students with Non-Categorical Early Childhood (TSDS PEIMS disability code 14)

- 14—Noncategorical Early Childhood (NCES)
(Data source: TSDS PEIMS 41163)
Mobility (campus profile only): The count and percentage of students who have been in membership at a campus for less than 83 percent of the school year (i.e., missed six or more weeks).
number of mobile students in 2017-18
number of students who were in membership at any time during the


## 2017-18 school year

This rate is calculated at the campus level and disaggregated by race/ethnicity. The mobility rate shown in the "district" column is based on the count of mobile students identified at the campus level. The district mobility rate reflects school-to-school mobility within the same district or from outside the district. (Data source: TSDS PEIMS 42400)

Retention Rates by Grade (not on campus profile): The percentage of students in Texas public schools who enrolled in fall 2018 in the same grade in which they were reported for the last sixweek period of the prior school year (2017-18).
the number of students enrolled in the same grade from one school year to the next

## the number of students enrolled from one school year who return the next year or who graduate

Special education retention rates are calculated and reported separately because local retention practices differ for students served by special education.

The TAPR shows retention rates only for grades K-9. Retention rates for all grades can be found in Grade-Level Retention in Texas Public Schools, 2017-18, available from TEA. (Data source: TSDS PEIMS 40110)

Data Quality (not on campus profile): The percentage of errors made by district in the TSDS PEIMS Student Leaver Data.

Percent of Underreported Students. Underreported students are $7^{\text {th }}-12^{\text {th }}$ graders who were enrolled at any time during the prior year, who are not accounted for through district records or TEA processing in the current year, and for whom the district did not submit a leaver record. A district is required to submit a leaver record for any student served in grades 7-12 the previous year unless the student received a Texas high school equivalency certificate (TxCHSE) certificate by August 31, is a previous Texas public school graduate, moved to and enrolled in another Texas public school district, or returned to the district by
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the end of the school start window. (For 2017-18 the end of the school-start window was September 28, 2018.)

## number of underreported students

number of students in grades 7-12 who were served in the district in the 2017-18 school year
(Data source: Texas High School Equivalency Certificate Information File; TSDS PEIMS 40100, 40110, 42400, and 42500)

Class Size Averages by Grade and Subject: The average class size by grade (elementary) or selected subjects (secondary classes).
For secondary classes, averages are determined by totaling the number of students served (in a subject at the campus) and dividing that sum by the count of classes for that subject.
For elementary classes, how the average is determined depends on the instructional model. If an elementary teacher teaches all subjects to the same group of fourth graders all day, the class size average is simply the number of fourth grade students served by that teacher. If an elementary teacher teaches a single subject to five different sections of fourth graders each day, however, the average is calculated the same way as for secondary subjects. For example, one fourth grade science teacher teaches five science classes each day with $18,20,19,21$, and 22 students in each class. The total of 100 students divided by the five classes produces an average class size of 20 students for that teacher.
The following rules apply to the average class sizes:

- Classes identified as serving regular, compensatory/remedial, gifted and talented, career and technical, and honors students are included in the calculation.
- Subjects in the areas of English language arts (ELA), mathematics, science, social studies, languages other than English, computer science, and career and technical education are included in the calculation, as are self-contained classrooms.
- Classes where the number of students served is reported as zero are not included.
- Service codes with the "SR" prefix are not included.
- Teacher roles coded as "teacher" and/or "substitute teacher" are included.
- Only class settings coded as "regular class" are included.
- Missing partial FTE counts are not included.
- Elementary classes in which the number of students exceeds 100 are not included.
- Mixed grade-level class averages are not included.
(Data source: TSDS PEIMS 30090)


## Staff Information

Total Staff: The total count of staff which includes professional staff (teachers, professional support, administrators), educational aides, and (on the district profile) auxiliary staff. (Data source: TSDS PEIMS 30040, 30050, and 30090)
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Professional Staff: The full-time equivalent (FTE) count of teachers, professional support staff, campus administrators, and on the district profile, central office administrators. Staff are grouped according to roles as reported in TSDS PEIMS. Each type of professional staff is shown as a percentage of the total staff FTE. See Appendix A for all TSDS PEIMS Role IDs. (Data source: TSDS PEIMS 30040, 30050, and 30090)

Educational Aides: The count and percentage of paraprofessional staff who are reported with a role of 033 (Educational Aide) or 036 (Certified Interpreter). The FTE counts of educational aides are expressed as a percentage of the total staff FTEs. See Appendix A for all TSDS PEIMS Role IDs. (Data source: TSDS PEIMS 30090)

Auxiliary Staff (not on campus profile): The count of full-time equivalent (FTE) staff reported in TSDS PEIMS employment and payroll records who are not reported in the TSDS PEIMS 30090 Staff - Responsibilities record. The auxiliary staff (and educational aide who performs routine classroom tasks under the general supervision of a certified teacher or teaching team) are expressed as a percentage of total staff. For auxiliary staff, the FTE is the value of the percentage of day worked. (Data source: TSDS PEIMS 30060 and 30090)

Librarians and Counselors (Headcount): The headcount of librarians and counselors is based on full-time equivalent (FTE) for full-time and part-time headcounts. Librarians and counselors are considered part-time when the FTE count is less than or equal to . 85 (For example, FTE count less than or equal to 85 , the part-time headcount is equal to 1 ).

Librarians and counselors are headcount not sums of FTEs. The district headcount is not a sum of the campus headcount. For example, a counselor spends 50 percent of their time at the elementary ( 0.50 FTE ) and 50 percent of their time at the high school ( 0.50 FTE ). On each of the campus reports, this counselor will be reflected as 1.0 Part-time Counselor. On the district report the counselor will be reflected as 1.0 full-time counselor since the FTE count is greater than .85 ( 0.50 FTE plus 0.50 FTE=1.0 FTE). See Appendix A for TSDS PEIMS Role IDs (Professional Support Staff). (Data source: TSDS PEIMS 30040, 30050, and 30090)

Total Minority Staff: The total count of minority staff is the sum of the FTE counts for all non-white staff groups (African American, Hispanic, American Indian, Asian, Pacific Islander, and Two or More Races). The minority staff FTE count is expressed as a percentage of the total staff FTE. (Data source: TSDS PEIMS 30040, 30050, and 30090)

Teachers by Ethnicity and Sex: The counts of teacher FTEs by ethnic group and by sex. Counts are also expressed as a percentage of the total teacher FTEs. (Data source: TSDS PEIMS 30040, 30050, and 30090)

Teachers by Highest Degree Held: The distribution of degrees held by teachers. The FTE counts of teachers with no degree, a bachelor's degree, a master's degree, or a doctorate are expressed as a percentage of the total teacher FTEs. (Data source: TSDS PEIMS 30040, 30050, and 30090)

Teachers by Years of Experience: The FTE count of teachers by total years of experience for the individual, not necessarily years of experience in the reporting district or campus. Teacher counts within each range of experience are expressed as a percentage of total teacher FTEs. Teachers are reported with zero years of experience (first year teacher), 1-5 years, 6-10 years, 11-20 years, and over 20 years. (Data source: TSDS PEIMS 30040, 30050, and 30090)
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Number of Students per Teacher: The total number of students divided by the total teacher FTE count. (Data source: TSDS PEIMS 30040, 30050, and 30090)

Experience of Campus Leadership: The average years of experience for principals and assistant principals.

Average Years as Principal: The number of completed years of experience as a principal, regardless of district or interruption in service. These amounts are added together and divided by the number of all principals reported for the campus.
Average Years as Principal with District: The number of years a principal is employed in the district regardless of any interruption in service. The amounts are added together and divided by the number of principals reported for the district.
Average Years as Assistant Principal: The number of completed years of experience as assistant principal, regardless of district or interruption of service. The amounts are added together and divided by the number of assistant principals reported for the campus.
Average Years as Assistant Principal with District: The number of years employed as assistant principal in the district regardless of any interruption in service. These amounts are added together and divided by the number of assistant principals reported for the district.
(Data source: TSDS PEIMS 30050)
Average Years Experience of Teachers: The average number of completed years of professional experience, regardless of district. Weighted averages are calculated by multiplying each teacher's FTE coefficient ( 1 for a full-time teacher, .75 for a three-quarter-time teacher, and .5 for a half-time teacher, for example) by his or her years of experience. These amounts are added together and divided by the sum of all teachers' FTE coefficients. (Data source: TSDS PEIMS 30040, 30050, and 30090)

Average Years Experience of Teachers with District: The average number of years employed in the district regardless of any interruption in service. Weighted averages are calculated by multiplying each teacher's FTE coefficient by his or her years of experience in the district. These amounts are added together and divided by the sum of all teacher's coefficients. (Data source: TSDS PEIMS 30050)

Average Teacher Salary by Years of Experience (regular duties only): Total pay for all teachers in each category divided by the total teacher FTE count in that category. For the purpose of this calculation, the total actual salary amount is pay for regular duties only and does not include supplemental pay. For teachers who also have non-teaching roles, only the portion of time and pay dedicated to classroom responsibilities is factored into the average teacher salary calculation. Teachers are reported with zero years of experience (first year teacher), 1-5 years, 6-10 years, 1120 years, and over 20 years. (Data source: TSDS PEIMS 30060)
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Average Actual Salaries (regular duties only): For each category, the total salary for that category divided by the total FTE count for that category. Only payment for regular duties is included in the total salary; supplemental payments for extra duties (e.g., coaching, band and orchestra assignments, club sponsorships) are not included. See Appendix A for lists of the TSDS PEIMS role IDs included in each category.

Teachers. Teachers, special duty teachers, and substitute teachers. Substitute teachers are either temporarily hired to replace a teacher or hired permanently on an as-needed basis. The District Teacher Salary Report and Graph also uses this definition in creating counts for various salary ranges.
Professional Support. Therapists, nurses, librarians, counselors, and other campus professional personnel.
Campus Administration (School Leadership). Principals, assistant principals, and other administrators reported with a specific school ID.

Central Administration. (not on campus profile) Superintendents, presidents, chief executive officers, chief administrative officers, business managers, athletic directors, and other administrators reported with a central office ID and not a specific school ID.

Instructional Staff Percent (district profile only): The percentage of the district's FTEs whose job function was to provide classroom instruction directly to students during the 2017-18 school year. The instructional staff percent is a district-level measure and is calculated as follows:

## total number of hours for district staff who were reported under expenditure

 object codes 6112, 6119, and 6129, and function codes 11, 12, 13, and 31total number of hours worked by all district employees
Contact the Division of Financial Compliance at (512) 463-9095 for further details about this measure. (Data source: TSDS PEIMS 30040, 30050, and 30090)

Turnover Rate for Teachers (not on campus profile): The percentage of teachers from the fall of 2017-18 who were not employed in the district in the fall of 2018-19. It is calculated as the total FTE count of teachers from the fall of 2017-18 who were not employed in the district in the fall of 2018-19, divided by the total teacher FTE count for the fall of 2017-18. Staff who remained employed in the district but not as teachers also count toward teacher turnover. (Data source: TSDS PEIMS 30050 and 30090)

Staff Exclusions (not on campus profile): The counts of individuals who serve public school students but are not included in the FTE totals for any of the other employee statistics. There are two types of these entries: individuals participating in a shared services arrangement and individuals on contract with the district to provide instructional services. Shared Services Arrangement (SSA) Staff are staff who work in schools located in districts other than their employing district or whose assigned organization (in TSDS PEIMS) shows a code of 751, indicating that they are employed by the fiscal agent of an SSA. Only the portion of a person's total FTE amount associated with the school in another district (or with the 751 organization code) is counted as SSA. SSA staff are grouped into three categories: Professional Staff (which includes teachers, administrators, and professional support); Educational Aides; and Auxiliary Staff. Note
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that SSA Auxiliary Staff are identified by the type of fund from which they are paid. Contracted Instructional Staff (District and Campus Profiles) refers to counts of instructors for whom the district has entered into a contractual agreement with some outside organization. Through the contract, the outside organization has committed to supplying instructional staff for the district. They are never employees of the reporting school district. (Data source: TSDS PEIMS 30055 and 30060)

Contracted Instructional Staff: The count of individuals who are not regular classroom teachers who have signed a contract with a district, nor are they shared services arrangement employees. Rather, these are instructors for whom the district has entered into a contractual agreement with an outside organization. Through the contract the outside organization has committed to supplying instructional staff for the district. They include, but are not limited to, speech therapists, occupational therapists, and any other professional contracted staff working in a classroom on a dedicated basis.

Student Enrollment by Program: The count and percentage of students served in programs and/or courses for special education, career and technical education, bilingual/ESL education, or gifted and talented education. The percentages do not total to 100 because students may participate in more than one of these programs. (Data source: TSDS PEIMS 40110, 41163 and 41169)

Teachers by Program (population served): The FTE count of teachers categorized by the type of student populations served: regular, special, compensatory, career and technical, bilingual/ESL, gifted and talented education students, and other populations. Teacher FTE values are allocated across population types for teachers who serve multiple population types. Percentages are expressed as a percentage of total teacher FTEs. (Data source: TSDS PEIMS 30040, 30050 and 30090)

## Kindergarten Readiness

Kindergarten (KG) Ready: Assessed kindergarten students who met or exceeded the cut-off score for a particular assessment on the Commissioner's List of Reading Instruments. Kindergarten readiness for each assessment is assessed differently across multiple assessment domains with varying benchmarks/cut-off scores of readiness. A student must pass all required assessment domains to be considered kindergarten ready. For school years 2013-14 through 2018-19, readiness is based on the literacy assessment only and does not provide comprehensive information on student readiness across important developmental domains.

Assessed Students in KG: Kindergarten students enrolled in the Texas public school system as of the Fall Snapshot date (the last Friday in October of each year) who were administered, at the beginning of year (BOY) administration, an assessment on the Commissioner's List of Reading Instruments. Students may take multiple assessments.

Eligible Students: Kindergarten students who met at least one of the public prekindergarten (PK) eligibility criteria during the kindergarten year-economically disadvantaged, English learner (EL), homeless, is or ever has been in foster care, is the child of an active duty member of the armed forces of the United States, or is the child of a member of the armed forces who was injured or killed while on active duty-not the actual eligibility as of the PK year. PK eligibility is based on a student's status as of his/her kindergarten year as there are no comprehensive data concerning PK eligibility for children who did not attend public PK.

Eligible Students Who Attended PK: Kindergarten students determined to be kindergarten ready based on assessment results, who were eligible to attend PK and did attend public PK the prior school year.
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Eligible Students Who Did Not Attend PK: Kindergarten students who were eligible to attend public PK but did not attend public PK the prior school year.

Students Who Were Not Eligible for PK: Kindergarten students who were assessed for kindergarten readiness and were not eligible for public PK.

Students Ready for KG: Count of all assessed kindergarten students who were determined to be kindergarten ready based on assessment results.
Student Assessed in KG: Count of all kindergarten students who were assessed for kindergarten readiness.

Percent Ready: Percentage of all assessed kindergarten students who were determined to be kindergarten ready based on assessment results.
number of kindergarten ready students
all kindergarten students who were assessed for kindergarten readiness
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 Who to CallInformation about the calculation of all Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR) data elements is provided in this Glossary. If, after reading the Glossary you have questions about the calculation of TAPR indicators, contact Performance Reporting at (512) 463-9704.

Questions related to programs and policies for the following subjects should be directed to the contacts listed below.

| Subject | Contact | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accountability Ratings | Performance Reporting..................................... (512) | 463-9704 |
| Advanced Courses | Curriculum .............................................................. (512) | 463-9581 |
| Charter Schools | Charter Schools .............................................. (512) | 463-9575 |
| College Admissions Tests: |  |  |
| SAT | College Board .................................................... (512) | 721-1800 |
| ACT | ACT ................................................................................ | 337-1270 |
| Copies of TAPR reports | https://rptsvr1.tea.texas.gov/perfreport/tapr/index | x.html |
| DAEP (Disciplinary Alternative Education Program) |  |  |
|  | Discipline, Law, and Order............................... (512) | 463-9286 |
| Distinguished Achievement Program | Curriculum ....................................................... (512) | 463-9581 |
| Distinction Designations | Performance Reporting.................................... (512) | 463-9704 |
| Dropouts | Accountability Research .................................... (512) | 475-3523 |
| English Learners |  |  |
| Testing Issues | Student Assessment ........................................... (512) | 463-9536 |
| Other Issues | Special Populations.............................................. (512) | 463-9414 |
| Financial Standard Reports | State Funding ................................................... (512) | 463-9238 |
| General Inquiry | General Inquiries ............................................. (512) | 463-9290 |
| Graduates | Accountability Research ..................................... (512) | 475-3523 |
| Graduates Enrolled in Texas IHE | Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board ... (512) | 427-6101 |
| JJAEP (Juvenile Justice Alternative Education Program) |  |  |
|  | Discipline, Law, and Order............................... (512) | 463-9286 |
| Federal Accountability | Federal and State Education Policy.................... (512) | 463-9414 |
| RDA Special Education Monitoring Results Status |  |  |
|  | Results Driven Accountability........................... (512) | 463-9704 |
| PEIMS (TSDS PEIMS) | PEIMS HelpLine................................................ (512) | 463-9229 |
| Recommended High School Program | Curriculum ...................................................... (512) | 463-9581 |
| Retention Policy | Curriculum ......................................................... (512) | 463-9581 |
| School Finance | State Funding ..................................................... (512) | 463-9238 |
| School Governance | School Governance............................................. (512) | 463-9623 |
| School Report Card | Performance Reporting..................................... (512) | 463-9704 |
| Special Education |  |  |
| Testing Issues | Student Assessment .......................................... (512) | 463-9536 |
| Other Issues | Special Populations.......................................... (512) | 463-9414 |
| STAAR (all assessments) | Student Assessment .......................................... (512) | 463-9536 |
| STAAR Testing Contractor | ETS ................................................................... (855) | 333-7770 |
|  | Pearson ............................................................ (800) | 328-5999 |
|  | Austin Operational Center ................................ (512) | 989-5300 |
| Statutory (Legal) Issues | Legal Services................................................... (512) | 463-9720 |
| Effective Schools Framework | School Improvement........................................... (512) | 463-5226 |
| TELPAS | Student Assessment ........................................... (512) | 463-9536 |
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107 Food Service Professional108 Transportation109.Athletics110Custodial
111 .Maintenance
112 .Business Services Professional
113 Other District Exempt Professional Auxiliary
114 Other Campus Exempt Professional Auxiliary
Teachers
087 Teacher
047 Substitute Teacher
Educational Aides
033 Educational Aide
036 Certified Interpreter
Auxiliary Staff
Employment record, but no responsibility records.

* Administrators reported with these roles are categorized as central office or campus, depending on the organization ID reported forthem.
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- All courses shown were for the 2018-19 school year.
- An "A" prefix indicates a College Board Advanced Placement course.
- An "I" prefix indicates an International Baccalaureate course.
- Dual-credit courses are not specifically shown on this list.


## English Language Arts

| 03221100 | Research/Technical Writing |
| :--- | :--- |
| 03221200 | Creative Writing |
| 03221500 | Literary Genres |
| 03221600 | Humanities (First Time Taken) |
| 03221800 | Independent Study In English (First Time Taken) |
| 03231000 | Independent Study In Journalism (First Time Taken) |
| 03231902 | Advanced Broadcast Journalism III |
| 03240400 | Oral Interpretation III |
| 03240800 | Debate III |
| 03241100 | Public Speaking III |
| 03241200 | Independent Study In Speech (First Time Taken) |
| A3220100 | AP English Language and Composition |
| A3220200 | AP English Literature and Composition |
| I3220300 | IB English III |
| I3220400 | IB English IV |

## Mathematics

| 03101100 | Pre Calculus |
| :--- | :--- |
| 03102500 | Independent Study In Mathematics (First Time Taken) |
| 03102501 | Independent Study In Mathematics (Second Time Taken) |
| 03580370 | Discrete Math for Computer Science |
| 03580395 | Robotics Programming and Design |
| 12701410 | Applied Math for Tech Professionals |
| 13001000 | Math Appl in Ag/Food and Nat/Resources |
| 13016700 | Accounting II |
| 13016900 | Statistical and Business Decision Making |
| 13018000 | Financial Mathematics |
| 13020970 | Math for Medical Professionals |
| 13032950 | Manufacturing Engineering Technology II |
| 13036700 | Engineering Mathematics |
| 13037050 | Robotics II |
| 13037600 | Digital Electronics |
| A3100101 | AP Calculus AB |
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Mathematics (cont.)

| A3100102 | AP Calculus BC |
| :--- | :--- |
| A3100200 | AP Statistics |
| A3580100 | AP Computer Science A |
| I3100100 | IB Mathematical Studies, Standard Level |
| I3100200 | IB Mathematics, Standard Level |
| I3100300 | IB Mathematics, Higher Level |
| I3100400 | IB Further Mathematics, Standard Level |

## Technology Applications

| 03580200 | Computer Science I |
| :--- | :--- |
| 03580300 | Computer Science II |
| A3580300 | AP Computer Science Principles |
| N1100014 | AP Research |
| N1130026 | AP Seminar |
| I3580200 | IB Computer Science I, Standard Level |
| I3580300 | IB Computer Science II, Higher Level |
| I3580400 | IB Information Technology In A Global Society, SL |
| I3580500 | IB Information Technology In A Global Society |

## Fine Arts

| 03150400 | Music IV Band |
| :--- | :--- |
| 03150800 | Music IV Orchestra |
| 03151200 | Music IV Choir |
| 03151600 | Music IV Jazz Ensemble |
| 03152000 | Music IV Instrumental Ensemble |
| 03152400 | Music IV Vocal Ensemble |
| 03250400 | Theatre Arts IV |
| 03251000 | Theatre Production IV |
| 03251200 | Technical Theatre IV |
| 03502300 | Art IV Drawing |
| 03502400 | Art IV Painting |
| 03502500 | Art IV Printmaking |
| 03502600 | Art IV Fibers |
| 03502700 | Art IV Ceramics |
| 03502800 | Art IV Sculpture |
| 03502900 | Art IV Jewelry |
| 03503100 | Art IV Photography |
| 03830400 | Dance IV |
| A3150200 | AP Music Theory |
| A3500100 | AP History Of Art |
| A3500300 | AP Art/Drawing Portfolio |
| A3500400 | AP Art/Two-Dimensional Design Portfolio |
| A3500500 | AP Art/Three-Dimensional Design Portfolio |
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| I3250200 | IB Music, Standard Level |
| :--- | :--- |
| I3250300 | IB Music, Higher Level |
| I3600100 | IB Visual Art/Design, Higher Level |
| I3600200 | IB Visual Art/Design, Standard Level-A |
| I3750200 | IB Theatre Arts, Standard Level |
| I3750300 | IB Theatre Arts, Higher Level |
| I3830100 | IB Dance, Standard Level |
| I3830200 | IB Dance, Higher Level |

## Science

| A3010200 | AP Biology |
| :--- | :--- |
| A3020000 | AP Environmental Science |
| A304000 | AP Chemistry |
| A3050003 | AP Physics I: Algebra Based |
| A3050004 | AP Physics II: Algebra Based |
| A3050005 | AP Physics C: Electricity and Magnetism |
| A3050006 | AP Physics C: Mechanics |
| I3010201 | IB Biology, Standard Level |
| I3010202 | IB Biology, Higher Level |
| I3020000 | IB Environmental Systems and Societies |
| I3030001 | IB Design Technology, Standard Level |
| I3030002 | IB Design Technology, Higher Level |
| I3040002 | IB Chemistry, Standard Level |
| I3040003 | IB Chemistry, Higher Level |
| I3050002 | IB Physics, Standard Level |
| I3050003 | IB Physics, Higher Level |
| 13000700 | Advanced Animal Science |
| 13002100 | Advanced Plant and Soil Science |
| 13020600 | Anatomy and Physiology |
| 13020700 | Medical Microbiology |
| 13020800 | Pathophysiology |
| 13023000 | Food Science |
| 13029500 | Forensic Science |
| 13036400 | Biotechnology I |
| 13036450 | Biotechnology II |
| 13037100 | Principles of Technology |
| 13037200 | Scientific Research and Design |
| 13037210 | Scientific Research and Design II |
| 13037220 | Scientific Research and Design III |
| 13037300 | Engineering Design and Problem Solving |
| 13037500 | Engineering Science |
|  |  |
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Social Studies/History

| A3310100 | AP Microeconomics |
| :--- | :--- |
| A3310200 | AP Macroeconomics |
| A3330100 | AP United States Government and Politics |
| A3330200 | AP Comparative Government and Politics |
| A3340100 | AP United States History |
| A3340200 | AP European History |
| A3350100 | AP Psychology |
| A3360100 | AP Human Geography |
| A3360200 | AP Human Geography (Elective) |
| A3370100 | AP World History |
| I3301100 | IB History, Standard Level |
| I3301200 | IB History: Africa, Higher Level |
| I3301300 | IB History: Americas, Higher Level |
| I3301400 | IB History: East and Southeast Asia, Higher Level |
| I3301500 | IB History: Europe, Higher Level |
| I3302100 | IB Geography, Standard Level |
| I3302200 | IB Geography, Higher Level |
| I3303100 | IB Economics, Standard Level |
| I3303200 | IB Economics, Higher Level |
| I3303300 | IB Business and Management I |
| I3303400 | IB Business and Management II |
| I3304100 | IB Psychology, Standard Level |
| I3304200 | IB Psychology, Higher Level |
| I3366010 | IB Philosophy |
| 03310301 | Economics Advanced Studies (First Time Taken) |
| 0338001 | Social Studies Advanced Studies (First Time Taken) |

Advanced Languages (Modern or Classical)

| 03110400 | Arabic IV |
| :--- | :--- |
| 03110500 | Arabic V |
| 03110600 | Arabic VI |
| 03110700 | Arabic VII |
| 03110910 | Adv, 1st Time, Arabic |
| 03110920 | Adv, 2nd Time, Arabic |
| 03110930 | Adv, 3rd Time, Arabic |
| 03120400 | Japanese IV |
| 03120500 | Japanese V |
| 03120600 | Japanese VI |
| 03120700 | Japanese VII |
| 03120910 | Adv, 1st Time, Japanese |
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| 03120920 | Adv, 2nd Time, Japanese |
| :--- | :--- |
| 03120930 | Adv, 3rd Time, Japanese |

Advanced Languages (Cont.)

| 03400400 | Italian IV |
| :--- | :--- |
| 03400500 | Italian V |
| 03400600 | Italian VI |
| 03400700 | Italian VII |
| 03400910 | Adv, 1st Time, Italian |
| 03400920 | Adv, 2nd Time, Italian |
| 03400930 | Adv, 3rd Time, Italian |
| 03410400 | French IV |
| 03410500 | French V |
| 03410600 | French VI |
| 03410700 | French VII |
| 03410910 | Adv, 1st Time, French |
| 03410920 | Adv, 2nd Time, French |
| 03410930 | Adv, 3rd Time, French |
| 03420400 | German IV |
| 03420500 | German V |
| 03420600 | German VI |
| 03420700 | German VII |
| 03420910 | Adv, 1st Time, German |
| 03420920 | Adv, 2nd Time, German |
| 03420930 | Adv, 3rd Time, German |
| 03430400 | Latin IV |
| 03430500 | Latin V |
| 03430600 | Latin VI |
| 03430700 | Latin VII |
| 03440400 | Spanish IV |
| 03440440 | Spanish For Spanish Speakers IV |
| 03440500 | Spanish V |
| 03440600 | Spanish VI |
| 03440700 | Spanish VII |
| 03440910 | Adv, 1st Time, Spanish |
| 03440920 | Adv, 2nd Time, Spanish |
| 03440930 | Adv, 3rd Time, Spanish |
| 03450400 | Russian IV |
| 03450500 | Russian V |
|  |  |
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| 03450600 | Russian VI |
| :--- | :--- |
| 03450700 | Russian VII |
| 03450910 | Adv, 1st Time, Russian |
| 03450920 | Adv, 2nd Time, Russian |
| 03450930 | Adv, 3rd Time, Russian |
| 03470400 | Portuguese IV |
| 03470500 | Portuguese V |
| 03470600 | Portuguese VI |
| 03470700 | Portuguese VII |
| 03470910 | Adv, 1st Time, Portuguese |
| 03470920 | Adv, 2nd Time, Portuguese |
| 03470930 | Adv, 3rd Time, Portuguese |
| 03490400 | Chinese IV |
| 03490500 | Chinese V |
| 03490600 | Chinese VI |
| 03490700 | Chinese VII |
| 03490910 | Adv, 1st Time, Chinese |
| 03490920 | Adv, 2nd Time, Chinese |
| 03490930 | Adv, 3rd Time, Chinese |
| 03510400 | Vietnamese IV |
| 03510500 | Vietnamese V |
| 03510600 | Vietnamese VI |
| 03510700 | Vietnamese VII |
| 03510910 | Adv, 1st Time, Vietnam |
| 03510920 | Adv, 2nd Time, Vietnam |
| 03510930 | Adv, 3rd Time, Vietnam |
| 03520400 | Hindi IV |
| 03520500 | Hindi V |
| 03520600 | Hindi VI |
| 03520700 | Hindi VII |
| 03520910 | Adv, 1st Time, Hindi |
| 03520920 | Adv, 2nd Time, Hindi |
| 03520930 | Adv, 3rd Time, Hindi |
| 03980400 | American Sign Language IV |
| 03530910 | Adv, 1st Time, Urdu |
| 03530920 | Adv, 2nd Time, Urdu |
| 03530930 | Adv, 3rd Time, Urdu |
| 11401910 | Adv, 1st Time, Turkish |
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| 11401920 | Adv, 2nd Time, Turkish |
| :--- | :--- |
| 11401930 | Adv, 3rd Time, Turkish |
| 11403610 | Adv, 1st Time, Korean |
| 11403620 | Adv, 2nd Time, Korean |
| 11403630 | Adv, 3rd Time, Korean |
| 03996000 | Other Foreign Languages Level IV |
| 03996100 | Other Foreign Languages Level V |
| 03996200 | Other Foreign Languages Level VI |
| 03996300 | Other Foreign Languages Level VII |
| A3120400 | AP Japanese IV |
| A3400400 | AP Italian IV |
| A3410100 | AP French IV |
| A3420100 | AP German IV |
| A3430100 | AP Latin IV |
| A3440100 | AP Spanish IV |
| A3440200 | AP Spanish V |
| A3490400 | AP Chinese IV |
| I3110400 | IB Arabic IV |
| I3110500 | IB Arabic V |
| I3120400 | IB Japanese IV |
| I3120500 | IB Japanese V |
| I3410400 | IB French IV |
| I3410500 | IB French V |
| I3420400 | IB German IV |
| I3420500 | IB German V |
| I3430400 | IB Latin IV |
| I3430500 | IB Latin V |
| I3440400 | IB Spanish IV |
| I3440500 | IB Spanish V |
| I3440600 | IB Spanish VI |
| I3440700 | IB Spanish VII |
| I3450400 | IB Russian IV |
| I3450500 | IB Russian V |
| I3480400 | IB Hebrew IV |
| I3480500 | IB Hebrew V |
| I3490400 | IB Chinese IV |
|  |  |
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| I3490500 | IB Chinese V |
| :--- | :--- |
| I3490600 | IB Chinese VI |
| I3490700 | IB Chinese VII |
| I3520400 | IB Hindi IV |
| I3520500 | IB Hindi V |
| I3663600 | IB Languages Other Than English Level VI - Other |
| I3663700 | IB Languages Other Than English Level VII - Other |
| I3996000 | IB Languages Other Than English Level IV - Other |
| I3996100 | IB Languages Other Than English Level V - Other |

## Other

| I3000100 | IB Theory of Knowledge |
| :--- | :--- |
| I3305100 | IB World Religions A |
| I3366100 | IB World Religions B |
| N1290317 | GT Independent Study Mentorship III |
| N1290318 | GT Independent Study Mentorship IV |


[^0]:    ** Fund balance percentages are calculated by dividing the fund balance by either the general revenue or all funds. The percentages illustrate the size of the fund balance in relation to total revenues.
    Charter schools report net assets rather than fund balances.
    *** The TEA does not have encumbrance data to subtract from the fund balances.

[^1]:    Lone Star Elementary School
    Generated by Plan4Learning.com

[^2]:    For information concerning school violence prevention and violence intervention policies that the district is using to protect students, please refer to the District's
    Student Code of Conduct and School Board Policies (both of which are available on the Distict's webpage and at all campuses and at the District's Central Administrative Offices).

